

FACULTY – SEMESTER COURSE FEEDBACK

(To be submitted by the Course Faculty to the Director/ Dean after the results of Semester Exam)

Name and code of Course: Name of Faculty: Bidhan Ghosh	Modern	Construction	Materials	[ECE61127]
Batch: 2020-2022		Regular/Visitin	g/Contract: 1	Regular
Class: M.Tech. – Construction Engir	neering & N	Management		
Semester: I				
1. Did you use Blooms taxonomy to select appropriate teaching tools to o			s, set Course	Outcomes and
Yes No				
V				
If Yes, what was an impact of this pla lag behind, and would like to improve (Write in not more than 100 words)	0		0	•
The students learned better as the course video presentations. These made the conattention.			-	
2. Did you have a well-written lesson p	lan for ever	ry topic?		
Yes No				
V				

If Yes, was it contemporary to enhance employability of the students? Are you satisfied with the effectiveness of the teaching tools? How would you wish to improve it prior to the next academic year? (Write in not more than 100 words)

It enhances partial employability of the students.

Suggestions to students for enhancing their employability are as follows:

- Visualise success for future.
- · Spend sufficient time for improving skills.
- · Build responsible choices for job and career.

3. Are you satisfied with the relevance of the Course, its structure and course content? Is it relevant and contemporary? Does it deliver on the industry requirement as well as professional/skill needs of the students?
Yes No
$oxed{\sqrt{}}$
If Not, what are your recommendations which could be forwarded to the affiliating university?
(a)
(b)
(c)
(e)
4. Have you correlated Course Outcomes and Assessment tools with POs and PSO?
Yes No
If No, why not?
5. Are you satisfied with the system of assessment and evaluation, currently in practice? Does it have larger emphasis on assessing a student on practical and skill competencies?
Yes No
If No, recommend any two major reforms.
Offi
202

6.		your students on the given course outcom ls? Did you make use of rubrics where requir	
	Yes	No	
	/		
	Yes, in what cou prove the results (a)	rse outcomes students performed poorly? W s in this course?	hat are your recommendations to
	(b)		
	(c)		
7.		el of attainment of your course outcome of yo the level (3,2,1) based on pre-set percentage	
8.	up by you as a t	e to paragraph 7 above, give your reasons for arget at the beginning of the course. his can be improved upon for the upcoming c	_
	(a) Library res	sources (i.e, reputed magazines, journal	related to the course) were not
9.	Do you feel, y course better?	ou personally need special training and co	mpetence-building to deliver the
	Yes	No	
	If Yes, specify tyou.	the precise area of development needed an	d how the department can assist
	(a) Library res	sources (i.e, reputed magazines, journal	related to the course) were not
10	. Are you satisfied delivery of this	ed with the supporting academic infrastruc course?	ture provided by the institute for
	Yes	No	
	—— fice of Quality Assur	ance & Accreditation	Version 0.0 (10.03.2021)

If No, give your brief recommendations

- (a) Many reference books are required.
- (b) Journals, magazines are required to keep updates of infrastructural & technical developments.
- 11. List of weak students and meritorious students (last 5 and top 5 in the class)

Weak students	Meritorious students
	Anindya Ghosh

- 12. How did you enable weak students during the course to help learn and perform better? Can you show progression of each weak student after your enablement? Do they further need your support?
 - Special attention need to be taken care off for the weaker students.
 - · Special classes are required for the weaker students to build their basic knowledge

13. Were the majority of students interested in the course and found it useful to their attribute's attainment?

(Rank 1 to 5 in the 5-point scale, 5 stands for Highly interested and 1 stand for Not interested)

4

If Not Interested, what were the reasons of their lack of interest?

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

14. Were you able to cover the course with ease or was the curriculum too vast?
The syllabus is precise and completed within allotted time.
15. Do you have any recommendation for review and revision of course? Describe in not more than 150 words (Please remember your recommendations shall have substantial bearings or the future of the course)
No
Name <u>Bidhan Ghosh</u>
Signature
Date <u>17.03.2021</u>
Remarks of the Director/ Dean