

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

No 21-1161

NATASHA DELIMA
Plaintiff-Appellant

v

Google, Inc. Twitter Inc.
Defendant –Appellee

APPELLANT'S REQUEST FOR THE WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL DAVID PEREZ FOR
FAILURE TO SERVE NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

Now comes the Appellant in the above entitled matter, and does request the withdrawal as 3rd attorney in the case at bar, who failed to file a Notice of Appearance and have it served to the Appellant.

This attorney, who was also in the 2017 case against the Appellees has never sent a single pleading or email to the Appellant at the case address for this case.

He entered the case after 2 hearings, and after an unlawful case dismissal, and simply filed with the Appellate court.

Unlike now, where the court is trying to use a paper copy of service following a default on their own clients – (negligence) there is no service at all to the Appellant. He cannot therefore be included as an attorney of record in this matter.

The Appellant emailed the Appellees and sought that he withdraw himself for his lack of service, but he failed to do that withdrawal on his own. The Appellant will provide copies of her emails, and 0 responses if needed.

No one is above the law, so people have been told, however, there seems to be laws for thee, but not for me, in all attorneys that fail their own clients via negligence, and they need to be held to the simple task of sending an email to an

Appellant.

WHEREFORE, the court cannot recognize David Perez as an attorney, since he failed to serve the Appellant – and has failed to respond to emails via the address on record for this case.

Natasha DeLima

/s/

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

July 12, 2021

Ryan Mzarik, D Perez, and Jonathan Eck via THEIR EMAIL ADDRESSES per court rules.