

<http://cmatheson109h.blogspot.com/2015/11/draft-of-public-argument.html>

How effectively do you feel this draft convinces the reader to agree with the argument being made, on a scale of 1 to 10? Try to keep in mind the kind of reader the argument seems to be targeting.

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If your overall peer review grade for this assignment exceeds 20, I'll apply the additional points towards recent missing and/or low-rated blog posts. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: . The point being made on privacy is not very convincing, and I think that comparing the US to other places is not really the best way to make an argument. Knowing the type of person you are most likely trying to convince cares greatly about privacy, your admittance that they would lose a degree of their privacy is taking away from your argument. This could be done better definitely.

What kind of public argument do you think this is? Check **one** (and **only one**) of the argument types below:

This argument establishes an original **con position** on an issue of debate.

This argument proposes a **solution** for a problem that is being debated.

_____ This argument openly **refutes** a specific solution or policy under debate (and clearly identifies the idea I'm refuting).

1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10
Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

Your rating for purpose: 5

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: This stays on subject well and sticks to the positives quite well for the most part. There are moments where your points feel like they need to be elaborated because they are a little bit redundant. I think that your reason is established well but needed to be expounded upon.

Refresh your memory about the three different kinds of rhetorical strategies we read about for Project 2: Emotional appeals, Ethical or credibility-building appeals, and Logical or rational appeals.

1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10
Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If your overall peer review grade for this assignment exceeds 20, I'll apply the additional points towards recent missing and/or low-rated blog posts. **I reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for argumentation: 4

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: I think that it covers what the thesis says it would, but there are some things I think it needs to address better. For example I would like to read why drones would be more beneficial than other automation forms, because there are many other ways other than drones it would seem. Basically, the argument needs to go a little bit more in depth in my opinion.

You will need to read/look at the hyperlinked examples in the student author's Blog Post 11.3 in order to rate this category.

1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10
Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

Your rating for genre: 3

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: This is not something that is very clear to me but I think that it is mostly because it is a draft. I think that this is a well organized draft though so I don't think you will have too much trouble with sorting it out. I think that it is a good presentation of information.



Other comments?

Basically, the argument seems a little bit lacking in depth but it is a good point to expand upon. I'm sure the genre elements will be developed better as your drafts go on.