### **Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP)**

#### Rationale

District improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, district funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Districts, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

#### **Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan**

- There are seven (7) required district goals:
  - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
  - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
  - Achievement Gap
  - o English Learner Progress
  - Quality of School Climate and Safety
  - Postsecondary Readiness
  - o Graduation Rate

0

#### 1: State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 37.5/25.5/32 /52.5 MES 37.8/25.7/28/52.8	Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	DEC 40 0 440 0 440 404 0	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP1: Design and Deploy	Process:			General Fund
Increase proficiency in the	Standards	Quarterly PLC (grade level,	Unit Plans/Curriculum	Lesson plans	Title II and IV
area of reading as measured		principal, CAO) to analyze	Frameworks uploaded	walkthrough data	
by KSA at the close of the	Developing and employing	instructional strategies, pacing, and	to Google Drive Folders	unit plans/curriculum framework	
2022-23 academic school	systems and strategies to	student growth using Curriculum		analysis	
year as follows:	ensure that the current	Frameworks.		MAP growth fall-winter-spring	
	curriculum is valid/aligned to		Student progress on	Monitored by Principals/CAO	
BES: 10 points from 32 to	KAS and that monitoring	Utilize feedback from district	MAP		
42% proficiency	systems are in place to	walkthroughs, formative			
MES: 12.4 points from 28 to	ensure that the curriculum is	observations using the Danielson	PLC progress notes		
40.4% proficiency.	implemented with fidelity.	Framework, and mentoring			
Middle: 17 points from 34 to		observations/meetings to ensure	Summit platform data		
51% proficiency.		curriculum is implemented with	analysis		
High: 11 points from 40 to		fidelity.			
51% proficiency.					
		Vertical alignment of courses grades			
		7-12 will be revised to reflect			
		changes.			

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 37.5/25.5/32 /52.5 MES 37.8/25.7/28/52.8	Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 19.2/10.2/19 /34.2 MES 28.1/13.3/30 /43.1	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Ensure congruency is present			
		between standards, learning			
		targets, and assessment measures.			
		Practice:			
		Intentional use of learning targets			
		throughout the unit to ensure			
		students know where they are			
		going and why.			
		Utilize the feedback loop and			
		formative assessment practices to			
		work to mastery.			
		Conditions:			
		Provide opportunities for staff to			
		engage in processes for analyzing			
		data (RTI, student data meetings),			
		alignment of learning targets, and			
		designing instruction based on data.			

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 37.5/25.5/32 /52.5 MES 37.8/25.7/28/52.8	Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 19.2/10.2/19 /34.2 MES 28.1/13.3/30 /43.1	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Implement high yield strategies	Walkthrough Data	Walkthrough Data collected and	General Fund
	Instruction	(provided via professional learning	Unit Plans/Anchor	analyzed monthly by District/School	Title II Professional
		cohorts, instructional updates	Charts	Leadership to determine instructional	Development
	Develop processes and	provided by CAO, and presentations	Teacher Feedback	needs and areas for growth among	
	systems to ensure that	at faculty meetings) across the	Surveys	buildings down to individual teachers.	Title I
	students have the	curriculum to support the		Unit Plans/Anchor Charts housed in	
	strategies/tools to have	development of reading skills,		shared drive and monitored by	
	equitable access to grade	critical thinking, and support		Principal/CAO.	
	level curriculum and that	student success.		Teacher Feedback Surveys used to	
	instructional strategies are	Implement an explicit		prioritize PL offerings.	
	employed that align with	and systematic approach to			
	students' academic, cultural,	teaching phonics and phonemic			
	and social-emotional needs.	awareness at the primary grade			
		levels with interventions for gap			
		foundational skills at grades 3-6.			
		Design and implement Google			
		classroom as a means of delivery of			
		instruction to accommodate for			
		flexible instructional delivery			
		methods.			

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 37.5/25.5/32 /52.5 MES 37.8/25.7/28/52.8	Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 19.2/10.2/19 /34.2 MES 28.1/13.3/30 /43.1	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		School and district administrators	Walkthrough data	Walkthrough Data- analysis of	
		will utilize the revised walkthrough	Formative classroom	noticings across each building and the	
		tool (aligned with	observations	district.	
		ELEOT/Danielson/SUMMIT look-fors	Coaching cycles		
		and habits of success) when			
		conducting classroom observations.			
		Provide professional learning	Teacher Feedback	Teacher Feedback Surveys used to	Professional
		opportunities to personalize and	Surveys	prioritize PL offerings. (fall/spring)	Development
		differentiate learning for students.		Monitored by principals/CAO	Title II
		Partnerships with Envision Learning			
		and OVEC Deeper Learning team to			
		operationalize our graduate profile.			
	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and	Utilize and manage data from	Individual MAP growth	Data Analysis of Fall, Winter and	General Fund for
	Apply Data	multiple sources (MAP, Summit,	for students,	Spring MAP administration (Academic	purchasing
		KSA, ACT) to assess student	Summit student	Performance Committee/school and	subscriptions for
	Provide support to school	progress and differentiation needs	progress data,	district leadership	Aimsweb and MAP
	leaders to identify priorities	for reading instruction.	Percent of students	Monthly data dive into Summit	
	and actions to ensure		meeting ACT	(Principal and Summit Coach),	
	protocols and practices are		Benchmark	Data Analysis of Fall practice ACT and	
	implemented effectively to			Spring state-administration (Academic	
				Performance Committee)	

