## **AP Peer Editing Work Sheeting**

Peer Editor's Name	Per
Writer's Name	Per
☐ Draf	t 1 Draft 2
Using the Research Paper Rubric Est	timate the following: Use attached rubric
Approximate Score on Content: Approximate Score on Analysis and ( Approximate Score on Works Cited:	Organization:/25 /25 /10
□ Follows Chicago Style format. □ Proper cover sheet □ 1" Margins all around □ Double spaced □ Uses Times New Roman size 12 font □ Contains a bibliography in proper Cl □ At least 8 footnotes of statistics or question Font Size 10.  Required Content Checklist: □ Describe and analyze the issue in describe and statistics or question of the statistics of question of the statistics or question of the statistics of question of the statistic of question of the statistics of question of the statistic of question of	hicago Style format with a minimum of 8 sources. uotes cited using in Chicago Style format in Times New Roman etail; including, its historical background, who it affects, and
Temporal interest groups involved.  ☐ Issue is summarized and why incomments:	it is a relevant issue to be addressed immediately.
☐ Historical details are relevant a and who it affects.	and in-depth enough to give sufficient understanding of the issue

		At least two relevant interest groups are identified Comments:
	٥	The positions of each interest group on the issue are identified and their ability to influence the debate on the issue is explained.  Comments:
Explain the views of the politician's political party, as well as the views of the opposing party.		
	ū	Specific platform position is identified and cited, or similar one is identified, or contains an explanation of why the issue is not addressed by the political party  Comments:
	Prov	ride evidence of national and/or state public opinion on this issue using polling data.
	•	Demographics of politician's constituency are identified. (i.e.; population, voting patterns, median income, race, ethnicity, rural or urban, major industries, etc.)  Comments:
	•	If the poll is of a state or national universe, an analysis of how the results might not accurately reflect the views of the district must be included.
	0	Perspective on issue from a politician's constituency is addressed. (i.e. failure to address will cause backlash affecting a politician's re-election chances with his or her base.)  Comments:
	000	Statistics on public opinion specific to the issue are provided. Statistics are cited. Citations are from credible sources. Comments:

☐ Provide the pros and cons to at least two options available to address this issue.
<ul> <li>□ Option 1 is completely addressed.</li> <li>□ Option is summarized.</li> <li>□ Provisions of the bill are included.</li> <li>□ Option is a viable solution to the identified issue.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
<ul><li>☐ Pros of option are presented.</li><li>☐ Cons of option are presented.</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>□ The author provides a balanced analysis of the option giving proper weight to each view and includes relevant information from other sections of the paper.</li> <li>□ Analyzes effects of interest groups</li> <li>□ Analyzes effects of views of political parties</li> <li>□ Analyzes effects of public opinion using statistics</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>□ Option 2 is completely addressed.</li> <li>□ Option is summarized.</li> <li>□ Provisions of the bill are included.</li> <li>□ Option is a viable solution to the identified issue.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>□ Pros of options are presented.</li> <li>□ Cons of option are presented.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The author provides a balanced analysis of the option giving proper weight to each view and includes relevant information from other sections of the paper.</li> <li>Analyzes effects of interest groups</li> <li>Analyzes effects of views of political parties</li> <li>Analyzes effects of public opinion using statistics</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>□ Conclusion</li> <li>□ Restates the impotence of the issue.</li> <li>□ Does not state an opinion.</li> </ul>

<u>Organi</u>	<u>ization:</u>	
	Paper	r is organized in a logical flow
		Paper shows careful development of related ideas in coherent, sequential paragraphs and fully
		addresses the topic of the paper.
		Comments:
		The author provides a balanced analysis throughout the paper with the intent to inform and not
		persuade.
		Comments:
Editing	_	
	-	uses appropriate paragraph structure (If not checked, comments must be made in the specific
	location	ns on the paper)
		Topic sentences properly introduce their paragraphs.
		Body paragraphs are at least 3 sentences in length. (Circle paragraphs that are not)
		There are smooth transitions from one paragraph to another.
		Paragraphs provide analysis of concrete details and are not a list of facts.
	Paper	is free from errors in style and mechanics (If not checked, comments must be made in the
	specific	c locations on the paper)
		Paper is written in <b>third person</b> using formal language that avoids slang (Circle instances that
		do)
		Paper is free from errors in word choice, spelling, and punctuation. (Mark errors on paper)
		Paper is free from sentences that are awkward or run-ons. (Mark errors on paper)