Nominee	•									



## **Programming Innovation**

## **Committee Member Rubric**

Introduction of the Program	Provide a short overview of the program being nominated and your reason for nomination. Include the program background/history. (250 words or less)								
	Point Value/Description	Reviewer Rating/Comments							
<b>0 - 3</b> Introduction or rationale not included or incomplete; no examples provided to support rationale.	4 - 6 Introduction included, but may or may not provide enough description of the program; Rationale supported with 1-2 examples.	<b>7 - 10</b> Introduction provides a sufficient description of the program; Rationale supported with 3+ examples.							
Program Target Audience	Who is the target audience of this program? How has this program benefited them and/or the community? (250 words or less)								
	Point Value/Description								
<b>0 - 3</b> Audience and/or benefits not included or incomplete; no examples provided to support rationale.	<b>4 - 6</b> Audience and benefits included; Rationale supported with 1-2 examples.	<b>7 - 10</b> Audience and benefits included with sufficient detail; Rationale supported with 3+ examples.							
Program Goals	What are the goals of the program (250 words or less)	m?							
	Point Value/Description								

Program Innovation	What makes this program innovative? How does this program stand out from other programs?  (250 words or less)							
	Reviewer Rating/Comments							
<b>0 - 3</b> Innovation description not included or incomplete; no examples provided to support rationale.	<b>4 - 6</b> Innovation description included; Rationale supported with 1-2 examples.							
Program Collaboration	•	ed in this project. Does the programents/programs, organizations, etc.	m involve other libraries, library staff, (250 words or less)					
	Point Value/Description							
<b>0 - 3</b> Collaborative efforts not included or incomplete; no examples provided to support rationale.	<b>4 - 6</b> Collaborative efforts included; Rationale supported with 1-2 examples.	<b>7 - 10</b> Collaborative efforts included with sufficient detail; Rationale supported with 3 examples.						
Program Outcomes	Omes  Describe any short-term and long-term program outcomes that have been realized (not future outcomes). (250 words or less)							
	Reviewer Rating/Comments							
<b>0 - 3</b> Outcomes not provided or vague/irrelevant description of support.	<b>4 - 6</b> Outcomes provided and supported with 1-2 examples.	7 - 10 Outcomes provided and supported with 3 examples containing thorough and relevant description.						
Supporting Evidence	Letters of Support and optional Evidence/Artifacts							
0 - 3  No letters of support or vague/irrelevant description of support.  4 - 6  1-2 letters of support and/or supporting evidence/artifacts are included with adequate description of support.		7 - 10 3 letters of support and additional supporting evidence/artifacts are included with thorough and relevant description of support.						
General Evaluator Comments								

TOTAL RATING
/70