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 37.5/25.5/32 /52.5 MES 37.8/25.7/28/52.8	Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 19.2/10.2/19 /34.2 MES 28.1/13.3/30 /43.1	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	analyze and monitor data to	Engage in Professional Learning	Bi-weekly Teacher	Data Analysis of student progress data	General Fund
	inform instruction.	Communities to analyze student	Data,	(Individual Teachers and Grade	
		academic growth.	Bi-weekly PLC	Level/Content Teams) monthly PLC	
				documentation	
Objective 2	KCWP1: Design and Deploy	Process:			General Fund
Increase proficiency in the	Standards	Quarterly PLC (grade level,	Unit Plans/Curriculum	Lesson plans	Title II
area of math as measured by		principal, CAO) to analyze	Frameworks uploaded	walkthrough data	Professional
KSA at the close of the	Developing and employing	instructional strategies, pacing, and	to Google Drive Folders	unit plans/curriculum framework	Development
2022-23 academic school	systems and strategies to	student growth using Curriculum		analysis	
year as follows:	ensure that the current	Frameworks.		MAP growth fall-winter-spring	
	curriculum is valid/aligned to		Student progress on	Monitored by Principals/CAO	
BES: 7.6 points from 19 to	KAS and that monitoring	Utilize feedback from district	MAP		
26.6% proficiency	systems are in place to	walkthroughs, formative			
MES: 6.5 points from 30 to	ensure that the curriculum is	observations using the Danielson	PLC progress notes		
36.5% proficiency.	implemented with fidelity.	Framework, and mentoring			
Middle: 9 points from 23 to		observations/meetings to ensure	Summit platform data		
32% proficiency.		curriculum is implemented with	analysis		
High: 11 points from 31 to		fidelity.			
39% proficiency.					
		Vertical alignment of courses grades			
		7-12 will be revised to reflect			
		changes.			

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 37.5/25.5/32 /52.5 MES 37.8/25.7/28/52.8	Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 19.2/10.2/19 /34.2 MES 28.1/13.3/30 /43.1	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Ensure congruency is present between standards, learning targets, and assessment measures.  Practice: Intentional use of learning targets throughout the unit to ensure students know where they are going and why.  Utilize the feedback loop and formative assessment practices to work to mastery.			
		Conditions: Provide opportunities for staff to engage in processes for analyzing data (RTI, student data meetings), alignment of learning targets, and designing instruction based on data.			

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 37.5/25.5/32 /52.5 MES 37.8/25.7/28/52.8	Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 19.2/10.2/19 /34.2 MES 28.1/13.3/30 /43.1	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Implement high yield strategies	Walkthrough Data	Walkthrough Data collected and	General Fund
	Instruction	(provided via professional learning	Unit Plans/Anchor	analyzed monthly by District/School	Title II Professional
		cohorts, instructional updates	Charts	Leadership to determine instructional	Development
	Develop processes and	provided by CAO, and presentations	Teacher Feedback	needs and areas for growth among	
	systems to ensure that	at faculty meetings) across the	Surveys	buildings down to individual teachers.	Title I
	students have the	curriculum to support the		Unit Plans/Anchor Charts housed in	
	strategies/tools to have	development of reading skills,		shared drive and monitored by	
	equitable access to grade	critical thinking, and support		Principal/CAO.	
	level curriculum and that	student success.		Teacher Feedback Surveys used to	
	instructional strategies are			prioritize PL offerings.	
	employed that align with	Implement use of Illustrative math			
	students' academic, cultural,	and build lessons based on			
	and social-emotional needs.	conceptual understanding before			
		working with concrete and abstract			
		thinking in solving math problems.			
		THE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR			
		Utilize direct instruction and guided			
		practice using the mathematical			
		practices to support student			
		understanding of math concepts.			

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 37.5/25.5/32 /52.5 MES 37.8/25.7/28/52.8	Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 19.2/10.2/19 /34.2 MES 28.1/13.3/30 /43.1	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Provide professional learning			
		opportunities to further develop			
		best practices in terms of math			
		pedagogical practices.			
		Design and implement Google			
		classroom as a means of delivery of			
		instruction to accommodate for			
		flexible instructional delivery			
		methods.			
		Provide professional learning	Teacher Feedback	Teacher Feedback Surveys used to	Professional
		opportunities to personalize and	Surveys	prioritize PL offerings. (fall/spring)	Development
		differentiate learning for students.		Monitored by principals/CAO	Title II
		School and district administrators	Walkthrough data	Walkthrough Data- analysis of	
		will utilize the revised walkthrough	Formative classroom	noticings across each building and the	
		tool (aligned with	observations	district.	
		ELEOT/Danielson/SUMMIT look-fors	Coaching cycles		
		and habits of success) when			
		conducting classroom observations.			
	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and	Utilize and manage data from	Individual MAP growth	Data Analysis of Fall, Winter and	General Fund for
	Apply Data	multiple sources (MAP, Summit,	for students,	Spring MAP administration (Academic	purchasing
		KSA, ACT) to assess student	Summit student	Performance Committee/school and	subscriptions for
			progress data,	district leadership	Aimsweb and MAP

Reading 2019/2021/2022/202 4		Middle 52.2/37.4/ 34/67.2	High 46.1/28/ 40/61.1
Math 2019/2021/2022/202 4	DEC 40 0 440 0 440 404 0	Middle 26.3/15.1/ 23/41.3	High 31.1/23.7/ 31/36.1

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	Provide support to school	progress and differentiation needs	Percent of students	Monthly data dive into Summit	
	leaders to identify priorities	for reading instruction.	meeting ACT	(Principal and Summit Coach),	
	and actions to ensure		Benchmark	Data Analysis of Fall practice ACT and	
	protocols and practices are			Spring state-administration (Academic	
	implemented effectively to			Performance Committee)	
	analyze and monitor data to	Engage in Professional Learning	Bi-weekly Teacher	Data Analysis of student progress data	General Fund
	inform instruction.	Communities to analyze student	Data,	(Individual Teachers and Grade	
		academic growth.	Bi-weekly PLC	Level/Content Teams) monthly PLC	
				documentation	

