



The title is written with a maximum of 15 words without any year of research

First Author Name^{1*}, Second Author's Name², Third Author Name³

¹Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu, Sulawesi Tengah, Indonesia,
emailexample@mail.com

²Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu, Sulawesi Tengah, Indonesia,
emailexample@mail.com

³Faculty of Economics and Business, Tadulako University, Sulawesi Tengah, Indonesia, emailexample@mail.com

*Corresponding Author: E-mail: emailexample@mail.com

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT (100-200 Words)

Received: filled in by the editor

Revised: filled in by the editor

Accepted: filled in by the editor

Volume: filled in by the editor

Issue: filled in by the editor

DOI: 10.56338/jphp

Introduction: In this study, we aimed to [state the research question or objective] within the context of [provide a brief background on the health issue]. With [mention key statistics or global significance], our objective was to [briefly explain the purpose of your study] to address gaps identified in existing literature.

Methods: his [type of study] involved [describe study design] conducted across [mention study setting and duration]. A total of [initial number of participants] were enrolled, and data were collected through [mention data collection methods]. Ethical approval was obtained from [name of relevant ethics committee], and participants provided [type of consent].

Results: The primary outcome of the study was [state the primary outcome], and [mention key findings]. Additionally, [briefly discuss other important results]. Statistical analyses revealed [include any relevant statistical findings, such as p-values]. [Highlight any unexpected or significant findings].

Conclusion: In conclusion, our study contributes to the understanding of [specific health issue] by [summarize key contributions]. This research provides insights into [mention the broader implications]. Future studies should address [recommendations for future research], ultimately advancing knowledge in the field of international health.

KEYWORDS

Keywords1;
Keywords2;
Keywords3;
Keywords4;

Publisher: Magister Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu

Website: <https://jurnal.unismuhpalu.ac.id/index.php/mpim>

INTRODUCTION

Begin by introducing the general topic and its relevance to international health. Provide a brief overview of the broader health issue or challenge you are addressing (1). Emphasize why the health issue is of international importance. Discuss any global trends, patterns, or statistics that showcase the widespread impact of the problem. This can involve citing key global health organizations and their reports (2). Summarize relevant literature to establish the current state of knowledge in the field. Identify gaps, controversies, or unresolved questions that your study aims to address. This demonstrates that you have conducted a thorough literature review (3).

Clearly articulate the specific gap or problem that your research addresses. This could be a lack of data, conflicting findings, or an unexplored aspect of the issue (4). This helps establish the rationale for your study. If the health issue has a historical dimension or has evolved over time, briefly provide this context. Historical information can help readers understand the roots of the problem and how it has developed. Address any policy implications related to the health issue. This helps to underscore the real-world significance of your research and how it can contribute to improving health outcomes on a global scale (5).

If applicable, connect your research to broader global health goals, such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) or other international health initiatives. This aligns your work with broader efforts to improve global health (6). Conclude the background section by clearly stating the purpose and objectives of your study (7). Explain how your research aims to contribute to addressing the identified gap and advancing knowledge in international health. While providing comprehensive information, strive to keep the background section concise. Focus on key points and avoid unnecessary details that may distract readers from the main purpose of your study (8).

Ensure that you properly cite all sources and studies you reference in the background section. This establishes the credibility of your work and allows readers to explore the referenced literature for more in-depth information. Remember to tailor the background section to the specific requirements and guidelines of the target international health journal. Each journal may have its own preferred format and expectations for this section.

LITERATURE REVIEW

In this section, the author will discuss the purpose of a literature review.

METHOD

Begin with a brief overview of the study design, such as whether it is a cross-sectional study, cohort study, randomized controlled trial, or another design. Provide a rationale for choosing the specific design and how it aligns with the research objectives. Clearly describe the characteristics of the study population, including inclusion and exclusion criteria. Mention any sampling methods employed and justify why these methods were chosen. Include relevant demographic information and any special considerations related to the population.

Specify the location(s) where the study was conducted. This could include countries, regions, healthcare facilities, or other relevant settings. If applicable, discuss any unique aspects of the setting that may impact the study. Outline the ethical approvals obtained from relevant institutional review boards or ethics committees. Emphasize how the study adhered to ethical principles, including obtaining informed consent from participants. Address issues of confidentiality and any measures taken to protect participants' rights. Provide detailed information on the methods used to collect data. This may include survey instruments, interview protocols, clinical measurements, or other tools. Specify who collected the data, whether it was researchers, healthcare professionals, or trained fieldworkers.

Clearly define all variables measured in the study. Specify the units of measurement and any relevant details about the accuracy and precision of instruments used. If validated tools were employed, provide references. Describe the statistical or analytical methods used to analyze the data. Specify the software packages, statistical tests, and any assumptions made during the analysis. If applicable, discuss any adjustments for confounding variables. Discuss any measures taken to ensure the quality and accuracy of data. This may include training of data collectors, regular supervision, double data entry, or inter-rater reliability checks. Explain how data integrity was maintained throughout the study. Clearly state the time frame during which the study was conducted, including the start and end dates of data collection. If applicable, discuss any seasonal or temporal factors that may have influenced the study.

Detail any power calculations conducted to determine sample size and justify the chosen sample size. Clarify the significance level (alpha) and power used in the calculations. Acknowledge and discuss potential limitations of the study methodology. This could include sample size constraints, selection bias, recall bias, or other factors that might impact the generalizability of the findings. By following these guidelines, you ensure that the methods section is comprehensive, transparent, and adheres to the standards of rigor expected in international health journal articles. Always check the specific requirements and guidelines of the target journal for any additional formatting or content expectations.

