The On-Chain Colosseum: A Comprehensive Analysis of Perpetual Futures DEXs on EVM-Compatible Chains

I. Introduction: The New Financial Primitive

Executive Summary: The State of Decentralized Derivatives (2024-2025)

The decentralized finance (DeFi) derivatives sector, particularly perpetual futures, has undergone a period of explosive and structural transformation throughout 2024 and 2025. Once a niche segment, decentralized perpetual exchanges (perps DEXs) have aggressively captured market share from their centralized exchange (CEX) counterparts. This "structural migration" [1] is rooted in a post-FTX demand for self-custody and transparency [1], enabled by the maturation of Layer 2 (L2) scaling solutions on Ethereum and the rise of high-performance application-specific blockchains (app-chains).

Quantitatively, this shift is stark. At the end of 2023, the perps DEX share of the global perpetual futures market was a mere 2.7%. By mid-2025, that figure had surged to as high as 26%.[4] This growth has been catalyzed by a fundamental divergence in protocol architecture: one path, epitomized by protocols like dYdX and Hyperliquid, pursues a high-performance, orderbook-based model to rival the CEX experience.[5] The other, pioneered by GMX, utilizes an oracle-driven, peer-to-pool system that offers simplicity for retail traders and a "real yield" value proposition for liquidity providers.[6] This architectural schism defines the market's competitive landscape, risk profiles, and target user base.

From TradFi to DeFi: The Inception of the Perpetual Future

To understand the decentralized derivatives market, one must first understand its core product: the perpetual future, or "perp." Unlike traditional futures contracts, which are legal agreements to buy or sell an asset at a predetermined price on a *specific expiration date* [7], perpetual contracts never expire.[9] This design, first proposed by economist Robert Shiller in 1993 [8], eliminates the need for traders to constantly "roll" their positions, making it ideal for 24/7 leveraged speculation.[11]

In place of a fixed settlement date, the perpetual contract employs a *funding rate mechanism* to anchor its price to the underlying spot price of the asset.[9] This mechanism is not a fee paid to the exchange but a periodic payment exchanged directly between long and short position holders.[14] If the perpetual contract's price (the "perp price") trades above the underlying asset's price (the "spot price"), the funding rate becomes positive, and long holders pay short holders. This incentivizes new short positions, applying downward pressure to the perp price until it realigns with the spot price. Conversely, if the perp price is below spot, the funding rate is negative, and shorts pay longs, incentivizing long positions.[12] This simple, elegant mechanism has made perpetuals the dominant product in cryptocurrency, accounting for 93% of all crypto derivatives trading volume.[11]

II. Core Architecture and Protocol Mechanics

The Engine Room: A Comparative Analysis of Core Components

All perps DEXs, regardless of their specific model, are built upon a shared set of core technical and economic components.

Funding Rate Mechanisms

As introduced, the funding rate is the primary mechanism for price anchoring. It is a peer-to-peer payment [14] calculated based on the *premium*—the delta between the perpetual contract price and the spot index price. Many protocols also include a fixed *interest rate component* [14], representing the difference in borrowing costs between the base asset (e.g., crypto) and the quote asset (e.g., USD). The funding payment is then calculated based on the trader's position size and the prevailing funding rate.[12]

Mark Price vs. Index Price

A protocol's defense against manipulation rests on the distinction between two prices:

1. **Index Price:** This is the "true" price of the underlying asset. It is not determined by trading on the DEX itself but is supplied by an oracle (like Chainlink or Pyth), which aggregates price data from multiple high-volume centralized exchanges.[13]
2. **Mark Price:** This is the price used for calculating a trader's margin, unrealized profits and losses (PnL), and, most critically, liquidation triggers.[12] The mark price is derived from the Index Price but is often smoothed to prevent cascading liquidations from sudden, anomalous price wicks on a single CEX.[13] A common formula is to use a median of several data points, or the Index Price plus a decaying funding basis rate.[16]

Decentralized Liquidation Engines

Liquidation is the automated process of closing a trader's position to prevent it from falling into negative equity (a "bad debt"). This process is managed by "keeper" bots [12], which constantly monitor on-chain positions.

When a trader's collateral (minus unrealized losses) drops below a protocol-defined *Maintenance Margin* (typically 0.5–1% of position size) [12], a keeper bot is incentivized to trigger the liquidate function. This function automatically closes the position, seizes the remaining collateral (paying a reward to the keeper), and uses the collateral to cover the position's debt. This process is a major source of Maximal Extractable Value (MEV), as discussed in Section IV.

The Oracle Dependency

Oracles are the central nervous system of any perps DEX. They are the trusted third-party services (e.g., Chainlink, Pyth) that feed external, real-world data (the Index Price) to the on-chain smart contracts.[12] The entire system—funding rates, mark price calculations, and liquidations—is fundamentally dependent on the accuracy, timeliness, and manipulation-resistance of these oracle feeds.[17]

The Three Paradigms: A Comparative Architectural Deep Dive

The core trade-off in perps DEX design is between on-chain price discovery, capital efficiency, and execution quality. This has led to three distinct architectural models.

Model 1: The Synthetic & Oracle-Driven Model (Peer-to-Pool)

This model, pioneered by GMX and also used by Gains Network and Synthetix, abandons on-chain price discovery entirely.

- **Description:** Traders do not trade against other traders. Instead, they trade directly against a shared liquidity pool (e.g., GMX's GLP pool or Synthetix's sUSD debt pool).[18] The price of the trade is not determined by an orderbook but is fed directly to the contract by an oracle.[1]
- **Price Discovery & Slippage:** This model offers **zero slippage** and **zero price impact** for the trader, as the price is fixed by the oracle feed.[6] However, the protocol itself has **zero price discovery**; it is a pure "price taker".[1] This simplicity is attractive to retail users but creates a significant risk for liquidity providers, who are exposed to arbitrage losses if the oracle price feed is latent.

Model 2: The Orderbook Model (Peer-to-Peer)

This model replicates the traditional CEX experience and is favored by platforms like dYdX, Vertex, and Hyperliquid.

- **Description:** The protocol operates a Central Limit Order Book (CLOB), which matches

buy (bid) and sell (ask) orders from individual "peer-to-peer" participants.[22]

- **Price Discovery & Slippage:** This model offers the most robust **price discovery**, as the price is determined by the collective supply and demand of active market makers and takers.[5] Slippage is typically very low, as professional market-making firms are incentivized to provide deep liquidity.[23] The technical challenge of running a high-throughput CLOB on-chain has led to hybrid solutions (like dYdX v3, which used an off-chain orderbook with on-chain settlement) [1] or fully sovereign app-chains (like dYdX v4 and Hyperliquid).[25]

Model 3: The Virtual AMM (vAMM) Model

This model, popularized by Perpetual Protocol v1, was an early attempt to use DeFi-native (Uniswap-style) mechanics for derivatives.

- **Description:** A vAMM uses the same $x \cdot y = k$ constant product formula as an AMM, but the "pool" contains no real assets.[20] It is a "virtual" pool used purely as a price discovery mechanism. Traders deposit collateral into a separate smart contract vault, which then mints virtual tokens to trade against the vAMM.[26]
- **Price Discovery & Slippage:** While vAMMs *do* facilitate on-chain price discovery [1], they suffer from the same drawbacks as standard AMMs, namely **high slippage** for large trades.[1] This model has largely fallen out of favor as it is less capital-efficient and provides a worse execution experience than modern orderbooks.[5]

The architectural choice is not merely technical; it is a business model decision that defines the protocol's user base. Orderbook models (dYdX, Vertex) offer CEX-level execution, tight spreads, and complex order types, which are essential for professional market makers and high-frequency traders.[5] In contrast, oracle-pool models (GMX) offer zero slippage and a simple LP experience, which is unattractive to HFTs but highly appealing to retail traders who value execution simplicity and passive LPs seeking "real yield".[6]

Margin and Collateral: Cross-Margin vs. Isolated Margin

Perps DEXs offer two primary margining systems for traders to manage risk.

- **Isolated Margin:** Margin is allocated to a single, specific position. If that position's margin falls below the maintenance level, only that position is liquidated, and the loss is limited to the margin assigned to it.[27] This allows for granular risk management and is

often preferred for high-risk or speculative trades, as a liquidation will not affect the trader's other positions.[29]

- **Cross-Margin:** The trader's entire account balance is treated as a single margin pool for *all* open positions.[27] Unrealized profits from a winning position can be used to cover unrealized losses from another position, preventing premature liquidations during market volatility.[27] The significant drawback is that a single, large losing position can drain the entire account balance, leading to the liquidation of *all* open positions.[28]

Modern protocols like dYdX and Vertex typically offer both modes, allowing traders to select their preferred risk model per trade.[31]

III. Ecosystem Mapping and Protocol Analysis

Arbitrum: The Epicenter of Innovation

Arbitrum has established itself as the dominant L2 for perps DEXs, hosting a diverse ecosystem of competing protocols.

