

(00:00:00):

So I don't like to be an alarmist, but I think that all of this has been pretty alarming.

(00:00:06):

I'm Freya and welcome to Deep Dive Podcast.

(00:00:10):

So recently I've read all of Project 2025.

(00:00:13):

I've read every single executive order that has been signed by Trump.

(00:00:19):

And I've read all of The Network State,

(00:00:21):

which is a book that came out in 2022 by a tech billionaire named Balaji Srinivasan.

(00:00:29):

I think that's how you pronounce his name.

(00:00:31):

And I've written some articles on Substack about Project 2025,

(00:00:35):

about the executive orders,

(00:00:37):

and about the network state.

(00:00:40):

But even though my articles have been very in-depth, I haven't been able to cover all of it.

(00:00:45):

So I really wanted to start this podcast so I can go super in-depth in talking

(00:00:50):

about these things.

(00:00:51):

I wanted to begin with Project 2025.

(00:00:52):

Um...

(00:00:55):
Because there's just so much.

(00:00:57):
I mean, I read 920 pages of it, right?

(00:01:00):
And I think the most alarming thing about it is that them trying to enact all of it, right?

(00:01:07):
Like, I knew that they were going to try to enact most of it.

(00:01:11):
I just, I was kind of in doubt, I guess.

(00:01:14):
But every single executive order, well, 95% of them have been ripped out of Project 2025.

(00:01:18):
So I think that gives it a lot more value.

(00:01:25):
to understanding it completely so i think that some of the interesting things that

(00:01:29):
they start with in project 2025 are that there's a huge focus on um the nuclear

(00:01:36):
family and aiming to dismantle the administrative state which they say that like

(00:01:42):
the liberals have created this administrative state where everything is tackled by

(00:01:48):
bureaucracy

(00:01:49):
Right?

(00:01:50):
And so a lot of it is about cutting regulations and cutting different state agencies,

(00:01:55):

which we've seen they've been doing.

(00:01:57):

And I think it's especially weird that it's Musk doing it because in Project 2025,

(00:02:02):

right,

(00:02:03):

there's no way Elon Musk could have been involved before they started working on

(00:02:08):

Project 2025 and even before it was released.

(00:02:11):

Because...

(00:02:12):

Project 2025 discusses cutting all these subsidies for electric cars and trucks, right?

(00:02:20):

And so there's no way that Elon was involved before that because he wouldn't have said,

(00:02:24):

oh,

(00:02:24):

let's cut these subsidies because it's been planned for a while that Tesla would

(00:02:28):

get involved in making some,

(00:02:30):

like,

(00:02:30):

state like military vehicles right as we've seen recently with the purchase of like

(00:02:36):

I don't remember like 400 armored drugs something like that so there's no way that

(00:02:41):

Elon was involved before this so I'm really interested like I wish I could be a fly

(00:02:46):
on the wall and know when he officially got involved and when they started spinning

(00:02:51):
it more towards like a tech US empire which is

(00:02:56):
seems like some sort of the cyberpunk dystopian nightmare but yeah so project 2025

(00:03:02):
also discusses a lot of stuff about um defending the nation against global threats

(00:03:07):
it's a huge theme throughout they're like oh we need to defend the u.s from russia

(00:03:13):
china iran venezuela

(00:03:16):
Um, so it really goes after other nations.

(00:03:19):
I thought one thing that was super alarming was that there's a lot of,

(00:03:22):
like,

(00:03:23):
repetitiveness around,

(00:03:25):
oh,

(00:03:25):
the military and military generals need to be ready.

(00:03:28):
They need to be prepared.

(00:03:29):
They need to be ready.

(00:03:30):
And I'm like, ready for what, bro?

(00:03:32):
Is that a threat?

(00:03:34):
For real, is that a threat?

(00:03:36):
Because, like...

(00:03:37):
I think they're ready enough.

(00:03:39):
I think they've been ready.

(00:03:40):
I'm liking the more chill US where it's not in any super active wars.

(00:03:44):
I mean,

(00:03:45):
loads of proxy wars,

(00:03:46):
and there is terrible stuff that the US is doing in the Middle East.

(00:03:50):
But do we need to declare war on another country again?

(00:03:54):
Seriously?

(00:03:56):
Please, can we stop that?

(00:03:57):
Can we fix our own stuff?

(00:03:59):
And it also says that Project 2025 has been in the works since 1979.

(00:04:05):

Which kind of makes sense because this whole alt-right conservative swing has been

(00:04:10):

going on for quite a while now.

(00:04:12):

Regarding healthcare, it talks a lot about healthcare reform.

(00:04:16):

It says that the federal health budget is putting a lot of pressure on,

(00:04:22):

I don't know,

(00:04:22):

I guess like the congressional budget and like the United States like multi

(00:04:26):

trillion dollar debt.

(00:04:28):

it literally says that the deficit issue is a Medicare and Medicaid issue.

(00:04:34):

So while they've only been kind of attacking Medicare and Medicaid thus far,

(00:04:42):

I think we can expect to see more attacks on those coming relatively soon,

(00:04:47):

especially because it looks like Elon's gotten kind of into the

(00:04:51):

whole Medicare and Medicaid offices.

(00:04:55):

So that's fun.

(00:04:57):

It also says that the National Institute of Health is paying for research in

(00:05:04):

aborted baby body parts.

(00:05:10):
Human-animal chimera experiments,

(00:05:13):
which is,

(00:05:13):
like,

(00:05:14):
mixing cells and genes of humans and animals,

(00:05:18):
which,

(00:05:18):
um,

(00:05:19):
seems fake,

(00:05:20):
not gonna lie.

(00:05:21):
And research that could have been responsible for COVID-19.

(00:05:24):
And I thought this was super interesting because,

(00:05:26):
like,

(00:05:27):
at certain parts in the document,

(00:05:28):
it blames China for COVID and says that,

(00:05:31):
like,

(00:05:31):
researchers in Wuhan,

(00:05:33):

like,

(00:05:33):

intentionally created COVID.

(00:05:35):

And then in other parts, it's like, the U.S.

(00:05:38):

created COVID.

(00:05:39):

Like, U.S.

(00:05:40):

researchers created this to destroy us.

(00:05:42):

And it's like, what?

(00:05:43):

Like, can you at least pick a side?

(00:05:46):

Like, I get whatever you say is going to be fake, right?

(00:05:50):

But can they just pick something?

(00:05:52):

Like, at least make a choice on who fabricated COVID in your words, which is just false.

(00:06:00):

And it says that the FDA should reverse approval for chemical abortion drugs.

(00:06:06):

And that's terrible already, right?

(00:06:09):

But one of the abortion drugs, it's also a heart medication, right?

(00:06:14):

So it's not like these medications are only used for abortion, which abortion should be allowed.

(00:06:19):

People should be allowed to do whatever they want with their own bodies.

(00:06:22):

But they're literally some of the only effective treatments for abortion.

(00:06:27):

other health conditions so that's pretty terrifying a lot of people are going to be

(00:06:32):

shooting themselves in the foot with that one it also says that it wants to change

(00:06:38):

research to focus on stuff that's like anti-choice pro-life anti-lgbt equity

(00:06:45):

research that's against subsidizing single motherhood and penalizing marriage which

(00:06:51):

is like

(00:06:53):

They don't want to do any more research that uplifts, like, gay marriage.

(00:06:57):

That's not research.

(00:06:58):

That's not science, right?

(00:06:59):

Like, within the National Institute of Health.

(00:07:02):

In science, you don't just go, oh, I want my research to focus on why this is bad.

(00:07:07):

No, you just do research and you come to logical conclusions based on the science.

(00:07:12):

Like, that's just not what science is.

(00:07:15):

You can't just focus on trying to hurt certain groups using science when...

(00:07:21):

Hundreds of years of research has shown, like, this is how people are.

(00:07:25):

This is what makes people happy.

