Debunking Parlo: A Comprehensive ‘Truth’

This document will entirely debunk the false and defamatory claims levied by a known doxxer, child manipulator, stalker and habitual liar **who goes by the online alias of ‘77’ or ‘Renessans’**.

77’s original document was **taken down by Google themselves for Defamation**, it has remained present on Catbox, a poorly moderated archival platform to circumvent a defamation report.

Parlo was recently **cleared** by police and the CPS for the Dupe situation, with an **Official ACRO Police National Computer (PNC) report** in which stated that Parlo was **NOT CHARGED** and that **NO FURTHER ACTION** would be taken. Proof has also been provided from the **Online Child Protection Investigation Team** with a written statement from the Detective Constable stating that there was **a lack of evidence** and no further action will be taken [NFA], as per Parlo's solicitor too.

Dupe themselves attested they were not groomed and one of the original accusers retracted their claims. For clarification, **Dupe had lied about being 17 over 20+ times**, when Parlo was **19**, **all evidence is provided in this google drive.**

No crimes were committed, this was not grooming nor pedophilia, no NSFW was sent, no nudes were exchanged, no meetup occured.






77 has engaged in a myriad of degenerate behaviour.
They have;


- **Victim blamed Parlo, who was a victim of grooming,** and **made comments threatening his life** including stating that Parlo needs to be put in a gas chamber and a woodchipper.
- Admits to **stalking** / a-logging Parlo for **over 14 months.**
- **[May 2025 - August 2026]**
- Actively manipulated numerous minors [Dupe and Kirb] and used Kirb's private statements **without their consent.**
- Openly **used racial slurs** and has lied about his race for over a year, pretending to be black.
- Allowed his mutual friend [Xen] to post uncensored furry pornography in a group chat with Dupe present in, **when he was 12.**
- **Doxxed** Parlo and instructed 2 minors, being Xero and Xen, on where to find his information, phone number, address and his mothers full legal name. **He also encouraged them to harass him.**
- Falsely accused a YouTuber named Gwamgo of operating a devianart account with loli, and insinuated he was a pedophile for it, **this turned out to be a lie.**
- Harassed a user named Frevv for out of context comments he made about another user posting loli from when he was 14, he attempted to insinuate he was a pedophile for it, **he later admitted this was untrue.**
- Used **ChatGPT to create his document** and manipulate the narrative, it was then **deliberately bloated to be 100 pages** to overwhelm readers who don't understand the situation.

77 is **18 years old currently**, and **he has publicly posted his face** numerous times on his discord server.



Addressing The Dupe Allegations.

Parlo was recently **cleared by Police** after he was initially arrested on 11th September 2025 for his messages with Dupe. Parlo was **NOT CHARGED** and the adjudication was **NFA [No Further Action]**. This has been established in an [ACRO Police National Computer (PNC) Nominal Report]. Personal Information has been **REDACTED.**



PNC_[redacted]TO[redacted]..pdf

Open with Google Docs

Compose

Inbox 137

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 2

Purchases

Bills

More

[redacted] SA/[redacted]

subjectaccess@acro.police.uk

to me, subjectaccess

Dear [redacted]

I refer to your recent subject acce

Please find attached our respons

Please note that you will not rece

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The info
retransmission, dissemination or
and destroy any copies of this in

Yours sincerely,

ACRO Criminal Records Office
subjectaccess@acro.police.uk |
acro.police.uk | @ACRO_Police

This electronic message contains
be for the use of the individual(s)
electronic message in error, pleas
including telephone calls and ele

2 Attachments • Scanned by G

[redacted]

PNC [redacted]

Reply Reply all

ACRO Subject Access Request SA[redacted] To[redacted]
Your disclosure is for personal use only - you are NOT obligated to provide this to a third party.

Police National Computer Nominal Report

Nominal Details

Police National Computer ID	[redacted]
Last Name	[redacted]
First Name(s)	TO[redacted]
Date of Birth	02/05/05
Gender	MALE
Colour/Ethnic Appearance	WHITE NORTH EUROPEAN
File Name	[redacted] TO[redacted]
File Date of Birth	02/05/05
Place of Birth	[redacted]

Arrest / Summons History

Arrest

Arrest/Summons Ref:	[redacted]
Name Charged:	[redacted] TO[redacted]
Date of Birth:	02/05/05
Fingerprint Status:	DESTRUCTION[redacted] 12/09/25
DNA Status:	DESTROYED
Sample Type:	SWAB
DNA FS Ref.:	[redacted]
Process Stage:	ARRESTED ON 11/09/25 02:49
Test Method:	DNA[redacted]
Photograph:	[redacted] 11/09/25
Report Owner:	45 (SURREY POLICE)
Prosecuting Agent:	CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE (CPS)
Last Updated:	[redacted]03/26

Disposal History

Disposal (NFA)

Description:	NFA 16/03/26 AT 45NN
NFA Date:	16/03/26
Text:	NOT CHARGED
Offence Count:	1
Owner:	45 (SURREY POLICE)
Last Updated:	19/03/26

Offence

Arrest/Summons Ref:	[redacted]
Crime Reference:	[redacted]
Offence Number:	1
Court/Caution/Force Reference:	[redacted]
Court Offence Number:	1
Adjudication:	NFA (NO FURTHER ACTION)
Originator:	45 (SURREY POLICE)
Offence Description:	ENGAGE IN SEXUAL COMMUNICATION WITH A CHILD
Offence Date(s):	11/09/25
Disposal:	NFA (NO FURTHER ACTION) 16/03/26 AT 45 (SURREY POLICE) FS/REF: 45 (SURREY POLICE)


Disposal History

Disposal (NFA)

Description:	NFA 16/03/26 AT 45NN
NFA Date:	16/03/26
Text:	NOT CHARGED
Offence Count:	1
Owner:	45 (SURREY POLICE)
Last Updated:	19/03/26

Offence

Arrest/Summons Ref:	[redacted]
Crime Reference:	[redacted]
Offence Number:	1
Court/Caution/Force Reference:	[redacted]
Court Offence Number:	1
Adjudication:	NFA (NO FURTHER ACTION)
Originator:	45 (SURREY POLICE)
Offence Description:	ENGAGE IN SEXUAL COMMUNICATION WITH A CHILD
Offence Date(s):	11/09/25
Disposal:	NFA (NO FURTHER ACTION) 16/03/26 AT 45 (SURREY POLICE) FS/REF: 45 (SURREY POLICE)



L[redacted], C[redacted] [redacted]7
to me
5:47 AM (10 hours ago)

Good morning [redacted]

You are no longer under investigation. The investigation has concluded and due to a lack of evidence found, no further action will be taken.


Regards

[redacted]7 C[redacted] L[redacted]
Detective Constable
Online Child Protection Investigation Team

From: [redacted] <[redacted]@gmail.com>
Sent: 23 May 2026 13:30
To: L[redacted] C[redacted] [redacted]7 <C[redacted]L[redacted]@[redacted].police.uk>
Subject: Re: Investigation

A statement from the Detective Constable, part of the UK Online Child Protection Investigation Team, who investigated the Dupe situation and chat logs, stated on 23rd May 2026, that; 'the investigation has concluded and due to a lack of evidence found, no further action will be taken'.

The Detective Constable has an official UK police email, meaning that this is authentic. No crime was committed.



Your police matter Inbox ×

[redacted]
9:40 AM (1 hour ago)

Dear [redacted]

I am very pleased to let you know that the police have advised me that they will be taking no further action against you in relation to the matters for which you were arrested last year.


This means you will not face any charges in Court and are no longer subject to the bail conditions imposed previously.


I will write to you formally confirming this next week and with file closing information but wanted to let you know the good news straight away.

Wishing you all the best
[redacted]

[redacted]
Senior Associate
[redacted]
For and on behalf of [redacted] LLP
[redacted]
Office: [redacted] Direct: [redacted]
[redacted]

Dupe has originally lied about his age, consistently affirming that he was born on **April 10th 2007**. Dupe had made it known that he was 16 in 2023 and 17 in 2024/2025 numerous times.







The believed age gap between Dupe and me was **1 year 11 months**.

(Parlo 5/02/2005 | Dupe 4/10/2007)









Dupe actively told Parlo that he was employed and had a job at Aldi's [supermarket chain].

Dupe created fake doxbins of himself with fake doxxed archive links of his face to push the idea that he was older than he actually was.










The image could not be reverse searched.


The selfie cemented Parlo's belief that Dupe was 17 at the time. Dupe admitted the dox was fake, and joked about it being used to 'fool' Parlo.




Yes, someone can attend college in the UK at 18. In fact, it's a common age for students to transition to further education, including colleges, after completing secondary school (usually at 16). At 18, students can choose to pursue A-levels, vocational qualifications like BTECs, or T Levels, or even begin a degree-level course at a college or university.

























Dupe had pretended as if his real face and images from SnapChat had been leaked [SnapChat images from 2021 / 2022], when I had believed him to have been 14 at that time, 17 in 2024.

The purple selfie image on the left I was under the belief that it was of Dupe recently, and it was posted and brought up to me in August 2024, 1 month after we started 'dating'. I was under the belief that I knew what he looked like, cementing the idea that I believed him to be a 17 year old teen, with the same mental capacity as me. This was objectively a form of catfishing.

dupe 5/25/2024 12:20 AM
im used to it now
either way i need to work
my manager will fucking kill me
if i dont get to work on time
so i just have learned to ignore themn

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 12:20 AM
what is ur work

dupe 5/25/2024 12:20 AM
aldi
so i can get scraps
of money
saving
s

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 12:20 AM
oh shit
how long do u work there fo

dupe 5/25/2024 12:20 AM
like hours?
or how long ive been
there

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 12:20 AM
like when does ur shift end

dupe 5/25/2024 12:20 AM
oh
5am to 7am
so its less busy
its better that way
do you like work outside of youtube

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 12:25 AM
no
youtube is kinda like everything to me
do you get ppl at aldi at this time?

dupe 5/25/2024 12:26 AM
well its the night thing at the gas station so when i end my shift they open the actual thing
90% of people use card at the pump
so i only really need to like serve 5-10 ppl

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 3:10 AM
How's the shift been

> Parlo - WAR ERA How's the shift been

dupe 5/25/2024 3:10 AM
im getting ready
for it

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 3:10 AM
Oh I'm stupid lmao

dupe 5/25/2024 3:10 AM
xd

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 3:10 AM
It starts at 5 right?

dupe 5/25/2024 3:10 AM
ye

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 3:11 AM
Do u go to bed after the shift ends

dupe 5/25/2024 3:11 AM
depends

Parlo - WAR ERA 1/28/2025 1:11 PM
God I need a 17 year old



GIF

dupe 1/28/2025 1:12 PM
I love grooming 17 year olds
As a 20 year old
Nothing wrong with that

sol 8/24/2024 5:34 PM
I've been fine, I got a new phone completely remote from my parents and uhhh Someone dmed me my doxxed face and doxxed mothers name thismorning which caught me off guard (edited)

Parlo - WAR ERA 8/24/2024 5:35 PM
Why
I swear it wasn't me
Or had anything to do with me

sol 8/24/2024 5:35 PM
Dude I don't fucking know them at all
No I'm its not just
U
It's from 2021
Or 2022 I forgot
Image attachment
Image attachment


Parlo - WAR ERA 8/24/2024 5:36 PM
Why do they have a pic from when u were like 14

sol 5/14/2024 10:25 PM

i regret wasting my <16 years on my pc lmfao, chronically online since the ripe age of 8 and online for the majority of time since 5-6 isnt great lmfao


rotting away

in my dreaded "office"



dupe 3/26/2025 5:50 AM

please read @Parlo



Parlo - WAR ERA 10/2/2024 4:48 AM

We don't have one here

Since 16 and above is fine

And we're only 1 year apart


sol 10/2/2024 4:49 AM

Ya I don't see anything wrong with it

Parlo - WAR ERA 10/2/2024 4:49 AM

Police in the uk don't have a problem with close in age age gaps either


There is no moral issue with a 1 year age gap



If images too small to read use CTRL + [+] and [-] to zoom in/out


On March 29th 2025, Dupe admitted he lied about his age and was 12 turning 13. He acknowledged how much this would have affected me, and apologised for lying to me. He stated that what he did was ‘absolutely reprehensible’.


After Dupe disclosed his age, I deleted all prior sexual messages after being aware of the situation, and ***ceased contact***. Only opening it up, to re-engage with Dupe when he maliciously started spreading screenshots against me and in order to access old dms with his account.



On May 25th 2025, Dupe engaged with one of my old accounts, and took accountability for the situation. Affirming the fact that I did not groom or manipulate him under any circumstances. In actuality, he had manipulated me in regards to lying about his age. Dupe **was not coerced** into this statement.


Dupe confirms that I never once leveraged my influence, or power from my platform to solicit him, groom him or abuse him.






He also confirms that I never sent him NSFW content, as I was under the belief he was 17, and it would still possibly derive legal complications if I had sent NSFW content, even with a 17-19 age gap.

Dupe states he knew of my content before, but was never a fan, so the idea that my platform was used to pry Dupe into engaging with me is not true.





Dupe confirms that I never once made him feel uncomfortable and that when I was under the belief that he was 17, I respected his boundaries, never pushed him or coerced him and that I always made sure I had his consent, when I had believed him to be 17. Dupe denies the false pretense that there was emotional manipulation.

Now in February 2024, I had sent self harm to Dupe when I was 18, and he was believed to have been 16 on one occasion. This was **not a form of emotional manipulation** to coax Dupe into doing anything for me or to guilt him, Dupe acknowledges this himself. It was an isolated incident that is inexcusable regardless, and I have apologised for directly to Dupe. I have not replicated such behaviour since. For crucial context, me and Dupe mutually vented about our personal struggles and Dupe had personally stated that I saved him from suicide in late 2023.
In February 2024, I was undergoing a very severe self destructive mental breakdown this was discerned by my shaking, erratic behaviour, and my suicidality at the time in which clouded my judgment and processing skills pathing the way for me to have done and said things at the time which are not excusable. This has all been complicated by many undiagnosed mental illnesses due to CAMHS refusing to have allowed me through a diagnosis process in 2022, again these are not excuses, it is crucial context to the lead up of the situation.


Video explaining the full context behind the situation explained;
https://youtu.be/IeGH8SWqHAg **17:30 - 23:32**

I understand the gravity of the situation and how it would affect an individual who one is close to, and for that exact reason, I took decisive action to ensure that I never replicated such behaviour again and had apologised profusely to Dupe in 2024, I understand even more so how Dupe actually being 12 in this situation exacerbates the severity of the situation. Keep in mind however, the apology I issued can only be accepted by the person who it is directed to. Outside malicious actors have attempted to discredit its legitimacy by using **AI programs** to break down the semantical basis of my apology to make it seem self fulfilling and inherently narcissistic when that is not the truth whatsoever. They attempt to dismantle the apology to try and prove it to be insincere to then use it as a pretense to consistently bring the situation back up for their own personal benefit, without consulting the victim affected whatsoever.


Dupe has made it evident that he wishes to move on from the situation and not have malicious actors attempt to instigate the situation for their own personal benefit.


My behaviour was **gravely impulsive and reckless**, however there were no underlying malicious motives. I have made it perfectly clear that I should be openly criticised for now verifying Dupe's age by asking for a provisional driver's license or some form of personal identification and moving forward I will do my best to ensure that happens. There was no form of emotional manipulation as confirmed by Dupe, and a power dynamic was never in play in reference to influence being exerted to take advantage of him.


Dupe was **not coerced or manipulated into making his statement to me on May 26th 2025**, he stated himself that he wished to make things right with me and answer any of my questions before he deactivated his account and left. There is no evidence whatsoever to prove that he was manipulated into that admission.




77 is seen heavily pushing the idea that Dupe was coaxed or coerced into his statement to me, because it is his own discernible response to brush off and invalidate what Dupe said. 77 attempts to greatly push this false and unsubstantiated idea.








77 is on record numerous times making and forwarding absolutely baseless insinuations, as leaked, he openly tried to push the idea that Dupe did ‘not write his response on his own volition’, this has been proven to be a lie. 77 is seen carefully tiptoeing around discussing this in public, not being seen directly stating it verbatim, but very maliciously inferring it so others get the impression too.




Dupe made it known on 11th May 2025, that he was planning to leave the internet regardless at the end of the month and wanted to make one last statement about me. After Dupe came to terms with how malicious and manipulative 77 was, Dupe was seen making a final statement to me on 26th May on his own volition, clearing up many details and then promptly deactivating his account. This adds significantly more credibility to the fact that Dupe was NOT coerced or manipulated.



77 is conflating poor judgment with criminal intent. Grooming requires sustained predatory behavior, not a mistake based on lies. 77 attempts to show a non-existent pattern of predatory behavior by conflating debunked and addressed allegations in tandem with the Dupe situation. It is deliberate.
Dating someone under false pretenses they created does not make you a groomer. Grooming requires knowing deception, not being deceived. No examples of isolation, gaslighting or emotional manipulation was present in any circumstances which are hallmarks of grooming.

- **Parental obstruction as an Obstacle, not a boundary:**

Parlo openly complains about Dupes “stupid parents” interfering and blocking their ability to meet. **He even suggests that if parents don't find out, “what can they do abt it,” treating parental protection as an inconvenience. That is a huge red flag.**



77 is seen showing a screenshot maliciously taken out of context. I had sent this message having been under the impression Dupe was 17, and having been in contact with him for over 1 year.

I stated that it was illogical for Dupe’s parents to be overtly controlling and stop them from meeting people.

Dupe would often talk about how controlling and restrictive they were and I was extremely angry at the idea that they were doing this to Dupe despite him being apparently 17 at the time, less than a year away from adulthood. I believed the idea that his parents weren’t granting Dupe some form of independence to be stupid.

All context however is cropped. And is now shifted to the idea that somehow I knew Dupe was 13, and that I wanted to meet him without his parents knowledge. When this was said when I was under the idea he was 17, while I was 19. This was included to severely hint at non-existent grooming behaviour.

Reasonable Belief


There has been considerable misinformation spread about the Reasonable Belief Clause that is a perfectly legally valid defense for the Dupe situation.


Many people have been using the retort that if the [“victim”] is below the age of 13, the reasonable belief defense would not apply, this is completely untrue.

This is an example of people who do not understand the UK law, conflating the laws of Sexual Communication with a Minor, with laws in regards to IRL Sexual Abuse of a minor.


It is extremely easy to discern in an IRL relationship that someone under 13 would appear underaged and pre-pubescent. Hence why reasonable belief would not work in a situation pertaining to an IRL situation, it would be implausible for someone to believe someone >13 years is +16.

In situations pertaining to Sexual Communication with a Minor, this takes place online, meaning a lot more factors need to be collectively considered. It is significantly easier for someone to fabricate their persona online, catfish others and lie about their age. Hence why there is no age exemption pertaining to that specific crime.





People have been conflating the charge with the wrong offence. It was not sexual activity, it was sexual communication as it never was in real life, it was chat messages online.



9 Sexual activity with a child

(1) A person aged 18 or over (A) commits an offence if—

(a) he intentionally touches another person (B),

(b) the touching is sexual, and

(c) either—

(i) B is under 16 and A does not reasonably believe that B is 16 or over, or

(ii) B is under 13.

(2) A person guilty of an offence under this section, if the touching involved—

(a) penetration of B's anus or vagina with a part of A's body or anything else,

(b) penetration of B's mouth with A's penis,

(c) penetration of A's anus or vagina with a part of B's body, or

(d) penetration of A's mouth with B's penis,

is liable, on conviction on indictment, to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 14 years.

(3) Unless subsection (2) applies, a person guilty of an offence under this section is liable—

(a) on summary conviction, to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months or to a fine not exceeding the statutory maximum or both;

(b) on conviction on indictment, to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 14 years.

[F1 15A Sexual communication with a child

(1) A person aged 18 or over (A) commits an offence if—

(a) for the purpose of obtaining sexual gratification, A intentionally communicates with another person (B),

(b) the communication is sexual or is intended to encourage B to make (whether to A or to another) a communication that is sexual, and

(c) B is under 16 and A does not reasonably believe that B is 16 or over.

(2) For the purposes of this section, a communication is sexual if—

(a) any part of it relates to sexual activity, or

(b) a reasonable person would, in all the circumstances but regardless of any person's purpose, consider any part of the communication to be sexual;


and in paragraph (a) "sexual activity" means an activity that a reasonable person would, in all the circumstances but regardless of any person's purpose, consider to be sexual.


(3) A person guilty of an offence under this section is liable—

(a) on summary conviction, to imprisonment for a term not exceeding [F2 the general limit in a magistrates' court] or a fine or both;


(b) on conviction on indictment, to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years.]


When comparing the Reasonable Belief Clauses of Sexual Activity and Sexual Communication, you can clearly see that Sexual Communication does not have a clause stating; “unless B is under 13”, this is done because someone online has many more avenues to lie about their age, fabricate their persona and catfish, irregardless of their age.



Parlo - WAR ERA 10/2/2024 4:01 AM
Can I be a minor
And can you groom me irl
[redacted] 10/2/2024 4:02 AM
I will molsest you
Irl
You can be a 16 year old
I will be 23
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/2/2024 4:05 AM
:3333
[redacted] 10/2/2024 4:06 AM
:3333


dupe Yesterday at 3:46 AM
yeah .....
I'm actually a 24 year old 6'0 bearded man
Parlo Yesterday at 3:46 AM
fuck


parlo 07/02/2024 13:54
im 20
its fine
Dupe 07/02/2024 13:55
i thought you were 18
parlo 07/02/2024 13:55
i am
Dupe 07/02/2024 13:55
im 45
parlo 07/02/2024 13:55
yikes...
Dupe 07/02/2024 13:55
IM JOKING


Do u like my jacket
dupe 7/7/2024 12:03 PM
WOW!
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/7/2024 12:03 PM
Your ex is a pedo...
dupe 7/7/2024 12:04 PM
hes 15.....
i think.....
and hope....
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/7/2024 12:06 PM
I'm 15....
dupe 7/7/2024 12:06 PM
:3
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/7/2024 12:06 PM
:3


Parlo - WAR ERA 7/8/2024 11:55 PM
im 21.
dupe 7/8/2024 11:55 PM
I'm 16.
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/8/2024 11:55 PM
hot.


dupe 8/7/2024 12:15 AM
can you groom me then
i'm 13!
10 year age gap
no biggie
Parlo - WAR ERA 8/7/2024 12:15 AM
Holy fuck
I... love you babe

Now many people attempt to use the false claim that I was doing age-play with Dupe, and that I received sexual satisfaction pretending to be different ages. This is factually untrue, albeit the comments are extremely embarrassing, tone-deaf and unprofessional, they were dark humour jokes made between me and Dupe. For context, we often made jokes about our ages and changed them consistently. I would make comments about being 15, 16, 20, 21, 23, Dupe would make comments about being drastically older [16, 24, 45]. On one occasion I had claimed to be 23, and he had stated 13. **This was not proof of me having known he was 13**, I assumed this to have been yet another example of Dupe joking around and lying about his age. That age held as much credibility and truth as the numerous other examples of Dupe openly lying about his age too, as in actuality at that time, he was 12.


This was the humour we had in DM's with one another. The egregious claim that this was age-play lacks critical evidence, is unsubstantiated and is categorically untrue. The fact this was dark-humour does not underscore the fact that these messages should not have been sent due to how unprofessional they are. And for that I do take accountability.





The 13 year old screenshot, is evidently an overtly satirical message exchange as clearly discerned by the extremely illogical emoji spam and wording used. It was not to be taken seriously.
This can easily be discerned by what Dupe had stated at the start that instigated the overly satirical messages, with him stating; 'i'm going to groom you… how old are you?'.
My reply with an image of Ayatollah Khamenei with the caption; 'Am I daddy material', should be another large giveaway.



Screenshot of chat excerpts between me and Dupe - 8th July 2024. Proves that the conversational jokes were not meticulously calibrated and done. It also proves how I entirely believed Dupe was 17 at the time, we had essentially just randomly out on a whim made joke comments about being older or younger. There was no semblance of truth meant to be inferred from these statements.









