Paper Review Form

Research Article Title:……..

……

Reviewer Information:

Name:……..……	
Specialization:…….	
Affiliation:……	
Academic Degree:……	**Phone Number:**……
Country:……	**Email:**……

Guidelines:

Because of the importance of assessing the quality of the scientific papers to be published in the *Scientific Journal of the Faculty of Education, Misurata University,* and in the interest of the Editorial Board to ensure a fair and rigorous review process that safeguards the rights of authors, please take the following into consideration:

1. Ensure that the review is comprehensive and accurate for each evaluation criterion.
2. The review period is 15 working days from the date of reciept of the manuscript.
3. Maintain strict confidentiality throughout the review process.
4. Submit the completed review form via email to: sjo@edu.misuratau.edu.ly, and send it to the Journal's website.

About the Reviewer:

Please put a tick:	Yes	No
1. I have recently conducted research that is directly relevant to the subject of the study.		
2. I have previously conducted research relevant to the subject of the study.		
3. I possess expertise in the broader field of study, though not in its specific area.		

The paper shall be graded out of 100 marks according to the following criteria:

Criteria	Score	Reviewer's Evaluation
1. Consistency between the research title and its content.	**5**	
2. Comprehensiveness, clarity, and relevance of the abstract in reflecting the content of the study.	**5**	
3. Adequacy and currency of the literature review.	**10**	
4. Clarity of the research problem and objectives.	**10**	
5. Clarity and feasibility of the research methodology, and the extent to which the procedures and tools align with it.	**10**	
6. Accuracy in presenting, interpreting, and scientifically discussing the research results.	**10**	
7. Significance of the research objectives, the extent to which they are achieved, and the applicability of the results.	**10**	
8. Relevance and usefulness of the suggestions and recommendations provided.	**5**	
9. Originality of the research and the inclusion of elements of novelty and innovation.	**15**	
10. Accuracy of in-text citations and references list formatting.	**5**	
11. Coherence, logical flow, and clarity of the writing style.	**10**	
12. Recency of the references.	**5**	
Total	**100**	

Total Score (in letters):……

Please note that a paper accepted for publication, whether with minor or major revisions, should achieve a minimum score of 60 marks. Conversely, a paper that is accepted for publication without any revisions must attain a score of no less than 70 marks.

Recommendation:

Accepted without revisions.	❑
Accepted after minor revisions without returning to the reviewer.	❑
Accepted after substantial revisions and resubmission to the reviewer.	❑
Not accepted.	❑

Comments for the author: *(Constructive feedback aimed at improving the quality of the research in terms of both form and content)*

Comments for the Editorial Board: ***(Not to be seen by the author)***

<u>Important:</u> In adherence to the confidentiality of the peer-review process, a copy of this review will be sent to the author after removing the first page containing the reviewer's information, as well as the last page containing comments addressed to the Editorial Board, the reviewer's name, and signature.

****Date of Manuscript Receipt:** ____ / ____ / _____**

****Date of Completion:** ____ / ____ / _____**

****Reviewer's Name and Signature:** _____**

****Approved by: (Editor-in-Chief)****