(00:07:27):

Like, it makes no sense.

(00:07:29):

I mean, it does make sense because they hate us.

(00:07:32):

They also want to focus more on healthcare that's market-based instead of,

(00:07:38):

like,

(00:07:39):

subsidized,

(00:07:40):

right?

(00:07:40):

So they want to focus more on,

(00:07:41):

like,

(00:07:41):

private healthcare and cutting down,

(00:07:43):

like,

(00:07:43):

Medicare and Medicaid and any funding that is given to people,

(00:07:49):

um,

(00:07:50):
that...

(00:07:51):
That reduces medical costs.

(00:07:53):
The CDC should never say that kids should be masked or vaccinated and that there

(00:07:58):
should be no promotion of abortion as medical care,

(00:08:01):
which is ridiculous,

(00:08:02):
right?

(00:08:02):
Because first of all, it is medical care.

(00:08:04):
And second of all,

(00:08:05):
even in instances where pregnancy is wanted,

(00:08:10):
people might have to get an abortion.

(00:08:12):
So I don't know.

(00:08:12):
There's just complete misunderstandings of what medical care actually is.

(00:08:17):
The same with trans issues, right?

(00:08:19):
So it talks about...

(00:08:20):

cutting funding for hormones and cutting access to hormones.

(00:08:25):

This is the issue with conservatism, right?

(00:08:27):

Because they're like,

(00:08:28):

oh,

(00:08:28):

we should have small government,

(00:08:30):

get the government out of people's lives.

(00:08:33):

But they're just increasing the government presence and rules and regulations

(00:08:39):

around everyone who's not a cis,

(00:08:42):

white,

(00:08:43):

Christian man that's above six feet tall,

(00:08:46):

right?

(00:08:46):

But they're increasing it on every other group, right?

(00:08:49):

It's not...

(00:08:51):

They don't actually know the principles of it.

(00:08:53):

I don't agree with the conservative principles, but they don't even know what they are.

(00:08:58):

One of the reasons that it goes after the FDA in Project 2025 is that it wants to

(00:09:04):

reverse the approval of the chemical abortion drug.

(00:09:07):

Network State also goes after the FDA.

(00:09:09):

It says that we need to live in a post-FDA world.

(00:09:13):

And I'm like, this is weird, right?

(00:09:15):

Because you don't see every theme that comes up in Project 2025 in the network state, right?

(00:09:21):

But quite a few of them.

(00:09:22):

Most of the things that are discussed in the network state do come up in Project 2025,

(00:09:27):

not the other way around.

(00:09:29):

But I think that's really interesting because it was this...

(00:09:33):

crossing of ideas intentional the books came out in the same year right they both

(00:09:37):

came out in 2022 so was this overlap in ideas intentional or was it just

(00:09:43):

coincidental and then tech billionaires decided oh yeah let's get involved in that

(00:09:49):

um we don't know right but it's very interesting

(00:09:53):

So it also wants to change the National Institute of Health to change research to

(00:09:59):
focus on the negative effects of gender-affirming care,

(00:10:02):
which is,

(00:10:03):
again,

(00:10:03):
like I said earlier,

(00:10:04):
just not how research works.

(00:10:06):
It wants to find the negative effects of puberty blockers, hormones, and surgeries.

(00:10:12):
and the likelihood of desistance if children are given counseling.

(00:10:17):
So by desistance, it means the process of stopping or reducing criminal activity over time.

(00:10:24):
So basically it's saying that kids who are given access to gender-affirming care

(00:10:29):
are more likely to participate in crime or become criminals.

(00:10:34):
So it wants to add work requirements to Medicaid.

(00:10:39):
So that's great.

(00:10:40):
Basically, it says that when somebody is able to do some work, people should be required to.

(00:10:47):
And who knows what their rules around that are going to be exactly.

(00:10:52):

Do I expect them to be like, oh, well, this person has a disability and they can't work?

(00:11:00):

No, I don't.

(00:11:00):

They're probably going to be like, oh, they can work from home.

(00:11:03):

They can do an office job,

(00:11:04):

you know,

(00:11:04):

like just put insane work requirements on everyone that has access to Medicaid with

(00:11:11):

probably few exceptions is what I'm expecting.

(00:11:14):

And it also wants to allow,

(00:11:16):

like,

(00:11:16):

families that do get Medicaid to use,

(00:11:19):

like,

(00:11:19):

those funds outside of regular health practitioners.

(00:11:23):

People should be able to use Medicaid for holistic care.

(00:11:27):

Like, I don't know.

(00:11:29):

Say somebody wants to use, like...

(00:11:32):
like herbalism right or homeopathy like it's saying that people should be able to

(00:11:37):
use that for their medicaid funds which is ridiculous people should be able to

(00:11:42):
access the care that they want but also just there's some validity in like

(00:11:48):
Some herbs can make you feel better, right?

(00:11:50):
Say you have a sore throat.

(00:11:51):
You can drink licorice tea, right?

(00:11:54):
That soothes a sore throat, right?

(00:11:56):
But somebody who has cancer shouldn't be a victim of,

(00:11:58):
like,

(00:11:58):
homeopathic remedies with,

(00:12:01):
like,

(00:12:01):
doctors that aren't doctors.

(00:12:02):
They're not medical professionals.

(00:12:04):
They haven't been to school.

(00:12:05):
And they're preying on people.

(00:12:06):

And I also think that's really interesting.

(00:12:08):

I don't know if you've read this book.

(00:12:09):

It's by Naomi Klein.

(00:12:11):

She's my favorite.

(00:12:12):

I'm her biggest fan.

(00:12:14):

And it's called Doppelganger, right?

(00:12:16):

And in this book,

(00:12:17):

she writes about how the conservative and alt-right Christian nationalists have

(00:12:23):

entered this mirror world,

(00:12:26):

right?

(00:12:26):

Where everything is the opposite of how it actually is.

(00:12:30):

Naomi Klein writes about how Naomi Wolf...

(00:12:33):

She went from this, like, feminist left-wing kind of icon, right?

(00:12:39):

Who was really, like, profound for her time.

(00:12:42):

And she turned into this alt-right Steve Bannon wellness person.

(00:12:50):

I thought that the ties between Project 2025 and the whole wellness cult were super interesting.

(00:12:57):

Because there is a tie between this wellness stuff and conservatism.

(00:13:03):

Like, look at the raw milk trend.

(00:13:06):

Who drinks raw milk?

(00:13:08):

Come on.

(00:13:09):

It's disgusting.

(00:13:11):

But there's all this,

(00:13:12):

like,

(00:13:12):

discourse around,

(00:13:14):

oh,

(00:13:15):

you need to drink raw milk because pasteurization is terrible.

(00:13:18):

They're infecting you with whatever through pasteurization.

(00:13:23):

And pasteurization is literally just heating it up to kill off any bacteria.

(00:13:28):

And I think that ties into, like, tradwives also.

(00:13:32):
Because you've got these, like, conservative tradwives.

(00:13:35):
So many of them are Mormon.

(00:13:37):
Have you noticed this?

(00:13:38):
Like,

(00:13:38):
on TikTok,

(00:13:39):
like,

(00:13:39):
all these Mormon tradwives that are posting content on TikTok constantly,

(00:13:43):
and they're like...

(00:13:44):
oh, all these pesticides, right?

(00:13:45):
And it's like, sure, pesticides, bad, right?

(00:13:48):
But then it goes deeper and deeper and deeper.

(00:13:50):
So it like almost begins with like layers of things that are kind of true.

(00:13:54):
Probably not great for you to be consuming tons of pesticides.

(00:13:58):
Sure.

(00:13:58):
We have seen some negative health effects,

(00:14:00):
actually,

(00:14:02):
in areas where like,

(00:14:03):
say people are exposed to tons of pesticides or whatever random dangerous chemicals.

(00:14:08):
Right?

