EA Wikia Skeleton

Feel free to add anything to this page. If you change anything someone else has written, could you please leave a comment explaining what you changed and why? Thanks.

Proposal: The EA Wikia Library (http://effective-altruism.wikia.com/wiki/Library) is currently structured in a way that makes it really useful for people researching EA ideas ("here's a list of organizations that could come under the label EA") and not useful for people trying to learn more about EA (In particular, who need a comprehensive intermediate guide to EA - EA 201, if you will, after reading the 101 resources).

I want to turn this library into an EA 201 resource / something people can go to when they need resources to send to friends, when they find a really good EA-esque article that others might not naturally stumble upon, or when they want to learn more about a specific topic. Here's a skeleton for how to organize the page according to those goals:

- 1. Introduction to EA
 - a. Collection of EA 101 readings
 - b. Articles and media on EA
 - c. Overview of EA community and affiliated organizations
- 2. Cause areas
 - a. Poverty
 - General articles
 - ii. Specific debates or sources of controversy
 - iii. Information about particular organizations or interventions involved
 - b. Animal Welfare
 - i. Farmed animals
 - ii. Wild animals
 - c. Cause prioritization
 - i. Examples of ineffective altruism
 - d. Environmentalism
 - e. Artificial intelligence
 - f. Non-Al existential risks
 - i. How bad is extinction?
 - g. Politics
 - h. Rationality
 - i. Meta
- 3. Careers
 - Earning to give
 - i. Computer science / programming
 - ii. Finance / consulting
 - b. Research
 - c. Direct work
- Resources on running an EA organization
 - a.
- 5. Criticisms of EA

- 1. Reactions to EA
- 2. Resources
 - 1. Misc
 - 2. EA hub lists
- 3. By topic

Some potential problems:

- Quality control? Is it desirable and/or possible to help the cream rise to the top? Alternatively, how can we stop people from posting links to their own pages (if this is indeed something we want to stop?)
- Could have reviews (collapsible reviews would be REALLY nice if thats possible) under each bulleted resource annotated bibliography style
- Related: How to format?
- Something like a heading > subheading > bullet points with reviews underneath style sounds like a solid way to start. So wikipedia style formatting with bullet points in place of paragraphs.
- How detailed?
- A solid contents page / organizational framework should solve most of the problems of over detailedness I think. But what precisely constitutes EA stuff? Maybe that should be a problem we solve once/if if gets to be an issue but before then let everyone post whatever.
- Ideally we want to make a list of all the things that could possibly come under EA subheadings, and then during community meetups (like wiki a thon) we can delegate people to find and read links under each of the subheadings and update the wiki accordingly.