77 was seen **pressuring and pressing a 15 year old minor** named Arhum about his DM's with Dupe. 77 is verifiably seen aggressively poking Arhum for more information and is seen being confrontational.

77 is attempting to use an OUT OF CONTEXT message sent by Arhum to Dupe, to push forward the false pretext that Dupe was manipulated or pushed to apologising. However with the additive context, and while analysing Arhum's message, one is easily able to decipher that this was not the case.




Dupe has openly on his own volition, made the apology statement to Arhum, with hopes that it would come back to me. Dupe was never coaxed into this statement. In it he highlights how sorry he was for lying about his age and irresponsibly handling the situation. He also is sorry for putting himself in malicious echo chambers [such as 77's friend group] along with stating that he will permanently be giving up his Sol / Dupe alias.

Arhum 3 HOURS LATER is clearly seen then stating that Dupe should perhaps be clearer and make an apology for objectively catfishing me and of course making the clear distinction that I never knew his age. 2 factors that are objectively true and **were not** being pushed onto Dupe to admit, he was asked to take accountability for 2 things he did.




77's entire modus operandi here is to deflate Arhum's reputation, pin down a 15 year old and press him for information, attack him publicly on Twitter, and lie creating a false pretense that Dupe was coerced. 77 attempts to pin the idea that there was some elaborate coverup and this 15 year old minor is just another 'cog in the machine'. Extremely weird and categorically false analogy.
77 is known to make **absolutely erratic and baseless claims**, and this is but one example.



This tweet was made before Arhum was pushed and pressed on information, it shows that 77 had his intent set on trying to push forward a false narrative that Dupe was coaxed and coerced into a false admission.

77 heavily relies on this idea, because Dupe's aforementioned statements he made on his own volition on May 26th 2025, **fundamentally broke down 77's entire false document created by AI programs**. It is therefore in 77's best interests to scramble any disjointed proof as possible to invalidate Dupe's statements because he never cared for the commentary or say of the victims to begin with [case and point- Kirb].




Dupe consulted me on May 26th 2025, many weeks after Arhum's comment to him proving that he remained unchanged and unbothered by what Arhum had stated. The situations were mutually exclusive events. Dupe messaged me on his own volition before he departed which was something he openly planned to do regardless and told to 77 upfront. Him


stating ‘Ok might as well put this here’, infers he did this on his own volition to clarify everything and out of guilt, rather than some insane conspiracy that he was extorted or pushed into some ‘false’ admission which would have been introduced with a cut, nonchalant hasty introduction.


> 77 is retroactively rewriting history to sustain their smear campaign against me.
>
> He ignores Dupe’s agency, pre-existing confessions, and timeline inconsistencies to push a false "victim" narrative for Dupe.
>
> If Dupe *truly* felt coerced, they would have ample opportunity to have retracted the apology and come out to accuse me or others of forcing them or have stayed online to ”clarify” under duress.
>
> Alas no proof has ever been provided of Dupe being manipulated let alone EXTORTED into these admissions, Dupe would not have left the internet on his own volition by making a transparent statement to me being upfront and honest about aspects of possible misinformation that I spread regarding his ‘CP jokes’ if he was actually harassed into a false confession. It is a ludicrous assumption aided and abetted by a chronic stalker who attempts to conflate situations to his benefit to create false scenarios presenting me as a malicious person. Dupe was not extorted.

This is a clear case of malicious actors (like "77") twisting facts to harass me. Dupe’s apology stands as a voluntary, credible admission—not a product of coercion.



77 is seen on 28th June 2025 claiming that the coercion claim was merely a suspicion he has that needs to be proven true. However this directly contrasts his aforementioned tweets where he directly claims he had evidence to heavily suggest and in other words prove Dupe was coerced. Did 77 lie publicly about having evidence, did 77 bluff in order to act as a form of psychological manipulation, or was the evidence so minimal that he started watering down and tuning down his overly false claims after being called out on my server?






77 is seen **manipulating** Dupe into believing that him sending my self harm images uncensored and disseminating the images was not his fault, and coaxed the 13 year old minor into ‘not feeling bad’ for his actions or what he did. Dupe knew the ramifications of his own actions when he did it, describing it as ‘weird’. 77 gaslighting this minor into believing what they did was not bad is inherently disgusting and malicious.

Addressing The Kirb Situation


Video addressing the entire situation in detail;
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZXrRxMAXf-s
20:38 - 24:00





77 highlights the situation to do with Kirb and leaks messages of this minor completely without his content. This was specifically after Kirb has stated that the situation was directly addressed by me numerous times in private beforehand and that I had apologised.
77 attempts to push and pry the minor into revitalising the situation and hypocritically stating that people have not been held responsible for their actions, while he is seen attempting to defend people who committed far more egregious actions by shilling for their apologies [such as HairyTwinkle].
Kirb is seen directly questioning whether it matters regardless, questioning the point of bringing this up when all parties have moved on. Further

highlighting the extremely serious undertones this situation contains as it pertains to my seriously bad and egregious mental state that I was in during 2022 when I was 16. Highlighting how I was pushed into a stage of an emotional mental breakdown due to people casting doubts on my mental state. Again, this is not an excuse, but crucial context.



Parlo's Self Harm Fiasco

Before I get into Parlos DM's with Dupe, we need to address a disturbing (and often overlooked) part of his behavior: His repeated act of sending graphic self harm images to others in his community, including a 13 year old named Kirb, back in 2022.

What you're about to read is not a one time lapse in judgement. It's a disturbing pattern of emotionally volatile and dangerous behavior that has had real world consequences for those involved. While Parlo has attempted to reframe these moments as mental breakdowns or mistakes from a worse time, that does not change the fact that he involved children, people younger, more vulnerable, and legally and developmentally less equipped to handle trauma like this.

77 attempts to cite this as a repetitive act of me having sent self harm to numerous individuals in this community inferring that I showed this content to my fans directly, however cites no direct sources indicating such information.
77 uses wordplay manipulation to claim that I 'reframed' these moments as mental breakdowns, seeming to negate the buildup to the situation which is based on the suicidality that I was facing which resulted in emotional breakdowns complicating the situations further.

During a suicidal breakdown, the brain's prefrontal cortex (responsible for impulse control and judgment) is severely impaired. What I did, while impulsive and while having adverse ramifications to which I fully took accountability for and addressed, was a distorted cry for help, not a deliberate attempt to harm others such as Kirb.


Many teens lack the tools to articulate suicidal pain in a healthy way and when I was 16, it was no different. For the lead up to the situation, I was consistently doubted and claimed to have been a suicide-baiter, this amalgamated to me trauma dumping on anyone and everyone, not coming to grasp with the ramification of my actions, it was done because I was internally suffering and


wanted people to take my cries for help seriously. I felt isolated and had no way to communicate, and the way I did regardless, was extremely regrettable.

In a mental crisis as I was undergoing, I could not foresee the consequences. A 16-year-old's brain is still developing empathy and risk-assessment skills (especially under extreme distress).

I have ensured that none of these factors act as a defense or shield for what I did, however they explain and paint a larger picture for this situation. I took accountability for the situation towards Kirb and have shown growth and change as a person and that was attested by Kirb himself. The disjointed 2024 Dupe situation may be referenced as a counter by 77, however there was no pattern present or correlation between the 2 distinct situations. I have fully taken accountability and issued apologies to both Dupe and Kirb.








Numerous apology statements I had sent to Kirb spanning throughout 2023, 2024 and 2025. Showing an expressed change in character and me taking accountability for what I did.

[Video where I called Kirb and apologized once again, Kirb is seen accepting it and mentioning why people attempt to maliciously bring this situation up.]



77 **leaked** messages of Kirb, (a minor) and **goes against their wishes** to reignite a resolved situation from 3 years ago. 77 uses an extremely serious and possibly triggering situation from 3 years ago and wedges it in his document at the time filled with misinformation when it best suits him to benefit from it. This proves that he categorically does not care about victims and will lie and twist situations to make them seem more malicious when the opportunity best suits him. Kirb was even seen attesting himself that 77 was manipulating the situation, insinuating that self harming was a form of sympathy baiting.



77 was then seen stating that the minor's commentary was **never** the focus of the document, 'nor was their interpretation presented as fact'. Showing a complete disregard for what Kirb had to say entirely. 77 prides himself on caring and fighting for victims, however as soon as they express vitriolic disgust in what he is doing, 77 will throw them under the bus, demean and neutralise their input and opinion to benefit himself.

In this section of the document, he shifts attention away towards a minor named Kirb, and claims that I “reignited a resolved situation from three years ago” and did so against Kirbs wishes. He then weaponizes Kirbs frustration as a way to undermine the entire Parlo

Mega Document, presenting it as reckless, vengeful, and inconsiderate for real victims.

But like many other points in Parlo’s defense, this one falls apart under scrutiny.

So here’s what actually happened.

Kirb is in fact mentioned briefly in the Parlo Mega doc, not to dredge up trauma or use them as a pawn, but to illustrate a *pattern*. The inclusion was relevant because Kirb had been involved in past situations involving Parlo, and it demonstrated how Parlo responded when his behavior was questioned, even years ago.

In fact, the leaked messages between Kirb and Parlo prove something very different than what Parlo claims

Kirb says:



That’s not denial of an event. It’s frustration at it being mentioned again.

But Kirb’s upset alone doesn’t invalidate the point. The document doesn’t misrepresent what happened either. It **shows Parlo’s behavior over time**, especially when being confronted about harm. It isn’t about relitigating the past, **but showing that Parlo’s patterns did not start with Dupe.**

So.. what is Parlo really trying to do with this section?

Parlo’s Real Goal: Emotional Blackmail

More telling is how Parlo frames me(77) as a malicious actor who doesn’t care about victims:

> The document also **leaks** messages of Kirb, (a minor) and **goes against their wishes** to reignite a resolved situation from 3 years ago. 77 uses an extremely serious and possibly triggering situation from 3 years ago and instills it at the time when it best suits him to benefit from it. This proves that he categorically does not care about victims and will lie and twist situations to make them seem more malicious when the opportunity best suits him.

This is pure **emotional manipulation.** Instead of addressing the substance of any claims I make, Parlo weaponizes **tone policing**, accuses others of “not caring about victims,” and tries to shame critics like me into silence.

This is the same tactic Parlo uses whenever he’s cornered.

When exposed:

- He minimizes
- He reframes
- He accuses others of being malicious or unstable
- And finally, he claims that any criticism is a personal attack against his character or mental health.


This is not accountability, but gaslighting.

In his counter document he completely avoids even addressing the fundamental argument made. He sidesteps criticism and uses blanket terms once again such as “emotional blackmail” in the wrong context to demonise and brush off the justified criticism levied his way to his mistreatment of who he claims to be victims.

There was no emotional blackmail present. He leaked a victim's words and screenshots completely without their consent, played down and suppressed their problems and completely brushed it off. He never actually cared about victims, he cared about how he could benefit off of them and use them as a point to propel himself into popularity by creating shitty google documents filled with AI generated paragraphs.

All the bullet points he uses are semantical blanket terms. Again, in an immature fashion he wishes to take the bare minimum amount of accountability as possible, and deflect as much as he can. (Extremely Hypocritical)


Kirb's frustration was never weaponized, it reflected a justified criticism he has in regards to the way 77 weaponised suicidality against me, he used wordplay manipulation to create a narrative that the situation was inherently insidious and he continued to press the situation after the minor [Kirb] expressed his discomfort and unwillingness to have this situation become public.



Kirb is seen attesting that 77 never under any circumstances, asked permission from Kirb to show his messages. He threw Kirb into the public sphere once again, having no thought of how this could affect him or maybe even trigger him.
Kirb was essentially just a chess piece for 77 to use in his game of trying to smear my name, underscore my sincerity and apologies and to demonise my actions continuously.


77 hypocritically attempted to reframe the situation, every accusation he is seen making is in fact an admission as he has openly been seen manipulating Dupe into believing that disseminating and leaking

uncensored self harm images was not an inherently immoral thing for him to do.



Addressing the 2022 Allegations










77 conflates 2 different situations together, to give the impression to the viewer that the direct sexual messages I made under the discord account with the Joker PFP was to Shawty who stated their age being 13. This is to maliciously present me as a predator at the start of this document, 77 also deliberately does not source my response, this was done intentionally to add credibility or basis towards his false allegations and inferences that I was predating on, and grooming Dupe which was claimed later in his document.

The 2022 allegations reference 2 different situations, the one with the green Joker PFP was my dms with Burger which have been maliciously cropped negating all context. Burger was 2 months older than me, I was 16 and he was 17.
This situation was addressed in my original Response video to allegations thrown against me on Twitter in 2024.











On numerous occasions I had verified Burger's age, he turned 17 in March 2022, and I turned 17 in May 2022.
To conflate this situation with the Shawnty screenshots is inherently dangerous and will cause false impressions that I directly ERP'd with a 13 whom I knew the age of, this is completely untrue.


The other allegations involve a user named Shawnee / Shawty who was 13 turning 14 in March 2022, with a >3 year age gap between us. I did NOT sexually engage with him, **NOR did I know his age**. I shut down his progressively suggestive and sexual messages. This situation was systematically addressed in my counter document on the situation, yet it

was still included in this document to paint a non-existent pattern of predatory behaviour. 77 knew exactly what he was doing.


Shawty then proceeded to make extremely s*xually degenerate remarks in the server to which I DID NOT reciprocate with. Instead I SHUT IT DOWN.
I stated 'Save it for DMs', implying I wanted it to cease in my general chat for my server.








Slavcis lied claiming I 's*xted' a 13 year old in 2022, when I would have been 16. This is incorrect.
As proven in my response document I never engaged in s*xual RP with this user. Nor did I know his age.






Me agreeing to VC.
Which was misinterpreted by Shawty.


It was by miscommunication that Shawty continued to speak se[redacted]ly, believing that he had the green light to engage the way he did. Despite Parlo having jokingly said "Yes 😳", and nothing more. Shawty stated "I take that as a yes", getting a false impression, not confirmed by Parlo at the time. This being proof that Parlo never explicitly stated he wanted Shawty to act the way they did. Parlo further made his intentions clearer only a few minutes later in the chat logs about to be presented that he did not want this user to engage the way he did.

I believed it was some dumb joke comment and I couldn't have retroactively known that Shawty was going to start doing non-mutual ERP dms in my public server.

The joke albeit weird, had no malintent behind it, because I did NOT message this user in DMs WHATSOEVER. I was not interested in this person, and I just made an offhand joke to calm a very degenerate and abrupt situation.








I never knew the age of Shawty of had any malicious intent in regards to them. I knew literally nothing about them as a person and only had sent 'Yes' in response to the VC purposal. When the conversation got sexual I shut it down.

Shawty concedes that they were never groomed, abused, manipulated or taken advantage of, because they literally did not contact Parlo whatsoever exempt from this situation. They also state that the age gap dynamic is not pedophilic either, contrary to the bad actors who lied about the situation.

Parlo did not know the age of Shawty (Truth), and he never knew of them before the situation had taken place. They were a random person who behaved relatively erratically at the start and then divulged to being inappropriate. Parlo never had plans to solicit, engage, meet up, abuse, groom or take advantage of this person. Parlo has no idea who they looked like, or even where they were from.

Shawty themselves even stated nothing sexual transpired between me and them, and that 'Parlo doesn't even want these type of sexual situations, and neither do I'.



Frevv 03/22/2022 11:17 PM
Can I have a statement about the while parlo situation


Christian Δ 03/22/2022 11:20 PM
this is not okay, parlo and me we're just playing, people took it too serious, and acused parlo of pedofila, even if he is 3 years older than me, we know that to be an adult, you need to be 18+. and so you can become a pedo at that age, im not trying to say something that "parlo is a pedo" or something, we're just playing. people took it too serious. i pretty much apologize, for the drama I caused, this is my fault, and i have to deal with it. I'm going to say it again. THAT. WAS. A. FUCKING. JOKE.
its not the best, but i tried to.
and im sorry if its hard to read, im still learning english.

Frevv 03/22/2022 11:23 PM
Can you confirm that nothing sexual went on between you and parlo?

Christian Δ 03/22/2022 11:25 PM
nothing sexual went with me and between parlo, we're just playing and thats it. i dont really wanna have those type of sexual situations. and parlo doesn't too, short answer: no, nothing sexual went between parlo and me.
oh, check what that person called mumeimei did.


Now the age gap between me and Shawty seemed to have been less than 3 years. I was born on 02/05/2005, and they stated they were turning 14 'soon' as of mid March. Since the age gap was >3 years, that would NOT be indicative of a pedophilic age gap.

Parlo was 16 in March 2022, and he turned 17 in May.
Truth (Shawty/Christian) was 13, *believed to be turning 14 relatively soon.*



@Luna Rose 13 too nice to meet ya :]
Shawnee 03/14/2022
im 13, almost 14

Keep in mind Parlo had absolutely no idea how old Truth was at the time, and their exact birthdate is still unknown. The age gap is believed to have been probably less than 3 years.



The DSM-5 requires that a person must be at least 16 years old, and at least five years older than the prepubescent child or children they are aroused by, for the attraction to be diagnosed as pe[redacted]ilic disorder. Similarly, the ICD-11 excludes s[redacted]al behavior among post-pubertal children who are close in age.

https://en.wikipedia.org › wiki › P...
Pe[redacted]ilia - Wikipedia


So already this situation cannot be legally distinguished as a pe[redacted]ilic age gap dynamic or situation. Especially when considering the fact that the age gap was 3 years at most or perhaps even less. However it is dependent on the accuser who levies the proof, that evidence is shown that the person accused knew the age, however still continued and showed s[redacted]l/ malicious intent. Which is simply not present in these two screenshots. Even if Parlo had knowingly engaged in a relationship or s[redacted]al dynamic with this user, it would be illogical to claim it is of pe[redacted]hilic nature, as a 3 year age gap is lodged in a morally grey area and although it is objectively criticisable and bad, it would not be indicative of pr[redacted]tory behaviour.

I am not here to discuss the validity of these old allegations, though I will link an exported archive of the Parlos Kingdom server and let you decide what to think about all of this.

77 then states after showing these incredibly concerning out of context screenshots; ‘I am not here to discuss the validity of these old allegations’. Yet he still meticulously merged them together, conflated the situations and included them immediately at the start of the exact same document he uses to slander and heavily insinuate that I am a groomer.
This was all done intentionally, to manipulate the easily impressionable viewer into thinking that there has been some long history of predatory behaviour that has continued for years, this can efficiently done when situations like these have had the context completely stripped, leading readers to come to their own conclusions without the sufficient counter evidence included.


Before he was doxed, there would be several documents made about Parlo in 2022, containing screenshots and allegations that he'd been ERPing with minors and dating underage people. **I'm fairly certain that Parlo has claimed to debunk these allegations though**, and since I was not able to find the original document (Lots of "evidence" has been lost to time), the closest thing I could find is this and a few screenshots.


77 once again maliciously includes these screenshots with the only background context being ‘I have been ERP’ing with minors and dating underaged people’. Completely not factoring in that I was a minor myself, and how inconsequentially small the age gaps were in these respective situations. Burger was 2 months older, and Shawnty was less than 3 years younger, and I never knew his age. Again with wordplay manipulation that 77 has included, it gives the impression to the average viewer that I am some sort of predator, this is intensional. There is 0 reference here in the document that I was a minor myself.
77 affirms that I ‘claimed’ to have debunked the allegations, yet provides no sources, again to sweep my counter under the rug and cement old allegations and conflate them with new ones to revitalise the situation.



Any argument or defense in favour of 77 to claim that his inclusion of these two already addressed situations were not inherently malicious is completely debunked. 77 made it known to his associates in private that ‘if people take the time to read it, **i'm sure they’ll know what it's really about**’, openly suggesting that he was including these allegations to heavily insinuate to readers who would view the document, that I am a predator. It was completely deliberate and he knew exactly what he was doing. 77 is even seen ‘joking’ and referencing the age gap, lacking all critical context and of course insinuating that I was a predator.


Addressing 77






77 used AI on Doc

The creator of this document 77, has engaged in a defamation and harassment campaign against me recently. They have recently released a document structured by AI, to desperately attempt to insinuate that I am a groomer without explicitly stating it to avoid backlash.





77 is seen stating that he knows ‘ways’ to ‘automate’ a method to debunk a large defense document I had created, heavily of course, alluding to the use of ChatGPT and AI.



77 admits along with his associates that he used AI to create most of the document. This is inherently malicious as you are able to openly feed ChatGPT messages and then proceed to instruct the program or prompt it to decipher the chat exchanges and present my intentions in the worst and most nefarious way possible. 77 isn't actively doing decisive research into the situation, he is using artificial intelligence to manipulate and recontextualise the situation to his personal benefit, so he can make me look in the worst possible light. Then he presents this all as information that he has gathered himself so people congratulate him for work he never even did himself. He is a fraud who got caught out by the same minor he was manipulating - Dupe. Who proceeded to provide me and others with the logs exposing 77's true intent.

77 slandering me

77 and his associates are also seen making comments in private joking about me being a '**pedophile**' and '**sex offender**'. Disrespecting the individuals who they claim to be the victims as they are watering down the severity of the allegations and trivialising it as jokes while also proving themselves to be incapable of handling these types of situations by not taking the situation seriously.

77 5/14/2025 11:43 PM



77 5/12/2025 9:16 PM

lmao yess

all of these are good choices

i also found this it would be funny af but i dont think it should be used

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z_5amLPMVBc

Emo Cosmodore

Cosmodore - Groom Groom Groom



its accurate to the situation though..

considering the lyrics

Xero if i dont use that i wanna tryto make a thumbnail like this

xen 5/15/2025 3:25 PM



buh

Xero 5/15/2025 3:27 PM

and youtube tos doesnt let me put the word pedo in thumbnails

sadly

sol 5/12/2025 9:57 PM

parlo is leonardo dicaprio 2

dates freshly legal people in this case freshly aoc

sol parlo is leonardo dicaprio 2

xen 5/12/2025 9:57 PM

parlo is the wolf of wall street

77 5/12/2025 9:57 PM

the wolf of youtube

xen 5/12/2025 9:57 PM

the wolf of the sex offender registry

LOL

77 5/12/2025 9:57 PM

REAL

xen 5/12/2025 9:56 PM

parlo is just a fucking younger gen-z epstein

prove me wrong

77 5/12/2025 9:56 PM

being narcissistic on its own doesnt necessarily mean youre a bad person, humans are inherently selfish, its malignant narcissits that are actually bad people

xen 5/12/2025 9:56 PM

i guarantee parlo would buy a private island if he had the money to

parlo island

xen i guarantee parlo would buy a private island if he had the money to

77 5/12/2025 9:56 PM

yea

[Screenshots taken from a private group-chat leaked by Dupe after he realised how malicious these individuals were]


77 is seen posting mock YouTube thumbnails defaming me as a pedophile, this was also followed with 77 posting meme songs on Cosmodore who was a groomer and pedophile who dated a 15 year old and sent them loli porn while he was 19. 77 states the lyrics are accurate to my situation, with no clear indication on how the two situations are similar whatsoever, considering Dupe admitted to lying about his age. This is just succinct proof that 77 is purposely malicious in private and wishes to conflate this situation with an actual egregious case of pedophilia because he is inherently bad faith and malicious.

His associate Xen is also seen making jokes about me being part of the ‘sex offender registry’, and that I am the modern day version of Epstein, even joking that he wouldn't be surprised if I had a private island. Making light of Epstein’s sex trafficking and the numerous victims who were brought to Little St. James Island.


77 is seen entertaining Xen’s behaviour and participating in the immature jokes accusing me of being a pedophile by stating ‘REAL’ and ‘yea’. He doesn’t dissuade others from making these comments, he is actively complicit and participates in them.

Xen was seen giving Xero a YouTube thumbnail with the word pedophile in it describing me. Xero states that unfortunately YouTube doesn’t allow him to include that word ‘sadly’, despite having **no succinct explanation** on how I am a predator.





Both 77 and his associate Xen were consistently making comments stating that I was a pedophile verbatim **with no evidence behind their claims** whatsoever. “He fits the description”, however they are more than aware that **Dupe lied about his age** and I ceased all sexual contact with him after, even deleting sexual messages I had made beforehand which 77 maliciously framed as “purging evidence”, and “tampering with evidence”, when it should be common knowledge to remove any problematic messages once coming to terms that someone you sexually communicated with was significantly younger than they stated they were.



