

The Title is Written with Book Antiqua (18 pt) and Preferably Not More Than 14 Words

Name Author¹, Name Author², and Name Author³.

¹ Faculty of xxxx, University of xxxxxxxxxxxx, Indonesia.

² Faculty of xxxx, University of xxxxxxxxxxxx, Sweden.

³ Faculty of xxx, University of xxxxxxxxxxxx, England.

Article history:

Received 20xx-xx-xx

Revised 20xx-xx-xx

Accepted 20xx-xx-xx

Keywords:

xxxxxxxxxxxxxx; xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx;
xxxxxxxxxxxxxx.

DOI:

doi.org/10.26905/idjch.vxxix.xxxx.

Corresponding Author:

Name Author.

E-mail: xxxxxxxxxxx@gmail.com.

Abstract: Abstracts are written with Book Antiqua (10 pt) and preferably not more than 200 words. The abstract should be clear, concise, and descriptive. This abstract should provide a brief introduction to the problem, and objective of the paper, followed by a statement regarding the methodology and a brief summary of results. For example, This article analyses the competition law related to the abuse of a dominant market position using the strategy of predatory pricing by undertakings in the European Union. The purpose of this article is to survey predatory pricing as a phenomenon both within and outside the EU. The findings proved that undertakings that achieved their dominant position before the recession have a significant advantage over smaller undertakings. However, they cannot necessarily afford to act on the same basis for long, which is why a model closer to that of the United States would be of benefit to control some behaviors of these undertakings. Bearing in mind that the Valio case is examined under EU law, it will be interesting to see if its judgment will be remembered as the 'milk fallacy' or if it will change the face of the case law as it currently stands.

1. Introduction

The introduction should be clear and provide the issue to be discussed in the manuscript. Before the objective, authors should provide an adequate background, and a very short literature survey in order to record the existing solutions, to show which is the best of previous research, to show the main limitation of the previous research, to show what you hope to achieve (to solve the limitation) and to show the scientific merit or novelties of the paper.¹

At the end of the paragraph, the author/s should end with a comment on the significance concerning the identification of the issue and objective of the research.² This article analyses the comparative competition law related to the abuse of a dominant market position using the strategy of predatory pricing by undertakings in the European Union (hereinafter 'EU'). For this purpose, a

¹ Our references uses the Chicago Manual of Style. The citation quick guide can be found at: http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools_citationguide/citation-guide-1.html Example for Books references: Werner Menski, *Comparative Law in a Global Context, The Legal Systems of Asia and Africa* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006), 16.

² Journal Articles: Ahsan Yunus, "Multilayered Democracy in Papua: A Comparison of "Noken" System and Electoral College System in the United States." *Hasanuddin Law Review* 6 no. 3 (2020): 323-329, <https://doi.org/10.20956/halrev.v6i3.2892>.

precise case study of the Valio case has been made.³ Antti Aine, Adjunct Professor of general competition law at the University of Turku as an expert in the field of European Competition Law, has been interviewed for this article.⁴ Also, EU law on predatory pricing has been compared to the United States predatory pricing law.

Therefore, this article explores the following research questions; whether the EU Competition law policy is already excessively restrictive, and if so, what is the effect on the business development of undertakings with only one nationally dominant market position and, whether the products, which are legally classified as belonging to different product markets, belong de facto to the same product market in case of being fully interchangeable with each other?

2. Method

The method is optional for original research articles. This method is written in descriptive and should provide a statement regarding the methodology of the research. This method as much as possible to give an idea to the reader through the methods used. This method is optional, only for original research articles.

3. Main Heading of the First Analysis or Discussion

This section is the most important section of your article. The analysis or results of the research should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than provide data in great detail. Please highlight differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

3.1. Sub-heading of the discussion

The following main headings should be provided in the manuscript while preparing. The separation between main headings, sub-headings, and sub-subheadings should be numbered in the manuscript with the following example:

3.2. Sub-heading of the discussion

3.2.1. Sub-heading of the discussion

4. Main Heading of the Second Analysis or Discussion etc.


An article may be divided into sections, subsections, and sub-subsections, using Arabic numerals. Only the initial word and proper names should be

³ Finnish Administrative Supreme Court 12/2014, "Appeal statistics", accessed January 13, 2016, <http://www.kho.fi/material/attachments/kho/aineistoa/tilastoja/d1DGRBo1H/KHO.fi.pdf>.