### 2: State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

Science	2019/2021/2022/202	BES 21.1/25.1/20 /36.1	Middle	High
	4	MES 3.3/7.1/9 /18.3	22/11/8 /37	23/20.6/8 /38
Writing	2019/2021/2022/202	BES 52.3/6/25 /67.3	Middle	High
	4	MES 31.8/53.8/18 /46.8	21.1/39.1/30 /36.1	33.8/27/30 /48.8
Social Studies	2022/2024	BES 26/41 MES 18/33	Middle 31/46	High 26/41

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and	Utilize data from multiple sources	Individual MAP growth	Data Analysis of Fall, Winter and	
Increase proficiency in the	Apply Data	(MAP, Summit, KPREP, ACT) to	for students,	Spring MAP administration (Academic	General fund
area of science as measured		assess student progress and	Summit student	Performance Committee),	
by KSA at the close of the	Provide support to school	differentiation needs for	progress data,	Monthly data dive into Summit	
2022-23 academic school	leaders to identify priorities	informational reading instruction.	Percent of students	(Principal and Summit Coach),	
year as follows:	and actions to ensure		meeting ACT	Data Analysis of Fall practice ACT and	
	protocols and practices are		Benchmark	Spring state-administration (Academic	
BES: 8 points from 20 to 28%	implemented effectively to			Performance Committee)	
proficiency	analyze and monitor data to				
MES: 5 points from 9 to 14%	inform instruction.	Engage in Professional Learning	Bi-weekly Teacher	Data Analysis of student progress data	General Fund
proficiency.		Communities to analyze student	Data,	(Individual Teachers and Grade	Title II Professional
Middle: 15 points from 8 to		academic growth.	Bi-weekly PLC	Level/Content Teams) monthly PLC	Development
23% proficiency.				documentation	
High: 15 points from 8 to	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Implement high yield strategies	Walkthrough Data	Walkthrough Data collected and	General Fund
23% proficiency.	Instruction	(provided via professional learning	Unit Plans/Anchor	analyzed monthly by District/School	Title II
		cohorts, instructional updates	Charts	Leadership to determine instructional	Professional
	Develop processes and	provided by CAO, and presentations	Teacher Feedback	needs and areas for growth among	Development
	systems to ensure that	at faculty meetings) across the	Surveys	teachers.	
	students have the	curriculum to support the			
	strategies/tools to have				

Science	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 21.1/25.1/20 /36.1 MES 3.3/7.1/9 /18.3	Middle 22/11/8 /37	High 23/20.6/8 /38
Writing	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 52.3/6/25 /67.3 MES 31.8/53.8/18 /46.8	Middle 21.1/39.1/30 /36.1	High 33.8/27/30 /48.8
Social Studies	2022/2024	BES 26/41 MES 18/33	Middle 31/46	High 26/41

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	equitable access to grade	development of critical thinking and		Unit Plans/Anchor Charts housed in	
	level curriculum and that	support student success.		shared drive and monitored by	
	instructional strategies are			Principal/CAO.	
	employed that align with			Teacher Feedback Surveys used to	
	students' academic, cultural,			prioritize PL offerings.	
	and social-emotional needs.	School and district administrators	Formative/summative	Data Analysis of through course	General Fund
		will utilize the revised walkthrough	science assessments.	science tasks and on-going formative	
		tool (aligned with	Walkthrough Data-	and summative assessment data.	
		ELEOT/Danielson/SUMMIT look-fors	analysis of noticings		
		and habits of success) when	across each building		
		conducting classroom observations.	and the district.		
		Provide professional learning	Teacher Feedback	Teacher Feedback Surveys used to	General Fund
		opportunities to personalize and	Surveys	prioritize PL offerings. (fall/spring)	Title II
		differentiate learning for students.		Monitored by principals/CAO.	Professional
					Development
		Revise and refine unit		Unit Plans/Curriculum Frameworks	General Fund
		plans/curriculum frameworks to		uploaded to Google Drive Folders	
		ensure alignment (horizontal and			
		vertical) with grade level and course			
		standards.		Student progress on MAP	
				PLC progress notes	

Science	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 21.1/25.1/20 /36.1 MES 3.3/7.1/9 /18.3	Middle 22/11/8 /37	High 23/20.6/8 /38
Writing	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 52.3/6/25 /67.3 MES 31.8/53.8/18 /46.8	Middle 21.1/39.1/30 /36.1	High 33.8/27/30 /48.8
Social Studies	2022/2024	BES 26/41 MES 18/33	Middle 31/46	High 26/41

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	KCWP1: Design and Deploy	Ensure congruence is present	Curriculum Mapping	Lesson/Unit Plans	General Fund
	Standards	between standards, learning	Unit Planning	Formative & End of Unit Assessment	Title IV
		targets, and assessment measures.	PLC Progress Notes	Science Through Course Task	
	Developing and employing	Utilize STEM based curricula to	Science Through	Unit Plans	
	systems and strategies to	ensure that Science and Engineering	Course Task		
	ensure that the current	Practices are in practice.	STEM based Products		
	curriculum is valid/aligned to		Unit Anchor Charts		
	KAS and that monitoring	4 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> Grade teachers will utilize			
	systems are in place to	Stemscopes to support a hands-on,			
	ensure that the curriculum is	multi-sensory learning approach to			
	implemented with fidelity	science standards.			
		K-6 <sup>th</sup> supplements curriculum with			
		Mystery Science to support access			
		to science standards and hands-on			
		learning activities.			
		į.			
		Demonstrate grade-appropriate			
		proficiency in asking			
		questions, developing and using			
		models, planning and carrying out			
		investigations, analyzing and			

