

ok so, speech

First, Hi! congratulations to both of you for getting to F2! happy to see both of you here, since at some point or another we both worked together. you both get extra points since you both remembered what's important. Lucky for the both of you, i do not believe in jury management, and i think ive observed both of your games objectively, in fact i was expecting your speeches (WHY DO THEY HAVE TO BE SO LONG). so, not much time today for a speech AND MR YOUSEF HERE IS BEING A BULLY and rushing us all, so ill get straight to the questions

For both of you:

- 1- if you could pick any member of the jury to be in f2 with you, who would it be and why?
- 2- if you could remove someone from the jury, who would it be, and why, and if so, who would you replace it with
- 3- doyou still think a gavin buyback may occur?
- 4- since you had different playstyles, i would like both of you to point out the weaknesses both in your game and your rival, and explain why you deserve a win over the other
- 5- explain why do you think yousef is a bully

for zach:

hey you!



- 1- new phone, who dis?
- 2- you seemed to rely a lot in other people, even risking your game, clearly since you are in f2, we can assume it was mostly on your favor, but since you made a risky play with trusting people, if anything failed, what was your plan b?

for morgan:

YOU CANT ESCAPE ED



1- pathfinder, when?

2- a strategic game is usually not as flashy as other styles, hell, if properly played it can go undetected, but also, its a risky one, in fact, as big z said, at times you seemed a goat, while i disagree to an extent, at times it did seem that some plays you kinda lucked out, specially since this game seemed to have many alliances involving lots of people, how mucho would you say it was thanks to your social/strategic game and how much do you think it was luck? not to be rude, but some comp winners results helped a lot mostly because they had bigger fish to target

sorry for the short speech! hope to hear from both of you, nothing is decided til the last moment, so fight soldiers, fight til you drop dead, everything is in play now, the final battle has come, and only one will survive

Morgan:

"if you could pick any member of the jury to be in f2 with you, who would it be and why?"

If Zaden counts I'd pick him in a heartbeat because he definitely played the weakest game and therefore I'd have the easiest time against him. If you don't count Zaden I think I'd go with powerofdeath, just because of how he floundered and was seemingly left with no one else in the game after cjn left - his position in the house would have been due to being picked up and carried the rest of the way by me. Obviously, I couldn't have done that realistically in the game because voting out you (Borrach) over him would already have me an ally down and would have angered FireDragonPrince, another ally of mine.

"if you could remove someone from the jury, who would it be, and why, and if so, who would you replace it with?"

Again, if you count Zaden I'd remove him for obvious reasons (his inactivity among the jury). If you don't count Zaden, I think i'd take out powerofdeath because his jury question is asking me

to be mean to people which I'd prefer not to do. As for a replacement I'd love to be able to include rosematter both because we had a good relationship during the game and because I wish she didn't have to leave the game. If rosematter isn't allowed I think I'd bring back sammy partly because I love the chaos he brings along but also because we were cool for the few weeks he was here and seeing him make jury would've had a lot more memorable moments added to the season.

"doyou still think a gavin buyback may occur?"

Nah dude what I'm banking on is how Zaden got booted from jury, right when they're reading the jury votes for who wins the game it's down to 3 votes Morgan, 3 votes Zach. Everyone thinks cjn's vote is going to be nullified when suddenly *Jgriffy stands.*

"since you had different playstyles, i would like both of you to point out the weaknesses both in your game and your rival, and explain why you deserve a win over the other"

While I think Zach played a good game, his social manipulation relied on making others do what he wanted them to do, and his physical aspects put a constant target on his back. This clearly clashes and you can see during the times that he voted in the minority or was the week's target he could have worked on that a lot. I think I deserve to win over Zach because my strategy, as Ian Terry would say, took my destiny into my own hands and allowed me to make moves on my own that secured my position in the house both without placing a target on my back and without having to rely too strongly on other people to do what I wanted (of course some of this existed but I was much more successful at it than Zach, having voted in the minority only once and receiving a total of zero votes to evict against me.

"explain why do you think yousef is a bully"

He pings all the houseguests and gets mad when we ping him back, what's up with that Yousef? Big jerk.

"pathfinder, when?"

Whenever I can get it all together, I actually just finally played the second session with that other group on Sunday and it went quite well, we're finally picking up speed which means I'll be at a comfortable spot to host the other group quicker!

"a strategic game is usually not as flashy as other styles, hell, if properly played it can go undetected, but also, its a risky one, in fact, as big z said, at times you seemed a goat, while i disagree to an extent, at times it did seem that some plays you kinda lucked out, specially since this game seemed to have many alliances involving lots of people, how mucho would you say it was thanks to your social/strategic game and how much do you think it was luck? not to be rude, but some comp winners results helped a lot mostly because they had bigger fish to target"

I don't think that much of it was luck personally. You say that some comp winner results helped me out because they had bigger fish to target, but that's by design. My playstyle was to remove as much of a target from myself as possible by not doing too much to stand out. I won competitions when I needed to, but not enough to be seen as a comp threat or so little to be seen as a weak competitor. I socialised with people, but not so much that I was seen as a social threat and not so little that I was seen as inactive or unable to commit time to the game. I'm sure you can attribute a bit of luck here and there but I wanted to remove as much of that as possible and base myself on a statistical advantage (like stacking HoH comps with my allies during the later weeks). I'd say the area I was luckiest on was beating FFSDT by a single point during the last HoH comp, but even then my position in the final two was all but guaranteed as neither Zach nor Jackson would take the other to the finals - I just wanted to win HoH so that my partner wasn't chosen for me.