(00:14:09):
But then it gets into fake stuff that's just not provable and completely fake news.

(00:14:15):
So then you have these trad wives that are like, oh, all organic.

(00:14:20):
I cook my own bread.

(00:14:21):
I... fine.

(00:14:23):
But then they're like, oh, you shouldn't drink this clean milk.

(00:14:26):
You should only drink dirty milk.

(00:14:28):
And then they're like, oh, I drink water from my pond.

(00:14:32):
And of course,

(00:14:32):
it's all these people who are super tied in to conservatism and Christian nationalism,

(00:14:37):
right?

(00:14:38):

So that's part of that mirror world that Naomi Klein is talking about.

(00:14:44):
at some point,

(00:14:45):
the lines are getting blurred,

(00:14:46):
and it's sending all these people down this really dangerous pipeline where all

(00:14:50):
these people are getting sick,

(00:14:52):
right?

(00:14:52):
Like,

(00:14:52):
we've got a measles outbreak in Texas right now,

(00:14:56):
and it's this place where,

(00:14:57):
I don't remember the name of the county,

(00:15:00):
but it's where,

(00:15:03):
like,

(00:15:04):
16% of the population isn't vaccinated.

(00:15:07):
With the measles specifically, it's either 95% or 97% of the population,

(00:15:13):
that you need to be vaccinated against it to prevent an outbreak, right?

(00:15:17):

So we've got this outbreak happening in this school right now,

(00:15:20):

and all these kids in this random county in Texas are having this,

(00:15:26):

like,

(00:15:26):

terrible disease that should have been wiped out.

(00:15:29):

We haven't had measles outbreaks in any

(00:15:31):

ages right but it's just because of this not entirely because of it but at least

(00:15:36):

partially because of this like really conservative proud wife and like podcasters

(00:15:43):

um who are going on and on about how oh you shouldn't drink

(00:15:48):

pasteurized milk oh you shouldn't get vaccines right and so i think people are like

(00:15:54):

starting out with like kind of reasonable ideas organic food might be better for

(00:15:58):

you i don't know like they'll start out with something simple like that it gets

(00:16:03):

sent down this dangerous pipeline of complete disinformation where it's hard for

(00:16:07):

like the average person

(00:16:09):

know when the disinformation starts and when it really stops.

(00:16:14):

So also,

(00:16:15):
there's a lot in Project 2025 that is about abortion that is happening in the

(00:16:22):
executive orders and that they are trying to enact right now.

(00:16:27):
Like the stuff about enforcing the Hyde Amendment, which...

(00:16:30):
It doesn't allow any NGOs or aid to be given to groups that allow or promote

(00:16:39):
abortion as an option that people can take for family planning in,

(00:16:43):
like,

(00:16:43):
impoverished countries that the U.S.

(00:16:45):
supports, right?

(00:16:47):
So a lot of that is happening.

(00:16:49):
They also want to...

(00:16:50):
reverse pro-choice interpretations that have been like put into the emergency

(00:16:57):
medical treatment act which is basically like hospitals they're required to give

(00:17:03):
emergency medical treatment right regardless of if somebody has insurance or not

(00:17:08):
they're required to treat you for life-saving care they want to reverse any

(00:17:13):

allowances that cover abortion under this so say somebody is

(00:17:19):

Having a terrible miscarriage or an atopic pregnancy and they need to have an

(00:17:23):

abortion to save their life They want to reverse any interpretations that allow for

(00:17:28):

abortion under this and it also allows like Catholic Hospitals to not allow any

(00:17:35):

abortion care if they don't want to

(00:17:37):

They also want to end all investigations into cases where abortions were refused.

(00:17:42):

So there were some like investigations that were going on to cases where women and

(00:17:48):

people with uteruses died because they weren't given necessary access to abortions

(00:17:53):

when they needed it.

(00:17:55):

And they want to end all investigations into any of these cases.

(00:17:58):

And that's absolutely horrifying because if somebody's denied life-saving care,

(00:18:03):

that should definitely be investigated and the hospital should be punished and

(00:18:07):

families should be given so much.

(00:18:10):

And women should be protected.

(00:18:11):

Women and people with uteruses should not worry about dying because they had a

(00:18:16):
pregnancy planned or unplanned that they decided to terminate or keep.

(00:18:20):
right?

(00:18:21):
Because a lot of women decide to keep these pregnancies and they can't and they

(00:18:25):
pass away from it,

(00:18:26):
right?

(00:18:27):
Just because of negligent hospitals.

(00:18:29):
So,

(00:18:29):
of course,

(00:18:29):
Project 2025 also discusses redefining sex and gender because it says that gender

(00:18:36):
ideology is a woke concept,

(00:18:39):
right?

(00:18:39):
It talks about woke concepts and woke ideology a lot and

(00:18:43):
The network state talks about this too.

(00:18:47):
So Project 2025 discusses redefining the terms sex and gender to make it so sex is

(00:18:55):
the only term used in the government.

(00:18:57):

So like whatever you're assigned at birth is...

(00:19:00):

what you're to be called and we know this has already happened in the executive

(00:19:04):

orders i mean we're seeing a lot of this happening already and it's just if it all

(00:19:10):

goes through or not because a lot of this is being blocked by judges and the courts

(00:19:14):

right but that's the whole point in trying to pass a lot of these executive orders

(00:19:20):

the whole point is to make it so there's so many that it's hard to stop all of them

(00:19:24):

and it's i don't know if it's working or not because

(00:19:28):

We haven't seen the outcome of these court cases,

(00:19:30):

but we know a lot of them probably will be going to the Supreme Court,

(00:19:34):

right?

(00:19:35):

And it just depends how the Supreme Court rules.

(00:19:37):

A lot of the judges were put in by the Trump administration.

(00:19:41):

We don't know how the Supreme Court is going to rule.

(00:19:43):

They are very conservative.

(00:19:45):

So it's speculation.

(00:19:49):

They're going to be okay with a lot of the executive orders that he's tried to pass,

(00:19:53):

even if they are unconstitutional,

(00:19:55):

because I don't know that the Supreme Court does care about the Constitution based

(00:20:00):

on what's been happening.

(00:20:01):

But we'll have to see.

(00:20:03):

Additionally, so practitioners who were...

(00:20:08):

like, fired from hospitals for not wearing masks or using vaccines during COVID.

(00:20:14):

So they're supposed to be relicensed.

(00:20:16):

That's an order that was given under Project 2025.

(00:20:22):

And they don't want to enforce the COVID vaccine mandate on any hospitals that

(00:20:27):

receive Medicare or Medicaid anymore.

(00:20:29):

So previously,

(00:20:30):

like,

(00:20:31):

those hospitals were required to make sure that their practitioners got COVID vaccines.

(00:20:37):
they're not going to enforce that anymore.

(00:20:39):
Like,

(00:20:39):
if they pass an executive order or any legislation around this,

(00:20:44):
which I expect they will,

(00:20:45):
because a lot of Project 2025,

(00:20:47):
they are trying to implement already.

(00:20:49):
There's a lot of terrible stuff around healthcare that they're trying to implement,

(00:20:55):
and it's started already.

(00:20:56):
Project 2025, I think one of the scariest things about it is that

(00:21:00):
It's supposed to be a rapid action plan.

(00:21:02):
Some people are saying, like, oh, it's a plan for the first 180 days.

(00:21:06):
It doesn't say that in the document, but it is happening very fast.

(00:21:10):
There's also a lot around foreign policy that's in Project 2025, right?

(00:21:15):
So a lot of what it talks about is defeating the,

(00:21:19):
and I quote,

(00:21:20):
anti-American left at home and abroad,

(00:21:23):
right?

(00:21:23):
So it wants to tackle leftism.

(00:21:26):
in the United States and elsewhere.

(00:21:28):
And you do see a lot of that throughout the document.

(00:21:34):
Like...