Science	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 21.1/25.1/20 /36.1 MES 3.3/7.1/9 /18.3	Middle 22/11/8 /37	High 23/20.6/8 /38
Writing	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 52.3/6/25 /67.3 MES 31.8/53.8/18 /46.8	Middle 21.1/39.1/30 /36.1	High 33.8/27/30 /48.8
Social Studies	2022/2024	BES 26/41 MES 18/33	Middle 31/46	High 26/41

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		interpreting data, constructing explanations and designing solutions, engaging in argument with evidence, and obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information.  Utilize Success Criteria to ensure student learning outcomes.			
Objective 2 Increase proficiency in the area of writing as measured by KSA at the close of the 2022-23 academic school year as follows:	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data  Provide support to school leaders to identify priorities and actions to ensure protocols and practices are	Utilize data from multiple sources (MAP, Summit, and KSA) to assess student progress and differentiation needs for writing instruction.  Engage in on-demand scrimmages.	Individual growth formative and summative assessments, Summit student progress data,	Data Analysis (Academic Performance Committee), Monthly data dive into Summit (Principal and Summit Coach) Analyze data from on-demand scrimmages to inform instruction.	General Fund
BES: 21.15 points from 25 to 46.15% proficiency MES: 14.4 points from 18 to 32.4% proficiency.	implemented effectively to analyze and monitor data to inform instruction.	Engage in Professional Learning Communities to analyze student academic growth.	Bi-weekly Teacher Data, Bi-weekly PLC	Data Analysis of student progress data (Individual Teachers and Grade Level/Content Teams) monthly PLC documentation	General Fund

Science	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 21.1/25.1/20 /36.1 MES 3.3/7.1/9 /18.3	Middle 22/11/8 /37	High 23/20.6/8 /38
Writing	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 52.3/6/25 /67.3 MES 31.8/53.8/18 /46.8	Middle 21.1/39.1/30 /36.1	High 33.8/27/30 /48.8
Social Studies	2022/2024	BES 26/41 MES 18/33	Middle 31/46	High 26/41

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Middle: 3 points from 30 to	KCWP 2: Design an Deliver	Teachers will implement high yield	Classroom	*Unit plans housed in shared drive	*Title 1
33% proficiency.	Instruction	strategies (provided via professional	observations, updated	and monitored by Principal monthly.	*Title IV
High: 10 points from 30 to		learning cohorts, partnership with	unit plans, student	*MAP analysis-Fall, Winter, and	*SBDM
40% proficiency.		OVEC-Structured Literacy,	progress on MAP,	Spring.	
		instructional updates provided by	teacher surveys,	*Monthly classroom walk-throughs by	
		CAO/principal, and presentations at	student data binders,	principal and board admin staff with	
		faculty meetings) across the	student writing	feedback given to teachers.	
		curriculum to support the	samples	*Lesson Plans	
		development of reading skills,			
		writing skills, critical thinking, and			
		support student success.			
		Teachers in grades K-3 will use structured literacy dictation practices to support student writing development.			
		Create and utilize Google drive folder containing writing resources from Abel & Atherton as well as other resources.			

Science	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 21.1/25.1/20 /36.1 MES 3.3/7.1/9 /18.3	Middle 22/11/8 /37	High 23/20.6/8 /38
Writing	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 52.3/6/25 /67.3 MES 31.8/53.8/18 /46.8	Middle 21.1/39.1/30 /36.1	High 33.8/27/30 /48.8
Social Studies	2022/2024	BES 26/41 MES 18/33	Middle 31/46	High 26/41

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Workshop Model for Reading & Composition			
		Goal setting practices for building writing stamina			
		Teachers and students internalize and utilize the KSA Writer's Reference Sheet KSA Opinion Rubric			
Objective 3	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Implement high yield strategies	Walkthrough Data	Walkthrough Data collected and	General Fund
Increase proficiency in the	Instruction	(provided via professional learning	Unit Plans/Anchor	analyzed monthly by District/School	Title II
area of social studies as measured by KSA at the close	Develop processes and	cohorts, instructional updates provided by CAO, and presentations	Charts Teacher Feedback	Leadership to determine instructional needs and areas for growth among	Professional Development
of the 2022-23 academic	systems to ensure that	at faculty meetings) across the	Surveys	teachers.	Development
school year as follows:	students have the	curriculum to support the		Unit Plans/Anchor Charts housed in	
·	strategies/tools to have	development of critical thinking and		shared drive and monitored by	
BES: 7.5 points from 26 to	equitable access to grade	support student success.		Principal/CAO.	
33.5% proficiency	level curriculum and that			Teacher Feedback Surveys used to	
MES: 7.5 points from 18 to	instructional strategies are			prioritize PL offerings.	
25.5% proficiency.	employed that align with				

Science	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 21.1/25.1/20 /36.1 MES 3.3/7.1/9 /18.3	Middle 22/11/8 /37	High 23/20.6/8 /38
Writing	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 52.3/6/25 /67.3 MES 31.8/53.8/18 /46.8	Middle 21.1/39.1/30 /36.1	High 33.8/27/30 /48.8
Social Studies	2022/2024	BES 26/41 MES 18/33	Middle 31/46	High 26/41

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Middle: 8 points from 31 to 39% proficiency. High: 8 points from 26 to 34% proficiency.	students' academic, cultural, and social-emotional needs.	School and district administrators will utilize the revised walkthrough tool (aligned with ELEOT/Danielson/SUMMIT look-fors and habits of success) when conducting classroom observations.	Formative/summative science assessments. Walkthrough Dataanalysis of noticings across each building and the district.	Data Analysis of through course science tasks and on-going formative and summative assessment data.	General Fund
		Provide professional learning opportunities to personalize and differentiate learning for students.	Teacher Feedback Surveys	Teacher Feedback Surveys used to prioritize PL offerings. (fall/spring) Monitored by principals/CAO.	General Fund Title II Professional Development
	KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards  Developing and employing systems and strategies to	Ensure congruence is present between standards, learning targets, and assessment measures.			General Fund
	ensure that the current curriculum is valid/aligned to KAS and that monitoring systems are in place to ensure that the curriculum is implemented with fidelity.	Revise and refine unit plans/curriculum frameworks to ensure alignment (horizontal and vertical) with grade level and course standards.		Unit Plans/Curriculum Frameworks uploaded to Google Drive Folders PLC progress notes	General Fund

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): Increase proficiency by 15% in Science, Writing and social studies at each level by 2024. \*\*based on 18/19 data since there was no assessment in 19/20. 20/21 data showed a decrease, but we remain committed to our long-term goal previously established.

