

Congratulations to the final two! You both played good games and worked hard to get there.

I'm gonna start with Zarfot

But first I want to clarify something that you said in your speech: You had nothing to do with my voting to evict Fanny, or at least not directly. Dooze had just lost her f2 and I knew I was her only ally left in the game, meaning she wasn't a threat to me. Fanny, however, was still closely working with you, and I figured, if I had to get rid of one of them, I'd make it someone who had another ally.

Anyways, with that out of the way, I really only have one question for you. When it boils down to it, Big Brother is a social game. You can win all the competitions in the world, but it boils down to who had a better social game than who. So my question to you is, why would you say your social game is better than Fanny's? What did he do wrong that you did right?

Alright, now onto Fanny,

Honestly, my question for you is pretty straight forward, which is about the final 3 HoH. Zarfot and I were clearly putting our all into every aspect of it, but you really coasted in it, putting no effort into your endurance, talent, and minimal effort into hotdog bush. This can be said about a lot of the HoHs you performed in. Is there any reason to this? Was it just pure laziness or was it a part of your greater strategy?

Anyways, yeah, as the person who played the most with you guys, I both think you did a great job and, even though I really wish I could be the one up there, you two did an extraordinary job.

FannyChmelar: "Honestly, my question for you is pretty straight forward, which is about the final 3 HoH. Zarfot and I were clearly putting our all into every aspect of it, but you really coasted in it, putting no effort into your endurance, talent, and minimal effort into hotdog bush. This can be said about a lot of the HoHs you performed in. Is there any reason to this? Was it just pure laziness or was it a part of your greater strategy?"

I don't think it's fair to say that I put very minimal effort in the HoH's I performed in as a whole. The HoH's I can say I didn't give it my 100% all was the f8 HoH competition, in which I wanted to abstain because of time but I just submitted something since it's better than just abstaining, the talent show for f3 HoH which I had major time restrictions with as well and I also wanted to abstain but I was told I wouldn't gain even 1 point if I did. I was forced to do my talent show after a long day after I came back from a concert with 2-3 hours remaining. I physically could not do it if I wanted to. And the endurance is hard with school when I'm forced to do it much earlier than I wanted to because I couldn't do it the day after. I thought that I could win the remaining 3 and even with 2 1's, I could still win, which would be true. If I was able to win Hotdog Bush, I would have tied for 1st I believe. However it was a tough game for that and I did try my best for that.

However, every other HoH I put my 100% effort into. I want to get that straight. The ones I did not were

not because of laziness, nor 'great strategy' if you don't count verumbark's HoH in which I had a plan for. If I didn't care about the f3 HoH, I would have threw the Jury questions and the Arcade machine and I didn't. I wanted to win that just as much as anyone, however I knew that even if I didn't win I had no reason to be worried. In truth, I wasn't worried about winning them in the first place but that didn't mean for a second that I threw those competitions. The ones I could not give my all for were not because I for a second knew I was safe, I just couldn't do them. I hope this clarifies it.

Zarfot:

Hey man! Long time no see ;)

First of all, I think I failed to correctly explain my point about you voting to evict Fanny in my speech. I agree with you that I didn't have a direct impact in your decision, I even think we didn't talk at all during that day. When I said *"leaking to Fanny that Fred would rather vote to evict Dean over Dooze planted a seed of doubt about their f2 alliance, which grew when it became clear Fred voted to evict Fanny"*, I meant that during that week I worked hard on breaking Fanny's trust in you, since I could also see that you two were working together and I wanted to anticipate a possibility where he had to chose between you and me. It started by telling him about your doubts on evicting Dooze, and then from there it was all about placing you as a big threat, which you were for me, but I also wanted to make sure you were for Fanny. In the end, you voting to evict him was just another thing I used to rise my doubts about you to him. So no, I don't claim to have an impact in that particular decision of your game.

Moving on to your question, as I stated before, even though Fanny's main flag in his speech is his "social game", I think I managed to have most of the time a constant social game that overpassed his. I am not the extrovert type of guy, who talks all the time in the chat, and who is the center of attention. I knew that, and so I knew my social game was not going to be based on that, but it was going to be based on individual relationships. You ask for specifics, so here are some:

- Trust from allies: Every single true ally that I had trusted me. Qrstuv, Markus, verum, Fanny all worked close to me and expected different things from me which I provided, always with my best interest in mind. There was no evident shadiness, and I always respected them as players. The only one I ended up betraying was Qrstuv because he was against verum, who I had made a lot of effort to get close to. Fanny on the other hand, didn't have the trust of his allies, maybe except for Qrstuv. Markus, verum, me and even you didn't fully trust him, all for different reasons. In your case, as you stated, he simply had too many connections and f2 deals that could just screw you over. That doesn't speak of a well thought and effective social game.
- Enemies everywhere: Fanny was someone that when he had a target he made it pretty clear to everyone what his intentions were. Some may think this shows truthfulness to himself and his game, but I just think it shows lack of strategy and social game. In this house people with a clear target on their backs were usually those who created conflict,

went against specific people and alliances. He just was lucky that it didn't happen to him. In my case, I did have some people I wanted to aim at and get out of the game, mainly Ginga and blood in the early game, and you, Baabaa, Dooze and Markus during jury. There were no personal reasons or vendettas for this, it was just me anticipating who would be threats in the long run and trying to get them out before the end. With some I had more of a direct impact in evicting than others, but in all cases, in order not to harm my social game, I acted with subtlety or took advantage of other people's objectives to fulfill my own.

- Constant adaptation: If you compare both our games you'll notice that from the start I had to adapt and make an effort to survive and create an impact on this house. Consolidating the Outsiders alliance, working together with you, trying to understand people's true motivations, campaigning to get votes in my favour. I had to constantly be in the game and get out of my comfort zone to keep on playing. I wasn't that good at challenges so I had to count on my social game to go through. That's how when jury came, I have gone through some moments many people left didn't, and so I could focus more on improving my chances of winning. Fanny, on the other hand, for the first half of the game joined the clusterfuck in which he played no significant role, and thanks to his PoV wins managed to stay safe without having to develop his social game. Qrstuv leaving meant he had to step up and do something different, and he found a sloppy social game that ended up working for him.

I would never claim to be the best social player this season. There were others that through similar strategies to mine managed to have a greater impact. But I'm certain that in this finale I have the strongest social game in many aspects.

Thank you Fred for the question, and maybe you are right, it should have been you here. Your campaign impressed me and I was pretty close to making a big mistake and getting you in the final. I have a lot of respect for you and your game, and I hope we cross paths again in other games.