(00:21:39):
One part of it talks about creating a coalition of nations against abortion.

(00:21:43):
So that's to try to enforce some,

(00:21:46):
because of America's hegemonic position,

(00:21:49):
which is their position of power above other countries,

(00:21:54):
using that to persuade other countries to pass really strict legislation around abortion.

(00:22:01):
And it discusses how defeating the Chinese Communist Party is its biggest priority.

(00:22:07):
It says that TikTok is Chinese espionage.

(00:22:10):
And I think this is interesting, right?

(00:22:12):

Because Trump paused the TikTok ban...

(00:22:16):

But he is the one who started it in the first place.

(00:22:19):

And it's clear that that was ripped right out of Project 2025.

(00:22:22):

And it says that economic engagement should be ended with China.

(00:22:26):

But I think with the network state,

(00:22:29):

just considering that book a potential contender for some of the stuff that is happening.

(00:22:35):

It talks about wanting tech control over the United States, right?

(00:22:41):

The network state,

(00:22:41):

I don't remember if it discusses TikTok directly,

(00:22:45):

but it does state that there's a lot of threats coming from different social media companies,

(00:22:52):

basically,

(00:22:53):

if they're not allowed to talk about free speech.

(00:22:57):

The network state says that the U.S.

(00:22:59):

government is suppressing free speech by not allowing a lot of conservative fake news.

(00:23:07):

Conservative posters should be allowed to post whatever they want and that it's a

(00:23:11):
violation of free speech for the Biden administration to require like crackdowns on

(00:23:17):
disinformation that have been happening.

(00:23:20):
And so that's kind of where a lot of the stuff that's happening today is coming from, right?

(00:23:24):
Because we've got executive orders coming out about free speech.

(00:23:27):
It's in Project 2025, it's in the executive orders, and it's in the network state, right?

(00:23:32):
And it's all about how they should be allowed to post disinformation on there,

(00:23:37):
which is crazy to me.

(00:23:38):
Should people be able to say what they want?

(00:23:40):
Yeah.

(00:23:41):
But...

(00:23:42):
People should be forced to say things that are true, right?

(00:23:45):
If you have a viral post that hundreds of thousands of people are seeing,

(00:23:49):
it shouldn't be something that's not true.

(00:23:53):
That's ridiculous.

(00:23:54):
Like, that's not free speech.

(00:23:55):
That's dangerous.

(00:23:56):
Because what people see, what goes viral, that does influence elections.

(00:24:02):
And we're seeing a lot of that, right?

(00:24:03):
And there was this recent study that came out.

(00:24:05):
It was...

(00:24:07):
I sat in the news two days ago and then I read this study,

(00:24:10):
but basically the study found that extreme alt-right politicians specifically,

(00:24:16):
they were more commonly victims of disinformation and fake news than left or

(00:24:23):
liberal people,

(00:24:25):
right?

(00:24:25):
Or even just mild right-wingers.

(00:24:28):
The extreme alt-right, they were way more likely to be victims of

(00:24:32):
fake news and disinformation, right?

(00:24:35):
So I think it's really important that we manage that,

(00:24:37):

especially because the public commons is social media now.

(00:24:41):

How do you make that a place where information is safely distributed,

(00:24:46):

especially because a lot of people don't trust media?

(00:24:48):

And I get it because there is some terrible things that mass media companies have done.

(00:24:55):

And so how do you manage that?

(00:24:57):

You know, because you do need reliable, trustworthy sources.

(00:25:00):

Like you shouldn't just listen to your regular person on social media who can just

(00:25:05):

post whatever they want,

(00:25:06):

especially in the age of A.I.,

(00:25:09):

where people are posting tons of fake stuff,

(00:25:12):

fake videos,

(00:25:12):

whatever,

(00:25:13):

and it's hard to know what's real or not,

(00:25:15):

especially for people who aren't very good at interpreting what's AI.

(00:25:20):

So I think the whole fake news and disinformation aspect of this is really

(00:25:24):
important and could be very dangerous because we don't know what's going to be said

(00:25:30):
or spread or what's going to happen with any of that.

(00:25:32):
So do you,

(00:25:33):
I never left,

(00:25:34):
but actually it's been like 20 minutes because I went and got a sweater because I

(00:25:37):
was freezing and my dog was barking because she's insane.

(00:25:40):
But,

(00:25:41):
so along with all the stuff about tech and TikTok in China,

(00:25:46):
there's a lot of stuff in Project 2025.

(00:25:50):
Global governance organizations, like the World Health Organization, right?

(00:25:54):
And so the U.S.

(00:25:55):
has already left the World Health Organization at this point,

(00:25:59):
and that was part of an executive order enacted by Trump.

(00:26:03):
But Project 2025 attacks the World Health Organization, the United Nations attacks NATO.

(00:26:10):
Basically, any government coalition that the U.S.

(00:26:13):
is a part of, Project 2025 is very vocally against.

(00:26:19):
It also says, specifically regarding NATO, that allies to the U.S.

(00:26:25):
are supposed to take greater responsibility in their own defense,

(00:26:30):
and that they should play their own part in their defense against China,

(00:26:35):
Russia,

(00:26:35):
Iran,

(00:26:36):
North Korea...

(00:26:38):
and defense against Venezuela.

(00:26:40):
It talks about Venezuela actually a lot,

(00:26:42):
and I guess it's because of the conflict there,

(00:26:45):
because they were trying to go to a more socialist model,

(00:26:48):
and the U.S.

(00:26:48):
didn't want that close to their borders.

(00:26:52):
That's my take on it.

(00:26:54):

So it wants to transform NATO specifically so allies are capable of fielding

(00:27:01):
conventional forces themselves.

(00:27:04):
And it wants to do more to deter Russia, which is weird.

(00:27:08):
Because for a document that talks about deterring Russia,

(00:27:13):
a lot of the writers sure do collude with Russia a lot,

(00:27:16):
isn't it?

(00:27:18):
But it wants members of NATO to rely on the U.S.

(00:27:21):
for nukes and missile power, basically.

(00:27:25):
And it also wants NATO allies to increase their GDP stake in NATO's budget.

(00:27:32):
Because right now, they're required to use 2% of their budget for NATO.

(00:27:38):
And so it wants them to increase that above 2%.

(00:27:42):
It also discusses developing a NATO Arctic strategy regarding the use of like Arctic waters.

(00:27:50):
So it talks a lot about economic freedom in the region.

(00:27:53):
It talks about how basically because the ice caps are melting,

(00:27:56):
there's a huge economic opportunity for shipping.

(00:28:00):
in the Arctic waters.

(00:28:01):
So that's great.

(00:28:02):
And I think that ties into what's happening in Greenland a lot right now.

(00:28:06):
Because we've got the US,

(00:28:08):
well,

(00:28:09):
not the US,

(00:28:09):
but Trump and his allies saying,

(00:28:11):
oh,

(00:28:12):
we need to buy Greenland.

(00:28:14):
Let's just take over Greenland, right?

(00:28:16):
And Project 2025 actually discusses Greenland specifically because it's in the Arctic.

(00:28:23):
And so Project 2025 discusses strengthening economic ties with Greenland and putting more,
like,

(00:28:30):
military bases on there when we already have a lot.

(00:28:32):
But I think Trump's just taking that even further to be like,

(00:28:36):

oh,

(00:28:36):
why don't we just take Greenland,

(00:28:38):
which is actually insane.

(00:28:41):
And it also discusses the potential of Greenland as a tourist location because of

(00:28:46):
the ice caps melting,

(00:28:47):
because I guess it'll have more areas that are accessible for people to visit.

(00:28:53):
I don't know.

(00:28:54):
It's ridiculous.

(00:28:56):
It's literally saying,

(00:28:57):
Oh, we're going to have more water.

(00:28:59):
There's going to be a lot less ice caps, so let's capitalize on this.