Science	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 21.1/25.1/20 /36.1 MES 3.3/7.1/9 /18.3	Middle 22/11/8 /37	High 23/20.6/8 /38
Writing	2019/2021/2022/202 4	BES 52.3/6/25 /67.3 MES 31.8/53.8/18 /46.8	Middle 21.1/39.1/30 /36.1	High 33.8/27/30 /48.8
Social Studies	2022/2024	BES 26/41 MES 18/33	Middle 31/46	High 26/41

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Refine student reflection process in			
		an effort to allow students to			
		understand where they are going,			
		where they currently are, and how			
		they can close the gap as it directly			
		relates to formative assessment			
		practices.			

#### 3: Achievement Gap

Districts are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, districts must establish yearly targets (objectives).

	Federal Classification	Federal Classification	Reading n Mathem		Reading							Mathematics						
Demographic Group		Minimum N	Indicato	r 📙	Novice A	Apprentice	Profic	cient [	Distinguished	d	Index	Novice	Apprentice	Profic	cient Dist	inguished	<u>d</u>	Index
All Students		30	40.	7	40	28	2	26	6		47.5	51	30	1	19	0		33.8
Disability-with IEP (Total)	TSI	30	. 24.	2	57	27	1	7	0	_	30.0	73	17	1	10	0		18.3
	Federal Classificatio n	Federal Classificatio n Minimum	ederal Classificatio Reading &		Reading							Ma	athematics	8				
Demographic Group		N	s Indicator	Novice	Apprenti	ce Pro	oficient	Distingu	ished	Index	Novice	Apprer	ntice Pro	oficient	Distinguish	ed	Index	
All Students Economically		30	42.3	49	24		19		9	41.4	46	2	4	26	4		43.1	
Disadvantaged	TSI	30	28.6	61	23		10		6	29.0	61	2	1	18	0		28.2	

		Federal Classificatio n	Federal Classificatio n Minimum	Reading & Mathematic			Reading					Mathematic	s	
Level	Demographic Group	"	N	s Indicator	Novice	Apprentice	Proficient	Distinguished	Index	Novice	Apprentice	Proficient	Distinguished	Index
MS	All Students Economically		30	44.5	42	24	24	10	48.6	42	35	21	2	40.3
MS	Disadvantaged		30	36.0	51	21	23	5	39.6	50	35	15	0	32.4
MS	Disability-with IEP				73	23	5	0		68	27	5	0	
HS	All Students Economically		30	52.7	33	27	23	17	57.7	39	31	24	7	47.7
HS HS	Disadvantaged Disability with IEP		30	42.9	38 67	33 17	17 17	12 0	48.2	50 67	26 33	21 0	2 0	37.5