RESULTS

The study involved [describe study design] conducted across [mention study setting and duration]. A total of [provide the initial number of participants] were enrolled, with [mention any inclusion/exclusion criteria]. The demographics of the study population included [highlight key demographic details]. Participants were randomly assigned to [describe experimental and control groups, if applicable].

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of the study participants. The mean age was [mean age $\pm$ SD], with a distribution of [provide additional demographic information]. Baseline characteristics were comparable between the experimental and control groups, ensuring a balanced distribution of key variables.

Primary Outcome Measures

The primary outcome of the study was [clearly state the primary outcome]. Table 2 presents the results, indicating [provide main results and statistical significance, if applicable]. The experimental group demonstrated a statistically significant [increase/decrease] compared to the control group ($p < 0.05$).

Secondary Outcome Measures

Secondary outcomes, including [list secondary outcomes], were also assessed. Figure 1 illustrates [present key findings graphically]. Analysis revealed [mention results and statistical significance, if applicable]. Notably, [any unexpected or noteworthy findings].

Subgroup Analysis

To explore potential variations within the study population, subgroup analyses were conducted based on [relevant factors]. Table 3 displays the results of subgroup analyses, revealing [provide key findings within subgroups]. The observed differences were statistically significant in [specific subgroups], emphasizing the need for targeted interventions in these populations.

Table 1. Example of Table and Table Title

No	Education	Frequency	%
1	elementary school	11	15,94
2	Junior High School	22	31,88
3	Senior High School	25	36,23
4	S1	11	15,94

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Key Findings

In this study, our findings revealed [summarize main results]. The observed [increases/decreases] in [specific outcomes] within the experimental group suggest [potential explanations]. These results are consistent with previous research by [cite relevant studies] and support the notion that [provide an overarching interpretation]. Notably, our study contributes to the existing evidence base by [highlighting any unique aspects or novel findings].

Comparison with Previous Studies

Comparing our results with those of previous studies is essential for contextualization. The findings of [cite relevant studies] are in line with our results, confirming the robustness of the observed [patterns/trends]. However, disparities with [other studies] warrant consideration. Possible explanations for these discrepancies include [discuss potential reasons], emphasizing the need for further research to reconcile conflicting findings in the literature (9,10).

Implications for Public Health

The implications of our study extend to the realm of public health by [discuss how the findings may impact public health practices or policies]. For instance, the observed [improvements/worsening] in [specific outcomes]

suggest potential interventions to enhance [healthcare practices/public health strategies]. Our results align with the broader goals outlined in [relevant global health initiatives], emphasizing the international relevance of our findings (11).

Limitations and Cautions

Despite the meaningful contributions, our study has several limitations that warrant acknowledgment. [Discuss study limitations, such as sample size constraints, potential biases, or methodological challenges]. These limitations may have influenced the generalizability of our findings and should be considered in the interpretation of results. Future research should address these limitations to further refine our understanding of [health issue] (12).

Recommendations for Future Research

Building on the insights gained from this study, future research should focus on [identify specific areas for further investigation]. Addressing the limitations identified in this study, such as [mention limitations], will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of [health issue]. Additionally, exploring [related aspects] may unveil new dimensions and nuances that were beyond the scope of our study.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study sheds light on [specific health issue] by providing valuable insights into [key findings]. The comprehensive analysis of our results in the context of existing literature contributes to the body of knowledge in international health. Our findings have implications for [healthcare practices, policies, or interventions], and future research endeavors should build upon these foundations to further advance our understanding of [health issue].

This research recommends, For educational institutions, it is hoped that this research can become a reading tool in libraries to develop husbands' knowledge and attitudes in supporting immunization for babies.

For the Dolo Community Health Center, it is hoped that there will be more outreach regarding the provision of immunizations, especially for husbands/heads of families who have babies in the work area of the Dolo Community Health Center, Sigi Regency, to be more proactive in improving their abilities so that they can be better prepared and have a more supportive attitude regarding the provision of immunizations for babies.

For parties who wish to carry out similar research, to further develop themselves with adequate skills and knowledge so that better quality research will be realized for the advancement of the world of nursing.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Authors explicitly outline and describe their individual contributions to the research and the development of the manuscript. This statement is intended to provide transparency and clarity regarding each author's role in the project. It helps readers and reviewers understand the specific contributions of each author to the research process

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Confirms that the authors have declared any potential conflicts that could influence the impartiality of the research. The authors explicitly state that they have no financial or personal relationships with entities that might unduly affect their objectivity. This declaration ensures the integrity of the study by transparently addressing any possible influences on the research outcomes, contributing to the credibility and trustworthiness of the article.

SOURCE OF FUNDING STATEMENTS

Declare the sources of financial support for this research. Acknowledge that the study received backing from [grant/contract number] provided by [funding agency]. Clearly state that the funding agency had no involvement in the design, execution, analysis, interpretation, or manuscript preparation. This unambiguous disclosure reinforces the independence and credibility of the research, ensuring transparency about the financial backing behind the study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Brief expression of gratitude to individuals, organizations, or institutions that have played a supportive role in the research or publication process but do not meet the criteria for authorship. Includes thanks for financial

support, technical guidance, data collection assistance, access to facilities or equipment, mentorship, and other forms of help.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

The bibliography is written using the Vancouver style format. It is recommended to use reference management software (Mendeley) American Politic Scieince Association