(00:29:04):
So Project 2025 also discusses expanding how U.S.

(00:29:09):
allies share the burden of counterterrorism because it says that the U.S.

(00:29:14):
does too much for counterterrorism.

(00:29:17):
Which is true.

(00:29:18):
The U.S.

(00:29:18):
does do too much counterterrorism, but not in the way that they mean.

(00:29:22):
So it's talking about U.S.

(00:29:23):
allies, like, participating more in counterterrorism efforts rather than the U.S.

(00:29:27):
just,

(00:29:27):
like,

(00:29:28):
you know,

(00:29:28):
scaling back on that a little bit because our efforts have not been successful.

(00:29:34):
We've just decimated countries and actually caused a lot more extremism and

(00:29:38):
terrorism rather than fixing that problem.

(00:29:43):
So it says that our allies should participate in that more and also that it wants

(00:29:47):
to counter China's Belt and Road Initiative.

(00:29:50):
So that's interesting because China's Belt and Road Initiative is basically,

(00:29:55):
like,

(00:29:55):
so China's put a lot of money into developing,

(00:29:58):

like,

(00:29:59):

underdeveloped areas,

(00:30:00):

especially in Africa,

(00:30:01):

where it's,

(00:30:01):

like,

(00:30:02):

supporting the creations of,

(00:30:04):

like,

(00:30:04):

roads and highways.

(00:30:05):

It really, like, helped China just, like, geopolitically and strategically, right?

(00:30:12):

Because they've gained a lot of allies in Africa of countries that have a lot of,

(00:30:16):

like,

(00:30:16):

precious resources,

(00:30:17):

like mining,

(00:30:18):

minerals,

(00:30:19):

whatever,

(00:30:19):
right?

(00:30:20):
And so it's been really beneficial for these countries, right?

(00:30:22):
But they want to counter that initiative.

(00:30:24):
And I don't think they're going to counter it by building their own,

(00:30:28):
you know,

(00:30:29):
Belt and Road Initiative or whatever.

(00:30:30):
I think that they're going to counter it by just trying to undermine that

(00:30:35):
initiative and not actually,

(00:30:36):
like,

(00:30:37):
replacing the good that it's doing.

(00:30:38):
Because it is doing a lot of good for underdeveloped countries.

(00:30:42):
But they're not going to say that.

(00:30:44):
I guess I'm going to be the one that says that.

(00:30:47):
So Project 2025 also talks a lot about the Mexican cartels,

(00:30:51):
as we've seen this in the executive orders,

(00:30:53):
right?

(00:30:53):
And it calls for a fully sovereign Mexico.

(00:30:56):
And like, what do you mean?

(00:30:58):
Mexico is a sovereign nation.

(00:31:00):
Like, it's already fully sovereign.

(00:31:02):
But it basically, it's saying that...

(00:31:04):
Mexico relies too much on the United States and that it needs to support itself, which it does.

(00:31:10):
So that's kind of ridiculous.

(00:31:12):
And it says that cartels are negatively harming the United States.

(00:31:17):
And like, sure, cartels aren't great, but they're not doing a lot to the United States.

(00:31:20):
It's just basically blaming the drug issue,

(00:31:23):
not the fact that insane barriers to access for health care are

(00:31:28):
mental health support disproportionately cause addiction which is obvious to anyone

(00:31:35):
who's ever read a book it calls for fully sovereign Mexico and attacks the cartels

(00:31:39):

but i think the executive orders have clearly gone way farther than this because

(00:31:43):

the executive orders they also are like oh there's a huge cartel problem but then

(00:31:49):

it also discusses canada and the executive orders say that canada has a huge issue

(00:31:56):

with Mexican cartels, which is ridiculous, right?

(00:31:59):

They're separated by the giant body that is the United States.

(00:32:05):

Canada does not have an issue with Mexican cartels.

(00:32:09):

It's insane.

(00:32:10):

With Greenland,

(00:32:13):

I think it's insane,

(00:32:14):

but I know what they're getting at,

(00:32:16):

but I don't understand the attacks on Canada unless it's also related to the whole

(00:32:21):

new Arctic strategy that they want to create.

(00:32:24):

that's my only hypothesis there.

(00:32:27):

I can't, I couldn't tell you anything further.

(00:32:29):

I don't know why Trump is going after Canada so much other than potentially more of

(00:32:34):
the Arctic control that Project 2025 talks about seeking.

(00:32:36):
Project 2025 talks about

(00:32:40):
not only going after Russia,

(00:32:42):
China,

(00:32:43):
Iran,

(00:32:43):
North Korea,

(00:32:44):
Venezuela,

(00:32:45):
but also withdrawing from the World Bank and the IMF,

(00:32:48):
the International Monetary Fund,

(00:32:51):
and terminating all financial contributions to the World Bank and International

(00:32:55):
Monetary Fund.

(00:32:56):
And then it discusses the World Trade Organization specifically, and it says that the U.S.

(00:33:02):
should cease any participation in the World Trade Organization.

(00:33:07):
And then it doesn't say that it wants to fatally wound the World Trade Organization, right?

(00:33:13):
But it says,

(00:33:14):
oh,

(00:33:14):
if it happens to fatally wound the World Trade Organization,

(00:33:17):
then it will create a new one that's only open to liberal democracies.

(00:33:21):
I think basically it's saying that it wants to create like an alternative to the

(00:33:25):
World Trade Organization that's only open to US allies.

(00:33:28):
And of course,

(00:33:29):
that's going to be terrible for the rest of the world because with the economic and

(00:33:33):
like

(00:33:34):
position of power that the u.s is in it's just gonna make global poverty way worse

(00:33:40):
right because world trade organization um world bank imf they do a lot of good at

(00:33:48):
ending like global poverty one that's only open to liberal democracies and like

(00:33:54):
That's basically saying it's only open to US allies,

(00:33:57):
and that's just going to be terrible for the rest of the world.

(00:34:00):
Also in foreign policy,

(00:34:01):
there's a lot of talks about foreign aid and how foreign aid isn't putting America first.

(00:34:07):
It says America first a lot, right?

(00:34:09):
And America first is this whole ancient...

(00:34:13):
not literally ancient but it's a very old idea that the u.s should be more closed

(00:34:20):
off to the rest of the world more populist and nationalist and more individualistic

(00:34:26):
and basically in foreign aid it's saying that countries need to be on their own

(00:34:30):
journey to self-reliance which is pretty ridiculous because

(00:34:33):
In most cases, the U.S.

(00:34:36):
played a massive role in making it so these countries weren't self-sufficient,

(00:34:40):
because through colonialism and imperialism,

(00:34:43):
the U.S.

(00:34:44):
just deeply set these countries back.

(00:34:47):
There's this book, I don't know if I'm getting the title exactly correct, but it's how the U.S.,

(00:34:53):
underdeveloped Africa.

(00:34:54):

Basically,

(00:34:55):
through,

(00:34:55):
like,

(00:34:55):
colonialism,

(00:34:57):
slavery,

(00:34:57):
right,

(00:34:58):
stealing people from their countries,

(00:35:01):
and,

(00:35:01):
um,

(00:35:02):
stealing resources later on through colonialism,

(00:35:05):
it's been impossible for these countries to actually,

(00:35:07):
like,

(00:35:08):
develop themselves to the place where,

(00:35:10):
like,

(00:35:10):
a lot of the rest of the world is,

(00:35:12):
or,

(00:35:12):
like,

(00:35:12):
the global north is in the west.

(00:35:14):
Um,

(00:35:15):
so saying they must be,

(00:35:16):
like,

(00:35:17):
self-reliant and fix their own stuff is ridiculous because it's not their fault.

(00:35:23):
Thank you.

(00:35:24):
It's the fault of the US and a lot of Europe.

(00:35:27):
And of course, we're seeing this happening through the executive orders.

(00:35:30):
Project 2025 talks about scaling back USAID, but not only through the executive orders.