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 5: Design, Align,	Identify curricular modification	Unit/Lesson Plans	Unit Plans/Anchor Charts housed in	General Fund
At the elementary level,	Deliver Support	needs using pre-assessment	Bi-weekly Teacher	shared drive and monitored by	
increase proficiency in		strategies, and use data results to	Data	Principal/CAO.	
Reading by 15 percentage	(The first two activities are	"frontload" concepts where high		Data Analysis of student progress data	
points for students identified	for Tier 1-Core instruction	levels of below proficient		(Individual Teachers)	
F/R lunch and decrease the	and the last activity is for	prerequisite skills are identified.			
percentage of students with	Tiers 2 and 3)	Identify students at risk for	Persistence to	Monthly progress checks for students	General Fund
disabilities scoring Novice in		remediation, failure, and/or lack of	Graduation Report	listed on PGR (Dean of Students)	
reading by 10 percentage as		mastery of standards, and	Referrals to	Monitor referrals to Counselor/YSC	
indicated on KSA spring 23.		consider/address non-academic	Counselor/YSC	and share student-specific needs	
		barriers to learning.		(Counselor)	
At the secondary level,					
increase proficiency in		Implementation of MTSS- teachers	RTI progress	Aimsweb Progress monitoring tools,	General fund
reading by 20 percentage		will identify students through data	monitoring data will	MAP growth fall-winter-spring	
points for students identified		analysis who need targeted support	reveal impact of		
F/R lunch and decrease the		in specific academic and/or social	interventions on		
percentage of students		emotional areas. They will provide	individual student		
identified with disabilities		targeted interventions, and utilize	growth		
scoring Novice in reading by		progress monitoring tools to			
10 percentage points as		measure growth. The team will			
indicated on KSA spring 2023.		meet every 6-8 weeks to review data			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		and determine movement among			
		the tiers for individual students.			
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Ensure co-teaching teams are	Classroom	DOSE check-ins with special educators	General Fund
	Instruction Focus: Assure	provided: on-going, job embedded	observations reveal	and monitoring of progress	Title II Professional
	processes and systems, such	professional learning to improve	an understanding and	monitoring data in IC.	Development
	as Co-Teaching, are in place	educational effectiveness in working	compliance with		
	to ensure Tier 1 instruction	with Students with Disabilities.	expectations as	Lesson plan and unit plan monitoring	
	and assessments meet the		related to:	by principals and DOSE.	
	intent of the grade level	Ensure that Students with Disabilities	• "Look for Tool"		
	standards and that	have access to grade level content to	<ul> <li>co-teaching plans</li> </ul>		
	school/district leadership	the maximum extent appropriate	<ul><li>walkthrough</li></ul>		
	determine the most	with their non-disabled peers,	observations		
	appropriate and effective	including formative and summative			
	high-yield strategies are	assessments of grade level content.	IEP reviews, Master		
	implemented with students'		Schedules, Classroom		
	cultural, social, and		Observations.		
	developmental needs in				
	mind.				
	KCWP 6: Establishing	Develop and implement student	ABRI/PBIS data,	*PBIS/ABRI data review (nine weeks)	General Fund
	Learning Culture and	opportunity in self-monitoring	student data binders,	*Review student data binders (nine	
	Environment	behavior, including progress	Trauma Informed	weeks)	
		monitoring of goals. (Data binders)	team meeting notes,	*Trauma informed team (nine weeks)	
	Implement processes to		MAP data, Unit plans,	*RTI PLCs (every 6 weeks)	
	ensure equitable access to a		Aimsweb data	*Aimsweb data review	
	meaningful and rigorous				
	academic curriculum that is				
	respectful to diverse learners,				
	helps to develop their				
	character, and helps them to				
Ohioati a 2	be successful.	Library and the 199 of	11.21/1	Livia Diagram (Agrahaga Circuit and Agrahaga Circii and Agrahaga Circuit and Agrahaga Circuit and Agrahaga Circuit	C
Objective 2	KCWP 5: Design, Align,	Identify curricular modification	Unit/Lesson Plans	Unit Plans/Anchor Charts housed in	General Fund
At the elementary level,	Deliver Support	needs using pre-assessment	Bi-weekly Teacher	shared drive and monitored by	Title II Professional
increase proficiency in math	/The Could be a second	strategies, and use data results to	Data	Principal/CAO.	Development
by 15 percentage points for	(The first two activities are	"frontload" concepts where high		Data Analysis of student progress data	
students identified F/R lunch	for Tier 1-Core instruction			(Individual Teachers)	

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
and decrease the percentage	and the last activity is for	levels of below proficient			
of students with disabilities	Tiers 2 and 3)	prerequisite skills are identified.			
scoring Novice by 20		Identify students at risk for	Persistence to	Monthly progress checks for students	General Fund
percentage points as		remediation, failure, and/or lack of	Graduation Report	listed on PGR (Dean of Students)	Title II Professional
indicated on KSA spring 23.		mastery of standards, and	Referrals to	Monitor referrals to Counselor/YSC	Development
		consider/address non-academic	Counselor/YSC	and share student-specific needs	
At the secondary level		barriers to learning.		(Counselor)	
increase proficiency in math					
by 15 percentage points for		Implementation of MTSS- teachers	RTI progress	Aimsweb Progress monitoring tools,	General fund
students identified F/R lunch		will identify students through data	monitoring data will	MAP growth fall-winter-spring	
and decrease the percentage		analysis who need targeted support	reveal impact of		
of students identified with		in specific academic and/or social	interventions on		
disabilities scoring Novice in		emotional areas. They will provide	individual student		
reading by 10 percentage		targeted interventions, and utilize	growth		
points as indicated on KSA		progress monitoring tools to			
spring 2023.		measure growth. The team will			
		meet every 6-8 weeks to review data			
		and determine movement among			
		the tiers for individual students.			
	KCWP: Design and Deliver	Ensure co-teaching teams are	Classroom	DOSE check-ins with special educators	General Fund
	Instruction Focus: Assure	provided: on-going, job embedded	observations reveal	and monitoring of progress	Title II Professional
	processes and systems, such	professional learning to improve	an understanding and	monitoring data in IC.	Development
	as Co-Teaching, are in place	educational effectiveness in working	compliance with		Special Ed
	to ensure Tier 1 instruction	with Students with Disabilities.	expectations as		
	and assessments meet the		related to: • "Look for		
	intent of the grade level	Ensure that Students with Disabilities	Tool" • co-teaching		
	standards and that	have access to grade level content to	plans • walkthrough		
	school/district leadership	the maximum extent appropriate	observations		
	determine the most	with their non-disabled peers,			
	appropriate and effective	including formative and summative	IEP reviews, Master		
	high-yield strategies are	assessments of grade level content.	Schedules, Classroom		
	implemented with students'		Observations.		
	cultural, social, and				
	developmental needs in				
	mind.				

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	KCWP 6: Establishing	Develop and implement student	ABRI/PBIS data,	*PBIS/ABRI data review (nine weeks)	General
	Learning Culture and	opportunity in self-monitoring	student data binders,	*Review student data binders (nine	
	Environment	behavior, including progress	Trauma Informed	weeks)	
		monitoring of goals. (Data binders)	team meeting notes,	*Trauma informed team (nine weeks)	
	Implement processes to		MAP data, Unit plans,	*RTI PLCs (every 6 weeks)	
	ensure equitable access to a		Aimsweb data	*Aimsweb data review	
	meaningful and rigorous				
	academic curriculum that is				
	respectful to diverse learners,				
	helps to develop their				
	character, and helps them to				
	be successful.				

### **4: English Learner Progress**

Goal 4 (State your English learner goal.): Students identified as English Learners will increase language proficiency on Access by 1.5 levels by the end of 2023.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 2: Design & Deliver	Structured English Immersion	Increase in Access	Access and MAP growth	General fund
Students identified as English	Instruction	Pull-out ESL resource	scores and MAP		
Learners will increase		Content tutoring	benchmark scores		
language proficiency on		Content vocabulary instruction			
Access by 1.5 levels by the					
end of 2023.					