- **GMX (V1 & V2):** The "real yield" pioneer, launched in September 2021.[6] Its V1 GLP model became the industry standard for peer-to-pool systems. GMX V2, launched in 2023, introduced isolated liquidity pools, lower fees, and a critical upgrade to Chainlink Data Streams to reduce oracle latency.[17] As of Q4 2023, GMX on Arbitrum had processed over $128 billion in total volume, generated $206 million in fees, and served over 310,000 users.[34] Its TVL on Arbitrum has consistently remained a leader, holding over $600 million in early 2024.[33]
- **Vertex Protocol:** A high-performance hybrid DEX featuring an off-chain orderbook and on-chain settlement and risk engine.[35] Vertex experienced explosive growth following the 2023-2024 Arbitrum STIP (Short-Term Incentive Program).[36] During the STIP, its Monthly Active Users (MAUs) grew approximately 4x (from 3,300 to 12,400) and its TVL grew 8x (from $16 million to a peak of $90 million).[37] As of 2024, its cumulative perpetuals volume exceeds $221 billion.[38]
- **Gains Network (gTrade):** A synthetic, oracle-based protocol offering exceptionally high leverage—up to 150x on crypto and 1000x on forex pairs.[39] Deployed on both Arbitrum and Polygon [41], its Arbitrum deployment represented 70.5% of its $56.9 million TVL in April 2023.[42] More recent 24-hour data shows a TVL of $28.8 million, 705 daily active

users, and $92.6 million in volume.[39]

- **MUX:** A decentralized perpetual aggregator native to Arbitrum.[44] MUX enhances capital efficiency by routing trader orders to the protocol with the best execution, including GMX V1/V2, Gains, and its own native MUX liquidity pool.[44] As of September 2023, MUX had processed over $14.2 billion in cumulative volume and held $41.1 million in TVL on Arbitrum.[45]
- **Rage Trade:** A multi-chain aggregator and liquidity protocol known for its innovative "80-20 Vaults".[26] This model "recycles liquidity" by taking 80% of LP deposits and deploying them in external, safe yield-bearing strategies (like on Curve), while using only 20% to provide concentrated liquidity for its own perps market.[26] Since its launch in November 2023, it has processed over $240 million in volume.[46]

Optimism: The Synthetix Ecosystem

Optimism's derivatives landscape is largely defined by Synthetix and the "Synthetix stack."

- **Synthetix Perps & Kwenta:** Synthetix is the base-layer protocol. It does not have a direct trading frontend but provides the core liquidity mechanism: SNX stakers mint sUSD (a stablecoin), creating a collective "debt pool" that serves as the universal counterparty for all trades on the network.[18] Kwenta is one of the primary, high-performance frontends built on top of Synthetix to facilitate perps trading.[47] This ecosystem reached a monumental milestone in Q1 2024, surpassing **$50 billion** in cumulative perpetuals volume on Optimism, with over 58,000 unique traders.[48]
- **Polynomial Protocol:** Polynomial began as a DeFi Options Vault (DOV) protocol [49] and has since evolved into a full-featured perpetuals DEX. It is built *on top of* the Synthetix protocol, leveraging Synthetix's deep sUSD liquidity pool as its counterparty.[50]
- **Pika Protocol:** A non-custodial perps DEX on Optimism offering up to 100x leverage.[53] The protocol gained notoriety in August 2022 after receiving a 900,000 $OP grant from the OptimismDAO, which sparked a controversy regarding the protocol's transparency and governance practices.[55]

Ethereum Mainnet & Other Chains

- **dYdX v3 (Ethereum L2):** While dYdX v4 has migrated to its own Cosmos-based app-chain, its v3 product was the undisputed king of orderbook DEXs in the EVM ecosystem. It operated on StarkEx, an L2 rollup, with settlement on Ethereum L1.[24] In

2024, dYdX v3 processed **$270 billion** in trading volume, generated **$45 million** in protocol fees, and saw Open Interest grow 132% to $325 million.[57]

- **BNB Chain (Apollox & Deri):** Apollox (APX) merged with Astherus to create **Aster**, a dominant perps DEX on BNB Chain backed by CZ's YZi Labs (formerly Binance Labs).[58] Post-merger, Aster claimed staggering metrics, including $1.5 billion in daily volume and 1001x leverage [58], though these figures are highly suspect due to evidence of wash trading (see Section VIII). **Deri Protocol** is another multi-chain derivatives platform operating on BNB Chain and Arbitrum, offering both perpetual futures and everlasting options.[60]
- **Avalanche (GMX & HMX):** GMX also has a popular deployment on Avalanche [6], which accounts for approximately 15% of its total TVL.[63] **HMX** is an innovative protocol built *on top of* GMX, using GMX's GLP token itself as collateral to market-make for HMX's own traders, a strategy it terms "leveraged market making".[64]

Table 3.1: Comparative Metrics of Leading Perps DEXs (Data Q4 2023 - Q3 2024)

Protocol	Primary Chain(s)	Architecture	TVL (USD)	30-Day Volume (USD)	Open Interest (USD)	30-Day Revenue (USD)	MAU
dYdX v3	Ethereum (StarkEx)	Orderbook (Hybrid)	$41.4M (v3) [65]	$40.5B (Jan '24) [66]	$325M (Q4 '24) [57]	$13.34M (Q1 '24) [66]	10.7k (Q4 '24) [57]
GMX	Arbitrum, Avalanche	Oracle-Pool (GLP)	$112.3M [67]	$15B - $30B (Q4 '23) [34]	$39.9M (Arb, Q4 '23) [34]	~$4.7M (Q4 '23) [34]	~310k (Total, Q4 '23) [34]
Synthetix/Kwenta	Optimism, Ethereu	Synthetic (Debt Pool)	$103.2M (v3) [65]	$4.5B (Q2 '24) [68]	N/A	$10.08M (Q4 '23) [69]	9.45k (Q1 '24) [48]

	m						
Vertex	Arbitrum	Orderbook (Hybrid)	~$90M (Apr '24) [37]	$45B+ (Apr '24) [37]	N/A	$6.21M (Q4 '23) [70]	12.4k (May '24) [37]
Gains Network	Arbitrum, Polygon	Synthetic (Vault)	$28.8M [39]	~$2.7B (calc. 30x $92.6M)	N/A	$5.12M (Q4 '23) [71]	~705 (Daily) [39]
Hyperliquid	Hyperliquid L1	Orderbook (App-Chain)	$7.43B [67]	N/A	$7.43B [67]	N/A	N/A
Aster (Apollox)	BNB Chain	Oracle-Pool	$2.6B [67]	$70B+ (Daily, Oct '25) [72]	$3.0B (Oct '25) [72]	$118M (Annualized '25) [58]	N/A

Note: Data is sourced from a variety of 2023-2025 reports [34] and may represent different time periods. Hyperliquid and Aster data show significant, likely inorganic, discrepancies. TVL and Open Interest are sometimes conflated in data sources.

IV. Risk Management and Security Failures

The high-leverage, high-speed nature of perpetuals, combined with the complexities of on-chain operations, creates a unique and perilous risk environment.

Liquidation Mechanisms, Insurance Funds, and Auto-Deleveraging (ADL)

Protocols maintain **Insurance Funds** as a first line of defense. These funds are designed to cover "bad debt"—the losses from a liquidated position where the trader's collateral was insufficient to cover the loss, often due to a price flash crash or slippage.[73]

If the insurance fund is depleted, most protocols resort to **Auto-Deleveraging (ADL)**. This is a mechanism of last resort where the protocol automatically closes out the positions of *profitable* traders on the opposite side of the market to cover the bankrupt position's losses.[13] ADL is highly undesirable as it punishes successful traders for risks they did not take.

This exact scenario unfolded in a high-profile economic exploit against dYdX.

Case Study: The $9M dYdX Insurance Fund Loss (Late 2023)

In late 2023, the dYdX insurance fund took a **$9 million** hit from what the team described as a "targeted attack".[74] This incident was not a smart contract hack but a sophisticated *economic exploit*.