(00:35:35):
We're seeing it through the actions of Elon Musk, which are completely just out there.

(00:35:40):
He's not a government official.

(00:35:41):
He hasn't been elected by the people and he hasn't been voted in by Congress.

(00:35:45):
So how is he being allowed to operate as he is?

(00:35:47):

But he's just some like random billionaire who's been given free reign to the entire country.

(00:35:54):

And he even leaked some of the information.

(00:35:56):

I don't know if you saw,

(00:35:58):

but it's been in the news today that basically Doge accidentally put sensitive

(00:36:03):

information on their website,

(00:36:05):

right?

(00:36:05):

And so who knows who has this information now?

(00:36:09):

And the intelligence community is just scrambling.

(00:36:12):

I don't know what information has been leaked and to who.

(00:36:15):

So he talks a lot about cuts to U.S.

(00:36:17):

aid and says that foreign aid is un-American, which is

(00:36:23):

It's un-American of them to say.

(00:36:24):

It's also just straight up stupid because foreign aid is one of the main parts of

(00:36:29):

the American neoliberal agenda,

(00:36:31):

right?

(00:36:33):

Because,

(00:36:33):

like,

(00:36:33):

neoliberalism is about getting other countries involved in,

(00:36:38):

like,

(00:36:38):

free market economics to expand trade with them and,

(00:36:41):

like,

(00:36:41):

expand,

(00:36:42):

like,

(00:36:42):

U.S.

(00:36:42):

influence on these countries.

(00:36:45):

So to say it's un-American is actually the opposite of what,

(00:36:48):

like,

(00:36:48):

American policy and the American agenda has been about for a really long time.

(00:36:53):

Project 2025 also discussed,

(00:36:55):
instead of funding just a lot of aid organizations,

(00:36:59):
only funding Christian aid organizations.

(00:37:02):
And not just any Christian aid organizations,

(00:37:05):
but specifically ones that are,

(00:37:06):
like,

(00:37:06):
anti-abortion.

(00:37:07):
That's where you get more into that control regarding healthcare and,

(00:37:10):
like,

(00:37:10):
coalitions of trying to make sure that not only the US becomes anti-abortion as a country,

(00:37:17):
but trying to get the rest of the world on that same track,

(00:37:20):
too.

(00:37:20):
And that's especially through exploiting countries that have less power than the US

(00:37:27):
and making it so they can't get access without policies that are extremely

(00:37:31):
controlling to women.

(00:37:33):
It also discusses ending climate policy fanaticism.

(00:37:36):

So basically it's saying that

(00:37:38):

All these policies around climate and climate change are fanatical and like radical

(00:37:43):

ideas that the U.S.

(00:37:44):

should not be involved in anymore and that the U.S.

(00:37:46):

shouldn't care about anything regarding the climate when it comes to U.S.

(00:37:51):

aid.

(00:37:51):

Which is crazy because obviously a huge reason that so much foreign aid is needed is because...

(00:37:58):

Climate issues are destroying the Global South.

(00:38:00):

We're seeing so many floods, wildfires in the US and in the Global South.

(00:38:05):

A lot of the coffee crop was ruined last year because there was so much flooding.

(00:38:09):

It's extremely disconnected from reality, right?

(00:38:12):

And I guess that's what they want.

(00:38:13):

And that's why they're spreading all this disinformation.

(00:38:15):

Because disconnect from reality and not knowing truth...

(00:38:21):

That's how they're putting their agenda forward.

(00:38:23):

Is their goal just money?

(00:38:25):

Here's the thing.

(00:38:26):

If we let all these issues with the climate keep going,

(00:38:31):

there's not going to be a world for them to rule over.

(00:38:35):

So what's the end game?

(00:38:37):

It also talks about leaving the Paris Climate Agreement, which happened on like day one.

(00:38:42):

And any like global climate agreements, it talks about just leaving those.

(00:38:46):

So we know that's already happening.

(00:38:50):

It also discusses dismantling DEI and DEIA within the USAID like apparatus is what it says.

(00:38:58):

And we know that's happening as well.

(00:38:59):

It also discusses focusing on holistic healthcare in foreign aid instead of actual

(00:39:07):

medical treatments,

(00:39:08):

which is ridiculous.

(00:39:09):

It's part of that whole wellness agenda that's got this whole pipeline to

(00:39:14):
conservatism that Naomi Klein talks about in Doppelganger,

(00:39:18):
like I said before,

(00:39:19):
right?

(00:39:20):
I think it's super interesting to see this happening in real time.

(00:39:23):
and on a global scale, because who knows where it's going to go.

(00:39:27):
It also talks about ending U.S.

(00:39:29):
aid programs and all foreign aid programs in Yemen,

(00:39:32):
Syria,

(00:39:32):
and Afghanistan,

(00:39:34):
and,

(00:39:34):
like,

(00:39:34):
obviously,

(00:39:35):
I mean,

(00:39:36):
if you know about Afghanistan,

(00:39:38):

you know that a lot of the problems there right now with the Taliban are the fault

(00:39:42):
of the U.S.,

(00:39:43):
and it's tied back to the

(00:39:45):
The Cold War, when the U.S.

(00:39:46):
and Russia used a lot of Afghanistan as, like, a proxy war.

(00:39:50):
And in Syria, you've got a lot of that as the fault of the U.S.

(00:39:53):
too.

(00:39:53):
The U.S., like, used that as a proxy war as well.

(00:39:57):
But a lot of the issues in Syria now are also the fault of the U.S.

(00:40:01):
And the same with Yemen.

(00:40:03):
So it's really,

(00:40:03):
it's a huge lack of accountability and trying to,

(00:40:06):
like,

(00:40:06):
repair damage that has been done.

(00:40:08):
And I wonder about how that is going to affect the future of the United States.

(00:40:13):
If it's just four years, I don't know.

(00:40:15):
Like, it's probably reversible.

(00:40:17):
But what if it goes on longer?

(00:40:19):
Who knows how long we're going to feel the ripple effects of pulling out of foreign

(00:40:23):
aid in all these countries that we,

(00:40:25):
the U.S.,

(00:40:26):
have damaged,

(00:40:28):
intentionally or not,

(00:40:29):
I don't know.

(00:40:29):
But...

(00:40:31):
It's going to be painful, for sure.

(00:40:33):
Not only for those countries, but for the US itself.

(00:40:36):
It also discusses considering cutting aid with countries that are allied to Iran,

(00:40:42):
and it specifically mentions Iraq,

(00:40:45):
Lebanon,

(00:40:45):
and Palestine.

(00:40:46):
It's like,

(00:40:47):
why don't we make the countries that already hate us for destabilizing them hate us

(00:40:52):
even more?

(00:40:53):
That's great for counterterrorism, isn't it?

(00:40:55):
Seriously, what are they thinking?

(00:40:57):
The path to counterterrorism isn't destroying these countries that we have already

(00:41:03):
severely harmed even more.

(00:41:05):
The path to counterterrorism is,

(00:41:08):
you know,

(00:41:08):
trying to help repair them and trying to make up for what we've done and trying to

(00:41:12):
counteract climate change.

(00:41:13):
That's the fault of the U.S.

(00:41:16):
So it also talks about challenging socialist ideas in foreign countries.

(00:41:21):

I mean, there's so many places I could go with that.

(00:41:24):

And then it specifically says that it wants to fund partnerships with the private

(00:41:29):

sector and support civil society groups,

(00:41:32):

including university centers and think tanks that advocate for pro-free market and

(00:41:38):

democratic ideas.

(00:41:40):

So basically,

(00:41:40):

it just wants to support more conservative think tanks and university centers and research,

(00:41:47):

which is weird,

(00:41:48):

right?