# 5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

School	Quality of School Climate and Safety Indicator Rating	Goal
BES	73.4/orange	77/green
MES	69/orange	75/yellow
MS	55/red	65/yellow
HS	47.5/red	60/yellow

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective Objective 1 Each school will increase their school climate and safety indicator rating as identified in our annual goal above.	KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment  Focus: Ensure the district and schools prioritize the commitment to equitable access to a meaningful and rigorous academic curriculum that is respectful to diverse learners, helps develop their character, and helps them be successful in terms of transition readiness.	Refine school and district processes:	Measure of Success Increase in agree/strongly agree on student and staff surveys.	Student and staff surveys	General fund SBDM fund

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):

School	Quality of School Climate and Safety Indicator Rating	Goal
BES	73.4/orange	77/green
MES	69/orange	75/yellow
MS	55/red	65/yellow
HS	47.5/red	60/yellow

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	Strategy	consequences are consistently and equitably applied.  All classrooms have established norms, expectations, and routines that foster a healthy learning environment.  Ensure that student conferencing occurs on a regular basis and such discussions support a culture for learning.  Encourage student opportunity in self-monitoring behavior, including progress monitoring of goals.  Revise and Refine school and district Conditions:  All resources at the school and district level are utilized to support our youth in need.  Ensure professional learning opportunities are available to build teachers capacity to meet	Wicasare of Success		

HS

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):				
School	Quality of School Climate and Safety Indicator Rating	Goal		
BES	73.4/orange	77/green		
MES	69/orange	75/yellow		
MS	55/red	65/yellow		

47.5/red

60/yellow

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		the diverse needs of the			
		students they serve.			

# **6: Postsecondary Readiness**

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):			
Year	Indicator	Indicator Rating	
21-22	78.6	Medium/Yellow	
Goal 22-23	83.6	Medium/Yellow	

Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
KCWP4: Review, Analyze and	Utilize available student data to	Transition Readiness	Bi-monthly monitoring of Transition	Title IV
Apply Data	track individual student progress	Working Document.	Readiness Working Document	Title II
	over time.		(Leadership Team)	
To ensure targeted needs of				
students are identified, plans		Transcript	Annual Transcript Checks/Student	
of support are developed and		Checks/Student 4-Year	4-Year Planning during scheduling	
implemented to mitigate any		Planning	(Counselor, Dean of Students, Asst.	
			Principal)	
graduation.				
	1			Title IV
Deliver Support		Graduation Report	listed on PGR (Dean of Students)	Title II
	1			
_	1			
		Counselor/YSC	•	
1	'		(Counselor)	
	success.			
graduation.				
KCWP 6. Establishing	Reginning in 8th grade, create a	Transition Readiness	Increased percentage of students from	Title IV
				Perkins
_	1 -	J. T. S. M. J. D. Gallierich		
	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data  To ensure targeted needs of students are identified, plans of support are developed and	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data  To ensure targeted needs of students are identified, plans of support are developed and implemented to mitigate any barriers to successful graduation.  KCWP 5: Design, Align, Deliver Support  To ensure targeted needs of students are identified, plans of support are developed and implemented to mitigate any barriers to successful graduation, and consider/address non-academic barriers to learning and develop individualized action plans for success.  KCWP 6: Establishing  KCWP 6: Establishing  Learning Culture and  Learning Culture and  Learning Deliver Support  Little available student data to track individual student progress over time.  Utilize available student data to track individual student progress over time.	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data  To ensure targeted needs of students are identified, plans of support are developed and implemented to mitigate any barriers to successful graduation.  KCWP 5: Design, Align, Deliver Support  To ensure targeted needs of students are identified, plans of support are developed and implemented to mitigate any barriers to successful graduation.  KCWP 5: Design, Align, Deliver Support  Identify students at risk for remediation, failure, and/or untimely graduation, and consider/address non-academic barriers to learning and develop individualized action plans for success.  KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and  Utilize available student data to track individual student progress working Document.  Transition Readiness Working Document.  Transition Readiness Working Document.	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data  Utilize available student data to track individual student progress over time.  To ensure targeted needs of students are identified, plans of implemented to mitigate any barriers to successful graduation.  KCWP 5: Design, Align, Deliver Support  To ensure targeted needs of students are identified, plans of support are developed and implemented to mitigate any barriers to successful graduation.  KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and  Consure targeted needs of system/protocol to monitor the  Transition Readiness Working Document.  Working Document.  Working Document.  Working Document.  Checks/Student 4-Year  Planning  Transition Readiness  Working Document.  Checks/Student 4-Year  Planning  Transition Readiness  Working Document.  Bi-monthly monitoring of Transition  Readiness Working Document  (Leadership Team)  Annual Transcript Checks/Student  4-Year Planning during scheduling  (Counselor, Dean of Students)  Isisted on PGR (Dean of Students)  Monitor referrals to Counselor/YSC and share student-specific needs  (Counselor)  Monitor referrals to Counselor/YSC and share student-specific needs  (Counselor)  Increased percentage of students from underperforming demographics with