- **Mechanism:** The attacker targeted the low-liquidity **Yearn Finance (YFI)** token.[74] They aggressively manipulated the YFI *spot market* on CEXs, causing its price to crash by 43% in a short period.[74]
- **The Attack:** dYdX's oracle, which functions correctly by reading CEX prices, fed this "accurate" but "manipulated" price to the protocol. This triggered a cascade of approximately **$38 million** in YFI long liquidations.[74]
- **The Loss:** Because the liquidations occurred at the manipulated, crashed price, the YFI collateral seized from the long positions was insufficient to pay the profits owed to the short positions. The protocol's insurance fund was forced to step in to make the shorts whole, draining $9 million from the fund.[74]

This event demonstrates that a perps DEX's security is no longer just about its own code, but is inextricably linked to the *market integrity and liquidity of every asset it lists*. By listing a low-liquidity token, dYdX imported that asset's manipulation risk into its own system.

Oracle Risk: Latency, Manipulation, and Flash Loan Attacks

Oracles are the single most critical point of failure.[75] Attackers can feed false price data (or exploit latency, as discussed in Section IX) to trigger improper liquidations or drain liquidity pools by creating artificial arbitrage opportunities.[76] The primary defense is the use of

decentralized oracle networks (DONs) like Chainlink, which aggregate data from numerous independent, tamper-proof nodes, making manipulation prohibitively expensive.[17]

Smart Contract Risk: Audits and Historical Exploits

Despite rigorous audits, the sheer complexity of perps logic can lead to bugs.[12] The 2023-2024 period saw a 10% *increase* in the number of attacks on crypto platforms, highlighting a persistent threat landscape.[77]

- **Case Study: GMX Reentrancy Exploit (July 2025):** An attacker reportedly exploited a reentrancy vulnerability to steal over $40 million.[12] The attacker (later revealed to be a whitehat) [81] was able to mint and stake GLP, then re-enter the contract to open a short position *before* the protocol's ShortsTracker state was updated. This manipulated the AUM calculation, allowing the attacker to drain funds.[81] The funds were later returned, minus a $5 million bounty.[82]
- **Case Study: SparkDEX Reentrancy Hack (Aug 2025):** A similar attack where an exploit in the debitTraderProfit function allowed an attacker's fallback contract to be called. This enabled a reentrant call to removeMargin, letting the attacker withdraw their margin twice before the position state was updated.[83]

Counterparty Risk: Peer-to-Pool vs. Peer-to-Peer

The architectural model of a DEX fundamentally defines its counterparty risk profile.

- **Peer-to-Peer (Orderbook):** In a model like dYdX, the counterparty risk is *diffused*. A trader's counterparty is another trader or market maker.[22] The protocol acts as a neutral clearinghouse. The primary systemic risk is not counterparty failure but ADL, which occurs if the insurance fund fails.[13]
- **Peer-to-Pool (GMX, Synthetix):** In this model, the counterparty risk is *concentrated*. The trader's sole counterparty is the liquidity pool.[19]
    - **GMX:** If traders win, the GLP pool loses. LPs earn fees in exchange for explicitly betting *against* the aggregate PnL of traders.[19]
    - **Synthetix:** SNX stakers are the collective counterparty. If traders are net-profitable, the entire sUSD debt pool grows, increasing the debt burden of *all* stakers. This shared risk model is distinct from GMX's isolated GLP pool.[18]

MEV in Perpetuals: Front-running Liquidations and Orderflow

Maximal Extractable Value (MEV) refers to the profit that blockchain validators, builders, or "searchers" can extract by reordering, inserting, or censoring transactions.[87] In perps, this manifests in two key ways:

1. **Liquidation Front-running:** MEV searchers constantly monitor the mempool and on-chain state for positions that are close to liquidation.[88] When a price update makes a position liquidatable, they copy the transaction, pay a higher gas fee to execute it first, and claim the lucrative liquidation reward for themselves.[87]
2. **Sandwich Attacks:** On DEXs with on-chain price discovery (like vAMMs), a searcher can spot a large market order in the mempool. They "sandwich" the trade by inserting a buy order *before* it (front-running) and a sell order *after* it (back-running), capturing the price slippage created by the victim's trade.[87]

V. Liquidity Provision and Protocol Tokenomics

Liquidity Provider Models: Risk-Reward Profiles

The method a DEX uses to source liquidity is central to its tokenomics and the risk-reward profile it offers to LPs.

- **The GLP Model (GMX):**
  - **Mechanism:** LPs mint GLP, a multi-asset index token composed of roughly 50% stablecoins and 50% blue-chip cryptos like BTC and ETH.[6]
  - **Risk-Reward:** This is a high-risk, high-reward proposition. LPs are exposed to both the price volatility (delta) of the assets in the GLP basket *and* the PnL of traders.[19] If traders are net-profitable (e.g., in a strong bull market where most are long and winning), they can drain the pool of its crypto assets.[21] The reward for taking on this dual risk is a 70% share of all protocol fees, paid in "real yield" (ETH or AVAX).[90]
- **The Vault Model (Gains Network & Rage Trade):**
  - **Gains (gDAI):** LPs deposit a single asset (DAI) into the gDAI vault, which acts as the

counterparty.[19] The risk profile is *lower* than GLP because the GNS token, not the LP's principal, serves as the ultimate backstop. If the vault becomes undercollateralized from trader wins, new GNS is minted and sold to replenish it.[19] This socializes the loss onto GNS token holders via inflation, protecting the gDAI depositors.

- **Rage Trade (80-20 Vaults):** This is a "recycled liquidity" model.[26] LPs deposit existing yield-bearing tokens (e.g., Curve LP tokens). The protocol then allocates 80% of these assets to the original, external protocol to earn a base, low-risk yield. Only the remaining 20% is used to provide concentrated liquidity on Rage Trade's perps market.[26] This is a capital-efficient innovation that allows LPs to "double-dip" on yield while using the "safe" 80% allocation to hedge the risk from the "risk-on" 20% allocation.

Protocol Revenue: Fee Distribution and the "Real Yield" Narrative

The "real yield" narrative was arguably the most significant DeFi trend of 2023, popularized by GMX.[90] It is defined by protocols distributing revenue to their token holders in stablecoins or blue-chip assets (like ETH) instead of their own inflationary governance tokens.[90] This model creates a direct, tangible link between protocol success (trading fees) and token value, aligning incentives for long-term holders.[93] This model has been widely adopted, with protocols like Vertex (50% fee share) and IntentX (100% fee share) implementing their own versions.[94]

Tokenomic Value Accrual: A Comparative Analysis

A protocol's token model dictates how value flows to stakeholders and who bears the ultimate risk.

Table 5.1: Value Accrual Models (GMX vs. GNS vs. SNX vs. dYdX)

Protocol	Token	Primary Accrual	Payout Asset	Counterparty Risk Borne by

		Mechanism		Staker?
GMX	GMX	Stakers receive 30% of all protocol revenue.[90]	ETH / AVAX [90]	**No.** Risk is borne entirely by GLP (liquidity pool) holders.[19]
Gains Network	GNS	Stakers receive a share of fees (~32.5-61%).[91] Deflationary burn when vault is over-collateralized.[92]	DAI [92]	**Yes (Indirectly).** GNS is the protocol's backstop. Stakers are diluted by inflation if the LP vault is bankrupted by traders.[19]
Synthetix	SNX	Stakers mint sUSD (debt) and receive fees from traders.[18]	sUSD [52]	**Yes (Direct, Collective).** Stakers are the collective counterparty to all trades. If traders win, the global debt pool (and each staker's personal debt) increases.[18]
dYdX	DYDX	**(v3):** Governance and trading fee discounts.[96] Value accrual was weak and indirect.[93]	USDC [97]	**No.** It is an orderbook model. Counterparty risk is borne by other traders and market makers.

		(v4): Staking with validators to secure the app-chain. Stakers receive 100% of net trading fees (or benefit from buybacks).[97]		

VI. Advanced Features and Financial Innovations

Improving the Trader's Journey: Account Abstraction (ERC-4337)

A major hurdle for DEX adoption is user experience (UX), particularly the need to manage wallets and sign multiple transactions. **ERC-4337 (Account Abstraction)** aims to solve this by separating the "signer" (the user's private key, or EOA) from the "account" (a flexible smart contract wallet).[99] This enables CEX-like features:

- **"One-Click Trading":** ERC-4337 allows for *bundling* multiple operations (e.g., 1. Approve USDC, 2. Open Position, 3. Set Stop-Loss) into a single "UserOperation" that the user signs only once.[100]
- **Social Recovery:** Users can designate "guardians" (other wallets or trusted parties) who can help recover account access if a primary key is lost.[100]
- **Gasless Transactions:** The protocol can sponsor gas fees on behalf of the user, creating a seamless trading experience.[102]

Social and Copy Trading Implementations

CEXs like Bybit and Binance have proven the popularity of social trading.[103] DEXs are now

integrating this feature to lower the barrier to entry for novice traders.