(00:41:48):

Because like,

(00:41:50):

In the network state,

(00:41:50):

it talks a lot about how,

(00:41:53):

and in Project 2025,

(00:41:54):

it talks a lot about how,

(00:41:56):

oh,

(00:41:56):
these universities,

(00:41:57):
they're filled with all these woke leftists and liberals,

(00:42:01):
and they're terrible,

(00:42:02):
right?

(00:42:04):
So it kind of ties in down what wants to change,

(00:42:06):
like,

(00:42:06):
health research and health funding within the U.S.

(00:42:09):
apparatus to...

(00:42:13):
Focus on ideas that aren't actually the logical conclusions of the sciences and

(00:42:18):
social sciences.

(00:42:20):
It's anti-logic and it's anti-education and intellectualism.

(00:42:24):
It's going to harm both left and right thinking a lot more.

(00:42:28):
right?

(00:42:28):
And I think most of the reasonable people that identify as conservative are already anti-Trump,

(00:42:34):
right?

(00:42:35):

Like,

(00:42:35):

you can actually,

(00:42:36):

like,

(00:42:36):

see a lot of them online and meet a lot of them online,

(00:42:39):

and they're like,

(00:42:39):

no,

(00:42:39):

this is ridiculous,

(00:42:40):

I don't agree with that.

(00:42:41):

Like,

(00:42:42):

there's a lot of people who are like,

(00:42:44):

oh yeah,

(00:42:44):

I'm conservative and I've always been Republican,

(00:42:46):

but I voted for Kamala because this isn't right,

(00:42:49):

like,

(00:42:49):

this doesn't make any sense,

(00:42:51):
you know?

(00:42:52):
And it's like, I don't agree with conservatives, right?

(00:42:54):
But I like those people, you know, because it's like, well, at least I can think.

(00:42:59):
So I think a lot of those people have already converted to our side.

(00:43:02):
But I think through understanding theory and science,

(00:43:06):
we can convert any people who are remaining.

(00:43:09):
And it's really weird.

(00:43:09):
It says that the World Health Organization is corrupt.

(00:43:12):
And it says that the World Health Organization suppresses human rights through

(00:43:17):
relations with countries that don't help human rights.

(00:43:20):
So it says that by interacting with like anti-human rights countries,

(00:43:24):
The World Health Organization is suppressing human rights,

(00:43:27):
which is...

(00:43:30):
It doesn't make any sense.

(00:43:31):

Some countries might not have good policies around human rights,

(00:43:37):

but the World Health Organization is supposed to be there to step in to uplift

(00:43:40):

human rights within those countries.

(00:43:42):

It actually helps neoliberal democracies like the United States by...

(00:43:47):

promoting their interests.

(00:43:48):

There's a lot of attacks on single moms throughout Project 2025,

(00:43:52):

and I guess it's because single moms,

(00:43:54):

like,

(00:43:56):

defeat their whole agenda.

(00:43:57):

Because they say, oh, you have to have a nuclear family to have a good world that's religious.

(00:44:03):

A good world doesn't have to be religious.

(00:44:05):

But it says that fatherlessness is the main cause of crime,

(00:44:09):

poverty,

(00:44:11):

mental illness,

(00:44:12):

teen suicide,

(00:44:13):
rejection of the church,

(00:44:15):
which I guess the state should be involved in,

(00:44:17):
according to them.

(00:44:19):
and high school dropouts and also says that fatherlessness is like a main reason

(00:44:24):
why all these kids are LGBT and it says that child support should strengthen

(00:44:28):
marriage as the norm um another thing that I think is terrifying that I bet the

(00:44:33):
tech billionaires are gonna get involved in um

(00:44:39):
is basically,

(00:44:41):
it says that the child support payment infrastructure is poorly done,

(00:44:45):
and that it should be done through a centralized app,

(00:44:48):
which I bet Elon or Zuckerberg will get involved in,

(00:44:51):
and that the app that it's centralized through should account for things like

(00:44:57):
clothing that was bought,

(00:44:59):
or like random cash that was given,

(00:45:01):

or like things like buying school supplies,

(00:45:03):
and that that should count towards child support,

(00:45:05):
which will harm single moms even more.

(00:45:07):
So I think that's

(00:45:09):
You know,

(00:45:09):
that's going to be the scary part of it because it's going to disenfranchise them

(00:45:13):
even more,

(00:45:14):
which makes sense because Project 2025,

(00:45:17):
the conservatives,

(00:45:18):
they hate single moms.

(00:45:20):
Who hates single moms?

(00:45:23):
And additionally,

(00:45:24):
there's a lot,

(00:45:24):
obviously,

(00:45:25):
about attacks on the LGBT community and things regarding DI and DIA that have

(00:45:32):
already happened,

(00:45:34):
like deleting the term sexual orientation and gender identity.

(00:45:38):
It says that saying America is systematically racist is bad and that...

(00:45:44):
That the woke left says that math itself is racist, which is ridiculous.

(00:45:49):
And then it does more attacks on the centralized administrative state.

(00:45:54):
It wants to eliminate every DEI ideological project,

(00:45:58):
and we've seen that happen in the executive orders,

(00:46:00):
right?

(00:46:01):
Like,

(00:46:02):
we've seen the elimination of diversity,

(00:46:04):
equity,

(00:46:05):
and inclusion from all government agencies.

(00:46:08):
And they're even saying that the plane crashes that happen are because of DEI and DIA.

(00:46:14):
in the FAA I don't know how they're getting away with it to be honest because even

(00:46:20):
somebody who voted for him should be able to see like oh well that's ridiculous it

(00:46:27):
wants to eliminate any like racial classifications and it wants to eliminate

(00:46:33):
discussions of racial equity and critical race theory within anything to do with

(00:46:40):
the US government

(00:46:41):
And it also talks about the Department of Education and how the Department of

(00:46:45):
Education shouldn't support any schools or give financial support to schools that

(00:46:50):
promote critical race theory,

(00:46:52):
gender ideology,

(00:46:53):
as it says,

(00:46:54):
or racial inequality.

(00:46:56):
It also wants to eliminate disparate impact liability,

(00:46:59):
and that investigates when certain demographics are more impacted by oppression or injustice.

(00:47:07):
So it just wants to cease any investigation into demographics that are

(00:47:11):
disproportionately affected.

(00:47:13):
It also wants to restrict the application of the Bostock decision,

(00:47:18):

which was a Supreme Court decision that it protects employees against

(00:47:23):
discrimination based on their sexuality or gender identity.

(00:47:27):
I feel like we can expect to see that coming up in an executive order.

(00:47:31):
I don't know if the Supreme Court would uphold that though because it is a Supreme

(00:47:36):
Court decision that was made,

(00:47:37):
right?

(00:47:39):
But it is an idea that's brought up in Project 2025.

(00:47:42):
It obviously continues to discuss holding social media companies accountable

(00:47:47):
because of their discussions on free speech and not allowing conservative

(00:47:52):
disinformation and saying that this conservative disinformation should be protected

(00:47:56):
under free speech,

(00:47:57):
which it shouldn't be.

(00:47:58):
And I think one of the especially ridiculous parts of all the free speech stuff is how,

(00:48:04):
obviously,

(00:48:04):
the Associated Press was just kicked out of the White House newsroom.

(00:48:10):

And it's because the Associated Press said that they're still going to call the

(00:48:13):

Gulf of Mexico the Gulf of Mexico instead of the Gulf of America.

(00:48:17):

And some people are saying, oh, well, if they won't...

(00:48:20):

play by the rules and they shouldn't be allowed in the white house newsroom and

(00:48:24):

it's like no saying that if you don't succumb to the presidential authority you're

(00:48:29):

going to be restricted from access as media is extremely dangerous and we don't

(00:48:35):

know if they're going to start putting these

(00:48:38):

anti-woke TikTokers and influencers in the newsroom instead, right?

