Question Type	# of Questions	% of Total Score
Multiple Choice	45	50
Short Answer	3	25
Document-Based Question	1	25

Multiple choice: Number correct out of 45 (answer key)

Short Answer Questions: Each Short Answer Question is scored on a 3 point scale, where each

valid response gets a point (guide shown below)

Document-Based Question: Graded on a 7 point scale (rubric shown below)

Calculating your score:

(# of MC questions correct out of 45) x 1.11 =				
(# of SAQ points received out of 9) x 2.78 =				
(# of DBQ points received out of 7) x 3.57 =				
+	+	= (score out of 100)		

Score:

100 to 75 = **5**

75 to 60 = **4**

60 to 50 = **3**

50 to 40 = **2**

40 to 0 = **1**

SAQ Rubric:

Each point is earned independently

- Accuracy: These scoring guidelines require that fans demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, responses may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the Star Wars content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- Clarity: Exam responses should be considered first drafts and thus may contain
 grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they
 obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and reasoning
 processes described below.
- Describe: Provide the relevant characteristics of a specified topic. Description requires more than simply mentioning an isolated term.
- Explain: Provide information about how or why a historical development or process occurs or how or why a relationship exists.

DBQ Rubric:

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria	Decision Rules
A THESIS/CLAIM (0-1 pt)	1 pt. Responds to the prompt with a Star Wars defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.	To earn this point, the thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt rather than restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion.
B CONTEXTUALIZATION (0–1 pt)	1 pt. Describes a broader Star Wars context relevant to the prompt.	To earn this point, the response must relate the topic of the prompt to broader Star Wars events, context, or processes. This point is not warded for merely a phrase or reference
C EVIDENCE (0-3 pts)	Evidence from the Documents	To earn one point, the response must accurately describe — rather than simply quote — the content from at least three of the documents. To earn two points, the response must accurately describe — rather than simply quote — the content from at least five documents. In addition, the response must use the content of the documents to support an argument in response to the prompt.
	1 pt. OR 2 pts. Uses the content of at least three documents to address the topic of the prompt. OR 2 pts. Supports an argument in response to the prompt using at least five documents.	
	1 pt. Uses at least one additional piece of the specific Star Wars evidence (beyond that found in the documents) relevant to an argument about the prompt.	To earn this point, the response must describe the evidence and must use more than a phrase or reference. This additional piece of evidence must be different from the evidence used to earn the point for contextualization.
D ANALYSIS AND REASONING (0-2 pts)	1 pt. For at least three documents, explains how or why the document's point of view, purpose, Star Wars situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument.	To earn this point, the response must explain how or why (rather than simply identifying) the document's point of view, purpose, Star Wars situation, or audience is relevant to an argument about the prompt for each of the three documents sourced.
	1 pt. Demonstrates a complex understanding of the Star Wars development that is the focus of the prompt, using evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the question.	A response may demonstrate a complex understanding in a variety of ways, such as: Explaining nuance of an issue by analyzing multiple variables Explaining both similarity and difference, or explaining both continuity and change, or explaining multiple causes, or explaining both cause and effect Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods Confirming the validity of an argument by corroborating multiple perspectives across themes Qualifying or modifying an argument by considering diverse or alternative views or evidence
		This understanding must be part of the argument, no merely a phrase or reference.