- **ApeX Pro:** This non-custodial DEX is built around a "social trading framework".[40]
- **Hyperliquid:** The platform features "User Vaults" (or Treasuries), which function as decentralized hedge funds. A "Vault Leader" trades with pooled depositor funds, and all participants share in the PnL, effectively allowing users to "copy" the manager's strategy.[105]
- **Dune Analytics:** In the absence of native features, the DeFi community has used public data as a *de facto* social trading tool. Dashboards on Dune are used to find and track the wallets of the most profitable traders on GMX.[107]

Advanced Order Types: Stop-Loss, Take-Profit, and Trailing Stops

These risk management tools are essential for serious trading. While absent from early AMMs, modern DEXs now support them. GMX V2's contracts, for example, natively support market, limit, stop-loss, and take-profit orders.[109] These are executed by off-chain "Keepers" that monitor for the price trigger.[109] Gate Perp DEX also supports a full suite, including conditional and iceberg orders.[111]

Capital Efficiency: Cross-Chain and Multi-Collateral Capabilities

- **Multi-Collateral:** This feature increases capital efficiency by allowing traders to use non-stablecoin assets (like ETH or BTC) as margin for their positions.[112] This means a user does not have to sell their long-term holdings to speculate. This is implemented by Perpetual Protocol v2 [112], Vertex [113], and is on the 2025 roadmap for GMX.[32]
- **Cross-Chain:** As liquidity fragments across different L2s and L1s, aggregators and cross-chain protocols are emerging. Platforms like Aster [114], VOOI [115], and GMX Multichain (using LayerZero) [116] aim to unify this fragmented liquidity, allowing a user on one chain to access liquidity on another without manual bridging.

The Intent-Based Future: Solvers, Gasless Trading, and MEV Protection

The next evolution in DEX architecture is "intent-based" trading.

- **Concept:** This shifts the user's action from "imperative" (e.g., "Swap 10 ETH for USDC on Uniswap on Arbitrum") to "declarative" (e.g., "I want the maximum amount of USDC for my 10 ETH, executed within 1 minute").[102]
- **"Solvers":** The user's "intent" is sent to a private network of off-chain professional market makers, or "solvers." These solvers compete in an auction to find the most efficient path to fill the user's intent—which could involve routing across multiple DEXs, chains, or even private inventory.[118]
- **MEV Protection:** This is the primary benefit. Because the user's transaction is never broadcast to the public mempool, it is shielded from MEV attacks like front-running and sandwiching.[118] The solver that wins the auction takes on the execution and MEV risk, guaranteeing the user a specific outcome.[102] Perp aggregators like VOOI are adopting this model to provide the best execution across multiple perps DEXs.[115]

VII. Performance Metrics and Comparative Benchmarking

Capital Efficiency and Liquidity Depth Across Models

Capital efficiency, or the amount of volume supported per dollar of TVL, varies by architecture.

- **Orderbooks (Hyperliquid):** This model is highly efficient for market makers, who can deploy capital precisely on the orderbook.[72] Hyperliquid's custom L1 app-chain, claiming 200,000 orders/sec, is designed for CEX-level performance.[25]
- **Pools (GMX):** This model is efficient for *traders*, offering zero slippage regardless of trade size.[21] However, it can be *inefficient* for LPs, as 100% of their capital is at risk as a counterparty, even if only a small fraction is backing active open interest.

Trader Profitability: PnL Distributions and Success Rate Analysis

A critical, often-overlooked, aspect of perps DEXs is trader success. The data overwhelmingly

indicates that, as on CEXs, the vast majority of retail traders are unprofitable.

- The "real yield" model of GMX (GLP) is built on the premise that the "house always wins".[19] The consistent, high APY paid to GLP holders is direct, market-driven proof that the pool (LPs) is, in aggregate, profiting from trader losses.
- A 2021 GitHub analysis of GMX order flow found that a majority of the protocol's volume was not from directional retail traders, but from statistical arbitrage bots trading the small, transient discrepancies between GMX's oracle price and the CEX price.[121]
- The popularity of Dune Analytics dashboards like "Top Traders on GMX Ranked By PnL" [107] underscores the scarcity of consistently profitable traders. These tools are used to find the outliers, such as one tracked wallet that turned an initial $250,000 into $162,930 in profit over 12 months.[107]
- Academic analysis of trader behavior confirms that "less informed traders" exhibit a significant propensity to "overreact to positive news" [122], a behavior typically associated with unprofitable, non-systematic trading.

Execution Quality: DEX vs. CEX

While DEXs offer self-custody, they are not always superior on execution.

- **Fees:** Perps DEXs are not necessarily cheaper than their CEX counterparts. A Q2 2025 Grayscale Research report found the volume-weighted average fee for **perps on DEXs was 6 basis points (bps)**, which was *more expensive* than the **4 bps average on CEXs**.[124] This fee discrepancy is a major friction point and suggests that the on-chain costs of risk management (oracle fees, LP incentives, insurance funds) are currently higher than the operational costs of CEXs.
- **Slippage:** Execution quality varies by model. Oracle-pools like GMX offer zero slippage [20], while orderbooks like dYdX offer low slippage.[23] Early vAMM models, in contrast, were known for high slippage.[1]

VIII. Market Dynamics and User Behavior

The Illusion of Volume: Analyzing Wash Trading

The 2024-2025 "perp wars" have been fueled by aggressive incentive programs, rendering "trading volume" a deeply flawed metric for protocol comparison. *Open Interest (OI)*—the total value of all open positions—has emerged as a much more reliable indicator of "real" or "sticky" user activity.

A clear case study is the competition between Aster and Hyperliquid in late 2025.

- In September/October 2025, Aster's *trading volume* surged, capturing nearly 70% of the market share, while Hyperliquid's fell to ~10%.[72]
- However, a look at *Open Interest* revealed the opposite story. Hyperliquid's OI stood at **$13.5 billion**, while Aster's was only **$3 billion**—over 4x smaller.[72]
- This discrepancy is explained by Aster's points-based rewards system, which aggressively incentivizes "repetitive self-trading" [72], also known as wash trading.[126] This is further corroborated by Aster's unsustainable *Volume-to-TVL ratio* of over 70:1, far exceeding the healthy 3:1 to 7:1 range seen on other platforms.[72]

This data demonstrates that "volume" often measures "churn" (which can be faked for incentives), while "open interest" measures "conviction" (real capital put at risk).

Table 8.1: Wash Trading Indicators (Volume vs. Open Interest, Oct 2025)

Protocol	30-Day Volume (Est.)	Open Interest	Ratio (30D Vol / OI)	Indication
Aster	$2,100B (Est. 30x $70B/day)	$3.0B [72]	**~700:1**	Likely high wash trading
Hyperliquid	$375B (Est. 30x $12.5B/day)	$13.5B [72]	**~28:1**	Higher organic activity

The Impact of Airdrops and Incentive Programs

While some incentive programs drive mercenary volume, others can successfully bootstrap an ecosystem. The Arbitrum STIP for **Vertex** is a prime example. Data shows that while trading volume was highly responsive to the incentives, the program was effective in building a *sticky* user base and durable liquidity, with MAUs and TVL remaining high *after* the incentive period ended.[36] This contrasts with Aster's purely mercenary volume.

Trader Demographics and CEX-to-DEX Migration

The CEX-to-DEX migration is quantifiable and significant. The DEX share of the global perp market's expansion from 2.7% to 26% from late 2023 to mid-2025 [4] represents a massive inflow of users and capital, driven by the core DeFi value propositions: self-custody, permissionless access to new assets (like memecoins), and the avoidance of KYC hurdles.[2]

IX. Technical Challenges and Lingering Limitations

Scalability, Throughput, and Gas Optimization

The primary technical challenge for perps DEXs is performance. A CEX-style CLOB is unusable on Ethereum L1 due to high gas fees and low throughput.[24] This is why the first wave of successful DEXs (GMX, dYdX v3) were built on L2s.[130] The "endgame" solution for performance, however, appears to be app-chains. Protocols like **Hyperliquid** (a custom L1) and **dYdX v4** (a Cosmos app-chain) abandoned the EVM L2 model entirely to build their own chains optimized for a single purpose: high-speed trading.[25] Hyperliquid's custom L1, for example, claims performance of 200,000 orders per second with 0.2-second finality, rivaling CEXs.[72]

The Oracle Latency Problem and Modern Solutions

For oracle-based models like GMX, the most significant risk is *oracle latency*. If the CEX price moves faster than the oracle can update the on-chain price, it creates a risk-free arbitrage opportunity for bots.[121] This arbitrage is a direct loss for the LPs who serve as the counterparty.