77 was seen liking and promoting tweets made by burner accounts accusing me of ‘grooming people since I was 16’. Despite him apparently not exactly agreeing with the claim, he still directly promoted the egregious and unsubstantiated claim which is inherently malicious and dangerous.

Systematically debunking the Dupe lie

77 additionally stated that I was a groomer in private expecting these messages to never be leaked. However when he is confronted publicly, he feigns the excuse that his document doesn't explicitly state that I am a groomer. Keep in mind, he only plays this card so he does not face criticism if he directly defamed me as a pedophile. Instead he meticulously insinuates it heavily. They make it clear to themselves that the public consensus is the only thing stopping them from defaming me as a pedophile.



- ***Grooming behavior,*** by pushing intimacy while claiming "I wouldn't do anything you didn't want." to soften the manipulation.

This pattern is NOT ACCIDENTAL.
It shows calculated persistence, emotional dependency, and deliberate testing of boundaries, all hallmarks of **GROOMING**.


- **Sexualization and escalation:**


Parlo repeatedly engaged in sexually explicit fantasies, including discussions about meeting up, kissing, making out in femboy clothing, and specific kinks like degradation, CNC, and piss play. These are not abstract or indirect. He expresses things *directly* to Dupe, and continues the behavior without even being able to confirm his age. This is not teasing, in fact, it can be seen as **sexual grooming** disguised as "openness"

It is extremely evident to decipher that 77 is attempting to entirely push the narrative that the dynamic between me and Dupe was predatory and was grooming. Again he wishes to leave an area of wiggle room in front of him to use the excuse that he wasn't explicitly claiming I was one. However this excuse runs dry when he was caught in private defaming me as a pedophile and a groomer with no evidence. The only reason for why he did not outright directly accuse me of pedophilia was because of public perception.

Context;

77 attempts to maliciously catalogue and **reframe** the dynamic of the relationship. He overuses the term manipulation and uses it as a blanket term with no basis behind it. The relationship had a sexual dynamic in the instance where I believed Dupe to be 17, however the idea that it was inherently malicious is patently false. **Consent was consistently respected** and I ensured everything I did **never made Dupe feel uncomfortable**, I was always open to hear Dupe's problems, and there was **no form of egregious manipulation** like the document suggests.
By respecting consent and whether Dupe was comfortable with my sexual messages, does not now mean that was resembling grooming behaviours. The idea of me meeting up with Dupe was something discussed for the **future** when I better knew him, in terms of being able to **confirm** what he looked like, for my own personal safety.





The idea of us meeting up was simply a theoretical [as implied by when I said **COULD**] suggested by me when we got to know each other better. I always affirmed that I wouldn't do anything they didn't want and always asked for transparency on if they were uncomfortable under any circumstances. 77 of course maliciously spun this with using buzzwords and blanket terms.


- **Manipulative Framing and pressure:**

What's especially disturbing is the language Parlo uses to cover this behavior. He pairs suggestive statements like "if you're comfortable" and "I wouldn't do anything you wouldn't want." with explicit coercive suggestions and emotional reinforcement like "You're so cute." **These phrases are not consent respecting when paired with an imbalance of power and repeated pressure.**

This is where 77's analysis runs short.

1. Respect for Boundaries
    - I explicitly stated to Dupe:
        - *"I mean if you're comfortable"*
        - *"I wouldn't do anything you didn't want"*
        - *"Please tell me if at any time you're uncomfortable"*
    - These statements demonstrate that I had a clear concern for consent, and was not attempting to coerce him under any circumstances.
2. No Evidence of Pressure
    - The messages show absolutely no examples of repeated demands, threats, or manipulation—just a suggestion about meeting, followed by reassurance that I would not pry him if it made him uncomfortable.
    - The claim that I used "emotional reinforcement" (e.g., *"You're so cute"*) is not present in this exchange, and has been **maliciously conflated into this situation despite it being part of an entirely different conversation.**







The comment was sent 19 hours after a conversation about us meeting up was initiated. This is a malicious example of deliberate conflation that 77 does to paint a narrative that I was 'coercively suggesting' despite them being 2 individually different conversations.

3. Power Imbalance Misrepresented
    - While I was 19 and Dupe lied about being 17, the messages do not exploit any perceived power dynamic, **even attested by Dupe himself.**
    - The suggestion of meeting in a hotel is not inherently coercive, I immediately emphasize the necessity of comfort and consent.
4. Dupe's Deception Undermines the Accusation levied by 77
    - Dupe actively lied about:
        - His age (claiming 17 when he was 12).
        - His employment (falsely saying he worked at Aldi).
        - Doxxes himself with fake selfies.
    - This deception distorts the context as I was operating under false information, making accusations of "grooming" or manipulation painfully untrue and even worse when considering what 77 was attempting to spin in private.
5. No Malicious Language Present

- The screenshot does not contain any of the alleged "coercive suggestions" or "emotional reinforcement" cited in the document.
- If such language exists elsewhere, it should be shown in full context rather than implied.





- Dupe's Message (Left Side):
  - *"If only my parents weren't fucking apache helicopters and monitor EVERYTHING..."*
  - This proves Dupe himself was frustrated with his parents' extreme monitoring, framing it as an obstacle before I even responded.
- Parlo's Response Was in Agreement, Not Coercion:
  - Parlo's *"stupid parents"* remark was mirroring Dupe's own words—not an independent dismissal of boundaries.

2. My "Hotel" Comment Was in a Consensual, Long-Term Context

- Dupe Lied About Being 17 (when he was actually 12), making me believe this was a legal, near-peer relationship (19 & "17").
- I explicitly framed the meeting as normal for people their (supposed) ages:
  - *"That's what everyone else does."*
  - This was based on the false premise that Dupe was almost an adult, not a child.

3. The “Red Flag” Claim Misrepresents Intent

- Cropped Screenshot (Right Side) Suggests:
    - I was dismissing parental protection as a mere inconvenience to exploit Dupe.
- Actual Context (Left Side) Shows:
    - I was venting alongside Dupe, who already expressed frustration with his parents.
    - There is **no evidence** I was trying to force or manipulate Dupe into meeting—just speculating about how we *could* meet, and it be perfectly legal and morally fine in the context of the <u>believed</u> age gap.

4. The Age Gap Was Misrepresented Due to Dupe’s Lies

- I believed the age gap was 1 year, 11 months (19 & "17"), which is socially and legally acceptable in the UK [age of consent is 16].
- The real issue is Dupe’s deception (being 12), which distorts my intent retroactively.

5. The “Parental Obstruction as Obstacle” Framing Is Dishonest

- I never said, *“Ignore your parents”* or *“Sneak out against their wishes.”*
- I rhetorically asked, *“What can they do about it?”*—a hypothetical, not a plan.
- This is not grooming behavior; it’s a frustrated response to Dupe’s own complaints about strict parents.


The Right-Side Screenshot Is a Misleading Edit created by 77


- **Omitted Context**: Dupe’s own frustration with his parents, making my response seem less aggressive. [Dupe consistently vented about his parents in other messages too].
- False Narrative: The cropped version implies malicious intent, while the full chat shows mutual venting.
- Key Issue: Dupe’s extreme lies about his age (12 vs. claimed 17) retroactively poison Parlo’s words, but at the time, Parlo was operating in good faith.


This is not a “red flag” of predation—it’s a conversation between two people where one was catastrophically dishonest.


Dupe has consistently expressed beforehand how controlling and restrictive his parents had been, I stated that theoretically there would have


been nothing wrong in meeting regardless because the believed age gap was 17 - 19 and was completely legally and morally fine. No amount of time frame shifting can dispose of the fact that I believed Dupe to have been 17 and cut him off after he made it known that he was 12 turning 13.
















Dupe had stated that his parents were Catholic, and they would openly punish him for being gay. Along with his parents being 'angry' if they knew we were in a relationship. This is yet another large factor for why I disclosed the fact that his parents didn't need to 'know' about the relationship. With fears of the possible ramifications that may come from strongly religious and homophobic households. Dupe already described his own father as a 'creep' and emotionally abusive having yelled at his own child and scared them.







77 selectively obfuscates these messages from his document, where I am seen being under the idea that Dupe was ‘almost an adult’ [as he claimed to be 17], while I highlighted my discomfort in how controlling he claimed his parents were at his age. Dupe was even seen stating how emotionally abusive his father was and expressing his anger at his parents’ behaviour. I stated how it was weird and obsessive behaviour for someone to be that restrictive of someone who is 17 and a half years old, soon to be an independent adult, the fact that the parents were said to monitor and


control all his devices and do ‘investigations’ on when he goes out was extremely disturbing and made me wonder if there was possible abuse that was taking place.


I did wish to meet with Dupe, however before we met, I wished to see what he looked like, any more examples of selfies or pictures to distinguish them and to act as yet another verifiable factor that he was 17.




I did state how it was hard to distinguish him with just text, showing that I was uncomfortable with his lack of transparency, not that I knew that he was lying about his age. ‘Make sure I know the real you’, means that I wanted to do a final verification on who he says he is.







As you can see, right after asking if we could meet up, to which Dupe affirms his interest in doing, I am seen stating if he would be comfortable sending any selfies to verify his identity, stating how I am always anxious if I am being catfished. Dupe affirms that I did not make him uncomfortable from this request. Instead however, despite me stating I would be comfortable sending a selfie first to verify what I looked like, Dupe expressed hesitation. I at the time, believed it just to be his past stress at others leaking his face and other personal information when he let his guard down.



This exchange clearly demonstrates that I was:

1. Seeking identity verification (not exploitation). This is yet more proof that I wanted to fully ensure that Dupe was who he claimed to be. This was not me being inherently negligent, I wanted to do further verification before the relationship progressed.
2. Prioritizing Dupe's comfort (not coercion).
3. Establishing boundaries (not grooming). I never circumvented these boundaries.

---

1. This Was About Verification, Not Exploitation

I explicitly state:


"If we got to know what each other looked like in time... Whenever you're comfortable."

Why This Matters:

- I wanted to confirm Dupe's identity before deepening the relationship. I wanted to ensure that I was NOT being catfished like the Burger situation.
- This is standard online safety—not predatory behavior.

Grooming Red Flag?

- No. Groomers avoid verification to maintain anonymity. I was fully transparent with the idea of us getting to know what each other looks like. I believed Dupe was apprehensive as he had described how a past partner of theirs had leaked images of them after they broke up. This is why I believed Dupe was hesitant to show selfies.
- I actively sought transparency, there was no veil of secrecy.





---

2. I Repeatedly Affirmed Dupe's Comfort


Key messages:

"U can tell me if ur uncomfortable... I won't store the images or anything."

"And if we ever have a falling out, I promise not to leak anything."

Why This Isn't Grooming:

- Groomers pressure victims into secrecy.
- I did the opposite:
    - Encouraged open communication.
    - Promised no retaliation if Dupe changed his mind, to ensure that he has trust in me not being as malicious and vindictive as his ex-partners who leaked his personal photos after breakup.



Dupe's Response:

"Nah I'm not [uncomfortable] I promise."

- No hesitation, no coercion—just clear consent, which was what was understood by me at the time. Retroactive reframing does not change what I at the time was under the illusion of.

3. Contrast With Actual Grooming Tactics

Grooming Behavior	My Behavior
Pressures & solicits victim to share / post photos	Never requested Dupe to ever share NSFW content, and was openly against the dissemination of CSAM. Asks only if Dupe is comfortable in sending selfies for identification before the further progression of the relationship.
Demands secrecy	Promises no leaks or retaliation
Isolates victim	Encourages open communication

Conclusion:

My approach aligns with healthy boundaries, not manipulation.

---

4. Dupe Lied About His Age – Parlo Acted in Good Faith

- Dupe claimed to be 17 (legal in the UK).

- I had no reason to suspect otherwise, [at the time] logical excuses and rebuttals were made in place of why Dupe didn't use a mic, or showed what he looked like.
- Grooming requires intent—I believed he was speaking to a near-adult, as reflected by messages.

5. Why 77's Narrative Fails


- No secrecy: Parlo never told Dupe to hide their chats.
- No coercion: Dupe voluntarily engaged.
- No exploitation: Parlo respected boundaries.

Final Verdict:


This was consensual, age-appropriate (based on given info), and ethical. 77's grooming claims are false and defamatory.

Key Takeaway:

When the "victim" lies about their age and the accused repeatedly prioritizes consent, the grooming narrative falls apart. 77 is projecting his group's actual misconduct onto Parlo.




Again, I am seen respecting Dupe's boundaries, when setting up a possible month for when we can meet [obviously the days following up I would ask for **further verification** to 100% ensure that I was not being catfished under any circumstances, I trusted Dupe with his age very much however], **I ensured that I was not pressuring Dupe under any circumstances** to agree. Dupe was seen stating that he understands, and stated that it 'depends'.
The dependent factor would be his father, he states, who is a 'huge creep'. As by Dupe's admission, despite him claiming to be 17 at the time, claimed his father tracks everything he does. This is the same father who Dupe claimed to have been emotionally abusive and verbally aggressive.




Dupe was even seen highlighting that his parents track his location consistently and that against his knowledge his father had set up essentially a virus to monitor everything he did.

Once again I expressed why this was necessary under any circumstances as he was almost an adult, and it confused me why they didn't treat him like one.




Dupe was also seen highlighting how vindictive and spiteful his parents were according to his own words, stating that they would shut down his ability to access the Wifi meaning that he had nothing to do spanning from 2021 - 2022.





Keep in mind, the relationship did not get officialised until 7th July 2024, in which is when it started getting sexual. This is 10 months after I started messaging him. I was seen stating verbatim to Dupe, who I had believed to be 17, that I did not feel comfortable getting in a sexual online relationship with him unless he expressed that he wanted to reciprocate and that he was comfortable with it. I ensured that I had his consent before building on our relationship. He was not pressured, he was not coerced, and it was not meticulously done. I stated that I did not wish for Dupe to feel compelled to be in a relationship with me, to which he quickly retorted that he really ‘did


not mind’,and that he did not feel compelled to engage. Dupe openly expressed that he was fine engaging at the time, there was no manipulation brought into this, it was me respecting who I believed to be a 17 year old and their boundaries. Of course in actuality 12-13 year olds cannot consent, however this doesn’t not fundamentally change the fact that I believed him to have been 17 and was being told directly by him that he was comfortable with the progression of the relationship. To meticulously strip that context away from this situation, is inherently disingenuous.




I had also highlighted the idea of if Dupe [who I believed to be 17 at the time], was interested in meeting up eventually. I had prefaced the fact that I would only ever be willing to do this if they were ever comfortable. I urged Dupe to be honest with what he said because I did NOT want him to feel as if he should ever feel forced to this idea, and I certainly did not want to make him feel discomfort or dissuade him.
Dupe highlights how if we do, it should be a while after we officially start dating. I of course agree, because I would not want to meet up until I could


entirely verify what he looked like. Despite me entirely trusting him and his age being 17, I wanted to ensure that he was not a catfish.

The messaging


Parlo - WAR ERA
Parlo - WAR ERA I love you x
dupe 7/30/2024 10:48 PM
<3
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/30/2024 10:48 PM
I wish I could send you some crazy pics of me lmaoo
But yea...
dupe 7/30/2024 10:48 PM
fuck being born in 2007 💔
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/30/2024 10:49 PM
I could send sfw images Mayhaps
dupe 7/30/2024 10:49 PM
lmaoo fair tho
mayhaps
we'll see
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/30/2024 10:49 PM
Can I see what u look like :3
Like the cute femboy ones :333
dupe 7/30/2024 10:49 PM
ofcc, mind if i do in the next weekish bc i look like a mess rn 😭😭
my hair is
something
ill put it at that
bc i have kinda long hair
like down to my neck (edited)
or clavical
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/30/2024 10:50 PM
Do you have ur other pics still
Or have u lost them
dupe 7/30/2024 10:51 PM
ill have a look when im on my phone
on pc rn if thats ok
i dont like photograph myself a lot but ill look in an hour or so
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present
Message @Parlo - WAR ERA




77 has always necessitated his desperate need to focus on proving the ‘power dynamic’ factor in order to hit it home that there was ‘grooming’ behaviours in which were taking place.




This would be a nothing factor regardless as he attempts to callously use history revisionism to almost invalidate the fact that I was under the idea that Dupe was 17, almost blaming me and pinning me as having retroactively known. And yet the situation is further invalidated by Dupe himself stating I never leveraged my influence to solicit him or GET anything out of him.
No NSFW was sent to him, no images were solicited from him, no NSFW content was sent to him under any circumstances.
Dupe knew who I was in terms of my YouTube content, but that was never a determining or critical factor that resulted in the facilitation of our dynamic or relationship.

77 attempts to reintroduce the self harm situation from a year prior in early 2024, to suggest or hint that there was a repeat in the pattern of this behaviour when this is not the case under any circumstances, no self harm images were sent since early 2024. The self harm venting had no correlation to the sexual messages and these are conflated to make the dynamic seem more morally egregious.


Dupe's Suicidality + Mental Health







Warning: discussions of suicide, relapsing, anorexia, SH

I had originally met Dupe on Discord by another user [Natz], and was introduced to them in September of 2023. An ex-mutual of another friend named Natzpop had me in a gc with them.
In late September 2023 we started speaking in private DMS.





77 openly lies and reframes the situation on his thread covering the Dupe allegations.
He attempts to imprint the notion that the self harm situation of February 2024, was almost intentionally done in the earlier stages of me and Dupe's 'relationship'.
This is an evident example of 77 negating the fact that I initially started speaking to Dupe in Sept / Oct 2023, 5 months before this situation transpired. This was on an older account.



Now since my Self harm situation and personal, very private venting has been blasted to the public via Dupe in April 2025 and 77, with no contextual background information being presented highlighting my side of the story necessarily. I feel I need to share this information to help you understand the bigger picture behind the entire situation. Keep in mind 77 has deliberately sidestepped addressing this segment numerous times. It is in his best favour to negate these aspects of the dynamic, to flatten down what truly happened and make it seem far more nefarious and one sided. Keep in mind under these circumstances, I believed Dupe to have been 16 in 2023, 17 in 2024. I was 18 in 2023, 19 in 2024.

Dupe has a very troubled upbringing and background and I felt really sad to see someone be in essentially such a depressing situation, both mentally, dietary and family/friend wise.

Because of the constant drama, harassment and slander that I personally had to face, being in the position I am in, of heightened drama and consistent cancellation attempts, it made me feel extremely vulnerable, depressed, paranoid, anxious and ill.


People can claim it's just "online", and I can "leave at any time", however problems online have spilled to real life. I am doxxed, harassed and threats are made on me and my family bi-daily. The UTTP are just the next hydra head on the list of degenerates such as Yaro, XDGAMER, XDGAMER420. ect.


I just resonated very much with Dupe's position, and could empathize with them quite a lot, not entirely however, as they had a much more troubled upbringing than me,

although my domestic abuse/ parents divorce situation has been extremely emotionally damaging and taxing, it's pretty incomparable to his homelife from what he discussed with me.


It's just genuinely very hard to find and speak to someone and have them essentially understand the horrible stuff you were suffering from, because no one really understands the position I was in or pretty much cares, no one is willing to necessarily sit by and listen to a Roblox Drama YouTuber, rant and rave and rationalize his problems and situation. A therapist has never worked, I have done therapy for years and It has never worked. In 2022 after my hospital situation, I was forced to go to therapy, and it clearly did not pan out the way my parents intended it to. For some people therapy just simply does not work. It doesn't make me comfortable at all, an adult cannot understand the complexities of the modern day internet's problems on someone like me, unless it is someone my age who understands the position I am in, someone who understands the troubles I go through, and doesn't pretend to care, just so they can get their weekly payment. An adult doesn't truly understand the terms 'YouTube Drama', or 'Doxxing', or 'Expose videos', or 'Cyberstalker'. I feel that paying someone so they can pretend to care about your problems for a specific time limit is extremely disingenuous and makes me sick personally. A true friend, for example, cares about the other person and will listen knowing they will gain nothing that will benefit them personally, because they are there merely for the benefit of the other person and to help them and guide them and give assurances and support. I barely even speak to my parents, because the thought of them reminds me of the horrific shit I had to face in 2022, it's just solely internet communication with a small group of friends who I believe I can trust. You see people only know half the story with what transpired in 2022, but it was truly one of the worst years of my life.
I try venting to moderators of mine, or friends, but oftentimes, they do not care, play it down, tease me or belittle me, and my vent posts are often grabbed and posted to other people so I continue to be mocked at. I developed RYC for example, to be at first, a YouTuber hangout server, but it more or less divulged into a rant/vent server for me and perhaps a mini echochamber and safe bubble for me, ever since 2023, as it was conjoined with the Homotopia venting situation too. However, RYC then became a server filled with secretive people who take screenshots of what I say, and provide it to detractors, inherently violating my privacy and giving me innate trust issues.
Dupe was conjoined in the same boat of mental disparity as me, and I didn't necessarily wish to drag people down a depressing loathsome hole that I was situated in for the past 2 years. If anything I actively went out and tried to help Dupe overcome their situation, because It was even more so depressing, so see someone at 16 [to which I believed], not even an adult yet, engage in relapsing behavior in real time, when I could have the ability to try and prevent it and at least be the person who will listen to their

venting, or help them increase their social interactions, to then encourage them to get friends in real life. Since that would truly benefit them mentally.

















Dupe claims I was “the only person who was there for them”, and “I stopped them from attempting suicide”, those statements made me feel really happy, that somehow, some reason, my presence made it so someone wanted to live on this planet full of shit, a little longer. That somehow I may be actually helping someone.
I actively spoke to Dupe in dms regarding their relapse timer, and if they were clean to try and coax them out of harming themselves, and being more open and speaking to people more often as it would take their mind off of depression.
Whenever Dupe needed to vent about personal, very private things, I would listen and be there for them, no matter how serious it was.
On the 28th November they literally vented to me about a horrific self harm situation that they had engaged in, which subsequently broke their relapse timer.










This situation made me feel really uncomfortable since I ended the conversation with “don’t do this again, I gtg”. However not once did I ever have the audacity to post this publicly at time time, nor even my February 25th live stream as some exposal point to try and somewhat attack and villainise the other person, and I’m not going to do that now.
Dupe was going through horrible mental health episodes which made it so essentially self harm was their only method of escapism that they felt was necessary. Not once did I feel the need to make this public or tell their detractors about this situation, however now I am quite literally obligated to release this information to prove how our mutual friendship played out, and to prove how this happened essentially both ways. His self harm situation played out differently to mine, on different calibers, however we both essentially self harmed for the same reasons.

I was always there to help him though, and actively seeked for his self betterment, and I can fully understand, resonate and empathize with the mental struggles he was going through.
I thought we had the mutual understanding of not releasing each other's personal struggles online, however he violated my trust to do that, and it has caused irreparable emotional damage to me in the process.








We both had no one else to really talk to or vent about our respective situations other than each other, so we spoke about very personal things pertaining to our struggles in life. Since we didn't have therapists, we shared our struggles with each other, and tried to consult and help each other.
I listened to them, and they listened to me. It seemed that we had some sort of mutual trust, and with me typing this, it really does feel pretty sad that it all did pan out the way it did.










We were free to discuss with each other about personal situations, we were allowed to mutually discuss trauma, and we checked in on each other's mental health seemingly every week. I always asked for updates with their personal life to see how far they progressed from the late 2023 lows, and they gradually got better as our interactions progressed which was a massive positive.
Self harm and anorexia and lack of social skills was something we both faced, and something we could absolutely resonate with each other in regards to. In eventuality Dupe got progressively mentally better, while I started gradually getting worse, as it has been a continuous low for me ever since March 2022, however I hadn't relapsed since November 2022 to my knowledge.















As you can see via my message to them claiming I do not want to discuss myself, but rather want to hear more about them. It is clear to insinuate that I was NOT lying when I state that I was NOT mentally ok, throughout this entire time period. I just wanted to make sure Dupe was ok. I wanted to regularly check in on them and see how their life was going. I really DID NOT want to lose my friend to suicide.














