



ENTRELIB 2022 Proposal Evaluation Rubric

This rubric will be used by the Programming Committee to evaluate proposals received and select finalists to present at the conference.

***Required**

The proposal topic fits with the conference theme. *

- 1 = This proposal does not meet this criteria.
- 2 = This proposal somewhat meets the criteria.
- 3 = This proposal fully meets this criteria.

The proposal content has international applicability. *

- 1 = This proposal does not meet this criteria.
- 2 = This proposal somewhat meets the criteria.
- 3 = This proposal fully meets this criteria.

The proposal topic is unique (addresses the topic in a new way). *

- 1 = This proposal does not meet this criteria.
- 2 = This proposal somewhat meets the criteria.
- 3 = This proposal fully meets this criteria.

The proposal topic is appealing/relevant to more than one library type (e.g., academic and public). *

- 1 = This proposal does not meet this criteria.
- 2 = This proposal somewhat meets the criteria.
- 3 = This proposal fully meets this criteria.

The proposal is cogent and well presented. *

- 1 = This proposal does not meet this criteria.
- 2 = This proposal somewhat meets the criteria.
- 3 = This proposal fully meets this criteria.

(WORKSHOPS ONLY) The proposed session has an active learning/interactive component. *

- 1 = This proposal does not meet this criteria.
- 2 = This proposal somewhat meets the criteria.
- 3 = This proposal fully meets this criteria.

BONUS POINTS

The proposal was submitted by an individual/institution outside of the US, Canada, and UK. *

- 0 = No
- 1 = Yes

The proposal was submitted by a non-academic library individual/institution. *

- 0 = No
- 1 = Yes

Comments

[long answer]