GMX V2's integration of **Chainlink Data Streams** is a fundamental solution to this problem.[132]

- **Old Model ("Push"):** Oracles *push* price updates on-chain periodically (e.g., when the price changes by 1%). This is slow and creates the arbitrage window.[131]
- **New Model ("Pull"):** Data Streams makes high-frequency data available *off-chain*.[134] When a user executes a trade, the GMX V2 protocol *pulls* the most recent, low-latency price from the off-chain oracle network and includes it *in the user's transaction*.[134]

This "pull" model closes the latency arbitrage window, protecting LPs, reducing MEV [135], and providing traders with CEX-like execution at the most up-to-date price.[135]

Capital Inefficiency and Fragmentation

While CEXs benefit from massive, unified liquidity, the DeFi ecosystem's liquidity is highly fragmented across different chains (Arbitrum, Optimism, Base) and protocols (GMX, Vertex, Kwenta).[24] This results in worse capital efficiency for the ecosystem as a whole. Aggregator protocols (MUX, Rage Trade) are a direct market response to this fragmentation.[44]

UX/UI Hurdles for Retail Adoption

Despite progress, the core challenges for retail adoption remain: complex wallet management, the need to pay gas fees, and intimidating UIs.[1] These are the exact friction points that ERC-4337 (Account Abstraction) and intent-based systems are designed to abstract away from the user.[100]

X. Future Developments and The Path Forward

The L2 and App-Chain Expansion

The "perp wars" are now a multi-chain battle. Protocols are expanding to new L2s to capture user bases. GMX, for example, has launched **GMX Multichain** using LayerZero, with plans to expand from Arbitrum to Base, BNB Chain, and others.[116] Synthetix is also exploring a return to Ethereum L1 with a new perps deployment.[130]

Integration with Emerging Technologies

The "endgame" for perps DEXs appears to be a convergence of three key technologies:

1. **ERC-4337:** To provide a simple, "one-click" onboarding and trading experience.[100]
2. **Intent-Based Solvers:** To provide CEX-like execution quality, gasless transactions, and guaranteed MEV protection.[119]
3. **App-Chains:** To provide the raw, high-frequency speed required for a central limit orderbook to compete with CEXs.[25]

The Next Asset Class: The Push for Real-World Asset (RWA) Perpetuals

A dominant narrative in 2024-2025 is the tokenization of Real-World Assets (RWAs) like stocks, bonds, and commodities.[137] The logical next step is the creation of perpetual futures markets for these on-chain RWAs.

Gains Network already proves this model's viability by offering perps on forex and commodities like Gold and Silver.[39] The market is now seeing purpose-built infrastructure, such as RWA Inc.'s 2025 roadmap to build its own L2 blockchain, DEX, and marketplace specifically for RWA tokenization and derivatives trading.[138]

Cross-Protocol Composability and Money Market Integration

The future of DeFi liquidity is composable. Instead of siloing capital in a perps protocol, new models use other DeFi primitives as a liquidity source. Rage Trade's 80-20 vaults (using Curve yield) [26] and Vertex's embedded money markets (which unify spot, margin, and perps) [113] are prime examples. This "recycled liquidity" makes the entire DeFi ecosystem more capital-efficient.

XI. Regulatory and Compliance Considerations

As the perps DEX market grows, so does regulatory scrutiny. In 2025, U.S. agencies like the CFTC and SEC are coordinating to apply existing financial frameworks to DeFi.[142]

- **CFTC and Leverage:** The CFTC's jurisdiction covers derivatives and leverage. Legislative drafts in 2025 suggest that DeFi protocols offering margin or futures (especially 10x or 20x leverage) may fall under the CFTC's designated contract-market regime, *even if they are fully decentralized*.[142]
- **Permissioned DEXs and Geo-Blocking:** In response, DEXs are adopting compliance strategies. The most common is **geo-blocking**, where frontends block IP addresses from restricted jurisdictions like the U.S..[143]
- **On-Chain Compliance (KYC/AML):** A more robust, "permissioned" model is emerging. This involves integrating **Decentralized Identity (DID)** systems, which can allow a user to prove regulatory compliance (e.g., "I am not a U.S. citizen") without revealing their personal identity, striking a balance between privacy and compliance.[143] Smart contracts can then be programmed to block transactions from non-verified addresses.[144]

XII. Concluding Analysis: Actionable Insights and Strategic Outlook

The 2024-2025 evolution of perpetuals DEXs demonstrates a maturing market, moving from novel experiments to high-performance financial infrastructure. The analysis yields distinct, actionable frameworks for traders and liquidity providers.

For Traders: A Framework for Protocol Selection

The optimal protocol is dependent on the trader's profile and objective.

- **For High-Frequency Traders and Market Makers:** The **Orderbook** model (dYdX, Vertex, Hyperliquid) is the only viable choice. Profitability depends on CEX-level speed, low latency, minimal fees, and the ability to profit from price discovery and spreads.[5]
- **For Retail/Directional Traders:** The **Oracle-Pool** model (GMX, Gains) offers significant advantages. The **zero-slippage** execution provides price certainty [6], and the interfaces are often simpler. The trader is sacrificing true price discovery for simplicity and predictability.
- **For MEV-Sensitive Traders:** The future lies with **Intent-Based Aggregators** (VOOI). These systems are explicitly designed to shield users from front-running and sandwich attacks by moving execution to a private, competitive auction.[119]

For Liquidity Providers: A Comparative Risk/Reward Thesis

Providing liquidity to a perps DEX is not a passive, risk-free activity. The risk-reward profile is model-dependent.

- **GMX (GLP): High-Risk, High-Reward.** LPs are the direct counterparty to traders *and* are exposed to the market delta of the GLP assets.[19] The high "real yield" is direct compensation for bearing this dual risk.
- **Gains Network (gDAI): Lower-Risk.** The gDAI vault is the counterparty, but the GNS token acts as the ultimate backstop.[19] LPs are shielded from extreme losses, which are socialized to GNS holders via inflation. This is suitable for more conservative LPs.
- **Synthetix (SNX): Highest-Risk (Collective).** SNX stakers are the *collective counterparty* for the entire Synthetix ecosystem.[18] This is a complex, system-wide risk, as a profitable trader on *any* asset can increase the debt of *all* stakers.
- **Rage Trade (80-20 Vaults): Diversified, Risk-Adjusted.** This model is ideal for LPs seeking a balanced profile. Only 20% of capital is exposed to the high-risk perps market, while 80% earns a stable, external yield, diversifying risk.[26]

Final Outlook: Convergence and Self-Custody

The dramatic growth of the perps DEX market share from under 3% to 26% [4] proves the product-market fit for self-custodial leverage. However, CEXs retain dominance due to their deep, unified liquidity and superior, simpler user experience.[145]

The future is not a replacement but a *convergence*. DEXs are adopting CEX-like performance via app-chains [25] and CEX-like UX via Account Abstraction.[100] Simultaneously, CEXs are unbundling, with firms like Gate.io launching their own L2s and decentralized perps platforms.[111] The line between the two will continue to blur, but self-custody will remain the core, non-negotiable product of the decentralized ecosystem.