Me once again reaffirming Dupe that I am there to listen to their problems and I attempt to talk them out of any plausible thought of them attempting suicide ever again.
Because I literally cared for them as a friend.








I have shown most of the crucial dms to help you understand the crucial context behind why we spoke, what we discussed, and the mood of the conversations as they gradually progressed.
In Dupes' own words I helped them, and as their mental health got progressively better, mine continued to hit rock bottom.
And no one really seemed to help nor care.

This all eventually broke down on February 14th 2024, Valentines day. This involved 2 friends in private who knew about the self harm I had conducted that day, both I trusted to keep the very private, and emotional situation secret, decided to release it publicly and make it public knowledge, violating my trust entirely. I wished for my venting to remain private so that people would leak my vulnerabilities to malicious actors, so I could be mocked for it, attacked for it, and harassed for it. Every person deserves an element of trust with those they care[d] about in the sense of privacy.














Trust was developed and was reciprocal on both sides, and I had consistently pushed Dupe to speak to his friends more and increase his social life. I did not wish for Dupe to fall in the same pit-trap I was in, with regards to the social stagnation that I very much had in 2024.





Parlo - WAR ERA 6/3/2024 3:12 AM
so u dont want to meet new friends and ppl to speak to
and have like relationships/friendships
or u overall find it hard
bc of trust
dupe 6/3/2024 3:12 AM
i find it hard because of trust
also there arent many opportunities
until i go to college
as im all done with school
and there arent really like anyone in manchester who arent high on fent
so


parlo 11/12/2023 05:15
Like how many hours per day social contact is always good depending on how long it is
Dupe 11/12/2023 05:15
.30 is probably irl family, i have been doing car rides with my brother a lot which has been really nice, and the rest is online
parlo 11/12/2023 05:16
Per day?
Dupe 11/12/2023 05:16
per day, it can vary but thats an estimate
parlo 11/12/2023 05:16
Nice ^^


Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 12:12 AM
do you have any other ppl to speak to abt this


Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 11:35 PM
Do you have any contact with ppl ur age irl?
@Parlo - WAR ERA Do you have any contact with ppl ur age irl?
dupe 10/15/2023 11:36 PM
irl, no
sadly


Parlo - WAR ERA 8/8/2024 6:19 PM
Bro is in the corner rethinking life
I feel sorry for how@lonely u r
When was the last time u met up with a friend
dupe 8/8/2024 6:19 PM
school
So last year may
Parlo - WAR ERA 8/8/2024 6:20 PM
Dudeeeeee
U need social connection
And contact
U NEEEED AIR
IT

This was not a case of isolation and control, it was a case of me and someone I believed to be a peer in the same boat close in age, communicating and helping each other through objectively bad times.
I overstepped the mark and took full accountability for the self harm situation, but in no means does an isolated incident restructure the nature of the conversation and to undermine it as ‘guilt tripping’, is an example at recontextualising the situation and watering down the severity of my suicidality. I was seen consistently asking Dupe on whether they had found any more friends or spoke to family more.

Grooming typically involves isolation, secrecy, and control—yet I repeatedly urged Dupe to seek support from others, which directly contradicts grooming behavior. Below is a systematic breakdown of why this demonstrates good-faith care, not manipulation.

---

1. Grooming Requires Isolation – I Did the Opposite

Definition of Grooming Isolation:

Predators prevent victims from speaking to others to:

- Maintain control.
- Avoid exposure.
- Create dependency.

My Actual Behavior:

- I explicitly told Dupe to talk to more people:
    - *"Do you have anyone else to speak to about this?"* (5/05/2024)
    - *"Social contact is always good."* (1/12/2023)
- Never discouraged Dupe from confiding in friends/family.

Conclusion:

I wanted Dupe to have a support network—the antithesis of grooming. The false quasi-retort that I will immediately shut down is the idea that I was simply asking to somehow ‘ensure’ that he was isolated. This is a lie, immediately discredited by my

aforementioned messages where I urged Dupe to not only improve his diet, but affirmed that more social context for him is good.

---

2. I Never Enforced Secrecy

Grooming Red Flag:


- *"Don't tell anyone about us."*
- *"This is just between you and me."*

My Behavior:


- Never told Dupe to hide their conversations.
- Never punished Dupe for discussing their interactions with others.
- Dupe admitted:
  - *"A few ppl knew we dated."* (4/30/2025)
  - *"One knew we were FWB."* (4/30/2025)

Conclusion:

No evidence of enforced secrecy—a core requirement for grooming. Dupe confirmed this, I was only 'secretive' jokingly in reference to the dark humour jokes made, and I had not wanted Dupe to have leaked my venting messages or contacted the police regarding my suicidal mental breakdowns because I could not deal with the mental stress that would ensue once again being stuck in a mental ward and being isolated even more, I had ensured all my friends who I vented to, did this too such as Maple [17 when I was 18].



I especially did not want my vent messages to be leaked out of discomfort for if bad faith actors would make fun of me and harass me for them, in which they have been documented to have done before. I was extremely vulnerable and people have taken advantage of my venting and breakdowns before.



3. My Intent: Reducing Dependency, Not Creating It

Groomers force emotional reliance on themselves. I, instead:

- Encouraged Dupe's independence:
  - *"Don't feel obligated to speak to me unless you want to."* (2024 reconciliation)
- Checked if Dupe had other support systems.
- Did not monopolize Dupe's emotional outlets.

Why This Matters:

A groomer would not push their victim toward other sources of help—they'd hoard control.

---

4. Dupe's Own Words Confirm No Coercion

Before 77's influence, Dupe never described feeling:

- Controlled.
- Isolated.
- Pressured into secrecy.

Instead, he:

- Voluntarily engaged in conversations.
- Thanked me for my support, the same support which has been openly documented in this Google Document. The same support that 77 has maliciously obfuscated as it would clash with his own narrative.
- Admitted to manipulating Parlo (*"I manipulated YOU"*).

77's Fallacy:

Retroactively framing normal support as "grooming" is intellectually dishonest.

---

5. Real Grooming vs. My Behavior – A Side-by-Side Comparison

Grooming Tactic	**Parlo's Actual Behavior**
Isolates victim from others	Urged Dupe to talk to more people
Demands secrecy	Never told Dupe to hide their interactions. Confirmed by Dupe. No screenshots or messages available to push this narrative.
Creates dependency	Encouraged independence

Punishes boundary-setting	Respected when Dupe changed topics, attested by Dupe himself. Not once did I push Dupe on a topic that he did not wish to hear. Clean cut cases of understanding consent and boundaries were enforced.

Verdict:

My actions align with ethical support, not predation.

Conclusion: 77’s Narrative Collapses Under Scrutiny

1. Grooming requires isolation → Parlo did the opposite.
2. Grooming demands secrecy → Parlo never enforced it.
3. Groomers monopolize emotional labor → Parlo encouraged Dupe’s autonomy.
4. Dupe’s own testimony contradicts the "victim" narrative.


Final Answer:

Parlo’s insistence that Dupe speak to others proves he was not grooming—it proves he was trying to help. 77’s claims are not just false—they’re inverted reality.


1. Tone: Remorse or Self Narration?


Let's start with what's missing: A focus on the person harmed, that being Dupe.

Parlo says:



But he doesn't ask how Dupe felt, not in a direct, present way. He doesn't dwell on Dupe's fear, confusion, or boundaries. He doesn't appear to show any interest in understanding the other person's pain. Instead, the apology immediately spirals back to Parlo's own suffering:






This is **apologetic self centrism**, where the apology becomes a monologue. It's a vulnerability performance meant to shut down criticism, not sit with accountability.

2. Deflecting through Diagnosis (Or lack thereof)

Parlo says:



This is the centerpiece of the emotional shield. Rather than saying **"I harmed you,"** he says **"I don't know what's wrong with me"**

This does the following:

- **Dilutes Responsibility** by shifting the focus from action to cause
- **Creates Emotional Fog:** The audience can't clearly criticise him without seeming ableist cruel, or callous
- **Establishes sort of a Sad Protagonist Narrative - Not a perpetrator one**

But mental health issues do not *erase responsibility*. They explain, not excuse.

And in real accountability, the victim's pain takes priority over the offender's confusion.

3. "I'll just bottle everything up."

This, whether Parlo knows it or not, is a **manipulation tactic** cloaked as self sacrifice.

He says:



This is **emotional coercion.**


He implies (knowingly or not) that by holding him accountable, Dupe has:

- **"Taught him" to not be vulnerable**
- **"Force him" into emotional silence**
- **"Punished" Him for opening up**

It's a **rhetorical boobytrap**: If Dupe doesn't accept the apology, Parlo will suffer in silence, *and Dupe will be responsible for that suffering.* ***This reframes Dupes boundary setting as cruelty, when in reality, it's self preservation.***

4. Weaponized Self Loathing

Lines such as:



On the surface level, one might mistake this for deep self awareness. It's not.


It's a **guilt trip mechanism**.


And these are the effects:

- **Makes criticism feel like cruelty, again, because "he already hates himself."**
- **Deflects anger, turning it into pity.**
- **Builds a buffer "I'm already punishing myself, so you don't need to."**

5. Reinforcing the Trauma Bond

Throughout the apology, Parlo weaponizes shared experiences and past kindness.





The intent here is subtle:

- **"Remember what I did for you?"**
- **"Don't I deserve forgiveness after that?"**

6. Post Apology: Monitoring, Guilt, and Obsession

After apologizing, Parlo immediately pivots to worrying about how he's perceived:



This undercuts THE ENTIRE APOLOGY. True accountability doesn't ask for emotional reciprocation. It doesn't say:

- **"I'm sorry, but you also hurt me."**
- **"I'm sorry, but now I'm scared and isolated."**
- **"I'm sorry, do you still respect me?"**

This ultimately shows that the apology was not unconditional, it was a negotiation.

7. Conclusion: This is not a *real* apology. It's a bid for redemption.

Let's be clear with what we've observed thus far:
Parlo does not seem to understand the core of what he did wrong, that being sharing self harm in graphic detail with an 11 year old while knowing his influence and their mental state.
Instead, he reframes the entire situation as:

- A mutual friendship gone wrong
- A mental health spiral
- A misunderstanding
- A betrayal by said 11 year old

He wants the narrative to be:
"I was unstable and hurtful, but sincere and misunderstood."
But the reality is:
He KNOWINGLY involved a younger, vulnerable person in his psychological collapse, exposed them to graphic trauma, and repeatedly invalidated their later reactions by pointing to their momentary forgiveness.

Deconstructing 77's "Apology Analysis" as Bad-Faith Rhetoric

77's dissection of Parlo's apology is a textbook example of manipulative framing, designed to manufacture culpability by:

1. Selective Quotation: Isolating phrases to strip context (e.g., ignoring Dupe's reciprocal venting).
2. Pathologizing Normal Behavior: Labeling vulnerability as "manipulation" and self-reflection as "self-centrism."
3. Imputing Malice to Ambiguity: Treating my mental health struggles as calculated coercion to play into the larger claim of 'grooming', to which he tip-toes around the definition of.


Key Fallacies in 77's Argument:

---

1. "Apologetic Self-Centrism" – A False Dichotomy


77 claims my apology was "self-centered" because it included explanations of his mental state. This ignores:

- The Nature of Mental Health Crises: During breakdowns, individuals often lack the capacity to articulate others' pain with clinical precision. Parlo's focus on his own confusion (*"I cannot think clearly"*) reflects honesty, not narcissism.



The explanation was context to the causation of the self harm situation, it was not indicative of self preservation or an excuse and it was never illustrated as such. It was explained in the manner of allowing Dupe to understand my mindset at the time and it is objectively true.

- Dupe's Own Admissions: Dupe confirmed I never manipulated him and that their dynamic was mutually supportive. 77's assertion that I "ignored Dupe's pain" contradicts Dupe's testimony AS WELL as excerpts of my apology that he CROPPED OUT AND DID NOT ADDRESS DELIBERATELY.



Reality: Apologies from traumatized people often intertwine remorse with self-analysis.

This isn't evasion—it's transparency.


---


2. "Weaponized Self-Loathing" – A Strawman


77 frames my self-criticism (*"I'm hated for just reasons"*) as a "guilt trip." This is absurd:

- Self-Loathing ≠ Manipulation: Depressed individuals vocalizing shame isn't coercion—it's a cry for help. By 77's logic, *any* expression of guilt becomes

"emotional blackmail," which silences mental health discourse and 77 along with his associates have made their intentions crystal clear in this matter- to directly submerge the discourse surrounding my mental health, pluck aspects of it to feign the idea of it being manipulation, or use buzzwords to make it seem malicious and to make fun of it.







Analysis of 77 and Xen's Malicious Behavior

These screenshots reveal deeply unethical conduct from 77 and his associate Xen,

demonstrating:

1. Mockery of Mental Health
2. Bad-Faith Character Assassination
3. Hypocrisy and Exploitation of Suicide for Clout

Let’s break down the severity of their actions.

---

1. Mocking Mental Health & Suicide

Xen’s Statement:

"I’m suicidal but I at least have the balls to almost [take my own life]... it's bait."

- Disgusting Implications:
    - Gatekeeping Suffering: Xen implies that *real* suicidal people "have the balls" to attempt, while dismissing my struggles as "bait." This is textbook victim-blaming, reinforcing harmful stereotypes that only *visible* self-harm is valid.
    - Trivializing Crisis: By calling my documented mental health crises and breakdown "bait," Xen minimizes legitimate distress in which I was facing in early 2024, attested by numerous individuals to fit 77’s absurd narrative.

77’s Endorsement:

- Responding *"fr"* (for real) to Xen’s comment proves he condones this callous rhetoric, despite claiming to advocate for victims.

Why This Matters:

- Suicide is not a competition. Xen and 77 weaponize survivorship bias to delegitimize my pain—a tactic used to silence vulnerable people.

---

2. False "Manipulator" Allegations

77's Claim:

"He's a manipulator."

Reality Check:

- Dupe's Own Words: *"You never groomed or manipulated me. I manipulated YOU."*
- Zero Evidence of Coercion: I consistently prioritized consent (*"if you're comfortable"*).
- Projection: 77 is the one manipulating context by cherry-picking messages while ignoring exonerating testimony. Ironically he is the manipulator as he pushed Dupe to not consult others while he was working on his document and furthermore he was the same individual who manipulated Dupe into believing that sending my self harm uncensored was a non-problem.

Tactic Exposed:

77 labels Parlo a "manipulator" not because of evidence, but to pathologize normal human vulnerability. This is diagnostic arrogance—a hallmark of smear campaigns.

---

3. The "Homelander" Comparison: Malicious Framing

77's Statement:

"Half of him is incompetent and the other half is genuinely malicious like Homelander."

Why This is Absurd:

- Homelander (from *The Boys*) is a sociopathic narcissist who enjoys harming others. This in direct contrast to what I did;
    - Apologized repeatedly.
    - Changed behavior.
    - Was absolved by the alleged "victim."

- False Equivalence: Comparing a mentally ill teenager to a fictional psychopath is dehumanizing hyperbole, designed to provoke outrage rather than critical thought and to add credence to his already insane festering bias towards me.

77's Motive:

By invoking Homelander, 77 frames me as irredeemably evil to other minors present in this group chat, shutting down any nuance. This is emotional propaganda, not analysis.

---

4. Hypocrisy: 77's "Ethical" Posturing

Earlier, 77 claimed:

"It's censored but I probably shouldn't use it... it would be in bad taste."

Yet he still circulated the screenshot, proving:

- Performative Morality: Pretending to care about "bad taste" while gleefully sharing harmful and triggering content regarding me.
- Exploitation: Using my self-harm imagery as shock value to reinforce his narrative.

This is the definition of hypocrisy.

---

5. Xen's Role: The Orbiter

Xen functions as 77's echo chamber, amplifying baseless claims:

- "That looks so bait" → Dismisses my suffering without evidence.
- "At least I had the balls" → Uses his own struggles to invalidate mine, a toxic fallacy.

Why It’s Dangerous:

This dynamic mirrors abusive groupthink, where dissent is silenced via peer reinforcement.

Conclusion: 77’s Campaign is a Malicious Farce

1. They mock mental illness while posing as advocates.
2. They ignore Dupe’s testimony to sustain their narrative.
3. They exploit self-harm imagery for rhetorical points.
4. They dehumanize me with fictional villain comparisons.

Final Verdict:

77 and Xen aren’t interested in justice—they’re engaged in psychological warfare, using my pain as ammunition for internet drama. Their behavior is disgusting, ableist, and morally bankrupt.

Key Takeaway:

When someone has to mock suicide victims, ignore victims’ testimony, and invoke comic-book villains to make their case, their argument isn’t just weak—it’s fraudulent.

- Dupe’s Response: Dupe never accused Parlo of guilt-tripping. 77 invents a narrative of victimization Dupe himself rejected.

Reality: 77 projects malice onto symptoms of depression to fit a predetermined "abuser" narrative.

---

3. "Trauma Bonding" – Misuse of Terminology

77 alleges Parlo "reinforced a trauma bond" by referencing past support. This is terminological abuse:

- Trauma Bonding requires cycles of abuse + intermittent reinforcement (e.g., an abuser alternating harm and affection). My mention of care (*"I empathized with you"*) was a defense against 77's defamation, not a grooming tactic.
- Dupe's Agency: Dupe explicitly stated he engaged with Parlo voluntarily. 77 erases Dupe's autonomy to fabricate a "bond."

Reality: 77 misapplies clinical terms to pathologize normal friendship.

---

4. "Not a Real Apology" – Hypocrisy

77 dismisses Parlo's apology as a "bid for redemption" because it included:

- Regret for collateral harm (e.g., *"the post you made hurt"*).
- Self-diagnostic reflection (e.g., *"something is wrong with me"*).


This critique is internally inconsistent:

- If Parlo had *not* acknowledged Dupe's pain, 77 would accuse him of indifference.
- If Parlo had *only* focused on Dupe's feelings, 77 would call it "performative."

Reality: 77 demands a scripted, self-flagellating monologue—a standard applied to no one else.

II. Validating Parlo's Apology: Evidence of Sincerity

1. Behavioral Change:
    - I NEVER repeated the self-harm disclosures, proving my remorse was actionable. Actions and change mean more than words to which I demonstrated.



7. Conclusion: This is not a *real* apology.
It's a bid for redemption.

Let's be clear with what we've observed thus far:
Parlo does not seem to understand the core of what he did wrong, that being sharing self harm in graphic detail with an 11 year old while knowing his influence and their mental state.

77 openly lies in his document, sidestepping key aspects of the apology to only include systematically cropped aspects of my messages to push forward a broken narrative. He claims that I 'do not seem to understand the core of what I did wrong', but this is immediately discredited by a major portion of my apology in which he purposefully does not include where I am seen stating verbatim that the behaviour was repulsive on my part and I will ensure that I NEVER make that mistake or error again. 77 undercut the sincerity of my apology to openly pin me as a non-apologetic manipulator.

- Dupe confirmed that I respected boundaries **post-apology** (*"you never made me uncomfortable"*). This would also be corroborated by numerous messages I made ensuring that I always seeked his

2. Dupe's Testimony:
    - *"You never groomed or manipulated me. I manipulated YOU."*
    - *"I dated you out of free will."*
    - *To which all instances were NOT coerced, he admitted out of his own free will before he left the internet.*
3. Context of the Incident:
    - I was in an acute suicidal crisis (February 2024). My lapse in judgment was a symptom, not a strategy. It was a call for help as I had succinctly told him.




- Dupe, despite being young [unbeknownst to me], understood this.

III. 77's Malicious Intent

77's methodology exposes his bias:

1. AI-Generated Spin: Using algorithms to reframe nuance as pathology (e.g., "emotional fog").
2. Ignoring Exculpatory Evidence: Omitting Dupe's statements absolving Parlo then reframing it as 'coerced'.
3. Pseudoscientific Jargon: Deploying terms like "trauma bond" without diagnostic rigor.

This isn't analysis—it's a smear campaign.



Ironically, this is 77's main attempt to undercut my apology, however it has gone about so maliciously, that it is easily able to be dismantled. I was sincere in my apology and I did regret my actions, me following up with the statement, 'but the post you made hurt', is **not now asking for emotional reciprocation**. It me being transparent at a point where I was being honest and truthful, it is not intended to underscore or elicit a form of


reciprocation statement, it was to tell Dupe how I did not appreciate what he had done in regards to his own actions. The bullet points provided by his ChatGPT analysis have no relevance to the situation and are **absurd / disenfranchised comparisons** to what was actually stated.

The apology was **not a negotiation**, that is a word 77 implemented with no diagnostic or definitive rigor to undermine my apology.

IV. Conclusion: The Truth vs. 77's Fabrications


- My apology was flawed and the structure was bad (as all human apologies are) but I was genuine and extremely emotional and sorry, evidenced by my changed behavior and Dupe's vindication.
- 77's document is a rhetorical hit piece, exploiting mental health stigma and cherry-picking data to paint me as a predator.
- Dupe's words are the ultimate rebuttal: *"You never groomed me."*


Final Judgment: 77's argument is a house of cards—an edifice of bad-faith interpretations collapsing under the weight of facts. Parlo's actions, while imperfect, were not malicious, and his apology deserves fairness—not weaponized scrutiny.



77 never wished to touch upon this subject with nuance, even in private he and his associates were seen making sexual jokes directly to Dupe who they knew to be 13.

Xero was 15 and making crude and inappropriate comments directly to him which 77 further pushes and affirms, despite the severity of the situation they clearly were not taking it seriously.







The idea that I was willingly dumping trauma and vent posts to Dupe consistently is incorrect. I do not understand why Dupe claimed that he ‘couldn’t say no to me when I wanted to vent’, as he openly was seen encouraging me to vent to him and that I should feel free to do so. This was after me expressing my intention on not wanting to trauma dump on others unless they expressly wanted to hear my troubles. I feel like this is a very dangerous and disingenuous obfuscation on 77’s side.




I was always apologetic for any potential lapse in judgement I had towards Dupe, in case I was too depressive. Dupe however, always affirmed that it was fine and that I had reciprocally helped them during times of their own mental crises too. There was a succinct lack of communication


present if Dupe was **actually** constricted in what he could do and say.







I also don't appreciate the inclusion of statements that simply are not true. 77 deliberately does not include the many times I had openly asked Dupe for updates about his life and if he was fine himself, during our times of messaging.












Parlo - WAR ERA 7/4/2024 1:45 AM
You don't plan on dying right
Anytime soon
Right
dupe 7/4/2024 1:45 AM
No comment
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/4/2024 1:46 AM
DUDE
DONT
dupe 7/4/2024 1:47 AM
But you definitely helped postpone it
Which im eternally grateful for
Parlo - WAR ERA DONT
dupe 7/4/2024 1:47 AM
NOT NOW
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/4/2024 1:47 AM
have I postponed it recently


@dupe and there arent really like anyone in manchester who arent high on fent
Parlo - WAR ERA 6/3/2024 3:14 AM
have u stayed away from drugs


Parlo - WAR ERA 6/3/2024 2:49 AM
i wish there was any way i could help with ur problems and get u out the pit of suicidality ur in rn
dupe 6/3/2024 2:50 AM
i will really try to get out of this
for u
no promises
but you have helped me
like bei f there for me
i cnat really articulate how appreciative i am
Parlo - WAR ERA 6/3/2024 2:59 AM

Weaponized Vulnerability


This is not about shaming someone for having mental health struggles. The issue is with how those struggles were weaponized. Instead of fostering mutual support, Parlo created one sided emotional dependencies, where others felt responsible for his well being, but got little to no support in return.


This was an egregious misrepresentation of the dynamic that me and Dupe had in DM's, exacerbated by the fact that 77 had access to the entire chat logs, but openly chose to selectively crop out numerous messages that went against his malicious framing and narrative.


