# **Meeting Summary for International Patient Summary (IPS)**

Apr 03, 2024 12:58 PM Universal Time UTC ID: 891 9761 2486

Quick recap

The team discussed the technical aspects of the IPS terminology, the role of the Integrated Patient Summary, and updates on the Connect-A-Thon project. They also deliberated on the process for assessment ones, the inclusion of certain artifacts in fire from 21089, and the potential application of the Iso standard to the Ips. Lastly, they addressed the need for data integrity, the wording for the ballot and publication, and the establishment of a more structured approach to their meetings. Next steps

Rob will create a Jira ticket to discuss the potential inclusion of Iso 2189 in the IPS 2.7.2.6.9 specification with Dan Freeman and the Cross-SDM IPS group.

John D'Amore will prepare a list of Jira items for the next meeting, focusing on the validation issues with the observation result profile and the coding with the extension for translations. Summary

IPS Terminology and Inactive Concepts

Rob, Suzy, and Alejandro discussed the technical aspects of the IPS terminology, focusing on the handling of inactive concepts. Suzy and Rob sought clarification on whether inactive concepts were included in the IPS reset and terminology, but Alejandro explained they were not. Alejandro then confirmed that the IPS terminology and the IPS reset should have active and inactive concepts, contrary to Suzy's earlier belief. Alejandro committed to further investigate the format of the flat file.

### Integrated Patient Summary Discussion

The team discussed the Integrated Patient Summary (Ips), with Suzy explaining its role as a patient status snapshot rather than a comprehensive record. The team, including Rob and Alejandro, agreed that the Ips could be a useful tool for experimentation and implementation, but warned of the potential complications of making it too comprehensive. The team decided to continue discussions at the Cross Sto Ips group, with a focus on making the Ips accessible and not overly complicated. They also briefly touched on the relevance of the existing EHR to their discussion.

### Connect-a-Thon Project Updates

Rob and John discussed updates on the Connect-A-Thon project, including the addition of new participants from the Smart Help Links project. They also discussed the ongoing work on the CCDA alignment and the need to focus on the 2.0 version. Rob suggested starting work on the ISO comments as a priority, and the team agreed to review and possibly complete one of the assigned comments.

#### Assessment Process and ISO 21089 Discussion

Rob outlined the process for assessment ones, where the committee seeks input from an Sdo before making a final decision and assigning tasks to the Sto for execution. The discussion focused on assessment 83 related to lifecycle information, with Rob needing to deepen his understanding of Iso 21089 for the joint specification of preconditions. Efforts were discussed to establish formal terms of reference, with Snowman International contributing to resolving group issues. The responsibility of the Ips group in HL.7 for this task was questioned, with clarification that the ISO 21089 was a trusted end-to-end flow for health information that HL.7 should consider. It was agreed that higher-level approval should be sought before making decisions, and a Jira ticket would be created to reflect their intent.

### Discussing Artifact Inclusion in Fire

John and Rob discussed an issue regarding the inclusion of certain artifacts in fire from 21089, which was impacting fire via Gary. They debated whether this should be incorporated into their ISO specifications or not. Suzy suggested bringing the issue up with their internal IPs committee and then presenting the committee's recommendations to their senior leadership for approval. Rob agreed with this approach and proposed that they should respond to the issue and then escalate it. ThomasZhou was about to share his perspective on the matter.

# Discussing Iso Standard Integration in Ips

ThomasZhou, John, and Rob discussed the potential application of the Iso standard to the Ips and the possible incorporation of the 2189 principles into their IPS 2.0 revision. ThomasZhou suggested that the historical information related to the Iso standard could be beneficial in implementing Ips in programs. John confirmed that the specification has been used as input to fire and Cda provenance, and is part of the security work. Rob proposed the possibility of incorporating Iso 2, 7, 2, 6, 9 into their processes and documenting it. The team seemed to agree on this approach, but there were concerns about potentially setting up exhaustive provenance as a requirement for a proper Ips.

#### Seeking Dan's Input on Next Steps

Rob proposed that before making any decisions, they should seek Dan's input and authority, particularly on whether to create an Ips Jura ticket. John Moehrke anticipated that Dan might push the responsibility back to them. Rob suggested that they should discuss their next steps with 807 Hq and reach out to Dan Freeman. He also expressed openness to other suggestions on how to proceed.

# Fire Provenance, Data Integrity, and Tracking

John Moehrke and Rob discussed the influence of ISO spec on fire provenance and the optional availability of provenance to publishers. They also discussed the importance of data integrity and the need for tracking data modifications. ThomasZhou highlighted the necessity of maintaining a patient summary document, emphasizing that any modifications to the document should be tracked for data integrity. The team agreed on the need to ensure data integrity and the option to make provenance a requirement was pushed back on.

### Improving Ballot Wording and Validation

Rob sought feedback on finalizing the wording for the ballot and publication, and encouraged the team to contribute their thoughts to the Jira issue. John D'Amore emphasized the need to address validation issues on the observation result profile, aiming for a resolution in the upcoming hotfix. The team agreed to move forward with these improvements, with John D'Amore committing to prepare a list of outstanding items for their next meeting. The team also decided to establish a more structured approach to their meetings, with specific topics to be addressed in each session. Rob ended the conversation by encouraging team members to review specific tasks for the next session and expressed hope that this would maintain the current momentum.

Al-generated content may be inaccurate or misleading. Always check for accuracy.