

FACULTY - SEMESTER COURSE FEEDBACK

(To be submitted by the Course Faculty to the Director/ Dean after the results of Semester Exam)

Name and code of Course: Computing Lab Name of Faculty: Mr. Bibhas Das	- I & CSE22806
Batch: M.Tech in CSE	Regular/Visiting/Contract: Contract
Class: 1 st Year	
Semester: Sem 1	
Did you use Blooms taxonomy to design select appropriate teaching tools to deliver:	your course modules, set Course Outcomes and your course?
Yes No	
	the effective teaching-learning? Where did you lag delivery of this course the next academic year?
	to make successful planning for the whole have tried to cover as per the plan but due to the executed properly.
2. Did you have a well-written lesson plan for	every topic?
Yes No	
√ 	

If yes, was it contemporary to enhance employability of the students? Are you satisfied with the effectiveness of the teaching tools? How would you wish to improve it prior to the next academic year? (Write in not more than 100 words)

The well-written lesson plan is helpful for employability of students definitely. Due to online class we used MS teams, direct streaming etc. and moreover we are satisfied. Before next academic year, we need to plan things more systematically so that execution will be much proper.

3. Are you satisfied with the relevance of the Course, its structure and course content? Is it relevant and contemporary? Does it deliver on the industry requirement as well as professional/skill needs of the students?
Yes No
If not, what are your recommendations which could be forwarded to the affiliating university?
(a)
(b)
(c)
(e)
4. Have you correlated Course Outcomes and Assessment tools with POs and PSO?
Yes No
$\sqrt{}$
If No, why not?
5. Are you satisfied with the system of assessment and evaluation, currently in practice? Does it have larger emphasis on assessing a student on practical and skill competencies?
Yes No
$\sqrt{}$

If No, recommend any two major reforms.


6. Did you assess your students on the given course outcomes by using appropriate internal assessment tools? Did you make use of rubrics where required?

Yes No



Yes. All the students have achieved the target for all the course outcomes.

7. What is the level of attainment of your course outcome of your course? *Note: Mention the level (3,2,1) based on pre-set percentage*



8. With reference to paragraph 7 above, give your reasons for not meeting the desired level set up by you as a target at the beginning of the course.

Suggest how this can be improved upon for the upcoming course. **Not Applicable**

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- 9. Do you feel, you personally need special training and competence-building to deliver the course better?

Yes No

If Yes, specify the precise area of development needed and how the department can assist you.

10. Are you satisfied with the supporting academic infrastructure provided by the institute for delivery of this course?

Yes No

If No, give your brief recommendations

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)

11. List of weak students and meritorious students (last 5 and top 5 in the class)

Weak students	Meritorious students
AMARTYA PAUL	PARAMITA BHATTACHARJEE
SAYAN GHOSH	SOURANEEL MANDAL
ANKAN GHOSH	AJITESH MOY GHOSH
RISOV PAUL	SAJIB SAHA
	AIHIK SARKAR

12. How did you enable weak students during the course to help learn and perform better? Can you show progression of each weak student after your enablement? Do they further need your support?

I designed special assignments for each of the weak student

I also conducted special doubt clearing classes for all the weak student

They also presented the topics in front of me and cleared all their doubts

13. Were the majority of students interested in the course and found it useful to their attribute's attainment?

(Rank 1 to 5 in the 5-point scale, 5 stands for Highly interested and 1 stand for Not interested) 5
If Not Interested, what were the reasons of their lack of interest?
(a)
(b)
(c)
 14. Were you able to cover the course with ease or was the curriculum too vast? Able to cover the course. 15. Do you have any recommendation for review and revision of course? Describe in not more than 150 words (Please remember your recommendations shall have substantial bearings on the future of the course)
NO
Name Mr. Bibhas Das
Signature
Date13.04.21