

# Force2017 session proposal Scholarly commons - principles

***Title: Sounds good in principle?***

The principles of the Scholarly Commons (<https://www.force11.org/scholarly-commons/principles>) are a Force11 initiative to define ways to practice open, inclusive and reproducible science and scholarship. They can function as an agreement among researchers and other stakeholders in scholarly communication to make research open and participatory for anyone, anywhere.

But do they work in practice?

At Force2017, we want to get people together from different backgrounds, geographical areas, disciplines and roles in scholarly communication, to test and evaluate the usefulness of the principles. Are all principles relevant to you? Are they practical and applicable in the field and culture/language area you are active in? Do you expect the principles to be useful for your community?

We want to collect use cases (e.g. sharing research data or evaluating grant proposals) for the principles, see whether all principles are relevant for these use cases, and explore if there are ways of practice for these use cases that comply with the principles.

We explicitly want to look at what cultural and disciplinary differences mean for the usefulness of the principles. Does the use case exist in different disciplines and cultures? Are the principles applicable in each situation? Are the solutions the same? What factors come into play here?

For participants, this session will offer an opportunity to critically look at their own research or research support practices, reflect on what it means to approach these from a principled stance, and exchange perspectives on these issues from different disciplines and cultural backgrounds.

Format:

The session has an active format that could be termed 'test driving ideas'. It comprises assessment and discussion. Together, participants will try to apply a set of principles to use cases reflecting their own concrete daily practice. By doing so, they will evaluate the usefulness of these principles. Outcomes will be shared and weighed in small group discussions. The session will be fast-paced with a series of concrete, guided steps.

Additional information:

As a concept, model and research ecosystem, Scholarly Commons cuts to the core of the FORCE11 project. It interrogates and re-evaluates all of the FORCE2017 themes: increasing diversity, building new workflows, business models/sustainability, new forms of communication, outreach, and rewards and incentives. It also mirrors the FORCE2017 meeting trajectory as it encompasses the Past, the Future and Next Steps structure that the organising committee is seeking. All proposals carry the hashtag #scholarlycommons

Jeroen Bosman  
Bianca Kramer

## Copy of submission

## Contact information

First name:

Jeroen

Last name:

Bosman

Email:

j.bosman@uu.nl

Phone number:

---

## Type of activity

Preferences:

- Session: 30-45 min time slot

Title:

Sounds good in principle?

Description:

The principles of the Scholarly Commons (<https://www.force11.org/scholarly-commons/principles>) are a Force11 initiative to define ways to practice open, inclusive and reproducible science and

scholarship. They can function as an agreement among researchers and other stakeholders in scholarly communication to make research open and participatory for anyone, anywhere.

But do they work in practice?

At Force2017, we want to get people together from different backgrounds, geographical areas, disciplines and roles in scholarly communication, to test and evaluate the usefulness of the principles. Are all principles relevant to you? Are they practical and applicable in the field and culture/language area you are active in? Do you expect the principles to be useful for your community?

We want to collect use cases (e.g. sharing research data or evaluating grant proposals) for the principles, see whether all principles are relevant for these use cases, and explore if there are ways of practice for these use cases that comply with the principles.

We explicitly want to look at what cultural and disciplinary differences mean for the usefulness of the principles. Does the use case exist in different disciplines and cultures? Are the principles applicable in each situation? Are the solutions the same? What factors come into play here?

For participants, this session will offer an opportunity to critically look at their own research or research support practices, reflect on what it means to approach these from a principled stance, and exchange perspectives on these issues from different disciplines and cultural backgrounds.

URL to existing project:

<https://www.scholarlycommons.org>

Format:

Test-driving ideas. The session has an active format that could be termed 'test driving ideas'. It comprises assessment and discussion. Together, participants will try to apply a set of principles to use cases reflecting their own concrete daily practice. By doing so, they will evaluate the usefulness of these principles. Outcomes will be shared and weighed in small group discussions. The session will be fast-paced with a series of concrete, guided steps.

Preferred length:

- 45-60 min

Themes:

- Building new workflows

Force11 working groups:

Scholarly Commons Working Group

## Presenter information

First name:

Jeroen

Last name:

Bosman

Organization/affiliation:

Utrecht University

Email:

j.bosman@uu.nl

ORCID:

0000-0001-5796-2727

Link to CV:

---

Additional speaker information:

Jeroen Bosman is scholarly communications and geoscience librarian at Utrecht University Library. He is an expert in the field of citation databases, reference management tools, e-books and web search, Open Access and Open Science tools. His main interests are Open Access and Open Science, scientometrics, visualization and innovation in scholarly communication. He is an avid advocate for Open Access, Open Science and for experimenting with open alternatives. He is co-author of the poster 101 Innovations in Scholarly Communication depicting innovation trends by research workflow phases and he has led the global survey in Innovations in Scholarly Communication with his colleague Bianca Kramer.

## Additional presenter(s)

First name:

Bianca

Last name:

Kramer

Organization/affiliation:

Utrecht University

Email:

b.m.r.kramer@uu.nl

ORCID:

0000-0002-5965-6560

Link to CV:

---

Additional speaker information:

Bianca Kramer (@MsPhelps) is a librarian for life sciences and medicine at Utrecht Library, with a strong focus on scholarly communication and Open Science. Through her work on the project '101 innovations in scholarly communication' (including a worldwide survey of >20,000 researchers) she is investigating trends in innovations and tool usage across the research cycle. She regularly leads workshops on various aspects of scholarly communication (e.g. online search, altmetrics, peer review) for researchers, students and other stakeholders in scholarly communication, and has an active interest in data- and network visualization. She is a member of the steering committee of the Force11 Scholarly Commons Working Group.

## Additional information

Submission link:

As a concept, model and research ecosystem, Scholarly Commons cuts to the core of the FORCE11 project. It interrogates and re-evaluates all of the FORCE2017 themes: increasing diversity, building new workflows, business models/sustainability, new forms of communication, outreach, and rewards and incentives. It also mirrors the FORCE2017 meeting trajectory as it encompasses the Past, the Future and Next Steps structure that the organising committee is seeking. All proposals carry the hashtag is #scholarlycommons  
<https://www.force11.org/group/scholarly-commons-working-group>

Share of your materials agreement:

Yes

Video/audio recording agreement:

Yes

Visa required:

No