Works cited

1. In-depth Research Report on Perp DEX: Comprehensive Upgrade from Technological Breakthroughs to Ecosystem Competition | Bitget News, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.bitget.com/news/detail/12560605048994
2. Do We Really Need DEX Perps When CEXs Already Offer 100x Leverage? - CCN.com, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.ccn.com/news/crypto/need-dex-perps-cexs-already-offer-leverage/
3. Perp DEXs: 7 Powerful Reasons They're the Best Bet in Crypto Trading (2025) - Mudrex, accessed November 7, 2025, https://mudrex.com/learn/perp-dexs-best-bet-crypto/
4. How perp DEXs quietly took over 26% of the futures market - TradingView, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.tradingview.com/news/cointelegraph:b4e001f61094b:0-how-perp-dexs-quietly-took-over-26-of-the-futures-market/
5. Re-Evaluating The Decentralized Derivatives Landscape - Delphi ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://members.delphidigital.io/reports/re-evaluating-the-decentralized-derivatives-landscape
6. GMX: All About This Cryptocurrency - Coinhouse, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.coinhouse.com/gmx
7. Differences Between Perpetual Contract and Traditional Futures Contract, accessed November 7, 2025, https://support.coinex.com/hc/en-us/articles/6573556432793-Differences-Between-Perpetual-Contract-and-Traditional-Futures-Contract
8. How Perpetual Futures Differs from Traditional Futures and Why It Matters for Crypto, accessed November 7, 2025, https://digitalchamber.org/how-perpetual-futures-differs-from-traditional-futures-and-why-it-matters-for-crypto/
9. All You Need to Know About Perpetual Futures - Devexperts Blog, accessed November 7, 2025, https://devexperts.com/blog/all-you-need-to-know-about-perpetual-futures/
10. Crypto Futures vs. Traditional Futures: The Ultimate Guide to Key Differences -

Mudrex, accessed November 7, 2025, https://mudrex.com/learn/crypto-future-vs-traditional-futures/
11. Perpetual Futures Contracts and Cryptocurrency Market Quality, accessed November 7, 2025, https://business.cornell.edu/article/2025/02/perpetual-futures-contracts-and-cryptocurrency/
12. A Guide to Perpetual DEX Architecture & Security - QuillAudits, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.quillaudits.com/blog/smart-contract/perp-dex-architecture-and-security
13. IS THERE A FUTURE IN PERPETUAL FUTURES? - NYU Stern, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.stern.nyu.edu/sites/default/files/assets/documents/Duron-Carielo_Is%20There%20A%20Future%20In%20Perpetual%20Futures.pdf
14. Funding - Hyperliquid Docs - GitBook, accessed November 7, 2025, https://hyperliquid.gitbook.io/hyperliquid-docs/trading/funding
15. An Introduction to Perpetual Future Arbitrage Mechanics (Part 1) – BSIC, accessed November 7, 2025, https://bsic.it/perpetual-complexity-an-introduction-to-perpetual-future-arbitrage-mechanics-part-1/
16. Mark Price Calculation (Perpetual Contract) - Bybit, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.bybit.com/en/help-center/article/Mark-Price-Calculation-Perpetual-Contracts
17. The Role of Oracle Security in the DeFi Derivatives Market With Chainlink and GMX, accessed November 7, 2025, https://chaoslabs.xyz/posts/role-of-oracle-security-in-defi-derivatives-with-gmx-and-chainlink
18. Top 3 Crypto Derivative Projects - Mint, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.livemint.com/mint-top-newsletter/cryptonotes26082022.html
19. Diving Deep into Decentralised Perpetual Exchanges: Tokenomics Unveiled | by DWF Labs Research | Hindsight Series | Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/hindsight-series/diving-deep-into-decentralised-perpetual-exchanges-tokenomics-unveiled-66550cbf4cfc
20. Overview of the Perpetual Contract DEX Track: Model, Ecosystem ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/12764255260682
21. Innovations of On-Chain Perpetual Protocols Part 1 | by Bytetrade Lab | Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@bytetradelab/the-inner-workings-of-gmx-part-1-548ef7528592
22. Decentralized Perpetual Contract Exchanges Explained - Gate.com, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/decentralized-perpetual-contract-exchanges-explained/1926
23. Deciphering Perpetual DEX Development: Order Book vs. AMM - Antier Solutions, accessed November 7, 2025,

https://www.antiersolutions.com/blogs/deciphering-perpetual-dex-development-order-book-vs-amm/
24. Perp DEX: old tricks, new tech - ForkLog, accessed November 7, 2025, https://forklog.com/en/perp-dex-old-tricks-new-tech/
25. How Does Hyperliquid Work: A Technical Deep Dive, accessed November 7, 2025, https://rocknblock.io/blog/how-does-hyperliquid-work-a-technical-deep-dive
26. Examination of Decentralized Perpetual Designs - Nansen Research, accessed November 7, 2025, https://research.nansen.ai/articles/examination-of-decentralized-perpetual-designs
27. Cross Margin and Isolated Margin in Trading - SoFi, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.sofi.com/learn/content/cross-margin/
28. What is cross margin in crypto? Managing risk across multiple positions - CoinTracker, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.cointracker.io/learn/cross-margin
29. Cross Margin vs. Isolated Margin: Understanding the Differences in Crypto Trading - AlphaPoint, accessed November 7, 2025, https://alphapoint.com/blog/cross-margin-vs-isolated-margin/
30. Cross Margin and Isolated Margin in Crypto Trading - LCX, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.lcx.com/cross-margin-and-isolated-margin-in-crypto-trading/
31. Margin types (International Derivatives) - Coinbase Help, accessed November 7, 2025, https://help.coinbase.com/coinbase/derivatives/intx-derivatives-margin-types
32. Innovations in Perp DEXs: Mid-2025 Update | by SubstanceX - Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@SubstanceX/innovations-in-perp-dexs-mid-2025-update-43ff404fee88
33. GMX V2: Trade on Arbitrum and Win - CoinGecko, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.coingecko.com/learn/gmx-trading-competition
34. GMX Review 2025: Major DEX on Arbitrum and Avalanche, accessed November 7, 2025, https://coinbureau.com/review/gmx-review/
35. Vertex Protocol: Arbitrum's Leading Derivatives Protocol - Gate.com, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/vertex-protocol-arbitrum-s-leading-derivatives-protocol/1962
36. Arbitrum STIP Risk Analysis | Case Study #1: Vertex | Chaos Labs, accessed November 7, 2025, https://chaoslabs.xyz/posts/arbitrum-stip-analysis-vertex
37. [FINAL] Vertex STIP Addendum - STIP Bridge Addendum - Arbitrum, accessed November 7, 2025, https://forum.arbitrum.foundation/t/final-vertex-stip-addendum/23327
38. Vertex Edge - DefiLlama, accessed November 7, 2025, https://defillama.com/protocol/vertex-edge?tvl=false&events=false&mcap=true
39. Gains Network revenue, Gains Network fees, Gains Network tvl ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://app.artemisanalytics.com/asset/gains-network
40. Top 5 Perpetual Decentralized Crypto Exchanges Revolutionizing the Trading

Landscape. | by Shantanu Gupta | Coinmonks | Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/coinmonks/top-5-perpetual-decentralized-crypto-exchanges-revolutionizing-the-trading-landscape-aa2ead9325ae
41. Latest News Gains Network USD Stock (GNSUSD) - StockInvest.us, accessed November 7, 2025, https://stockinvest.us/news/GNSUSD
42. ChainAegis: On-Chain Data Analysis for Gains Network | by SharkTeam | Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@sharkteam/chainaegis-on-chain-data-analysis-for-gains-network-8b4d40df3e3b
43. Gains Network, accessed November 7, 2025, https://app.artemisanalytics.com/project/gains-network
44. MUX Protocol price today, MCB to USD live price, marketcap and chart | CoinMarketCap, accessed November 7, 2025, https://coinmarketcap.com/currencies/mcdex/
45. [MUX Protocol] [FINAL] [STIP - Round 1] - Short Term Incentives ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://forum.arbitrum.foundation/t/mux-protocol-final-stip-round-1/17540
46. [Rage Trade] LTIPP Application - FINAL - Arbitrum Governance Forum, accessed November 7, 2025, https://forum.arbitrum.foundation/t/rage-trade-ltipp-application-final/21621
47. Where Pyth is Now | Q3 2023 - Blog, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.pyth.network/blog/where-pyth-is-now-q3-2023
48. Synthetix Quarterly Report — Q1 2024 - Synthetix – Blog, accessed November 7, 2025, https://blog.synthetix.io/synthetix-quarterly-report-q1-2024/
49. Polynomial Protocol - DeFi Dapps - Alchemy, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.alchemy.com/dapps/polynomial-protocol
50. Polynomial Protocol overview - Token Terminal, accessed November 7, 2025, https://tokenterminal.com/explorer/projects/polynomial-protocol
51. Polynomial Finance: Leading the Charge in DeFi Trading - Synthetix – Blog, accessed November 7, 2025, https://blog.synthetix.io/polynomial-finance-leading-the-charge-in-defi-trading/
52. Polynomial Trade: A New Era of Crypto Trading | by MosesMee - Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@mosesmeeessien/polynomial-trade-a-new-era-of-crypto-trading-d40d2b145fdf
53. Pika Protocol about - Token Terminal, accessed November 7, 2025, https://tokenterminal.com/explorer/projects/pika-protocol/about
54. Pika Protocol price today, PIKA to USD live price, marketcap and chart | CoinMarketCap, accessed November 7, 2025, https://coinmarketcap.com/currencies/pika-protocol/
55. What is Pika Protocol (PIKA)? - Gate.com, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/what-is-pika-protocol-pika/4017
56. Diving Deep into Decentralised Perpetual Futures: An Ecosystem Overview and Strategic Analysis | by DWF Labs Research | Hindsight Series | Medium, accessed

