

# Milestone Deliverable Review Report

**Deep Funding Round:** 3

**Project code:** DFR3-IDT4

**Project title:** Generative AI Decision Making Advisor: Greening Power Generation For Budgets And The Environment

**Milestone number:** 3

**Milestone deliverable:**

[https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1K5-p5Ly-WwkSfvELuDzHLtcNwvn07b6RGv8tG3iSkks/edit#slide=id.g309e104bc8b\\_0\\_8](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1K5-p5Ly-WwkSfvELuDzHLtcNwvn07b6RGv8tG3iSkks/edit#slide=id.g309e104bc8b_0_8)

**Date:** 24/10/2024

**Status:** Accepted

**Feedback (Why accepted, why rejected?):**

The focus of this milestone is exploring PO (power plant optimisation) software.

I note that no specific deliverable is defined in the milestone plan at <https://deepfunding.ai/proposal/generative-ai-decision-making-advisor-greening-power-generation-for-budgets-and-the-environment/>, which is perhaps not ideal in a milestone-based funding process (ideally, this milestone would have probably defined, for example, how the various softwares were going to be assessed, and against what criteria?) Nevertheless, the stated aim is clear enough - it's to explore the software options, and to "engage with the respective developer companies to gain deeper insights into the capabilities and methodologies employed by their software".

The slide deck given as evidence demonstrates that the team has done what it set out to do here. They have examined several PO software options on features, speed, reliability and cost; identified strengths and weaknesses of each one; looked at the difference in performance between proprietary and open-source solutions; and identified what kinds of clients use each software.

I can't see any evidence of the proposal team actually "engag[ing] with the respective developer companies" directly, as they said they would - but a) possibly, some of the info that they have collated \*has\* come from direct contact with the developer companies, and they've simply forgotten to say so; and b) given that they seem to have collated plenty of detailed data from publicly available info, I'd suggest it doesn't matter if they didn't actually need to speak to the companies directly.

**If rejected, suggested changes:**

n/a