

Team Evaluation

1. First, please give us your evaluation of your group members and yourself. ***This is confidential,*** meaning we won't share it with your group members. Below are the criteria to consider:

- ***Active Participation:*** Attending and participating in group meetings.
- ***Constructive Contribution:*** Improving quality of the work; being proactive; fostering creativity; bringing unique skills and abilities that aid in the quality of the final products; and providing leadership.
- ***Supported Group Process:*** Eliciting, valuing, and respecting the viewpoints and input of others; making room for quiet members or not overshadowing others; mediating arguments and relieving tension; lending a positive attitude.
- ***Communication:*** Checking in with the group when missing a meeting, clarifying expectations, keeping communication channels open among group members, facilitating others' participation, and speaking/listening effectively and respectfully.
- ***Commitment and Effort:*** Following through on assigned tasks, being accountable to group members, and working consistently to meet group expectations.

NAME (write down every team member's name in the boxes)	POINT SCALE				
	1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10				
	(terrible)				(fantastic)
	Active Participation	Constructive Contribution	Supported Group Process	Communication	Commitment and Effort
Yourself: Temitope James	10	10	10	10	10
Group member 1: Subahu Paul	10	10	10	10	10
Group member 2: Mohamed Afifi	10	10	10	10	10
Group member 3: Ka Ho Lam	10	10	10	10	10

2. Next, please write a short paragraph about yourself and each group member that explains your reasons for the ratings above. Give specific examples.

Rate yourself (Explanation and Justification of Self-Rating):

I made sure I completed tasks assigned to me by the team leader and i also volunteered to handle certain tasks myself.

Explanation and Justification of Rating for : Subahu Paul

He made sure to provide his portions on the project, also reminded us of when things were due.

Explanation and Justification of Rating for: Mohamed Afifi

Mohamed structured the group, and got us to work on our projects early, he also managed the division of the tasks.

Explanation and Justification of Rating for: Ka Ho Lam

He was very communicative, he asked how things were going and what we had to do next

Overall: Everyone did their part on schedule and was active in chat. We all agreed not to wait until the last minute to finish. Everyone was enthusiastic about the project.