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		program opportunities including the			
	Focus: Ensure the district and	CCATC and AP/dual credit offerings,	Transcript		
	schools prioritize the	to ensure students from	Checks/Student 4-Year		
	commitment to equitable	underperforming demographics in	Planning		
	access to a meaningful and	the area of Transition Readiness:			
	rigorous academic curriculum	have opportunities to			
	that is respectful to diverse	demonstrate postsecondary			
	learners, helps develop their	readiness in various ways			
	character, and helps them be	are counseled in opportunities			
	successful in terms of	beginning in middle school			
	transition readiness.	<ul> <li>have progress monitoring and</li> </ul>			
		feedback opportunities aligned with			
		PSR			
		are supported in being successful			
		within the opportunities or paths			
		taken to demonstrate readiness			
	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	100% of teachers will have	Unit Plan/Curriculum	Unit plan checks for intentional	General Fund
	Instruction	completed unit plans including	frameworks	planning for opportunities to build	Title II
		opportunities for development of		graduate profile competency	Professional
	To ensure that curriculum	graduate profile competencies.		proficiency (Principal. Asst. Principal,	Development
	and instruction is aligned			Dean of Students)	
	with post-secondary	100% of students will have	Graduate Profile	Monthly progress checks for students	General Fund
	outcomes established by the	developed Google Drive folders for	(Raider Way) evidence	submitting evidence in Google Drive	
	district graduate profile and	each competency and will begin to	and pilot defenses.	folders. (mentors)	
	individual student ILP's.	add documentation.			

### 7: Graduation Rate

Goal 7 (State your graduation rate goal.):							
Year	4-year cohort	5-year cohort	Indicator	Indicator Rating			
21-22	91.4	95.7	93.6	Medium/Yellow			
Goal 22-23			95	High/Green			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 Increase the graduation	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data	Utilize available student data to track individual student progress	Transition Readiness Working Document	Bi-monthly monitoring of Transition Readiness Working Document	Title IV
rate indicator to 95 by		over time.		(Leadership Team)	
May 2023	To ensure that protocols and practices are implemented and		Transcript Checks	Annual Transcript Checks/Student	
	monitored to ensure identified		Student 4-Year	4-Year Planning during scheduling	
	students receive appropriate supports.		Planning	(Counselor, Dean of Students, Asst. Principal)	
	KCWP 5: Design, Align, Deliver	Identify students at risk for	Persistence to	Monthly progress checks for students	Title IV
	Support	remediation, failure, and/or untimely graduation.	Graduation Report	listed on PGR (Dean of Students)	
	To develop and implement		Referrals to	Monitor referrals to Counselor/YSC	
	systems and structures to identify and support students	Create and monitor a fluid and systematic approach to support	Counselor/YSC	and share student-specific needs (Counselor)	
	through effective programs and	Jr/Sr in: solid academic planning,	Transition Readiness		
	initiatives.	schedule review/creation,	Working Document		
		advisement and mentoring to			
		ensure that all students are supported in reaching graduation	Transcript Checks		
		goals, to include removing barriers	Student 4-Year		
		and responding to non-academic	Planning		
L		data when necessary.			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	KCWP6: Establishing a Learning	Utilize student/teacher survey data	Culture Survey Data	Culture survey analysis (Learning	none
	Culture and Environment	to inform decisions related to		Environment & School Culture	
		establishing a positive learning		Committee)	
	To ensure that the district/school	culture.			
	prioritize equitable access to a				
	high quality curriculum that is	Utilize mentoring, modeling, and	Summit Platform	Check-ins with Summit mentor and	General Fund
	meaningful and respectful of	direct instruction to facilitate the	mentoring data.	CAO regarding platform data.	Professional
	diverse learners.	development of student's habits of			Development
		success.			

# 8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your other goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2	Objective 2				

#### Special Considerations for Districts with Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

Districts with a school identified for TSI (including ATSI) must monitor and provide support to the school to ensure the successful implementation of the school improvement plan (703 KAR 5:280(10)). The local board of education must review and approve the revised school improvement plan for TSI (including ATSI) schools (KRS 160.346(4)(a)).

#### **Monitoring and Support**

**Consider:** Describe the district's plan for monitoring and supporting the school improvement plan of any school identified for TSI/ATSI. Include in your response information regarding the process for local board review and approval.

#### Response:

TCPS district/school leadership has participated in the TSI training provided by the KDE. As a district, we will work with our assigned coach to determine specific steps in which to accelerate meaningful and sustainable processes and practices for our students experiencing poverty and our students with identified disabilities. We are conducting a review of our systems for academics, operations, climate, and culture. We utilized a staff survey to determine what teachers know (what exists, what is the purpose, and what their role as a teacher is in that system) about the systems and structures that are in place at both elementary schools. This data informs the allocation of resources and funding needed to close the achievement gap.

During our bi-monthly LTM's, we have been conducting an on-going review and analysis of our data and the systems/structures across the district. We have a Google folder that holds all of the documentation for these meetings as well as for the CSIP/CDIP process and our TSI work.

District leadership in partnership with school leadership is conducting disaggregation of data regarding the specific targeted area for TSI with teachers. The purpose is to help teachers understand what the data is telling us, provide input and ownership in the work that needs to be done, and to hold ownership over the results. Teachers will be partners in the development of the plan to close the achievement gap.

TCPS district leadership team and teachers are participating in professional learning and collaboration with OVEC and Envision learning to our build capacity to provide instruction that emphasizes Deeper Learning. This process allows us to rethink the way in which we present information to students and how we evaluate their success. The work behind this process allows us to look at the way in which our students learn and to align the strategies and activities to best support them. Over time, we will provide opportunities for student voice, choice, and agency. We will ensure that learning is meaningful for our students. Finally, we will ensure that learning is active and sustained over time that provides a rigorous learning environment. The district has 8 teachers participating in the development of performance assessments and working with Envision Learning Partners to ensure that the work of Deeper Learning is impactful. As part of this work, we will also take a deeper look at the Modern Classroom Project. This is a research-based instructional model that is student centered and focused on meeting the needs of all students.

District leadership has conducted an analysis of all district data and presented the information to the board. District leadership has given progress updates regarding the phases of the CSIP/CDIP development to the board. Each school has done the same with their SBDM. The final documents will be presented to SBDM and the Board at the January board meeting.