

**Title – Font: Cambria, Font Size: 14, Sentence Case, Alignment:
Center, 12 – 15 words**

First Author's Name1*, Second Author's Name2, Sentence Case

¹First Author's Study Program/Department, Faculty, Affiliation

²Second Author Study Program/Department, Faculty, Affiliation

*E-mail: author@gmail.com (corresponding author)

Abstract: The abstract should be written in one paragraph and should be between 150 – 200 words. Cambria, single space, alignment: justify, left indent: 2cm, right indent: 2cm. The abstract should follow the following pattern. It consists of the introduction indicating the research gap, purpose, methodology, findings, and research implication.

Keywords: Keyword 1; Keyword 2; Keyword 3; Cambria 10; Single Spacing. There must be 3–5 keywords (or phrases), and each of them should be separated by a semicolon (;).

INTRODUCTION

The introduction should provide a clear background, a clear statement of the problem, explain the reason why this study is important to do, the relevant literature on the subject, the proposed approach, and the new value of research which it is innovation, as well as the urgency. The research's state-of-the-art is necessary to be explained within the introduction to show novelty and originality of the topic to be discussed and presented. The main text format consists of a flat left-right columns on A4 paper. The margin text from the left, right, top, and bottom is 3 cm. The paper is typed in Microsoft Word, single-spaced, Cambria 11pt, length: **between 3000 and 5000 words**, excluding References. The terms in foreign languages are typed *Italic*. Authors are suggested to present their articles in the section structure: **Introduction, Method, Findings and Discussion, and Conclusion**. This article format is intended to provide a comprehensive understanding to the readers or colleagues on what the article discusses and delivers. This section should be presented in the form of paragraphs, not pointers, and with a proportion of 15-20% of the whole article length.

This section must present theories or concepts too (select ones in line with the focus of analysis) and/or research type to be used. Note that citation or adoption from references, such as textbooks/e-books, journals, scientific works (thesis or dissertation) should become a priority. On the other hand, secondary data, such as sources from the media and/or self-citation must be adopted according to previous studies. The secondary data must not exceed 10% of the total references. Use reference management software, such as Zotero (<http://www.zotero.org>), mendeley (<https://www.mendeley.com>), etc. for citations

and references by following the style of the American Psychological Association (APA) 7th edition (<https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines/references/examples>). Careful checking for spelling and grammatical errors should be performed. It is suggested to use grammar checker software, Grammarly (<http://app.grammarly.com>).

METHOD

This section discusses the research methodology. The Method consists of the research design, setting and participants or data source, data collection (practical procedures), and data analysis (practical procedures). Methods already published should be indicated by a reference; only relevant modifications should be described. Do not repeat the details of established methods. The Method section can be a unified form or using sub-sections. The proportion is 10-15% of the total article length, all presented in the form of paragraphs.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Sub-finding and Discussion 1

The Findings and Discussion section consists of a description of the results of the data analysis to answer the research question(s) and their meanings seen from current theories and references of the area addressed. The proportion of this section is 40-60% of the total article length. Please highlight differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

The Findings and Discussion should be presented in sub-sections with the detail explanation of the findings. Number tables and figures consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text (Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, etc.).

Table 1


Table Title (font size 10pt)

An example of a heading	Column A	Column B
Add an entry	Row 1	Row 1
Add an entry	Row 2	Row 2
Add an entry	Row 3	Row 3

Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, etc. should be explained with further elaborations. Please refer to the 7th style of the APA for in-text citations and referencing (Author1 & Author2, 2021).

Figure 1

Figure Title (font size 10pt)



For in-text citations, indicate the author's last name and year (Author, 2015), and page number should be available for direct quotations such as "the construction of an artifact" (Fang & Widodo, 2019, p. 5). Citing more than one references should be alphabetically ordered (Canale, 2016; Kusumaningputri & Widodo, 2018). For a work with three or more authors, include the name of only the first author plus "et al." in every citation (even the first citation). Do not use footnotes.

Sub-finding and Discussion 2

This sub-section deals with the second finding of the study. The author is required to present the results of the study with a detailed explanation. The findings should be followed by discussion directly. The discussion following the findings allows author(s) to offer interpretation and explain the meaning of study results. Emphasize any theoretical or practical consequences of the results.

CONCLUSION

The Conclusion section consists of the summary, restatement of the main findings. It should state concisely the most important propositions of the paper as well as the author's views of the practical implications of the result. This section also presents recommendation as well as implication of the finding as a whole, either intended to broaden methodological realm or to develop a theoretical framework, and the prospect of further studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like thank scholarship, funders, participants, etc.

REFERENCES

This section deals with references. Every source cited in the body of the article should appear in the list of References, and all sources appearing in the list of References should be cited in the body of the article.

- Aunurrahman, A., Hamied, F. A., & Emilia, E. (2017). Realizing a good education in an Indonesian university context. In A. G. Abdullah, I. Hamidah, S. Aisyah, A. A. Danuwijaya, G. Yuliani, & H. S. H. Munawaroh (Eds.), *Ideas for 21st Century Education: Proceedings of the Asian Education Symposium (AES 2016)* (pp. 297–300). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315166575> (Conference proceedings published as a book chapter)
- Canale, G. (2016). (Re)searching culture in foreign language textbooks, or the politics of hide and seek. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 29(2), 225–243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07908318.2016.1144764> (Journal articles)
- Duckworth, A. L., Quirk, A., Gallop, R., Hoyle, R. H., Kelly, D. R., & Matthews, M. D. (2019). Cognitive and noncognitive predictors of success. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 116(47), 23499–23504. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910510116> (Conference proceedings published in a journal)
- Fang, F. (2018). Glocalization, English as a lingua franca and ELT: Re-conceptualizing identity and models for ELT in China. In B. Yazan & N. Rudolph (Eds.), *Criticality*,

- teacher identity, and (in)equity in ELT through and beyond binaries: Issues and Implications* (pp. 23–40). Springer. (Chapter in an edited book)
- Fang, F., & Widodo, H. P. (Eds.). (2019). *Critical perspectives of global Englishes in Asia: Language policy and curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment*. Multilingual Matters. <https://doi.org/10.21832/9781788924108-003> (Whole edited books)
- Heigham, J., & Croker, R. A. (2009). *Qualitative research in applied linguistics: A practical introduction*. Palgrave MacMillan. (Whole authored books)
- Kushilevitz, E., & Malkin, T. (Eds.). (2016). *Lecture notes in computer science: Vol. 9562. Theory of cryptography*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-49096-9> (Conference proceedings published as a whole book)
- Widodo, H. P., Fang, F., & Elyas, T. (2020). The construction of language teacher professional identity in the Global Englishes territory: 'We are legitimate language teachers'. *Asian Englishes*, 22(3), 309–316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13488678.2020.1732683> (Journal articles)