The statement that Dupe got 'little to no support in return', is an open lie, 77 knew that I had personally helped Dupe in numerous instances, telling him to improve his diet, trying to push him out of anorexia, trying to ensure that he never took drugs and even trying to push him to increase his social ability with other people. This was written in my first Dupe counter document but was cropped out of 77's 'counter' document to it.






dupe 5/24/2024 11:50 PM
i havent attempted anything yet
well
kinda
but not
like indirectly
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/24/2024 11:53 PM
i really fucking dont want you to do any shit to yourself
dupe 5/24/2024 11:54 PM
the most i've done is like dig really deep into my thigh with a blade numerous times and same w arm but like upper arm cuz i need to wear tshirts and not get questioned
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/24/2024 11:55 PM
when was the last time
dupe 5/24/2024 11:55 PM
really early morning yesterday
but it wasnt bad
May 25, 2024
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 12:00 AM
please dont do it anymore (edited)
i wanna speak to you a LOT now
to make sure you can update me
that you havent relapsed
r u safe rn?
Parlo - WAR ERA please dont do it anymore (edited)
dupe 5/25/2024 12:03 AM
no promises but i'll try
Parlo - WAR ERA r u safe rn?
dupe 5/25/2024 12:03 AM
i dont think i will do it for now
but
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/25/2024 12:12 AM
do you have any other ppl to speak to abt this
dupe 5/25/2024 12:13 AM
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present


Parlo - WAR ERA 7/7/2024 12:16 PM
So cool!
How suicidal are you out of 10 rn
dupe 7/7/2024 12:19 PM
sorry im trying to forumlate a response
its a really like difficult subject to answer on i apologize lol
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/7/2024 12:19 PM
No it's fine
Take the time u need
dupe 7/7/2024 12:24 PM
i still dont feel like im that happy in life but like after yesterday i do feel a lot better but im not going to do anything in the near weeks or even months depending how things go down but it doesnt mean im faring well or anything but i feel a lot better after yesterday haha
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/7/2024 12:27 PM
Good
Really good to hear
What abt yesterday acted as like a pivot point



Rebuttal to 77's Misleading Characterization of Parlo and Dupe's Relationship

The screenshots provided by 77 cherry-pick and distort the nature of Parlo and Dupe's interactions, framing a mutually supportive but flawed friendship as one-sided emotional manipulation. Below is a point-by-point deconstruction of these claims, backed by evidence and context.

---

1. Dupe's Contradictory Statements & Retrospective Bias

a. Dupe's Initial Gratitude vs. Later Accusations

- Prior to May 2025, Dupe repeatedly thanked me for my support:
    - *"you were the only person who was there for me, you stopped me from committing suicide."* (11/12/2023)
    - *"Thanks for helping me in my depressive times."* (24/12/2023)
- Yet in April 2025, under 77's leading questioning, Dupe suddenly claims:

    - *"Nearly every time [I felt drained]."*
    - *"I guess he used me as an emotional outlet."*

Analysis:

- Dupe's earlier messages show no resentment—only appreciation.
- His later claims contradict his own words, suggesting coercion by 77's narrative framing. Dupe was seen openly having told me that our dm's were a safe space for discussion about mental health and to vent, and he had utilised messages with me to vent about his situations too. The fact that all examples and mentions of this have been obfuscated paints a one-sided dynamic in this relationship that was simply not true.
- The dynamic was co-dependent and I vented to someone I believed to be a peer and someone near the same age as me, negating the idea of me retroactively knowing that there was a large age gap dynamic between us.

b. Dupe's Admission of Manipulating Parlo

- In May 2025, Dupe admitted:
    - *"You never groomed or manipulated me. I manipulated YOU."*
    - *"I dated you out of free will." - Corroborated by July messages in which prove that he was not pressured into a relationship.*
- This directly undermines 77's claims of Parlo being the manipulator. Dupe was able to maturely take accountability when necessary, 77 seeks to degrade that admission by making unsubstantiated claims to poison the well to his personal benefit.

Conclusion:

Dupe's April 2025 statements appear retrospectively skewed by 77's agenda, while his May 2025 apology confirms my lack of malicious intent, at any opportunity when I have discussed to Dupe if he was uncomfortable, he had openly stated that there was no problem.

---


2. Debunking the "Weaponized Vulnerability" Narrative


a. Mutual Venting, Not One-Sided Exploitation


- I did vent about my struggles and so did Dupe:
    - Dupe: *"I want to go so badly it's all I want."* (29/10/2023)
    - Parlo: *"I don't want to discuss that [my mental state]."* (1/12/2023)
- We BOTH engaged in emotional exchanges, yet 77 only blames me, re-contextualised the entire situation and pins it as malicious isolationary statements made to entice and restrict someone - This is a complete lie.
- 77 restructured the situation and once again cropped out the instances where Dupe discussed that he was about to take his own life, which stressed me out, along with his instances of depressive venting posts.


b. The Valentine's Day 2024 Incident Was Isolated


- Dupe admits:
    - *"he only did it once on valentines day 2024."*
    - *"harming himself was a one off."*
- I apologized and never repeated the behavior. I never went back on my apology and the venting was a continuation of our at the time- pre-existing codependent dynamic. Dupe had never communicated at the time that he was disinterested in listening to my problems, I never once made that illusion to him when I listened to his problems. 77 can reframe the situation as Dupe having been 12-13 in actuality, but again this does not re-contextualise the intentions at play regardless. I was unable at the time to know that Dupe was lying about his age, and his perhaps 'true' opinions when it came to what seemed to be mutual vent posts. It was my responsibility to ask for ID verification and I took accountability. The effect may be different in the case of Dupe handling serious and emotionally taxing information, but in no means does this degrade the fact that I was lied about in regards to his age, and now that suddenly strips my negligence and twists it to a malicious nature.












The actual DM's between me and Dupe present an entirely different story. I had openly told Dupe to not feel obligated to speak to me unless he personally wanted me to. I respected his boundaries and was very much sorry for the self harm situation that transpired a week beforehand. I very much did NOT want Dupe to be pushed into a corner where he felt it was necessary to continue speaking to me if he didn't wish to.

Instead he was seen stating. ‘I am doing this out of will, I promise’, along with stating that he ‘REALLY liked talking with me’.


He stated that he trusted me, and I stated that I trusted him very very much. The document openly lies and frames this as an attempt at emotional manipulation where I had forced Dupe to essentially keep messaging me out of a form of guilt, rather than the fact that he expressed his willingness to continue regardless.

“I didn’t block him because I didn’t want him to kill himself.”

One of the most alarming and consistent behaviors revealed in this investigation is Parlo’s use of self harm, not just as a personal expression of distress, but as a recurring method of emotional manipulation towards others.




I will not be bashing Dupe in this document. I will instead, correct some more misinformation in which he had stated to 77. Dupe is seen stating in reply to 77, ‘definitely not’, in reference to him stating; ‘Do you think he would still have talked to you as much if you weren't willing to listen to all the venting’. As shown in numerous examples, this is incorrect. I had in many cases always ensured Dupe was mentally alright and healthy and asked him how he was, regardless of how well I was doing myself. Furthermore Dupe is seen essentially expressing the fact that he was most times, in actuality, not willing to hear my venting posts and was actually annoyed by them. This of course, is discredited by his messages at the time which enforce his willingness to actually hear what I wanted to say, despite me telling him to be transparent.



Again, I should have absolutely done more to ensure that I knew Dupe was 100% 17. However, I did not under any circumstances know that Dupe was personally affected by these messages because in numerous instances, he had affirmed that he did wish to hear and that I was not making him uncomfortable.

I don't deny that the venting posts have absolutely negatively affected him considering he was in actuality 12 - 13. But to frame this as a carefully calibrated example of grooming and one-sided manipulation is so unbelievably callous and pathetic.

Lack of transparency and honesty in situations where I had asked for it, again, complicated matters. I am also seen stating my problems with therapy, and why I do not take it, after having had therapy for years.



77 is further seen trying to pry Dupe for any claim that I was ‘secretive’ in my messaging. Dupe does not state I was secretive in regards to the self harm situation.

77’s Fallacy:

- Framing one breakdown as a "pattern" is intellectually dishonest.
- If I was truly manipulative, this would have been recurrent. It was not. Dupe knows and admits it was not. 77 has no retort to this.

c. No Evidence of Coercion

- When Dupe tried to change the subject, I respected it:
    - *"He would just stop usually or answer."*
- No threats, guilt-tripping, or retaliation—just an imperfect but non-abusive dynamic.

---

3. 77’s Leading Questions & Bad-Faith Framing

a. Loaded Interview Tactics

77’s questions presume guilt from the start:

- *"Did you feel manipulated?"* (leading)
- *"Would you have set more boundaries?"* (implying I overstepped)

This is not a neutral investigation—it’s interrogation. He was hoping he could push the

13 year old into making statements that directly benefited his twisted narrative.

b. Ignoring Dupe’s Own Role

- Dupe lied about his age for two years, yet 77 never holds him accountable. This factor has MASSIVE implications on the entire subject, as much as 77 attempts to brush it off as it not.
- 77 has a sustained habit of comprehensively ignoring or employing intense mental gymnastics to play down or sidestep the behaviour of people who have done objectively bad things, if it means it complicates his own twisted narrative.

---

4. The Bigger Picture: A Flawed but Non-Predatory Friendship

1. I acted as a peer supporter, not a groomer.
2. Dupe reciprocated emotional exchanges and later admitted to manipulating me.
3. 77’s narrative is a smear, ignoring:
    - Dupe’s deception about age.
    - The mutual nature of their struggles.
    - Parlo’s improvement post-apology.


Final Verdict:

- 77’s claims are exaggerated, decontextualized, and biased.
- Dupe’s own words (May 2025) exonerate Parlo.
- This is not "grooming"—it’s two troubled individuals supporting each other imperfectly.

Conclusion:

77’s campaign is not about justice—it’s about destroying Parlo’s reputation while

ignoring Dupe's own accountability. The evidence does not support the allegations of systematic manipulation.



Parlo - WAR ERA

they wanted a girl

all i was was a fucking backup

lmfao

i dont see it getting better

then this

at all

suicidality at its peak

it must end

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:44 AM

dont do shit

im here for you right now

i stopped what i was doing and i want to help you

and listen

are you going to do anything drastic?

rn?

dupe 5/27/2024 12:45 AM

Maybe

Hold on

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:47 AM

dude dont do any shit

please i beg

i genuinely really care abt you

dupe 5/27/2024 12:50 AM


I plan on using this



You're viewing older messages Jump To Present

Message @Parlo - WAR ERA

Parlo - WAR ERA



Sorry for not answering i nearly broke my fucking arm

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:51 AM
what is that

dupe 5/27/2024 12:51 AM
Cuz i fell

> @dupe Cuz i fell

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:51 AM
from what

> Parlo - WAR ERA what is that

dupe 5/27/2024 12:51 AM
I took out the thing from a pencil sharpener
And im using it
To cut my arm
Its effective

> Parlo - WAR ERA from what

dupe 5/27/2024 12:51 AM
Bed


Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:51 AM
please dont do this shit
please i beg


dupe 5/27/2024 12:52 AM
Why not
What have i got
to live for
Ill eventually die anyways


Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:53 AM


You're viewing older messages Jump To Present

Message @Parlo - WAR ERA


Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:53 AM
Im not good at articulating my words, but you dont understand how much i care abt you
in ways you dont know and i havent fully expressed yet
please dont do this
dupe 5/27/2024 12:55 AM
we'll see
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:55 AM
no please dude
dupe 5/27/2024 12:55 AM
i dont know jow long i have anyways
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:55 AM
wdym by that
dupe 5/27/2024 12:56 AM
unhealthy living styles
Putting me into an early grave
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:57 AM
dude idk how to say this but like i couldnt live with myself if you do this shit
and you die
i REALLY like you
dupe 5/27/2024 12:58 AM
shit
fuck
im.sorry
for all of this
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present


Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:58 AM
what have you done
dupe 5/27/2024 12:58 AM
Nothing yet
My fucking arm hurts like hell
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:59 AM
please get it checked out
and dont do any shit like that
dupe 5/27/2024 12:59 AM
No its not broken
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 12:59 AM
again
r u still with me?
dupe 5/27/2024 1:00 AM
yes
im reallt fucking sorry
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:01 AM
its fine, i know about the horrible shit you deal with
and i dont wanna sound weird or anything
but i wanna give u a massive hug
bc ur going thru horrible shit
and i feel so bad
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present


and i feel so bad
dupe 5/27/2024 1:02 AM
That would be nice
No guarantee
I will like
Prevent anything
Tonight
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:02 AM
what would make u not do it
dupe 5/27/2024 1:02 AM
Nothing
My mind is set
I know im going to do it
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:03 AM
fuck man,..
dont PLEASE
dupe 5/27/2024 1:03 AM
Ive already started the process of.doing so
I want to feel excruciating pain
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:05 AM
PLEASE I want to be there for you and help you
PLEASE dont do this shit
and cease the process
dupe 5/27/2024 1:06 AM
I do t know what to do anymore
dont (edited)
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:06 AM
please stay with me
and dont do this self harm shit
please dont start this process
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present


dupe 5/27/2024 1:07 AM
Ill postpone suicide
for now
But no promises on cutting
Considering ive already started
I went DEEP
Lol (edited)
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:07 AM
when did u do this
dupe 5/27/2024 1:08 AM
Last 20 minutes
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:08 AM
fuck
dupe 5/27/2024 1:08 AM
I cannot think clearly
At all
Fuckkk
I cant verbally describe how i feel
At all

At all


Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:09 AM
please sleep it off
I beg
and speak to me tomorrow
run it under cold water
and use plasters/bandage it up
please sleep


dupe 5/27/2024 1:09 AM
If you.insist
I will try
No promises
Goodnight

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:10 AM
please be safe for me
❤️
gn

dupe 5/27/2024 1:11 AM
I love you in a platonic way
Rhank you
for helpi g
Goodnight

Parlo - WAR ERA 5/27/2024 1:11 AM
gn


May 23, 2024
dupe 5/23/2024 2:26 AM
hello
when i thought it wouldnt get worse it got fucking worse lmfao
hru
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/23/2024 2:41 AM
hey
what happened
dupe 5/23/2024 2:41 AM
do you mind if i make a really big paragraph of everything
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/23/2024 2:41 AM
sure
dupe 5/23/2024 2:42 AM
ok give me a.few
dupe 5/23/2024 2:56 AM
sorry if this is a bit graphic
basically, i have started drinking again, taking pills, considering smoking, digging an early grave, i dont want to turn into my dad but im fucking scared i will, ate salami with cheese today, i hate seeing people happy and i do everything into my power to make it go away lol, i'm a terrible person i know it but idc i hope they all fucking die, about the other day, i relapsed i think, i literally have you and two other friends left now, i'm literally fucking falling into another september but worse,, i have really shitty coping mechanisms and i really need help, i've had people threatening to call wellness checks to me, back to shing after 3 months of being free from it, sexualizing interactions getting worse, the thought of others losing something makes me laugh, i never had anyone to begin with, nobody fucking deserves anyone, i literally feel so fucking numb, suicide [redacted] lol, if not suicide i will die from od, one of these days it will happen, it will be a sunday, sunrise will beam over my dead fucking body, i hope i rot there, and the person to find me gets traumatized, would be funny if i hung in feminine clothes, thigh highs, skirt, small hoodie, if i fail suicide, i will cut so fucking deep into my arm that i can see the bone exposed!! lose blood, i hope my dead body puts the fear of god into them, i will have cuts all over my thighs and arms, face bleeding, blood all over the floor, i want that so fucking badly, i dont fucking care anymore, hope evreryone has a shit life, lose everyone like i did, i do literally rub salt into my cuts so they feel worse and i run them under water and alcohol, drinking myself to sleep is such a good feeling :3, massive lust of death, i want to die so badly, reasons i want to do this to myself? guilt, worthlessness and fucking being alone, idrc anymore, its obvious im not getting better, i have awful fantasies of doing nsfw shit, i hate it, i went outside a few weeks ago and had a really old guy like do shit to me, it was really fucking disgusting, but i liked it, i don't know why, its a really shitty impulse i cannot control, i've had numerous r@pe threats from random old men, all i want is a deep connection with someone, but everyone abandons me eventually, i find pain funny, the only reason I ate 2 days ago is because my parents forced me too, i have a rope irl and im planning on hanging myslf as i have pull up bars in my garage that i can use to tie the rope, im not fucking stable right now at all,
Sorry for all of that
dupe 5/23/2024 4:03 AM
man im really not there anymore, im so fucking lost in life, im s
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present
im just trying to be honest, im extremely scared and lost and idk what to do, ive lost all hope in doing anything in life, im extremely mentally like fucked and I do things I regret and dont think about
Message @Parlo - WAR ERA



Parlo - WAR ERA
I am tired of this cruel world
I have nothing to live fkr
Parlo - WAR ERA
Are you being fr?
dupe
I guess
Idk anymore
Parlo - WAR ERA
I mean I'm here to listen to every point you have on why you see suicide as a viable option
I could perhaps resonate with a few
dupe
I'll explain everything it might take a bit
Parlo - WAR ERA
Do it like in bullet points if it's better for u
It can also be fast
dupe
Alright
Parlo - WAR ERA
Or if you want to let it off ur chest
You can do one big paragraph
dupe
my life is miserable as shit, I have barely been outside since 2021 and the times i did it was mandatory, my life is a living nightmare and nothing works for me, i have not motivation to do anything anymore, i have no life and i dont enjoy anything anymore, oot like i used too. i hate being alone. i fucking suck at socialising with more then 3 or 4 people at a time and i have a mental breakdown if i go anywhere populated. i cant even cry or anything anymore im just in a rabbit hole that gets deeper and deeper every living day. i would be better off dead as im no use to anyone, i have like 3 friends who actually care about me. i cant be happy anymore, everything is against me, everyone just uses me for there own benifit and then once theyre done with me they scrap me and move on with their lives. i have no other option other than to end it all, at this point i would be better off in a 4 year nightmare as it wouldnt be much different than my waking life. i tried so much to improve and every time i do something comes along and snatches my ability to be happy.
Parlo - WAR ERA
Damn
Reading rn
How long have u been contemplating
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present
dupe

Dupe had even confirmed [when he disliked me on April 28th 2025] that the self harm situation of early 2024 was a “**one off incident**”, that I apologised for and addressed. This does not nullify the situation, but instead corroborates my aforementioned statement that this was never replicated again.

77 attempts to pry Dupe for any information that I “told him to not talk to other people about what I said to him”, to which Dupe affirms that I did not, only in regards to the “racist jokes”, which is a completely **unsubstantiated** allegation.

77 ultimately **fails at having any basis that I was secretive** in what I was doing or was forcing Dupe to remain silent about my interactions.





77 attempted to maliciously spin the situation and asked Dupe if he was used as an “emotional outlet”. Dupe stated verbatim himself that while yes he felt that I vented many times resulting in him feeling in that position, he stated I did it as a friend to friend thing. Which is objectively true, in 2024 especially I had a very little amount of people to speak to, me and Dupe were both mutual in terms of venting about our problems, he seems to omit that detail, but it was **certainly not one sided.**

There were **no instances** or guilt tripping, and once again this was more malicious framing of venting posts I had made, that Dupe ended up **leaking** nonetheless.

The claim that I attempted to “override parental boundaries”, is said nefariously to add on to the idea they are heavily pushing that I was a malicious predator.
However I had suggested meeting regardless of his controlling parents’ knowledge, because Dupe had consistently **vented** about how they were extremely restrictive on his ability to socialise and meet other people, this was **omitted** from the document however to make me seem nefarious and predatory.
Dupe had vented about his personal problems and so did I, however only my vent messages were presented to make the situation seem more **one sided** in a malicious way to **reframe** and **restructure** the dynamic of the relationship.

77 then attempts to claim that this behaviour was “calculated”, as if this was some pre planned mission to take advantage of a minor, when it was **under the idea** to have been a 1-2 year age gap between two sexually interested teenagers. Dupe has revealed his age to have been 12 turning 13 in March 2025, weeks to months after the idea of Hotels was brought up, to which I disengaged shortly after. Any inference that I knew he was significantly younger and yet engaged sexually is completely **false**.
Boundaries were not tested, they were **respected** by **constant affirmations** made by me on whether the topic of discussions made him uncomfortable or if he wished to continue. These again, were not malicious, it was sexual talking and discussions. They never escalated to nude exchanges or sharing of pornographic content. Because despite me believing he was 17, soliciting nude content would have still been illegal. I still conformed to the bounds of legality and never had an envisionment to want to take advantage of Dupe, as attested by his own messages when he still claimed to have been 17.

1. Definitional Collapse: What Grooming Actually Requires

Grooming, as a legal and psychological concept, necessitates:

- Intentional exploitation of power asymmetry (e.g., age, authority, influence) to condition a victim for abuse.
- Systematic erosion of boundaries through secrecy, isolation, or coercion.
- A clear predatory trajectory (e.g., sexualization escalating to exploitation).

Parlo's interactions fail these criteria:

- No power asymmetry was leveraged. Dupe was not a fan of Parlo's content, nor was he influenced by Parlo's platform (as confirmed by Dupe: *"I just thought it was kind of cringe"*).
- No evidence of boundary erosion. Parlo repeatedly emphasized consent (*"if you're comfortable"*) and respected stated limits.
- No predatory escalation. Sexual discussions occurred only after Dupe falsely claimed to be 16–17 (UK age of consent), and Parlo explicitly framed them as contingent on mutual interest.

2. Dupe's Agency and Deception

- Dupe admitted to initiating the lie about his age (claiming 16–17 to "impress" peers) and perpetuating it for years.
- He confirmed Parlo never solicited explicit content or leveraged influence (*"You never sent me any risky links/images/videos"*).
- Their romantic/sexual discussions were reciprocal (Dupe volunteered kinks like CNC/BDSM unprompted).

3. Legal vs. Moral Context

While UK law permits sexual discourse with 16+, the core issue is Dupe's actual age (11–13). However:

- Moral culpability hinges on knowledge. Parlo operated under the *reasonable belief* Dupe was 16–17, which Dupe reinforced for *two years*.
- Grooming requires intent. Parlo's actions align with peer-level intimacy, not predation.

II. The Self-Harm Incident: Debunking "Emotional Manipulation"

1. Context of the February 2024 Exchange

- Parlo's messages during the self-harm episode were reactive distress, not coercion. Examples:
  - *"Please dont man / I care abt you"* → Concern, not control.
  - *"Dude any self harm is bad"* → Disapproval of harm, not guilt-tripping.
- Dupe himself stated the incident was isolated (*"that was at the time it's whatever now"*) and denied manipulation.

2. 77's Narrative Fallacies

- Cherry-picking: 77 conflated the self-harm incident (a mental health crisis) with later sexual discussions (months apart) to fabricate a "pattern."
- Ignoring reciprocity: Dupe *also* vented suicidality to Parlo (*"I don't want to be on this planet anymore"*), proving mutual dependency—not unilateral coercion.
- False causality: No evidence suggests Parlo's venting "forced" Dupe to trust him. Trust developed organically, as Dupe attested (*"I dated you out of free will"*).

III. 77's Bad-Faith Tactics

1. Intellectual Dishonesty

- Strawmanning: 77 framed Parlo's *ignorance* of Dupe's age as *negligence*, despite Dupe's deliberate deception.
- Context stripping: Isolated messages (e.g., *"blood wall progression"*) were presented as "proof" of abuse, omitting Dupe's own self-harm disclosures.
- Pseudoscientific labeling: Terms like "grooming" and "power imbalance" were weaponized without rigor (e.g., no platform influence was ever proven).

2. Malicious Motives

- Defamation by omission: 77 excluded Dupe's exonerating statements (*"You never groomed or manipulated me. I manipulated YOU"*).
- Projection of bias: 77's "document" presumed guilt by default, violating basic principles of evidence-based critique.
- Exploitation of outrage: By conflating legal (age of consent) and moral frameworks, 77 engineered a narrative of "predation" for clout.

3. Hypocrisy

77's own tweets (e.g., *"emotional venting used to create guilt"*) ironically describe his tactics:

- He pathologized normal venting between two troubled individuals.
- He ignored Dupe's agency to paint Parlo as a puppet-master.

IV. Conclusion: The Anatomy of a Smear

77's narrative collapses under scrutiny because it:


1. Misrepresents grooming by conflating it with *unknowing* interactions with a liar.
2. Denies Dupe's autonomy to frame him as a "victim" against his own testimony.
3. Relies on emotional rhetoric over factual analysis (e.g., ignoring timelines, consent checks).

