The proposed evaluation questions will cover the following thematic areas:

Thematic Area	Evaluation Questions
1. Programme design, relevance, and coherence	To what extent does RANGE's overall programme design remain relevant, coherent, and fit for purpose in the current ASAL context? To what extent do the programme's Theory of Change, assumptions, and intervention logic remain valid in practice? What key strengths, weaknesses, and design complexities are emerging, and where might adjustment or reprioritization be needed?
2. Implementation progress and intervention performance	To what extent is RANGE delivering against its workplans, intended outcomes, and strategic priorities at this stage of implementation? Which implementation approaches across the different outcome areas are showing promise, and which are facing significant constraints? What early or unintended results are emerging across governance, ecosystem management, livelihoods, markets, institutional systems, and learning?
3. Adaptive implementation, layering, and management effectiveness	How effectively is RANGE applying adaptive management in response to evidence, contextual shifts, and implementation experience? How well is the programme sequencing, layering, and integrating interventions within the same geographies and systems? How do management systems, staffing arrangements, procurement, grants, and coordination processes support or constrain timely, flexible, and high-quality delivery? To what extent are current staffing structures, technical capacities, MEL capacities, and partner capacities adequate to support timely, high-quality, and adaptive implementation?
4. MERL, evidence use, and learning systems	To what extent are RANGE's MERL systems, indicators, and Results Framework fit for purpose in tracking programme progress, systems change, and adaptive management needs? What challenges exist in collecting and using data from diverse actors, including market actors, institutions, and community systems? How effectively has evidence been used to support programme adaptation, coordination, early warning, policy engagement, and decision-making?
5. Partnerships, influence, and systems change	How has RANGE's engagement with county and national government, local partners, private sector actors, research institutions, and community structures evolved during the review period?

Thematic Area	Evaluation Questions
	What signals of systems-level change are emerging in governance, service delivery, market relationships, institutional behaviour, and coordination mechanisms? Which partnership and influence approaches appear most effective, and where do important gaps or tensions remain?
6. Sustainability, scale, and value for money	What early evidence or plausible pathways suggest that RANGE is contributing to sustainable outcomes beyond the life of the programme? Which models or approaches show the strongest potential for sustainability, uptake, institutionalization, or scale? Based on available evidence, what early insights exist regarding efficiency, value for money, willingness to invest, or the relative promise of different intervention types, and how can value for money analysis be strengthened during the remainder of implementation?

Note: Final evaluation questions may be refined in consultation with Mercy Corps and the selected evaluator during the inception phase.