November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/hindsight-series/diving-deep-into-decentralised-perpetual-futures-an-ecosystem-overview-and-strategic-analysis-3d8f997ee50d
57. 2024 dYdX Ecosystem Report, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.dydx.xyz/annual-report/2024
58. Latest APX News - (APX) Future Outlook, Trends & Market Insights, accessed November 7, 2025, https://coinmarketcap.com/cmc-ai/apollox/latest-updates/
59. APX - DeFi Overview, TVL Analysis - DappRadar, accessed November 7, 2025, https://dappradar.com/dapp/apx
60. Deri Protocol - Crossmint, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.crossmint.com/ecosystem/deri-protocol
61. BSC Project Spotlight: Deri Protocol - BNB Chain Blog, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.bnbchain.org/en/blog/bsc-project-spotlight-deri-protocol
62. GMX Deploys to Avalanche, Bringing Low Slippage Spot and Perpetual Trading to DeFi Users - Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/avalancheavax/gmx-deploys-to-avalanche-bringing-low-slippage-spot-and-perpetual-trading-to-defi-users-97f3c9140136
63. What is GMX? DeFi Perpetual Exchange 2024 Guide - tastycrypto, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.tastycrypto.com/defi/gmx-platform-explained/
64. Product Deep-dive #1: Leveraged Market Making — Real Yield Maximization Through Rehypothecation of Liquidity | by HMX Marketing - Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/hmx-org/product-deep-dive-1-leveraged-market-making-real-yield-maximization-through-rehypothecation-of-b76ab786c6df
65. Perpetual Protocol - DefiLlama, accessed November 7, 2025, https://defillama.com/protocol/perpetual-protocol
66. dYdX V3 - DefiLlama, accessed November 7, 2025, https://defillama.com/protocol/dydx-v3
67. Open Interest by Protocol - DefiLlama, accessed November 7, 2025, https://defillama.com/open-interest
68. Synthetix Quarterly Report — Q2 2024, accessed November 7, 2025, https://blog.synthetix.io/synthetix-quarterly-report-q2-2024/
69. Synthetix - DefiLlama, accessed November 7, 2025, https://defillama.com/protocol/synthetix
70. Vertex Perps - DefiLlama, accessed November 7, 2025, https://defillama.com/protocol/vertex-perps
71. Gains Network - DefiLlama, accessed November 7, 2025, https://defillama.com/protocol/gains-network
72. The perpetual DEX wars: Hyperliquid, Aster, and Lighter in focus, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.21shares.com/en-us/research/the-perpetual-dex-wars-hyperliquid-aster-and-lighter-in-focus
73. Liquidation related attacks to perpetual future protocols | by richmanbtc | perpdex - Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/perpdex/liquidation-related-attacks-to-perpetual-future-pr

otocols-6457bb6693de
74. The Great Whale Slap: How a Whale Offloaded $4M in Losses to ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.wisdomtreeprime.com/blog/the-great-whale-slap-how-a-whale-offloaded-4m-in-losses-to-hyperliquids-hlp-vault/
75. The Blockchain Oracle Problem | Chainlink, accessed November 7, 2025, https://chain.link/education-hub/oracle-problem
76. Price Oracle Manipulation & Protection: Safeguarding Your Blockchain Applications | by Ancilar Technologies | Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@ancilartech/price-oracle-manipulation-protection-safeguarding-your-blockchain-applications-0d5ad1a94f64
77. Current Trends and Future Predictions for Crypto Crime— 2024 Hackhub Analysis, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.merklescience.com/blog/current-trends-and-future-predictions-for-crypto-crime-2024-hackhub-analysis
78. More than $40 million stolen from GMX crypto platform - Recorded Future News, accessed November 7, 2025, https://therecord.media/gmx-exchange-cryptocurrency-stolen
79. Toll of GMX crypto heist exceeds $40M | SC Media, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.scworld.com/brief/toll-of-gmx-crypto-heist-exceeds-40m
80. Cybersecurity News July 2025 - Threats, Trends, Insights - AMATAS, accessed November 7, 2025, https://amatas.com/reports/cybersecurity-news-july-2025-threats-trends-insights/
81. GMX Incident Analysis - CertiK, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.certik.com/resources/blog/gmx-incident-analysis
82. Hacker returns cryptocurrency stolen from GMX exchange after $5 million bounty payment, accessed November 7, 2025, https://therecord.media/hacker-returns-stolen-gmx-bounty
83. Analysis of SparkDEX Perpetual Exchange Hack | by Amber Group | Sep, 2025 - Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://ambergroup.medium.com/analysis-of-sparkdex-perpetual-exchange-hack-e931f69f4078
84. What's a DEX? Decentralized Exchanges Explained - Webopedia, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.webopedia.com/crypto/learn/decentralized-exchange-dex-explained/
85. The role of liquidity pools in cryptocurrency markets - Kraken, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.kraken.com/learn/crypto-liquidity-pool
86. What is Peer-to-Pool Lending? Zharta explains the different kind of P2P - Hacken.io, accessed November 7, 2025, https://hacken.io/network/peer-to-pool-lending/
87. Front-Running & MEV Mitigation: A DEX Developer's Guide | Speed ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://speedrunethereum.com/guides/front-running-mev-mitigation

88. MEV: A 2025 guide to Maximal Extractable Value in crypto - Arkham | Research, accessed November 7, 2025, https://info.arkm.com/research/beginners-guide-to-mev
89. What is GMX LP (Avalanche)? - Exponential DeFi, accessed November 7, 2025, https://exponential.fi/assets/gmx-lp-avalanche/c7aa22ed-84cd-4137-95db-55b8908d3374
90. The State of Decentralized Perpetual Protocols (2023) | CoinGecko, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.coingecko.com/research/publications/decentralized-perpetuals-report-2023
91. More Than Just Governance: Unpacking Value Accrual Mechanisms (Executive Summary), accessed November 7, 2025, https://members.delphidigital.io/feed/more-than-just-governance-unpacking-value-accrual-mechanisms-executive-summary
92. What is Gains Network? | Exponential DeFi, accessed November 7, 2025, https://exponential.fi/assets/gains-network/70c0c3c7-2f78-4c26-a8cb-6fe0c5c057b1
93. Tokenomics of Perpetual Decentralized Exchanges - DWF Labs, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.dwf-labs.com/research/374-diving-deep-into-decentralised-perpetual-exchanges-tokenomics-unveiled
94. Ten thousand words long article: A panoramic analysis of decentralized perpetual exchanges | MarsBit News on Binance Square, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/2537569346913
95. GMX: Revolutionizing DeFi with Layer 2 Scaling, Governance, and Liquidity Innovation In 2025 - TheStandard.io, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.thestandard.io/blog/gmx-revolutionizing-defi-with-layer-2-scaling-governance-and-liquidity-innovation-in-2025-5
96. dYdX ($DYDX) | Enigma Securities, accessed November 7, 2025, https://enigma-securities.io/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/dydx-em.pdf
97. dYdX v4: Architectural and Protocol Evolution from v3 | by Jung-Hua Liu | Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@gwrx2005/dydx-v4-architectural-and-protocol-evolution-from-v3-6c312f51f7b7
98. 80% of fees to buybacks for 3 months - Proposals - dYdX ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://dydx.forum/t/80-of-fees-to-buybacks-for-3-months/4670
99. Account Abstraction and ERC-4337 - Part 1 | QuickNode Guides, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.quicknode.com/guides/ethereum-development/wallets/account-abstraction-and-erc-4337
100. ERC-4337 & Account Abstraction: A Comprehensive Overview ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://hacken.io/discover/erc-4337-account-abstraction/
101. The Final Piece of EIP-4337: Omnichain Account Abstraction - Gate.com, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/the-final-piece-of-eip-4337/1104