This is not activism—it's libelous opportunism. The record shows:


- Parlo acted in good faith under false pretenses.
- Dupe bears responsibility for his deception.
- 77's claims are willfully deceptive, designed to inflame rather than inform.

Final Judgment: 77's argument is a house of cards—structurally unsound, morally bankrupt, and transparently malicious.


77 is a doxxer




Xen is seen stating that he will make a false report to the “national crime agency”, and try and get them to come to my address as a form of intimidation. 77 is seen not discouraging the situation, Xen engaging in this behaviour too, would have effectively and deliberately wasted time and vital resources. Dupe is seen attempting to claim I “live with my grandparents in X” which is an incorrect location, but proves that these users were openly engaging in conversations to try and find my location to harass me and intimidate me, they were prying a 13 year old on discussing my personal information.




77 openly discussed with his associates and Dupe present in the group chat on how to find my personal information, stating that “someone could use a uk phone book to find my address”. 77 was also seen directly encouraging the users to search for personally identifiable information regarding my mother, by stating that my mothers maiden name is public. This violates numerous red lines as my mother has absolutely nothing to do with this situation, the fact he intends to not only suggest ways to personally identify her, but the fact he brings her up regardless, is absolutely disgusting. He knows exactly what he is doing and what will result from his suggestions.



Xen is seen stating that they need my phone number, with 77 affirming that they only need a ‘last name’ in reference to my mother. Xen is then seen encouraging members of the group chat [‘we’] to harass me and “prank call” my number, with 77 being seen agreeing by stating ‘FR’. He did not discourage this harassment under any circumstances and was actively seen being complicit in the conversation. This entire conversation has now demonstrated that it was far detached from any ‘heroic callout on Parlo’, as it evidently has bled into an immature and borderline sociopathic stalker-like group chat with numerous users with vendettas attempting to decipher and obtain my personal information.




Zero and 77 are seen stating my legal surname in the group chat and then making jokes about whether I “have contacted any more minors”, once again making more jokes accusing me of being a pedophile.




Xen is seen asking Dupe who is 13, for my phone number with Dupe stating this would be used against them if I found out. 77 did not interject on Xen having pushed for personal identification regarding me from a minor.


Xen is seen not being dissuaded and stating that he wished to prank call me, with Dupe stating he didn't have my phone number.
What is even more ironic is that Dupe was the only one who stated and suggested that doxxing me was immature and would be used against them. 77 has no problem with the topic of conversation or suggesting to the other minors present in the group chat on how to obtain my information.




77 is seen directly telling Xero who is a 15 year old minor, what exact sites host my personal information along with my family who did nothing wrong and have no relevance to this drama and situation. 77 even states that 'everyone' knows my dox information, with Xen stating he hasn't. Objectively 77 has encouraged and told 2 minors how to obtain and find my personal information, and he doesn't discourage Xen from searching it up and finding it regardless.




77 is seen stating my full legal name in the group chat and encouraging other users to search the term to find my personal information. With Xen being seen stating the university I was in. The idea that 77 was not directly encouraging minors to find my personal information, the same minors who expressed an interest in using it to harass me, is completely illogical.




In this group chat they had also openly posted screenshots with my face present inside of it and with my full legal name being fully visible. They fully knew what they were doing disseminating this content too.





Xen was also once again seen disseminating leaked images of me in this group chat to Dupe who they knew to be 13 years old.
Keep in mind this is the same content that 77 has claimed to be directly suggestive.
Xen has by 77's definition, posted suggestive content to a 13 year old minor in this group chat and also to Xero who was a 15 year old minor, however no action was done whatsoever. This was not called out and it wasn't necessary to highlight to begin with, it was only posted by Xen to humiliate me. Furthermore the distribution of this content directly violated my privacy and builds on the narrative that these users and individuals in the group chat did dox me and violate my personal privacy.





77 attempts to use these two screenshots to deflect and deny the doxxing allegations, yet these serve to fundamentally change nothing whatsoever. 77 still actively told and instructed minors on how to find my personal information.
It took Dupe himself who was 13, to tell these immature lunatics to stop actively seeking out my personal information, and don't allow 77 to fool you, he did not disengage out of realisation of how immoral his actions were, he ceased because he knew how detrimental it would be towards his reputation to be seen engaging in such immoral behaviour.
Xen playing off the completely serious messages he made referencing false calls, false reports and obtaining my personal phone number, were not jokes, belittling and playing down the situation serves to change nothing.
77 once again deflects the situation claiming I have done 'worse than doxxing', as if that exonerates him of his own actions, while Xero is seen hyperfocusing on the fact that I would use the doxxing point against them, if I knew their actions behind the scenes, again adding credibility to the idea that they only 'ceased' due to fearing public perception.





77 is seen pushing and manipulating people close to him, to defend his egregious actions and lie on his behalf. He instructs them to immediately defend him if he is openly accused by a user of being a doxxer.
77 is seen showing a demonstration on how to respond, attempting to belittle and excuse the situation by claiming that they were ‘discussing my old dox’. Which fundamentally changed nothing whatsoever, they were still sending images of my face, 77 was leaking my full legal name to Xen and Xero, 2 minors who did not know about this personal information pertaining to me. 77 was even instructing Xen who was a 16 year old minor on where to find my personal information alongside my family's personal information. This was after Xen made it known that he wanted to make a false report against me, prank call me and intimidate me.


Doxxing (or "doxing") is the act of revealing someone’s private/identifying information (e.g., full name, address, phone number, workplace) with malicious intent or reckless disregard for their safety. Even if the information is *technically* public (e.g., found through ‘legal’ means), sharing it in a context that could lead to harassment, stalking, or harm qualifies as doxxing.

Why This Still Counts as Doxxing (Even in a Private Discord GC with Minors)

1. Doxxing Isn’t Just About Public Exposure
    - Many people assume doxxing only "counts" if the information is blasted publicly (e.g., posted on Twitter). This is false.
    - Doxxing includes privately sharing someone’s personal details with others who didn’t previously have that information, especially if it could lead to harassment, stalking, or other harm.
    - The fact that it was in a private group chat with minors doesn’t make it less dangerous—it actually makes it more reckless, as minors may not fully grasp the consequences of misusing that information.
2. The Act of Teaching Minors How to Dox is Itself Harmful
    - Even if the minors didn’t act on the information, 77:
        - Normalized invasive behavior (teaching them how to essentially stalk someone online).
        - Put me at risk (one of the minors was open about sending governmental figures to my house via false reports).

- This is like handing someone a weapon and saying, *"I'm not telling you to use it, but here's how."* The act of distribution is the problem.


3. The Information Was Shared Without Consent
    - My Full legal name was disclosed without my permission to other minors who were present in this GC. Even if it was "findable" online, compiling and sharing it in a targeted way is what makes it doxxing and 77's intentions have been made clear.
    - If the minors didn't know this info before, 77 actively expanded the circle of people who could misuse it.




Why It Was Immoral (Beyond Just the Doxxing Itself)


1. A 13-Year-Old [Dupe] Shouldn't Have Been the One to Enforce Boundaries
    - The fact that a child had to be the voice of reason—not the adult (or older teen) in the situation such as 77—shows a complete moral failure on his part.
    - This demonstrates that 77:
        - Didn't actually care about ethics—he and the others only stopped because they were worried about their reputation ("it would make them look bad").
        - Put emotional labor on a minor who shouldn't have had to police their behavior.
2. 77 Only Stopped Out of Self-Interest, Not Remorse
    - If they genuinely understood why doxxing was wrong, they would have:
        - Apologized.
        - Deleted the information and wiped the groupchat.

        - Warned the minors not to engage in similar behavior.
        - Not made excuses and pressure/pry other users to defend him on Twitter.
        - Not consistently made excuses playing down his intentions and actions.
    - Instead, they only quit because a child said what they were doing was wrong—meaning their moral compass was based on appearances, not right vs. wrong.
3. Minors Are Impressionable—This Could Have Taught Them Dangerous Habits
    - 77 wasn't just doxxing—they were training minors in harmful online behavior by providing them crucial and personal information.
    - If the 13-year-old hadn't spoken up, could the others have thought, *"This is normal?"*
    - The irresponsibility of exposing young teens to doxxing methods is a separate ethical violation and is deeply hypocritical as 77 attempted to weaponize my situation with SC99 & Soulless, despite completely stripping that situation of all necessary context.




77 is seen 'agreeing' with what Sol / Dupe had stated at 10:00PM, but very immaturely only 1 minutes later, immediately reverts and breaks his false professionalism to state that prank calling me and harassing me would 'admittedly be funny'.






This was then followed a minute later by 77 telling and informing 2 minors who did not currently know my dox and personal information at the time, how to effectively find it, as well as stating my full legal name to them.

77's entire defense and argument is redundant, in fact if you analyse the logs it makes him look worse, he actively knew the ramifications behind his actions and that engaging in doxxing me was immoral, yet he continued regardless. A 13 year old had to be the voice of partial reason.







77's defense after being caught redhanded in private doxxing me, making threats and other egregious claims, was laughably terrible. Attempting to justify his actions of encouraging minors to search for, and find my personal information.

1. 77 Committed Doxxing—Even in a Private Chat

"It's not doxxing because the info was public and we didn't spam it everywhere."

Doxxing is not defined by publicity alone—it's defined by:

- Intent: Sharing personal info to enable harassment (e.g., Xen's threats to send law enforcement).
- Audience: Giving your details to people who didn't have them (Xero & Xen).
- Method: Directing them to doxing websites (Doxbin) and teaching them how to stalk you.

"Public info" doesn't mean "free to weaponize."

2. 77 Knew the Risks—And Enabled Harm

- Xen (16) threatened to send law enforcement [Nation Crime Agency] to my house over false allegations as well as initiated the topic of conversation in regards to

prank calling me, this is the same person who has also made threats against me stating to ‘kill me’.
- 77 encouraged the idea of prank calling me if they found my number.
- 77 taught minors how to find my personal information despite knowing their malicious intent.

This is *exactly* what doxxing laws/platform bans prevent:

- Discord’s TOS: Prohibits sharing personal info *"to harass or encourage others to harass."*
- Cyberstalking Laws (U.S./U.K./EU): Criminalize intentionally exposing someone to harassment, even via "public" data.

77 didn’t just share my legal name and how to obtain my information—he armed my harassers with it.

3. "Doxbin Is Public, So It’s Fair Game" – Categorically False

"We used Doxbin, so it’s not doxxing."

- Doxbin is a known harassment hub. Using it as a source proves malicious intent.
- Personal info is still "doxxed" even if leaked elsewhere first. Otherwise, every stalker could say: *"But it was on Whitepages!"*
- Platforms ban linking to doxing sites (Discord/Reddit will suspend users for *mentioning* Doxbin).

If I steal your Social Security number from a hacked database and DM it to your enemies, *"But it was already leaked!"* isn’t a defense.

4. "77's" Hypocrisy on Privacy

Ironically 77 claims *"we didn’t dig up new info"*, but:


- He still spread it to 2 minors who openly did not know my personal information (Xero/Xen).
- He still encouraged its misuse (complicit in conversations to do with prank calls, did not dissuade Xen from his idea of a false police report).

Analogy: If I hand someone a gun and say *"Shoot them,"* I don't get to say *"But I didn't pull the trigger!"*

Final Counter-Argument to "77":

You didn't just share public info—you selected, compiled, and delivered it *to people who* wanted to harm me *while* teaching them how to escalate. *That's textbook doxxing, and no amount of deflection changes it.*

77's weak response



Xen is seen stating that they need my phone number, with 77 affirming that they only need a 'last name' in reference to my mother. Xen is then seen encouraging members of the group chat ['we'] to harass me and "prank call" my number, with 77 being seen agreeing by stating 'FR'. He did not discourage this harassment under any circumstances and was actively seen being complicit in the conversation. This entire conversation has now demonstrated that it was far detached from any 'heroic callout on Parlo', as it evidently has bled into an immature and borderline sociopathic stalker-like group chat with numerous users with vendettas attempting to decipher and obtain my personal information.

He cites a private Discord group chat joke about prank calling him as evidence of a "sociopathic stalker ring."
But, this is a **deflection**. Me and others *joking* in poor taste doesn't negate any evidence about Parlo's actions.

No jokes made change the facts that:

- **Parlo emotionally confided in a child**
- **Parlo lied and contradicted himself about cutting off Dupe**
- **Parlo's inner circle has harassed victims and whistleblowers, Dupe being one of them**

One out of context screenshot doesn't undo 100 pages of consistent pattern documentation.

Debunking 77's Hypocritical Defense: A Masterclass in Deflection

77's attempt to dismiss his group's documented harassment planning as "just jokes" is a textbook bad-faith tactic. Below is a systematic dismantling of his weak excuses, exposing his hypocrisy, deflection, and lack of accountability.

---

1. 77's "Just Jokes" Defense is Contradicted by His Own Messages

The Evidence:

- 77 & Xen discussed doxxing methods (e.g., *my mother maiden name, phone number, my legal name*
- They admitted prank-calling would be "funny" (meaning they considered it and entertained the idea).
- They only hesitated because it would "be used as a point against" them—not because it was wrong. Dupe, a 13 year old, was the only voice of reason.

Why This Isn't "Just Jokes":

- Jokes don't involve researching someone's personal info and compiling it with the pre-text behind this being false allegations.
- Jokes don't require **calculating reputational risk.**
- 77 himself said: *"but admittedly prank calling him would be funny."* → This shows **intent**. They were not jokes.

Conclusion:

They planned harassment, then backtracked when they realized it made them look bad.

---

2. 77's Hypocrisy: Condemning Parlo While Doing Worse

77's Accusations vs. His Actions:

77's Allegations Against Parlo	77's Actual Behavior
"Parlo emotionally confided in a child." (unknowingly)	77's group sexualized Dupe at 13 (*"swallowing your d*ck" comment*)
"Parlo lied about cutting off Dupe."	Claim was not a lie, just a deliberately misinterpreted claim that 77 deliberately misconstrued. 77 lied by calling harassment plans 'jokes.'
"Parlo's circle harassed whistleblowers."	Untrue, backed with 0 evidence. Reality: 77's group plotted doxxing/prank calls. 77 is seen pressuring a 15 year old for information during an aggressive confrontation and manipulating Dupe.

Double Standard:

- I am vilified for at the time believed, consensual chats with someone who lied about being a minor. I have taken numerous instances of expressed accountability for my lack of better judgement in 100% verifying Dupe's age.
- 77's group actively planned real-world harassment but gets a pass because *"it's just jokes, bro!"*

---

3. Deflection Tactics: "Out of Context" Smokescreen

77 claims:

"One out of context screenshot doesn't undo 100 pages of documentation."

Rebuttal:

1. These aren't "out of context"—they show clear intent to harass, later walked back due to optics.
2. If context matters for 77, why doesn't it matter for me?
    - My Valentine's 2024 breakdown was one incident, yet 77 calls it a "pattern", conflating this situation with a disjointed situation in regards to Kirb.
    - 77 maliciously obfuscated crucial context when it came to Dupe describing what his parents effectively did to me, 77 obfuscated me helping Dupe through his mental struggles and suicidality, 77 also deliberately misrepresented the situation as a one way, dependent relationship.
    - 77's harassment logs are multiple messages, yet he dismisses them as "jokes."

Hypocrisy Exposed:

- 77 demands scrutiny for me but handwaves his own group's misconduct.

Final Verdict: 77's Response is a Self-Owning Deflection

> While 77 cries about deflecting and me having an 'overt lack of accountability', 77 is seen here belittling his actions and side stepping real accountability. He does this by changing the topic of conversation back to his extremely poorly researched google document, to deflect readers attention back to my allegations, so he is not seen admitting fault for deliberately instructing minors on how to find my personal information.


Conclusion:

77 isn't an ethical investigator—he's a hypocrite running a smear campaign. His arguments collapse under scrutiny, proving his only goal is destroying my reputation, not uncovering truth or seeking accountability.


Key Takeaway:

When your accuser is literally caught planning harassment, their credibility is **zero**.

Dupe himself leaked the chat logs, not because he was ‘extorted’ or ‘pressured’ to do so, it was because of his disgust towards the malicious actions of numerous users present in that group chat.




77 incentivises clout




Xen and 77 are seen being excited over the idea that their document goes “mainstream”. Being happy that it could “ruin my career”. Goes to show how biased and malicious they are regarding this entire situation. 77’s end goal was never to respect victims, value their testimony and stories and to platform them, it was to promote his own documents, feed his growing, unhealthy narcissistic ego and to benefit from ‘mainstream virality’ with hopes of my ‘career being over’.


On May 11th 2025, Dupe made it known that he is only continuing to operate his alias to deal with the drama surrounding me, however he states his wish to leave and not be involved anymore in this group chat with 77, Xen and Xero.




77 is then seen telling Dupe to ‘wait’, following up with the idea that he should mass promote his account before he leaves, with the main intent of 77 to be to farm off all the engagement and attention that he would receive from the drama.




77 specifically stated that the only thing that worries him would be that it would add suspicion that he was associated with Dupe the entire time. This is because 77 OPENLY LIED on Twitter stating that he was not associated with Dupe whatsoever. This was to coverup the fact that he was manipulating this minor in private to give him information as well as 77 being seen convincing the child that him leaking uncensored self harm was something not necessarily morally bad,






77 is seen making his true intent known, he wishes to be the main figurehead that everyone will ‘rally behind’, so he can be the sole beneficiary of the situation that he himself instigated, exacerbated and continued, despite the minors he interviewed making it more than known to him that they do not wish this situation to be engaged with anymore.



77 was even seen desperately attempting to hype up his document by attempting to reach out and network to as many people as possible. He lies claiming the goal is to ‘reduce the risk of targeted harassment’, this is a blanket term to mask his true intentions. It is in hopes that his

narcissistic vendetta is quenched with the mass promotion of his manipulative and false google document. He desperately wishes to garner traction and attention for himself no matter the cost of detriment caused to the minors he puts in these documents without their consent. No amount of deflection can pivot away from the fact that 77 openly disregarded Kirb.



From up to this point, 77 and his associates are seen to be extremely malicious and borderline sociopathic figures, consistently making predator jokes at the expense of the situation, trivializing it because in private, they see it as a way that they can personally benefit from the situation. They don't take the situation seriously as seen by their messages, and they certainly aren't approaching this with nuance and from a mature perspective.


77 will openly lie claiming he never explicitly claimed I was a groomer, but will then immediately contradict himself by stating that I was, and outright implying that his document was structured in that way to allow the readers to get that impression.

77 and his associates also openly discuss the idea of harassing me, stalking me and doxxing me. The only reason they are dissuaded by the idea of engaging in these behaviours publicly, is because they are afraid of public backlash and ramifications.

They'll omit critical context when it comes to situations regarding Nathorix, and will paint me in the worst possible light, in order to add to their growing list of malicious lies pitted against me and my associates.


Their statement that they seek for the document to reach mainstream attention, is proof enough that they seek attention and notoriety from the situation, and nothing more proves that point as to how they treat minors they claim to be "victims".


77 making threats + Nathorix Situation



What is definitively crystal clear proof of 77 being a malignant malicious person, would be when he is seen consistently posting a screenshot of me admitting to posting a pornographic video of myself to Nathorix, while I was still under the guise of whether she was a predator due to conflicting evidence and her counter testimony to me and Amos in 2024.


Nathorix then opened the video, saved it to her computer against my consent, and then started creating a lie when I started to turn on her, that the other person featured in the video was posted without their consent, she had openly stated this to Nyft in his DM's.








She is seen stating that her reason for saving this was to 'reveal all about me', when I made my video coming out about what she did to me and numerous minors. She makes it no secret in these message exchanges that she is using the material as blackmail against me. She is fully prepared to create and push false revenge porn allegations against me, while saving a video of me without my consent. This shows the extent Nathorix will go to, to silence her allegations and prevent me from coming out about the information that I have collected.


Nathorix's friend, a popular Roblox Developer who will be named 'Mr R', then started using burner accounts on Twitter [first one being Caliyen] to at first pretend to be a 'concerned' user who just magically had access to this material. They started spreading the images to invoke stress on me, while they started to lie claiming that a minor was involved in the content I posted to lull and bait me into interacting with them. They were trying to goad me into an admission.



















Mr R then operated another burner account this time called ‘Yay Banana’, this account was pressing my associates such as Gwamgo with information regarding this content, lying about this material having been posted in Homotopia. Mr R admits under the new alias that he was attempting to bait me into a conversation where I’d admit that I appeared in this content because they could not prove the fact I posted it. This involved them posting cropped instances of revenge porn and violating my personal privacy.







Mr R under this account is seen covering for the fact that Nathorix had originally shown them aspects of the content because she was the only one who has access to it.
Mr R is then seen contemplating and rationalising the idea of ‘blurring’ the nude parts of the video, which would not detract away from the fact that he would be disseminating revenge porn regardless.
This is complicated by the fact that he originally claimed it contained a minor, he stated that this was to lull me into a conversation, but it could have very much been a genuine claim he was attempting to make which would have meant that he was showing cropped images from what he claims to be a CSAM video that he possessed or had cached.
Mr R is then seen lying to Gwamgo stating that the video was sent to people in homotopia or that it was linked to homotopia. This is untrue and was only said to take heat off Nathorix’s back as she was the only one who had access to this video, they needed to create a false alibi.







Mr R through the Yay BANANA account is seen threatening to post the video using mental gymnastics to justify the dissemination of it, along with him stating to Gwamgo in private that he has the full video, questioning whether to actually send it.






Mr R is seen projecting claiming that I'm a creep and pervert to deflect his own pedophile allegations and was seen self reporting to Gwamgo that I had blocked 5 other alternate accounts of his.
So it has been documented that this user claimed to have an entire video, of what they are allegeding to at first have been CSAM and revenge porn. They claimed to have saved this [obviously without my consent] as Nathorix would have been the one to have shared it to them behind my back. This user then used an alias known as Caliyen to pretend to be a concerned user, followed by other aliases which were progressively more aggressive, attempting to slander me and threaten to release the video or poorly censored versions of it, to intimidate me. This was only ever threatened because I had come out about what Nathorix had done to me only a few weeks / days prior.
I was being threatened with revenge porn by coming out about the grooming I experienced from Nathorix when I was 16-17, and she was 21-22.







The harassment was extensive and degenerate. They attempted to prove it was me in the video numerous times with cross-referencing cropped images of me from the video and my leaked / doxxed face images.




This was because Nathorix needed to prove it was me, because they only had the video, not proof it was sent to them.







After directly confronting Nathorix again in a GC with other users, she is seen partially now walking back her claim saying she only said it ‘could be’ revenge porn, with having no evidence to prove it was to begin with.

Then she is seen stating that ‘You can take a guess…’ in reference to who actually ran the accounts harassing me. To which it was Mr R.
She claims that she does not have the video on hand and she only sent ‘one singular frame of my face, censored’ 6 months ago to Mr R.





We know this to be a lie, because even if Mr R was bluffing to Gwamgo about having the full video, he still had NUMEROUS other frames of my face along with the fact that Nathorix was unaware that I had her DM's with Nyft, where she is seen stating she had the entire video, but needed to prove it was me, to which Mr R started doing.
Of course it works in her best interest to claim she 'did not instruct anyone to do this'.
Regardless she sent cropped revenge porn images, including my face, to her associate who also has predator allegations, and as a result, their associate went out to harass me and threaten me, which now makes them liable.
Nathorix was also seen in February 2025, stating that they sent the image[s] to Mr R 6 months ago. Meaning this was around **August 2024 [around mid 2024] when I was still gathering information and she started to compile things behind my back to use as blackmail.**





I contacted the user who was in the video, and they confirmed that they did not care in the slightest and said that it was ‘chill’.
Therefore proving that what I had posted was NOT revenge porn, it however, was a blatant attempt at Nathorix using blackmail against me to scare me into silence with whatever she has at her disposal.
There is no need for her to have done this if she had nothing to hide. She used Mr R as a proxy to do this, and sat idly by as he was using these accounts to harass me, with the accounts all magically deactivating once I cracked on that it was him.