Zacharae:

Hi borrach!!

1 - Of any juror, I would choose to be in final two with Jackson. He played a great game, there is no question about that, but I think my argument would be that he relied on competitions in the entire endgame, and though impressive, it potentially compromises his social abilities to navigate the game without lucking out. I would respond with saying that a lot of the reason i'm here is because of my flexible social game, and my ability to downplay my relationships and strong suits whilst subsequently emphasizing the attributes of others to avoid being the most threatening at crucial times in the game.

2 - I hate this question, but I would choose to remove you from jury. My reasoning is that you were super close with Morgan throughout this game and you are the one connection I didn't have late game that I would blame myself for. It's nothing personal, you deserved jury and played phenomenally, but I don't think our games aligned towards the end. I would then replace you with Alissa, given she doesn't walk, because she would technically a) have still made the jury portion of this game, and b) with the relationship I had with her, I believe she would potentially be a vote for me since we were super close in the game since early on.

3 - Yes. There is not a single doubt within my mind that Gavin is going to be handed a buyback (which I still believe is ongoing, hence why we have long periods of time to answer), and he's going to have the last laugh with a random yet powerful essay.

4 - I think my weakness was my lack of control. This damaged my ability to position well, which has been mentioned by others, and it ended up making the game harder for me to navigate. I also think it hurt my end game as I lost so many super close allies early on.

The weakness with Morgan's game is, in my opinion, his lack of game awareness. In his opening statement he stated that he controlled me to vote out CJN and POD, both of which I never voted out. Additionally, he says that he controlled FDP to not nominate me and that he had power of me using the veto or not. FDP did nominate me, and I did use veto. I strongly feel

like he overestimated his control and didn't have the grasp on situations like he insinuates he does.

I think I should win over Morgan because despite my lack of control, I was put in hot waters early on and did compensate with a mostly flexible social game. I built multiple connections strong enough to keep me alive, even at points that were tough. I could have been easily evicted or nominated at other points, but I was able to use social manipulation to ensure my safety for most weeks, only ever really being in danger at F4 and F12. At F4, I managed to downplay my game and play up your threat status, all in an attempt to have Morgan overestimate his control on me particularly, and want to take me to the end. Him feeling confident with me despite me proving multiple times that I didn't have his best interests in my mind is a testament to how I had more control in our relationship.

5 - Yousef is a bully because of him rushing you to do this, and also, him mocking you during that one competition when you tried your hardest. He's rude!!

PERSONAL QUESTIONS

1 -



2 - I will agree that my game was dependent on others at points. But, honestly, that is part of utilizing a social-oriented strategy. I was effortlessly trying to strengthen important bonds to increase my longevity within this game, while also trying to wedge cracks between other bonds in an attempt to appear more valuable to certain individuals.

Every single person in this game relies on someone at one point or another. When FDP won Final 6 HOH, you probably felt 100% safe. Why? Because of your social relationship with him. You relied on him to get past that week. That's exactly the same with me, it's just exhausted more partly because I was unable to win competitions all the time and I sort of lacked control of the game in the bigger picture. I understand that entirely.

But, without my fluid social game, I would've been evicted. If I wasn't able to solidify bonds with Big Z or Zaden, I would've gotten 12th in this game. If I didn't go to Morgan and play up my weakness of having no friends, I could've been nominated at F9. If I failed to convince Morgan that he had control over me during this game, I could have placed either 4th or 3rd. My survival was a lot to do with how I instrumentally used my social game to make people have false perceptions of me,

So yes, I did have to rely on others, but I wasn't necessarily carried or dragged along. Stating so is crediting Morgan with "controlling my fate" when, in reality, it was my various connections that saved me from time to time. In the end game phase, Morgan was the deciding vote, and as someone who recognized that he liked being in "control", I only played up into it so he felt like the manipulative and powerful half in our duo. I wasn't able to win every competition, so my plan B from the start of this game was to be socially strategic.

If it failed, my plan B (or, C) was to jump ships. If Morgan had put me up, I would have simply emphasized on working with you or FDP and targeting Morgan and distancing myself from certain people to make it seem like I could be your extra vote and no one else's. That's what I did with Morgan, and despite me not voting how he wanted, he always felt in a controlling position. No matter what, I was always just going to emphasize my social game with people who I felt like could be swayed into thinking that I was controllable when I feel like I was never anyone's puppet and I always played with my game in priority.

Thanks for your questions!! I hope my answers weren't too long and they answered your concerns!!