102. Fundamentals: Intents - 0x, accessed November 7, 2025, https://0x.org/post/intents-in-defi
103. 10 Best Crypto Copy Trading Platforms 2025 - Koinly, accessed November 7, 2025, https://koinly.io/blog/best-crypto-copy-trading-platforms/
104. 10 Best Crypto Copy Trading Platforms for 2025 - Zignaly, accessed November 7, 2025, https://zignaly.com/crypto-copy-trading/best-crypto-copy-trading-platforms
105. In-depth Analysis of Perp DEX: Hyperliquid, Aster, Lighter, edgeX (1) | Odaily星球日报 on Binance Square, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/29941044293914
106. Part One: In-Depth Analysis of Perp DEX: Hyperliquid, Aster, Lighter, edgeX, accessed November 7, 2025, https://news.futunn.com/en/post/62380416/part-one-in-depth-analysis-of-perp-dex-hyperliquid-aster
107. Unveiling the Success of a Cryptocurrency Trader on GMX: A Decentralized Perpetual Exchange on Arbitrum | by ilaNihas | Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@ilaNihas/unveiling-the-success-of-a-cryptocurrency-trader-on-gmx-a-decentralized-perpetual-exchange-on-27e33e12c0ec
108. Understand these 20 Dune analysis dashboards to quickly capture on-chain trends., accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.rootdata.com/news/208184
109. gmx-io/gmx-synthetics - GitHub, accessed November 7, 2025, https://github.com/gmx-io/gmx-synthetics
110. Create a DEX Like GMX: Perpetual Exchange Guide - Rock'n'Block, accessed November 7, 2025, https://rocknblock.io/blog/create-dex-like-gmx
111. Gate Launches Gate Perp DEX to Redefine Professional Trading with High Performance and Deep Liquidity - The Block, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.theblock.co/press-releases/372686/gate-launches-gate-perp-dex-to-redefine-professional-trading-with-high-performance-and-deep-liquidity
112. Introducing Multi-collateral on Perp v2! | Perpetual Protocol / Nekodex, accessed November 7, 2025, https://blog.perp.fi/introducing-multi-collateral-on-perpetual-protocol-fe1f4fa6fb90
113. Cross-chain Liquidity: The Next Iteration of Perp DEXs - Blockworks Research, accessed November 7, 2025, https://app.blockworksresearch.com/unlocked/cross-chain-liquidity
114. What Is Aster? Revolutionary New Perp DEX Explained - Webopedia, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.webopedia.com/crypto/learn/aster-perp-dex/
115. VOOI: Intent-Based Trading Across Perp DEXs | vooi - Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/vooi/vooi-intent-based-trading-explained-45dbe356e1c3
116. GMX Debuts Multichain To Unlock Seamless Perp Trading Across All Public Blockchains, accessed November 7, 2025, https://unchainedcrypto.com/press-release/gmx-debuts-multichain-to-unlock-seamless-perp-trading-across-all-public-blockchains/

117. The Hidden Complexity of Solver Rebalancing: Optimizing Intent-Based Systems - Web3Auth Blog, accessed November 7, 2025, https://blog.web3auth.io/why-intent-based-systems-in-web3-are-more-complex-than-they-seem/
118. How To Launch Intent-Based Swaps: Complete DEX Development Guide 2026, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.antiersolutions.com/blogs/how-to-launch-intent-based-swaps-complete-dex-development-guide-2026/
119. An In-depth Analysis of Intent-based DEXs - CryptoEQ, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.cryptoeq.io/articles/intents-dex-defi
120. accessed November 7, 2025, https://cow.fi/learn/stop-the-mev-bots-a-guide-for-crypto-trader#:~:text=Intent%2Dbased%20solutions%20like%20CoW,at%20different%20blockchain%20infrastructure%20levels.
121. RageTrade/gmx-analysis: Analysis of GMX trading activity - GitHub, accessed November 7, 2025, https://github.com/RageTrade/gmx-analysis
122. Exploring the Impact: How Decentralized Exchange Designs Shape Traders' Behavior on Perpetual Future Contracts - IDEAS/RePEc, accessed November 7, 2025, https://ideas.repec.org/p/arx/papers/2402.03953.html
123. [2402.03953] Exploring the Impact: How Decentralized Exchange Designs Shape Traders' Behavior on Perpetual Future Contracts - arXiv, accessed November 7, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.03953
124. DEX Appeal: The Rise of Decentralized Exchanges | Grayscale, accessed November 7, 2025, https://research.grayscale.com/reports/dex-appeal-the-rise-of-decentralized-exchanges
125. Compare Perp DEXs Crypto Leverage Trading September 2025, accessed November 7, 2025, https://colegiodeabogados.org.do/compare-perp-dexs-crypto-leverage-trading/
126. Does wash trading distort asset prices?, accessed November 7, 2025, http://www.efmaefm.org/0EFMAMEETINGS/EFMA%20ANNUAL%20MEETINGS/2025-Greece/papers/WashtradingDec2024-Authors.pdf
127. Detecting and Quantifying Wash Trading on Decentralized Cryptocurrency Exchanges, accessed November 7, 2025, https://berkeley-defi.github.io/assets/material/Detecting%20and%20Quantifying%20Wash%20Trading.pdf
128. (PDF) Determinants of wash trading in major cryptoexchanges - ResearchGate, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384457162_Determinants_of_wash_trading_in_major_cryptoexchanges
129. Perp DEXes: Market Leaders, Rising Players, and Key Trends Ahead | by OGBC Innovation Hub | Oct, 2025 | Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@ogbchub/perp-dexes-market-leaders-rising-players-and-key-trends-ahead-eb02a4181098
130. Ethereum Needs A Perp DEX - Synthetix – Blog, accessed November 7, 2025,

https://blog.synthetix.io/ethereum-needs-a-perp-dex/
131. Exploring the Design Space and Challenges for Oracle ..., accessed November 7, 2025, https://hailstonelabs.com/blog/exploring-the-design-space-and-challenges-for-oracle-implementations-in-defi-protocols
132. Chainlink data streams debuts on Avalanche with GMX V2 - Crypto Briefing, accessed November 7, 2025, https://cryptobriefing.com/chainlink-avalanche-integration-launch/
133. Chainlink Data Streams Launches on Mainnet As New High-Speed Oracle Solution for DeFi, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/chainlink-data-streams-launches-on-mainnet-as-new-high-speed-oracle-solution-for-defi-301944062.html
134. Chainlink Data Streams Launch on Mainnet: A Low-Latency Oracle Solution for DeFi, accessed November 7, 2025, https://blog.chain.link/data-streams-mainnet/
135. GMX Case Study Illustrates Chainlink's Essential Role In Building Future Of DeFi, accessed November 7, 2025, https://chainlinktoday.com/gmx-case-study-illustrates-chainlinks-essential-role-in-building-future-of-defi/
136. Intent-based DEX Comparison - Gate.com, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/intent-based-dex-comparison/5515
137. RWA Market Outlook: Trends and Projections for 2025, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.rwa.io/post/rwa-market-outlook-trends-and-projections-for-2025
138. The RWA Inc 2025 Roadmap: A Transformational Year for Tokenized Assets - Medium, accessed November 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@arantekarenjane/the-rwa-inc-2025-roadmap-a-transformational-year-for-tokenized-assets-74c5529ac616
139. RWA Inc Expands Its Ecosystem: Introducing the L2 Blockchain, DEX, and Marketplace, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.rwa.inc/post/rwa-inc-expands-its-ecosystem-introducing-the-l2-blockchain-dex-and-marketplace
140. RWA Inc's 2025 Roadmap: Bold Plans for an Exciting Year Ahead | Asemcrypt on Binance Square, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/19113796083610
141. RWA Inc Expands Ecosystem with L2 Blockchain and DEX, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2024/12/19/2999817/0/en/RWA-Inc-Expands-Ecosystem-with-L2-Blockchain-and-DEX-Launch.html
142. DeFi Regulatory Compliance 2025: Master SEC & CFTC Rules, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.calibraint.com/blog/defi-regulatory-compliance-sec-cftc-2025
143. Impact of Global Crypto Policies on DEX - Rango Exchange, accessed November 7, 2025, https://rango.exchange/learn/decentralized-finance/Global-Crypto-Policies-DEX
144. An approach to anti-money laundering compliance for cryptoassets - Bank for

International Settlements, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.bis.org/publ/bisbull111.pdf
145. The Rise of Perpetual DEXs: Hyperliquid & Bluefin's Growth - CoinShares, accessed November 7, 2025, https://coinshares.com/insights/research-data/the-great-leap-forward-dexs/
146. CEX vs DEX: Report from 2024 and forecasts for 2025 | OAK Research, accessed November 7, 2025, https://oakresearch.io/en/reports/sectors/cex-vs-dex-report-2024-forecasts-2025
147. Top 5 Perp DEXs in 2025: Comparing Gate Perp DEX's Offering and Trading Strategies, accessed November 7, 2025, https://www.gate.com/crypto-wiki/article/top-5-perp-dexs-in-2025-comparing-gate-com-s-offering-and-trading-strategies