Black messages - Mr R
Green messages - Nathorix

Mr R asks Nathorix if there was any new information regarding me since he ‘scared me’. Proving that **he was attempting to threaten me into silence**. Nathorix states to Mr R that I would still be making a video of her talking about what she did to me. Mr R advises to get the

police involved despite the slew of crimes both of them have committed. Nathorix follows up by stating that I would be addressing how she groomed me.
Mr R then suggests she comes to my house and attacks me / or vandalises my house. The word is hard to discern considering the fact that Nathorix had censored it, as she sent these chats to Nyft. Nathorix was then seen stating that she was rationalising doing it.
She is then seen posting an image of her attempting to get an Uber to my house [showing my actual road] which would have cost her £414. This shows the insane lengths she and her associate Mr R would go to harass me, silence me and even threaten me.

This all put me under intense distress, made me feel sick and ashamed, and I am entirely regretful for having ever entrusted Nathorix in general. It was a degenerate and disgusting lapse of my character to have engaged with her in that way and I do take full accountability. Me having done this, **does not now mean I ever defended her in regards to her predator allegations** and **does not detract from her long history of predatory actions towards me**. Due to the situation I had described what transpired to Dupe, who then during our drama, leaked the entire personal chat logs once again.






These messages were selectively obfuscated from 77's Twitter account. He had deliberately chosen not to show the full context behind the situation and why I had told Dupe about this. 77 has also hidden the date behind when this happened through a thick mist of ambiguity. The content was given to Nathorix when I was still extremely broken and conflicted about the entire situation, this does not change the fact that 77 knew that Nathorix had supplied her friend with aspects of revenge porn content and then their associate harassed me and blackmailed me with it.

77's associate Xen is seen in the screenshot stating 'can we kill parlo irl', in response to this maliciously cropped screenshot.




77 is seen not discouraging Xen from making such flagrantly disgusting and aggressive comments and even encouraged it and engaged with such conversations.
77 is seen encouraging me to be gassed, perhaps in direct reference to the fact that I am Jewish by matrilineal descent. Followed by Xen once again suggesting to kill me.




77 lets it be known that he couldn’t keep up his ‘professional act’ around me, attempting to find humour in this situation, followed by Xen making a comment about contacting or reaching out to the CIA. Xen is then seen continuing to make sexual comments and jokes in the group chat with Dupe being present in the conversations, followed with 77 making a ludicrous claim that he wouldn't be surprised if ‘all these [Roblox] YouTubers and figures ran an actual ring’.
This yet again corroborates the fact that 77 makes or very much insinuates completely laughably false claims, and attempts to throw them at the wall to see what sticks.




These people don't actually sympathise with my position, or the mental toll that came from the situation, they instead seek to humiliate me, trivialize my experiences and use it to instead devalue my experiences with Nathorix when she openly had groomed me and behaved inappropriately with me while I was a minor. This is disgusting, borderline sociopathic and extremely hypocritical.






77 animosity towards Ruben Sim

77 along with his associate Xen had highly taken into account the possibility of Ruben Sim being involved in the situation, they were afraid of his platform and influence and discussed methods on how to keep him out of the drama and ‘ensure’ that he ‘doesn't get involved’.






Xen's best way to effectively have Ruben Sim 'not involved' is by bringing up his 'associates' to which Xen explains his ties to AlaskanReality, who was a prolific predator. This is extremely disingenuous and malicious as they selectively do not mention how Ruben Sim was one of the main integral people who contacted the victims, compiled the evidence and confronted Alaskan on his allegations shortly before he went offline to hide. 77 openly was willing to involve Ruben and attack him / diminish his reputation based on a false claim in regards to his association with Alaskan without verifying the authenticity of the claim or necessary contextual background. This shows how desperate 77 was to scare or pry Ruben away from the situation.





77 has also falsely attempted to insinuate or push the idea that Ruben is part of some elaborate coverup in regards to me, attempting to feign his silence and lack of care about the situation to potential 'culpability'.

77 is a habitual liar










77 openly lies on Twitter claiming that he is not a doxxer, despite him openly encouraging minors to use websites such as ‘Soyjak Party’ and Doxbin, both currently under FBI surveillance to obtain my personal and private information, as well as openly sharing my full legal name and recommending someone to find my address via a UK phonebook.


This was also followed by the pathetic and embarrassing victim complex he puts on where he attempts to claim that I will attempt to ‘stop at nothing’ and will ‘go after him’. To once again push forward some self centered egotistical idea that he is sharing the truth and is being punished for it, to of course garner sympathy and support in an extremely manipulative way.




Once again 77 is seen openly lying to other users despite his behaviour in the GC painting an entirely different picture. He attempts to deflect away from his own allegations by discrediting my claims and urging users simply to ‘not believe everything you read from him’.




77 was also seen lying publicly claiming that one of my ‘whistleblowers’ just came clean to them. This was a lie and a bluff to ‘stress’ me out, apply pressure and to play as psychological manipulation.



77 has openly lied publicly claiming that he was ‘never’ an associate of Dupe’s. This was made to detract suspicion away from the fact that Dupe has openly promoted 77’s document on Pyrocynical’s subreddit who is an alleged pedophile. Only days later it was revealed that 77 was in fact a direct associate of Dupe’s and was communicating with him actively in private.











77 in another example of being extremely bad faith, attempts to claim that I lied in reference to me denying that I am solely doing YouTube for the money. He attempts to debunk me stating that I was not in it for the money in dms with him in April 2025 with a statement I said in February 2024 with Dupe where I stated I can't quit YouTube because I need the money.


This can be easily debunked, there is a 14 month gap between these two messages and 14 months ago, I was still in university and I needed thousands of pounds in order to afford my Uni accommodation. Nowadays I'm focused on creating mostly content I am interested in. I do not do YouTube solely for the money, there are a multitude of factors. Pinning and trying to compare and contrast these messages which have months of change and development between them is inherently malicious and bad faith.














Xen is seen having faked proof against a user in discord and had openly told 77 that he edited it. He then asks 77 if it looks real enough to which 77 states ‘yes’ with Xen stating good.
This is proof of Xen forging evidence and 77 being complicit in knowing about this, but having no problem with him doing this regardless.





77 is seen once again making a threat and advocating for a woodchipper to be used on us, following up by slandering Gwamgo by claiming that he defends a predator, ergo slandering me as a predator.






What is deeply funny is 77 openly lying and claiming that he never [outright] accused me of grooming, despite the fact he desperately tried to push forward and insinuate that false narrative in his document. However, only 3 days later, in this group chat, 77 is seen stating that I'm a groomer regardless, outright contradicting himself.






77 is seen stating that me allegeding that the user he was working with [Natz] was trying to solicit CP and dated an 11 year old, was a self report. Despite me never having attempted to solicit CP. This is another example at 77 hedging and purporting a false claim.


77's unsubstantiated claims




77 was seen massively over-exaggerating lies regarding my fanbase in YouTube, claiming it as a "cult of personality", and asking Dupe whether it was a good opinion to cover, with Dupe himself stating that it is a very unserious subject. Even 77 is seen stating it sounds "too overexaggerated considering it's about a Roblox YouTuber". This highly discredits any points he makes because it shows a long pattern of his consistent malicious behaviour of exaggerating and inflating situations to his personal benefit to dehumanise the people he greatly dislikes.



77 was seen making yet more conspiracy comments attempting to claim that my 'connection' to the UTTP and foodist groups go 'deeper than we think'. Attempting to drastically insinuate there are some nefarious undertones in play.






Xen was seen making baseless and extremely egregious claims in this group chat, claiming that I was a part of some ‘predator ring’ with the UTTP and that is where my CammyFemboy images were leaked from, completely warping the situation.

Xen was also even seen attempting to claim that I had some secret alias I used to associate with the UTTP, once again no proof is shown for this claim whatsoever. 77 does not cast doubts on these claims and instead allows Xen to continue making such insane statements.




77 seen making comments alluding to many Roblox YouTubers and figures along with my associates, being part of some predator ring.




Followed by Xen stating that this ring is; ‘more hidden than the government pedophilia ring’, to which 77 is seen entirely agreeing with.

Xen is then seen making yet more jokes about this situation, entirely displaying his immaturity and lack of respect for the people they claim to be ‘victims’.



Close associate to 77 had even admitted that 77 used AI programs to prompt responses from CHATGPT to make my actions appear far more nefarious than they actually were, that is essentially how 77 was able to create documents heavily utilising succinct wordplay manipulation.





A very egregious claim heavily pushed and insinuated by 77 was when he was attempting to push the ‘blackmail’ false allegation out on his account publicly in regards to HairyTwinkle. The same claim which was proven wrong in my initial response video to Hairy and also attested by Hairy HIMSELF that it was bitterly overexaggerated and patently false.

77 then attempts to HEAVILY insinuate that I worked hand in hand with the UTTP to lull Hairy into working with them, for them to then backstab him. This is once again completely untrue.


77 is seen forwarding wild, speculative allegations with extreme consequences and he does so in order to poison the well against me. It is done intentionally.


This is all while someone who prides himself on calling out objectively disgusting people, is seen consistently victimising someone who has harassed numerous minors, posted gore and created CP art.







77 victimising & defending HairyTwinkle





77 is seen recommending a video with misinformation, stating that it was a 'good video' covering the situation. He follows up by feigning the idea that he is neutral, but then stating 'you know what side is clearly worse'. Heavily alluding that HairyTwinkle is the victim in this situation and comparatively I am worse, despite it being open knowledge that Hairy attempted to solicit numerous examples of CP from underaged girls, threatened to harm and abuse people, doxxed numerous minors and openly worked with the UTTP. 77 was then seen using buzzwords describing me as 'callous and barbaric' for the announcement post clearly calling out HairyTwinkle for having engaged with the UTTP who are affiliated with terrorist organisations under surveillance by the FBI. Empathy is something in which 77 hypocritically lacks when he is seen gaslighting and manipulating a 13 year old named Dupe into thinking that him disseminating self harm of me was **not something that was inherently morally bad.** 77 neglects to mention that empathy was given to HairyTwinkle for his other apologies before he is seen immediately going back on them and committing federal crimes once again, and then only apologising to manipulate his impressionable viewers into thinking that he is a victim.





77 is seen attempting to consistently victimise HairyTwinkle with baseless theoreticals that he was groomed by them, which was never alleged even by Hairy himself. He will attempt to create baseless and unsubstantiated excuses to waterdown the criminal actions of someone who is objectively in the wrong.


77 uses wordplay manipulation to try to invoke anger from people by describing Hairy being called out as ‘sickening’, and stating ‘how many more need to be hurt before something is done’. This is painfully ironic coming from the same individual who has made it more than known that they don't actually care about minors who they claim to be victims, only using them as a chess piece for their own personal game of catching relevance - such as 77 disregarding Kirb and Dupe.

Even more ironic is 77’s lack of acknowledgement of any of the victims of Hairy’s who have endured harassment, solicitation and threats from his actions that went completely unchallenged before my videos came out. 77 never actually cared about accountability or actual bad actors being called out, he will do his best to tiptoe a thin line of victimising objectively bad people to promote any lie he can and support his non-existent narrative that Hairy is a victim in this situation of mine, while not explicitly directly supporting him, [so he can continue to pretend as if he is neutral].

77 includes 2 thinly veiled threats via stating that I have a ‘final chance to come clean’, desperately trying to ploy me into responding so he can maliciously twist more words, followed by a bible quote deliberately included specifically towards me, insinuating that I am a liar in this situation and that ‘I shall have my part in the lake which burns with fire and brimstone which will be my second death’.
Once again conflating these quotes with a serious situation with actual victims, showing his lack of maturity and extremely biased and malicious undertones and intent in pushing forward this situation.




This is painfully ironic coming from 77 as he has attempted in the past, to utilise AI programs to cast as much doubt as possible on the sincerity of my apologies to both Dupe and Kirb.



77 also attempted to hypocritically cast doubts on my apology to Kirb and claimed that ‘regardless it doesn't make it okay’, along with the fact that ‘it’s rare to see people be held responsible for their actions nowadays’. Ironic when considering 77’s belittlement of Hairy’s crimes and the fact he attempts to parade Hairy’s hastily made apology acting as if that exonerates his horrific actions towards numerous minors and the mistreatment of his victims.

Hairy has had a long documented history of openly apologising and then going back on it only days later, he has admitted he has a habitual lying problem and yet 77 necessitates the idea that he was remorseful and guilty in this video, as if that suddenly detracts from the severity of his crimes.
77 should know that HairyTwinkle’s actions are far beyond being excused, but he is almost seen here attempting to legitimize his defense.
77 believes that he can personally vet and determine which apologies should be accepted and which ones shouldn’t. This is ironic because he doesn’t have a say in this situation, nor the ones pertaining to Dupe or Kirb. Only the ones affected can judge the sincerity of an apology, not someone from the outside attempting to interject to make a name for themselves. I accepted Dupe’s apology from May 26th 2025, as he accepted my apology regarding the self harm situation from February 2024. Kirb accepted my apology due to my conduct from March 2022. 77 appears however to not like how this abbrases with his own narrative and will attempt to do anything in his power to invalidate them.
Once again he pivots to the false pretense that he is not defending his actions, to which is objectively doing so therefore he is openly lying, but he attempts to latch on to a false strawman claim that he was ‘groomed’ [no evidence provided]. He then claims that he is just using the situation to claim how ‘scummy’ I am.
77 strips all context away once again, does not inform his followers on the malicious actions of Hairy or what exactly he did to warrant numerous videos being made on him, and this is done deliberately.

If 77 is able to consistently waterdown situations, strip all the context away that does not best fit his narrative, he is able to bend any malleable situation to his benefit to make whoever he dislikes appear to be considerably more malicious than they actually are.
The post was made to address how actions have consequences, Hairytwinkle was warned by his own friends about being associated with high level UTTP members, and he engaged with them nonetheless, it is hard to feel pity to someone who knew how egregious their actions were and went back on numerous past apologies. It is also hard to sympathise with someone who harasses minors, posts gore and slanders people.
77 doesn't even highlight the mental turmoil caused by HairyTwinkle's videos on me which initiated a 4 day long harassment / misinformation campaign against me.




77 openly lies to other Twitter users attempting to claim that HairyTwinkle was 'harassed' and that it was 'wrongly'. Once again deliberately negating the numerous felonies he committed in the US, such as alleged distribution of CP, solicitation of CP, to which Hairy was planning to use to blackmail a 16 year old girl, so therefore sextortion.

While also negating his other long consistent history of harassing and attacking minors. The fact 77 has the brass neck to overtly victimise and placate HairyTwinkle's behaviour shows a major double standard in his

morals and that he is willing to excuse and playdown the actions of someone who objectively is in the wrong, while spending copious amounts of time defaming another who did far less.

77 also spreads misinformation which he coated under the term ‘allegedly’, so he can feign the plausible deniability excuse, attempting to wedge this with the aforementioned statement victimising Hairy by stating he was ‘[wrongly] harassed’ to build a more coherent narrative that he was somehow the victim.
Hairy was never blackmailed and this has been directly debunked and countered by Hairy himself, however knowing exactly how 77 operates, he will do anything in his ability to lie and claim or heavily insinuate Hairy was ‘extorted’ into this, due to 77’s long history of making outlandish and unsubstantiated theories which and not based in any fact. Once again 77 uses the ‘power dynamic’ buzzword term to make the situation appear far more insidious too.



77 has over utilised the word and definition of ‘manipulation’ to the point where it is objectively laughable, his use of overusing AI and openly lying numerous times to multiple individuals paints an entire narrative of how nefarious and malicious his intent really is in this situation.

77 is on record openly belittling and playing down the actions of HairyTwinkle2929, by using the fact that he is a minor and autistic, to neutralise and minimize the illegal actions in which he openly committed.

He maliciously negates to mention that HairyTwinkle2929 openly seeked contact with the UTTP, and used them as a basis and platform to raid innocent users, harass people he did not like, as well as used them to post neo-nazi content, cat gore and dox people critical of him. Autism and his age are not valid excuses to play down the crimes he committed either. 77 attempts to use false allegations against me from when I was 16, to heavily allude to me being a predator, however will use age and the minor card to cover and belittle the actions of someone who attempted to solicit CP for blackmail reasons, doxxed and harassed numerous minors, created CP of a 15 year old and their deceased cat, and has shown traits of being a pathological liar.

77 is willing to excuse disgusting and indefensible actions of people, as long as it benefits his narrative, he will feign the idea that he is not necessarily defending them, however by analysing the wordplay manipulation he does to victimise objectively bad people, you can obviously see what he is attempting to do.


77 aids DAGames' fans

77 openly is seen on Reddit sharing and promoting his document to gather as much attention as possible, the lie he attempts to feign that he does not with to garner attention is categorically untrue, especially when he made

his intentions known that he wishes his documents to go viral with the purposes of him being able to see how my ‘career will take a hit’.
The ways 77 goes about achieving these goals are blatantly immoral.
77 is seen promoting his other reddit posts featuring his documents in DaGames’ Reddit, where his fanbase are seen ardently attempting to deflect away from Will Ryan’s actions of disseminating and possessing loli content when he was 26 in 2018 which is illegal under UK law.
Despite 77 knowing these extremely serious allegations levied against DaGames, and Will’s fans attempting to use any false allegation to deflect the situation and use them as ad hominems, to attack my credibility rather than the validity of my claims, 77 is seen directly feeding and promoting his word to these predator defenders, knowing the ramifications of his actions but doing so anyway.


77 has not utilised his platform to call out the actions of an adult disseminating loli porn or even having shared pornographic content to minors, or even dating a 17 year old when they were 23.
Instead 77 sees a valid opportunity to promote his document to a fanbase he knows is defending a predator.






77 slandering Frevv

77 and Xen were preoccupied in this groupchat not just with slandering me, making jokes about pedophilia and harassing me, but 77 took expressed interest in attempting to also pin down and attack the reputation of my friends and associates, in hopes that they would suffer too.



After being told information that Frevv was friends with someone who had allegations [TBun], 77 is immediately seen making a preposterous claim stating that he ‘wouldn’t be surprised if they [us] ran a [pedo] ring’, following up by claiming us to be ‘f#cking sickos’.
No verification of this claim, no consultation of Frevv about if he even knew about this situation. Just an immediate character attack with no quantifiable evidence, and it appropriately demonstrates how bad faith 77 actually is.
He will insinuate and assume the worst possible outcome in regards to any situation because he wishes to directly tarnish my credibility along with my friends who have nothing to do with this situation.





77 and his associates were seen trying to drastically tie my friend Frevv in any way possible to an alleged predator named TBun. In an attempt to immediately discredit him and tarnish his image based off of loose associations.


They neglect to mention that there is a large chance that Frevv did not know the predator allegations levied against TBun, which was exactly the case.

TBun was present in a group chat with me, Frevv and many others where he was openly mocked and called out for dating a Roblox predator that Schlep had made a video on. He affirmed that he was catfished but vehemently denied any pedophile allegations against himself, to which we all made jokes at his expense regardless.

There is absolutely no proof that Frevv ever knew TBun was a predator and had him friended regardless, because it simply is NOT true.










77 was never interested in being levelheaded or unbiased when it came to Frevv's association with Tbun, he never even attempted to give him the benefit of the doubt and this is exactly how 77 operates. He will immediately hyper-analyse and assume the worst possible scenario for people he has a vendetta against. He will make implausible scenarios to demean and attack their credibility and it is very good that his private messages were leaked by Dupe, because it shows a consistent and repetitive trait of his toxic and malicious behaviour. He never once consulted Frevv regarding this situation, they were desperately attempting to shoot him down regardless.

77 is a chronic stalker

While attempting to hypocritically feign the idea that I have ‘stalked him’, 77 has dedicated copious and concerning amounts of time online attempting to spread misinformation regarding me online.









77 stated in late May that he would be stepping back to engage more in his relationship with his girlfriend, admitting that he had been distant from her and disregarding her. This was then followed by 77 admitting only a week later that they had broken up. Showing how he was more willing to engage in internet drama with me, stalking my socials and creating false pretenses and lies about me, rather than dedicating any time to his family, loved ones or his own girlfriend who he admitted that he ‘distanced himself from’. It’s extremely concerning and unhealthy behaviour complicated by the fact that he also admits to having an online addiction to posting consistently about me and operating Twitter.

> However, since I have no life,

- Excerpt from what 77 had stated himself.




77 was directly confronted about how he had essentially dedicated his entire online footprint to essentially harass me and attack me consistently almost every single day. He shows a screenshot of 77 admitting that the alias is made ‘purely for documenting Parlo’s behaviour’, however pivoting to a lie claiming that they do not intend to make a name for themselves or garner attention. This is directly contradicted by their private messages highlighting their desperate desire for their document to go ‘mainstream’. Furthermore 77 is seen in the screenshot highlighting that they will be ‘gone as soon as their mission is complete’, this statement is laced in malicious undertones as 77 made it known in the private GC that they didn’t seek accountability to be taken, they just sought to baselessly ruin my reputation and career. Justice wasn’t actively being achieved, it was a malicious vendetta to begin with.




This malicious vendetta is best proven when 77 has a slip up in private and admits that he wants to push me to quit entirely, and for ‘everyone to know the [truth] of what happened’, despite him openly lying about every single allegation.


77 in his reply to vAqeii deflects by playing a self-gratifying, narcissistic, heroist approach acting as if he is the one who is systematically calling out ‘real harm’ and not instead, openly disregarding minors when it most benefits himself and openly lying to justify and legitimise his growing and concerning vendetta that is taking up much of his own life.



When 77 was openly confronted about his extremely obsessive and vindictive behaviour, 77 pivots the topic of conversation to include buzzwords to demean my reputation which have already been entirely addressed and countered in this document. Patterns of manipulation and emotional control were oddly enough observed from 77 himself. 77 even attempts to use a baseless and categorically false comparison attempting to correlate actual threats like predators to the behaviour that I conducted in regards to the ‘power abuse’, which is a blanket term which 77 utilises to demonise the situation to make it sound much more sinister.



77 had openly lied and claimed that Mugen ‘stalked their account’, so if by his definition Mugen looking at his account was considered as egregious as ‘stalking’, what would define 77’s concerning, continuous and unhealthy behaviour towards me.




77 ignores porn being posted to a minor


In the group chat in which was leaked by Dupe, it shows how 77’s close associate Xen, has openly posted uncensored furry pornography in the group chat and did not delete it. The context being Xen mass posting evidence against TBun who is an alleged furry predator. This is especially concerning due to the fact that Dupe was known to have been 13, and Xero was 15, despite Xen being 16, there is no legitimate excuse for what he did, especially with the additive context that 77 attempts to utilise situations to do with me from when I was 16, 3 and a half years ago. By his own standards he should hold his own friend and close associate

accountable, for openly posting and disseminating uncensored furry pornography in a group chat containing 2 minors.










Xen is seen openly stating that he ‘forgot to censor’ the furry pornographic image that he posted in a GC containing numerous minors. Xero [15] is seen exacerbating his discomfort and displeasure with seeing the image. Instead of deleting the image he instead jokes stating ‘gg your eyes’.


77 oddly enough says absolutely nothing about this, he doesn't even advise Xen to remove the content, instead he plays along with Xen by posting an emoji, finding this exchange rather humorous instead of concerning while simply brushing it off.

77’s associates




Jess operates a KiwiFarms account that will be censored as blue. To immediately counter this point, I am not using KiwiFarms as a point against Jess, good faith users do use the platform, however the platform has had its reputation clouded by some malicious individuals over the course of many years. This is not a collective smear campaign against KiwiFarm’s, just a debunk of some majorly untrue comments made by associates of 77.
77’s document is pushed heavily in this KiwiFarm’s thread created on me, Jess, a main backer of this document, attempts to posture themselves on a moral pedestal in reference to me

‘doxing people’, however refuses to elaborate, refuses to show evidence or even offer full context. Her selective outrage to the situation is completely dismantled regardless of how she doesn’t even deny that 77 had doxxed me and spread my information to numerous minors, but instead she is seen stating ‘who cares’. Jess is seen openly lying that 77’s document was not constructed by AI, despite close associates to 77 admitting this, 77 not denying under any circumstances because the layout is painfully obvious, and also with the addictive factor that he was seen numerous times admitting to using AI programs to ‘dismantle’ my documents, obviously by prying the bot to create bad faith prompts. Jess goes from denying that the document was created by AI, to then selectively making excuses and mental gymnastics to justify its use, sidestepping the fact that any AI program can be used to twist and recontextualise situations to whatever benefit.