⁴ Vinod Dhall, ed. *Competition law today: Concepts, issues, and the law in practice*. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 83.

capitalized. Following main headings, sub-headings, and sub-sub headings should be numbered in the manuscript with the following example:

Figure/Graphs 1. Photo/ Comparison of the xxxxxx



Source: xxxxxxxx data, 20xx.

The figures should be clearly readable and at least have a resolution of 300 DPI (Dots Per Inch) for good printing quality. All graphs, and photographs you enter in the document must be adjusted to the order of 1 column or full size of a paper without dots (.), Without bold print, and must be accompanied by a sentence referring to the image, to make it easier for reviewers to look at the meaning of the image. The Graphs and The images are presented in the format shown in Figure 1. Table made with the open model (without the vertical lines). The tables are presented in the format shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Comparison of data xxx

0	xxxx	xxxx	xxxx
1	xxxx	xxxx	xxxx
2	xxxx	xxxx	xxxx
3	xxxx	xxxx	xxxx

Source: xxxxxxxx data, 20xx.

5. Conclusion

The conclusion contains a description that should answer the objectives of the research. Provide a clear and concise conclusion. Do not repeat the Abstract or simply describe the results of the research. Give a clear explanation regarding the possible application and/or suggestions related to the research findings.

Acknowledgments (Optional)

Recognize those who helped in the research, especially funding supporters of your research. Include individuals who have assisted you in your study: Advisors, Financial supporters, or many other supporters i.e. proofreaders, Typists, and Suppliers who may have given materials.

References

For reference, our reference uses the **Chicago Manual of Style**. It is strongly suggested that 80% of the references must be taken from a scientific journal that has been published in the last 10 years. Use references from a research

institution or university and a credible web page (e.g. IUCN, FAO, etc). Use literature that has been indexed in ISSN online/ ISSN print/ DOI/Scopus. Cite only items that you have read and written in footnotes. Please use Reference Manager Applications like EndNote, Mendeley, Zotero, etc. Use other published articles in the same journal as models. All publications cited in the text should be included in the References section and arranged alphabetically. The citation quick guide can be found at: <http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org>.
For example:

Book:

Footnotes:

¹ Werner Menski, *Comparative Law in a Global Context, The Legal Systems of Asia and Africa* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006), 16.

² Irwansyah, *Penelitian Hukum; Pilihan Metode dan Praktik Penulisan Artikel*. (Yogyakarta: Mirra Buana Media, 2020), 164-65.

References (in alphabetical order):

Irwansyah, *Penelitian Hukum: Pilihan Metode dan Praktik Penulisan Artikel*. Yogyakarta: Mirra Buana Media, 2020.

Menski, Werner, *Comparative Law in a Global Context, The Legal Systems of Asia and Africa*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.

Journal article:

Footnotes:

¹ Ahsan Yunus, "Multilayered Democracy in Papua: A Comparison of "Noken" System and Electoral College System in the United States." *Hasanuddin Law Review* 6 no. 3 (2020): 323-329, <https://doi.org/10.20956/halrev.v6i3.2892>.

² Yetty Komalasari Dewi, Arie Afriansyah, and Aristyo Rizka Darmawan. "Comparative Law Enforcement Model at Sea: Lesson Learned for Indonesia." *Indonesian Journal of International Law* 18 no. 1 (2020): 83-104, <https://doi.org/10.17304/ijil.vol18.1.802>.

References (in alphabetical order):

Komalasari Dewi, Yetty, Arie Afriansyah, and Aristyo Rizka Darmawan. "Comparative Law Enforcement Model at Sea: Lesson Learned for Indonesia." *Indonesian Journal of International Law* 18 no. 1 (2020): 83-104, <https://doi.org/10.17304/ijil.vol18.1.802>.

Yunus, A. "Multilayered Democracy in Papua: A Comparison of "Noken" System and Electoral College System in the United States." *Hasanuddin Law Review* 6 no. 3 (2020): 323-329, <https://doi.org/10.20956/halrev.v6i3.2892>.