Jess was seen asking if I wished to be in a GC with 77. Inferring that she had him added & had contact.





Another user is seen posting my full address to deflect the topic of conversation and creating a strawman argument, completely ignoring the fact I am working on this counter document. Selective obfuscation is seen by this user where they do not mention that 77 openly instructed

minors on how to find and locate my personal information along with other family members of mine.



Same user attempts to make the argument, ‘if you are associated with someone I do not like, you must be a pedophile’. Essentially overusing and completely stripping the actual definition of the word, using it as an argumentative and insult based buzzword instead of a definition wedged to actual serious situations with diagnostic rigour. A quintessential case of an internet degenerate self-projecting and slandering the name of me and numerous of my associates.







The Madness
kiwifarms.net
Joined: Jan 21, 2025

Today at 1:06 PM #196

[redacted] said:


> Parlo's recent UTTP video inspired his fans to visit and try some gayops on skibidifarms, most of them are minors:
>
> View attachment 7592026View attachment 7592035View attachment 7592040View attachment 7592047View attachment 7592049View attachment 7592052View attachment 7592054
>
> Also, here's Parlo weaponizing his audience:
> View attachment 7592061
>
> Here's more of Parlo sperging over 77:View attachment 7592062View attachment 7592084
>
> Notice how he covered the name and profile picture? It's almost like he's scared of his audience seeing their page...


Parlo inspiring his underage fans to go to fucking skibidfarms of all the sites was not something I expected to see today, also good to see parlo is still making shit up and refusing to accept accountability, can't wait for his downfall one day


Natz / Natzpop

Natzpop is a known habitual liar who has a long history of openly slandering other people and falsely labeling others as groomers and pedophiles with no evidence. He has recently decided to publicly and privately associate with 77, despite it being known that 77 doxxed me, with his newfound association to 77, he and other users have attempted to make a group where they have made several fictitious reports to agencies, wasting crucial time and resources to vindictively harass me over baseless and false claims. Natz is someone who has allegations of not only soliciting CP, but also was seen defaming me as a pedophile for the Dupe situation, [despite Dupe lying about his age], what is bitterly ironic was that Natz was seen dating Dupe and sending sexual messages to him. Natz was 14, Dupe was 11. If we were to apply the same logic they used against me, in reference to Natz actions, it wouldn't paint a good picture for him.












Natz is seen directly admitting to having had a relationship with Dupe. He attempts to claim that the situation was legal [reciting no sources], sidestepping accountability for how hypocritical he was in this situation to defame me as a predator, despite the fact he dated the exact same person who had lied about their age. Natz was also seen attempting to sidestep accountability once again by **openly lying** claiming 'It wouldn't even classify as a relationship', which was immediately discredited by Dupe on his [Voxl_Dev] account posting existing chat excerpts proving that Natz and him were in a **sexually charged relationship**. Natz was seen instigating the sexual messages on his own volition and continuing them. The fact Natz has the audacity to defame me as the pedophile for the fact that Dupe had lied about his actual age is especially illogical as it is ironic, considering the fact that Dupe was **11** and Natz was **14** in this instance.




Voxl confirms that he is Dupe, also confirms that Natz did not know his age, alike my situation, that Natz has defamed me as a predator for.


Parlo Parlo Parlo Parlo Parlo Parlo
natz added you
There are 7 other people in this group


dupe 7/8/2024 11:50 PM
pokedaily and all of his yes men blocked me
bc of us
he HATES you
also natz tried to lie to my friend about you being 21



People
TopazTheTopazMan
@TopazTheTophat
Follow
Heya, I make 13+ videos about roblox, no discord sorry! Contact me on revolt as toast#4483
meditext
@def_meditext
Follow
Professional Idiot
pepsiecar
@pepsiecar
Follow
a morkov chain with a sunny deposition gay nerd clanker hater
SkylerClock | Roblox In Training
@SkylerClocky
Follow
regular guy with a cheeto
77
@77IsUnavailable
Follow
James 4:7 "Submit yourself therefore to God. Resist the devil, and he will flee from you." Glory to God Almighty
JustTacoz (Birthday Saturday)
@JustTacoz
Follow
Hi :) follow = 1 taco Givingaway 1M robux if I reach 1k followers.
Rinn
@R9nn__
Proud NEW owner of @BloxHumor
natz
@probnatz
Follows you
Following
making communities safe again


This Post was deleted by the Post author. Learn more
natz @probnatz · May 21
now ur gonna change the table by saying how our relationship was illegal.. it was legal and my country and provincial laws debunks all and u even said urself it was fine 🙏
1
147
natz @probnatz · May 21
+ it was a short time so it wouldnt even classify as relationship atp 😭
6
370
This Post is from a suspended account. Learn more
Background Character
@TheletterFour
apparently said 11 year old was dupe, so naturally people should probably find out if natz knew his age.
5:10 PM · May 21, 2025 · 47 Views


Roblox
natz @probnatz · 53m
PARLO BLOCKED ME AFTER DEBUNKING HIS COMMENTS EASILY
HERES THE DOC OF HIS PEDOPHILLIA & GROOMING ACTIONS + OTHER MALICIOUS STUFF: files.catt


This Post was deleted by the Post author. Learn more
natz @probnatz · May 21
now ur gonna change the table by saying how our relationship was illegal.. it was legal and my country and provincial laws debunks all and u even said urself it was fine 🙏
1
148
natz @probnatz · May 21
+ it was a short time so it wouldnt even classify as relationship atp 😭
6
371
Voxl
@Voxl_Dev
funny you mentioned that, wasnt even talking about our relationship i was talking about the one with alvie, who you actually knew were 11 (and not 16)
4:27 PM · May 21, 2025 · 55 Views


Voxl
48 posts
Follow
Background Character @TheletterFour · May 21
wait so was he dating dupe, you, or "alvie," or all three?
1
78
Voxl @Voxl_Dev · May 21
i am dupe, and alvie, both of us. but he didnt know my age
1
68
natz @probnatz · May 21
+ it was a short time so it wouldnt even classify as relationship atp 😭
6
371
Voxl @Voxl_Dev · May 21
"wouldnt consider it a relationship" alright pack it up
natz 12/11/23, 2:51 AM
im feeling horny rn~ jsjsjsjsjs
sol 12/11/23, 2:51 AM
aaa~
thats cute
<3333
natz 12/11/23, 2:53 AM
when im horny i have to say alot of horny stuff im trying to control it but i cant ahsjjfdjfjj~~
1
88
Voxl @Voxl_Dev · May 21





Berratement channel debunk [dupe admits the pyro shit he fucked up on]


The "burden of proof" refers to the obligation of a party in a legal case to prove their allegations or claims. In essence, it's the responsibility to produce enough evidence to support an argument. The burden usually rests on the party bringing the action, such as the prosecution in a criminal case or the plaintiff in a civil case.






Purpleg If He Was Evil @sobtoaster · Member · 1h
three actually
1
1
150
natz @probnatz · Member · 1h
who else 👀
1
140
Purpleg If He Was Evil @sobtoaster · Member · 1h
ruben
schl
1
61
natz @probnatz · Member · 1h
Sounds right to me
1
58



77 5/12/2025 9:40 PM
yes
and then
let me find the screenshot where parlo says he didnt intend to meet up until he knew the age
xen 5/12/2025 9:41 PM
"until he knew the age"
kids are in college at 17 in the UK
it was so obvious
like vro
77 5/12/2025 9:43 PM
could only find this but its similar
Image attachment
77 let me find the screenshot where parlo says he didnt intend to meet up until he knew the age
77 5/12/2025 9:43 PM
i think he said this in a vid or something
xen 5/12/2025 9:43 PM
shrug
77 5/12/2025 9:43 PM
same thing tbh



77 5/12/2025 9:44 PM
he still had intent to meet up with dupe despite not knowing,
Image attachment
before all of this make sure to show these next screenshots
which showcase self harm manipulation
Image attachment
theres an existing screenshot i found where he sent the image of his bloody arm
its censored but
probably shouldnt use it
xen 5/12/2025 9:45 PM
ehh
yeah
77 5/12/2025 9:45 PM
it would be in bad taste
xen 5/12/2025 9:45 PM
let me see it tho
77 5/12/2025 9:45 PM
lemme find it
xen 5/12/2025 9:45 PM
k



77 5/12/2025 9:46 PM
Image attachment
the messages line up witht he archives
xen 5/12/2025 9:46 PM
right
not gonna lie, that looks so bait
just saying my opinion
77 5/12/2025 9:47 PM
Image attachment
hes a manipulator



xen 5/12/2025 9:47 PM
yea
77 5/12/2025 9:47 PM
i feel like half of him is incompetent and the other half is genuinly malicious
like homelander
he DOES have insane mental problems but that doesnt erase the fact he uses that and acts maliciously with it
xen 5/12/2025 9:48 PM
im suicidal but i atleast have the balls to almost kms like vro
it's bait
77 5/12/2025 9:48 PM
fr



77 5/12/2025 10:14 PM
we know that parlo has worked with two doxxers named sc99 and soulless before (edited)
xen 5/12/2025 10:14 PM
77 we know that parlo has worked with two doxxers named sc99 and soulless before (edited)
77 5/12/2025 10:15 PM
those two previously doxxed the shit out of parlo
it was parlos idea for them to help him do his dirty work
the reason they agreed? i dont really know
xen 5/12/2025 10:15 PM
insane
77 5/12/2025 10:15 PM
could be intimidation, maybe they felt bad
something similar could be happening with mugen maybe?
considering they dont really have a history, they just popped out of nowhere
with a close connection to Parlo
So it's very possible that Parlo is just using him
But that's just a theory



77 5/12/2025 10:48 PM
ye
we should probably debunk the "points" mugen made too
but im gonna do that later
23 year old man shifting blame onto a 13 year old 💔
@sol you probably shouldnt speak to that guy anymore
thats my reccomendation


xen 5/13/2025 7:28 PM
this guy fantasies playing with underaged dick
fantasises*
im done with humanity ong
77 5/13/2025 7:28 PM
My favorite part of this whole thing is that he pushes that he didn't know the age to deflect away from EVERYTHING ELSE in those dms
xen 5/13/2025 7:29 PM
"hey im not pedo cause i didnt know age"
"hey that guy is pedo don't matter if he didn't know age"
77 5/13/2025 7:29 PM
fr
He projects so much


77 5/13/2025 11:12 PM
he can change his political opinions
xen 5/13/2025 11:12 PM
fr
77 5/13/2025 11:12 PM
it isnt a problem for him
he cant change the fact he's a shit person tho


sol 5/17/2025 3:00 AM
yeah
good idea
77 5/17/2025 3:01 AM
i wouldnt speak to anyone about things like that until this case is finished
tbh


sol @77 Parlo is using a screenshot of something I said when I was freshly 11 years old years ago 😭 (im 13 just so discord moderation doesn't get confused) (edited)
Xero 5/13/2025 7:15 PM
bro he is SWALLOWING your dick 💔
Xero bro he is SWALLOWING your dick 💔
77 5/13/2025 7:16 PM
In character for parlo
xen 5/13/2025 7:16 PM
yep



77 @77IsUnavailable · 4h
Obligatory am I onto something or on something
1 85

Background Character @TheletterFour · 3h
parlo is unstable but the thing is that he thought he was talking to someone around his age who was prepared to deal with this. had he known, i'm sure he wouldn't have been so heavy on disturbing topics like that. i genuinely don't think he wanted dupe dependent on him.
1 29

77 @77IsUnavailable · 2h
Someone around his age being 16-17
I get the gist of what you're saying, but as I've said numerous times before intent is irrelevant when the impact is this harmful, especially considering this was part of a broader pattern between years
1 1 24


77 @77IsUnavailable · 2h
Though we can both agree that Parlo is by all means unstable. This isn't to absolve him of any sort of responsibility though.
1 1 27


Background Character
@TheletterFour


well, yes, it's clearly harmful, but i bring up intent specifically to say that if it's wrong as you can't accidentally groom someone. i don't know if that was your claim, i am saying it just in case.


2:00 AM · Jun 30, 2025 · **13** Views


1



Parlo - WAR ERA
I understand I'm like that sometimes
I wait for someone else to start the conversation
So that can lead to days of inactive DMs
dupe
Yea
Parlo - WAR ERA
Sorry
dupe
Why
Parlo - WAR ERA
For hot speeking and being super sexual
dupe
Nah idm
I'm in the wrong, as well
Wasn't just u


Parlo - WAR ERA
dupe 7/30/2024 10:37 PM
like
humiliation kink, bdsm/being restrained or smth uhhh degrade kink, piss and like consensual-non consent
also not saying that bc of the 2022 stuff i genuinely do have some of those
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/30/2024 10:38 PM
Yea dw I have a lot of them too haha
dupe 7/30/2024 10:39 PM
What do you have if u dont mind
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/30/2024 10:39 PM
Just to warn you tho I'm like a super sub
dupe 7/30/2024 10:39 PM
Thats fine ha
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/30/2024 10:39 PM
Most crazy one I have is a piss link Mayhaps
dupe 7/30/2024 10:40 PM
same owo
btw i dont want to sound creepy with all of this
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/30/2024 10:41 PM
Haha dw ur cute
dupe 7/30/2024 10:42 PM
What elseeeeeeeeeeeee



Parlo - WAR ERA
I just wanna hug u sm
Like I love u sm and u mean a lot to me
dupe 10/2/2024 3:59 AM
Aww <3
I love u sm
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/2/2024 4:04 AM
I've loved u for a while too
dupe 10/2/2024 4:04 AM
Ngl
Im really glad U felt the same
I remember in may
I was re reading the old dms and like the penny dropped
I really didnt get you were flirting i think
I might be wrong and be a huge idiot
But like cuz i felt the same way at the time it made me really sad
Cuz i thought you wouldnt like me anymoer
After the april march stuff
Im really realyl happy u felt the same way tho
Parlo - WAR ERA
I sometimes like get preoccupied with other stuff
And my libido and drive goes down
But meeting up would rlly cement it all
Because I'd have you with me
And it's not just text on a screen too
dupe 10/2/2024 4:08 AM
Ya
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/2/2024 4:08 AM
It's kinda hard to distinguish you too just with text
That's why I wanna know a bit more of what u look like
Not in a creepy way
But just to make sure I know the real u



Parlo - WAR ERA 7/1/2024 2:29 PM
Would u ever want plans of eventually building up to meeting with each other maybe
If you're ever comfortable
Please be honest as well
I do not want to dissuade you from anything
dupe 7/1/2024 2:30 PM
If you'd like to
But I definitely want to like yk date you for a while before we meet up or anything if thats fine with you
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/1/2024 2:31 PM
No absolutely I get that entirely
To build up trust and love and stuff
dupe 7/1/2024 2:32 PM
yeah definitely



dupe 7/1/2024 2:19 PM
mhm
fuckkkk
i love you
im really shitty with dating words sorry
i really like you
though
would you like to officiate it now?
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/1/2024 2:20 PM
Yea
Do you wanna be my bf
dupe 7/1/2024 2:20 PM
Yes
(edited)
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/1/2024 2:21 PM
I love you



Parlo - WAR ERA No it's fine I'm rlly happy you feel the same way
dupe 7/7/2024 2:02 PM
yeah
you're a cute guy
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/7/2024 2:03 PM
I don't wanna sound weird when I say this either
But that banner you had
The femboy one
Was rlly cute
dupe 7/7/2024 2:03 PM
lol thankiess
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/7/2024 2:03 PM
I'm not a pedo for saying that right
dupe 7/7/2024 2:03 PM
No lol
1 year 11 months
I really dont mind


Parlo - WAR ERA
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/18/2024 3:23 AM
def need to socialise more, and i with that i was in a compatible relationship and shit like other people my age
ppl younger than me achieve a lot more shit than i ever had
dupe 5/18/2024 3:25 AM
ive lost hope in all of that stuff
school killed me tbh
like I was always a fucking loner (edited)
in school
didnt really talk to people
mother was on alcohol and my shitty stepfather was constantly taking pills
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/18/2024 3:27 AM
do u still have school
dupe 5/18/2024 3:27 AM
i did my gsces
so no
i have college next year
but for now im just doing nothing
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/18/2024 3:28 AM
it starts in september right?
dupe 5/18/2024 3:29 AM
my term starts in September yes
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/18/2024 3:30 AM
ive asked u this before but i wanna see if ur mind changed
but what would u want in life
that u would be happy abt
dupe 5/18/2024 3:31 AM
finalise my projects, push a few updates out, if i dont get better suicide, if i do i will do it indefinitely until i decay
Parlo - WAR ERA 5/18/2024 3:33 AM
is there anything else
that would make u better


Parlo - WAR ERA
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/20/2023 2:04 PM
Getting slowly worse
More depressive
dupe 10/20/2023 2:04 PM
man
im always here for you yk
just hmu if you want to vent
or anythign
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/20/2023 2:05 PM
I don't intend to trauma dump on others I'm trying to help
@Parlo - WAR ERA I don't intend to trauma dump on others I'm trying to help
dupe 10/20/2023 2:05 PM
its fine man, im here for you ofc, I wont mind if you did anything like that
but i cant force you ofc
just feel free
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/20/2023 2:07 PM
Thx


Parlo - WAR ERA
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 11:36 PM
What would u want in life
dupe 10/15/2023 11:37 PM
a normal brain, a person who can go outside without being awkward.
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 11:38 PM
How many other people know you're considering suicide
@Parlo - WAR ERA How many other people know you're considering suicide
dupe 10/15/2023 11:38 PM
like 2 people actually know that im depressed but not suicidal
i dont think anyone other than you knows
they know im not mentally there but idk if they know or not
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 11:39 PM
Damn I do generally feel horrible for the position you're in
I'm not saying that though in a sense to legitimise your motives of suicide
Because by no means should you attempt to do that
dupe 10/15/2023 11:40 PM
youre right
Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 11:41 PM
I still feel like you're considering it
@Parlo - WAR ERA Damn I do generally feel horrible for the position you're in
dupe 10/15/2023 11:41 PM
i just want to be happy and to overcome my shitty mental heath
@Parlo - WAR ERA I still feel like you're considering it
dupe 10/15/2023 11:42 PM
not on the exact level i was earlier where i was an immediate danger to myself
i cant promise that i wont
but ill try
i pormise


Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 11:35 PM
Do you have any contact with ppl ur age irl?
@Parlo - WAR ERA Do you have any contact with ppl ur age irl?
dupe 10/15/2023 11:36 PM
irl, no
sadly


Parlo - WAR ERA
I am tired of this cruel world
I have nothing to live fkr
Parlo - WAR ERA
Are you being fr?
dupe
I guess
Idk anymore
Parlo - WAR ERA
I mean I'm here to listen to every point you have on why you see suicide as a viable option
I could perhaps resonate with a few
dupe
I'll explain everything it might take a bit
Parlo - WAR ERA
Do it like in bullet points if it's better for u
It can also be fast
dupe
Alright
Parlo - WAR ERA
Or if you want to let it off ur chest
You can do one big paragraph
dupe
my life is miserable as shit, I have barely been outside since 2021 and the times I did it was mandatory, my life is a living nightmare and nothing works for me, I have not motivation to do anything anymore, i have no life and i dont enjoy anything anymore, oot like I used too. i hate being alone. I fucking suck at socialising with more then 3 or 4 people at a time and I have a mental breakdown if I go anywhere populated. i cant even cry or anything anymore im just in a rabbit hole that gets deeper and deeper every living day. i would be better off dead as im no use to anyone, i have like 3 friends who actually care about me. i cant be happy anymore, everything is against me, everyone just uses me for there own benifit and then once theyre done with me they scrap me and move on with their lives. i have no other option other than to end it all, at this point i would be better off in a 4 year nightmare as it wouldnt be much different than my waking life. I tried. so much to improve and every time i do something comes along and snatches my ability to be happy.
Parlo - WAR ERA
Damn
Reading rn
How long have u been contemplating
dupe
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present

October 15, 2023

dupe 10/15/2023 6:28 PM
yo

Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 9:17 PM
Yo
Is clown dead
Rip



dupe 10/15/2023 9:18 PM
Im about to kms dude!

Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 9:19 PM
Why

dupe 10/15/2023 9:20 PM
I am tired of this cruel world
I have nothing to live fkr

Parlo - WAR ERA 10/15/2023 9:21 PM
Are you being fr?

You're viewing older messages Jump To Present


Message @Parlo - WAR ERA


Parlo - WAR ERA 9/27/2023 7:31 AM
Don't let my channel deceive you btw
I assure you life is shit
And I hate it
I very very much do


dupe 9/28/2023 1:20 AM
you aint alone buddy


Parlo - WAR ERA 9/28/2023 1:52 AM
Being a YouTuber is not fun


dupe 9/28/2023 1:53 AM
I dont doubt that



dupe 3/3/2025 7:20 PM
I mean it is illegal in Afghanistan
I'm learning Dutch cause I'm going to the Netherlands in September
parlo 3/3/2025 7:21 PM
wtf for how long
dupe 3/3/2025 7:22 PM
4 days
I'd like to live there Mayhaps in the future
Although I'm just thinking out loud
Loads of things I have to get done first before I can even consider
parlo 3/3/2025 7:23 PM
Tru
What r u doing there
dupe 3/3/2025 7:23 PM
Idk just visiting
Probably just going to walk around all day
I've had a lot of friends from the Netherlands
Looks like a great place
Have been thinking on and off since 2023 but never really seriously considered it
parlo 3/3/2025 7:25 PM
R u going to meet up with the friends
dupe 3/3/2025 7:26 PM
Maybe but I think it would be a bit weird considering they are 15 and I'm going with family so
parlo 3/3/2025 7:26 PM
Ohhhh I get u
dupe 3/3/2025 7:27 PM


dupe 2/14/2024 3:02 AM
I care
About you
so much man
Parlo - WAR ERA 2/14/2024 3:02 AM
I doubt that
dupe 2/14/2024 3:02 AM
i promise i do
Parlo - WAR ERA 2/14/2024 3:02 AM
The amount of times those words are uttered by the same person who backstabs me
dupe 2/14/2024 3:02 AM
how can i show
i do
Parlo - WAR ERA 2/14/2024 3:03 AM
I don't ask of anything
Because I don't expect anything


Parlo - WAR ERA 7/4/2024 4:04 PM
are u living with ur auntie
dupe 7/4/2024 4:05 PM
Technically yes but I refer to them as step-parents
As they have parental responsibility
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/4/2024 4:06 PM
do they ever regularly check in on u
dupe 7/4/2024 4:06 PM
Well they installed parental controls on my devices and I only just found out as of 9 days ago
Irl yes
They ask me if I'm OK a lot which is nice
I'm glad to have them
Parlo - WAR ERA 7/4/2024 4:10 PM
nice


Parlo - WAR ERA 6/3/2024 2:36 AM
i have a lot of things that i shared that was taken as me grooming u when ppl like yaro used it against me
like when i sharing abt hypersexuality problems or relationship problems
dupe 6/3/2024 2:36 AM
yeah
Parlo - WAR ERA 6/3/2024 2:36 AM
mayhaps i shouldnt have shared it with u bc u didnt ask
and i have boundary problems
but i never meant to abuse u
or take advantage
dupe 6/3/2024 2:37 AM
you didnt
yaro told me to say thst
im still really fucking sorry
Parlo - WAR ERA 6/3/2024 2:38 AM
its fine
You're viewing older messages
Jump To Present


Parlo - WAR ERA 12/11/2023 5:24 AM
Yea neither
On a scale of 1-10 10 being the worst
How depressed are u
dupe 12/11/2023 5:25 AM
depends if i take my meds
with meds id say a 2-3 wo distractions and whatnot, without them its an 8/10
Parlo - WAR ERA 12/11/2023 5:31 AM
I see
dupe 12/11/2023 5:32 AM
yea
they are strong af and when they hit im like a 50 yo gambler who just rewon his life savings
Parlo - WAR ERA 12/11/2023 5:35 AM
Lmfao
You haven't self harmed either have u
dupe 12/11/2023 5:35 AM
2 weeks clean
hbu



dupe 3/26/2025 5:50 AM

please read @Parlo