(00:48:42):

Because Trump has floated that idea of promoting Republican news sources and

(00:48:49):

influencers and expanding their influence in American media and political

(00:48:55):

discussion rather than

(00:48:58):

news organizations and official media organizations and instead promoting those who

(00:49:05):

they're not held by ethical codes of conduct or anything so who knows what's going

(00:49:10):

to happen with that but that is a danger to free speech not disallowing

(00:49:16):

disinformation

(00:49:17):

So there's a lot about taxes, obviously.

(00:49:19):

It talks about reversing the Biden-era equity agenda in taxes.

(00:49:24):

And it says that we need to reduce taxes on corporations.

(00:49:28):

And it says we need to reduce taxes on, like, regular people.

(00:49:32):

Which, sure.

(00:49:33):

But it says that we reduce taxes on corporations by raising the taxes on the people.

(00:49:39):

So it's like, yeah, great, we'll reduce taxes for everyday people by raising them.

(00:49:43):

It also says that,

(00:49:45):

and I'm quoting this,

(00:49:45):

the Treasury should support legislation instituting a three-fifths vote threshold

(00:49:50):

in the U.S.

(00:49:51):

House and Senate to raise income or corporate taxes to create a wall of protection

(00:49:55):

for the new rate structure.

(00:49:57):

So basically it's saying that it should implement a new tax rule that makes it so

(00:50:02):

to change the taxation on corporations.

(00:50:05):

Three-fifths of the House and three-fifths of the Senate should have to vote in

(00:50:09):

favor of that,

(00:50:10):

right?

(00:50:11):

So it's basically trying to create

(00:50:13):

a wall where it's impossible to overturn these like new corporate tax rules so

(00:50:18):

basically they're gonna cut taxes for corporations by raising them on us which is

(00:50:23):

great it also discusses reviewing the irs it contracts and i think we're gonna see

(00:50:29):

that with elon musk and doge project 2025 talks a lot about it and the u.s digital

(00:50:36):

service and doge is meant to be the replacement of the u.s digital service and it's

(00:50:41):

clearly

(00:50:42):

destroying the country already.

(00:50:44):

So we'll see what happens with that.

(00:50:46):

It also discusses repealing the Corporate Transparency Act, cuts to the Federal Reserve.

(00:50:53):

It discusses closing the disaster loan program and FEMA, so that's not great either.

(00:50:58):

And it

(00:50:59):

discusses expanding all tariffs on China, which we've seen happen.

(00:51:03):

It also wants to cut all made-in-China products,

(00:51:05):

and we can see that in the tariffs that have been discussed in Project 2025 and

(00:51:09):

those that have been implemented,

(00:51:11):

because Trump signed an executive order putting a 25% tariff on China.

(00:51:16):

It also discusses defining anything that represents the LGBT community as pornography,

(00:51:23):

and then it goes on to say that pornography should be outlawed and banned.

(00:51:27):

And that anyone who promotes it,

(00:51:29):

including librarians or educators,

(00:51:32):

they should all be punished to the fullest extent of the law for promoting this pornography.

(00:51:38):

Project 2025 also mentions eliminating the morning after bill.

(00:51:42):

And we are seeing legislation that would do this proposed.

(00:51:46):

I think it was Oklahoma or...

(00:51:49):

Nebraska?

(00:51:51):

One of those two though,

(00:51:52):

where a bill was proposed to completely eliminate the morning after bill called Ella.

(00:51:59):

I don't know what implementing those on a state basis would mean for the rest of

(00:52:03):

the country,

(00:52:04):

but clearly it's not good and clearly steps are being made to actually completing

(00:52:09):

that ban.

(00:52:11):

It would have to be held up, but Roe versus Wade was overturned, so anything can happen.

(00:52:18):

So in healthcare,

(00:52:19):

it discusses keeping any pro-choice benefits out of healthcare benefits plans and

(00:52:25):

like jobs benefits plans.

(00:52:27):

So basically it discusses how women can be provided like birth control,

(00:52:32):

morning after pills,

(00:52:33):

abortion care,

(00:52:34):

under like health insurance benefits programs that are tied to their job.

(00:52:39):

And it says that

(00:52:41):

This should be stopped.

(00:52:42):

There's a lot about the climate,

(00:52:44):

a lot of which I've covered already,

(00:52:46):

especially as it relates to Greenland and the Arctic.

(00:52:50):

But some additional stuff that it discusses are ending carbon capture utilizations

(00:52:55):

and storage programs.

(00:52:57):

And then it wants to end the focus on climate change and green subsidies throughout the EPA.

(00:53:02):

There's a lot of cuts to the EPA that are discussed throughout.

(00:53:05):

It discusses specifically a lot about the Forest Service wildfire management.

(00:53:11):

It says that the Forest Service shouldn't be allowed to do controlled burns to

(00:53:15):

prevent wildfires.

(00:53:16):

And instead,

(00:53:17):

the Forest Service should promote the management of vegetation and reduce obstacles

(00:53:23):

to reducing fuel buildup.

(00:53:26):
by increasing timber sales so it basically is saying that instead of doing

(00:53:29):
controlled burns the forest service should increase timber sales and increase like

(00:53:36):
cutting down forests and that will prevent forest fires because i guess if you

(00:53:40):
don't have any trees you can't have a forest fire so maybe they're onto something

(00:53:46):
you know like if we turned every national park into like a parking ramp some malls

(00:53:53):
There wouldn't be forest fires because there'd be no forest to burn down.

(00:53:57):
Maybe we're the stupid ones.

(00:53:59):
It also discusses eliminating energy efficiency standards for appliances.

(00:54:04):
So this is just more regulation cuts, which

(00:54:09):
I mean, who wants higher energy bills?

(00:54:11):
There should be energy efficiency standards on appliances to help the planet,

(00:54:15):
but also it's just going to cost us more.

(00:54:17):
Electricity and gas bills are just going to be more expensive with fewer regulations.

(00:54:22):
It also wants to eliminate the office of clean energy distribution,

(00:54:26):
and it wants to eliminating the office of clean energy distribution,

(00:54:32):
which

(00:54:34):
is terrible, but it ties into the news about Elon has gone after with Doge.

(00:54:41):
He's gone after the Department of Energy, right?

(00:54:45):
And Elon yesterday got Trump to fire a lot of officials who are working in the U.S.

(00:54:53):
nuclear management program, and Trump has tried to take it back, I guess, now.

(00:54:58):
and tried to rehire a lot of people who were working for the U.S.

(00:55:02):
Nuclear Management Program.

(00:55:05):
But Project 2025 discusses expediting the reviews and approval for a lot of the

(00:55:11):
licensure for nuclear reactors.

(00:55:13):
So basically,

(00:55:14):
they want to increase the amount of nuclear reactors in the U.S.,

(00:55:18):
which is great if we cut regulations,

(00:55:20):
because I think we need more radiation leaks in the U.S.

(00:55:24):
The water in the U.S.

(00:55:26):

is not dirty enough.

(00:55:28):

We should only be able to drink Nestle, clearly.

(00:55:32):

And then also,

(00:55:33):

so currently there's this radiation exposure guideline,

(00:55:37):

which says that radiation exposure must be,

(00:55:41):

quote,

(00:55:41):

as low as reasonably achievable.

(00:55:44):

And it wants to completely eliminate that guideline to make it so it's no longer

(00:55:49):

must be as low as reasonably achievable.

(00:55:52):

Which, like...

(00:55:54):

Come on, who does that benefit?

(00:55:56):

It only benefits funding nuclear reactors and profiting off of it.

(00:56:01):

But even they could have radioactive water.

(00:56:05):

Who would want that for anyone?

(00:56:07):

It also wants to speed up the review processes for new chemicals.

(00:56:12):
which just isn't necessary.

(00:56:13):
Like, do we need less regulation on potentially extremely harmful chemicals?

(00:56:18):
No, we don't.

(00:56:19):
It also says that there should be no new science activity within the EPA and that

(00:56:24):
there should be no conducting of ongoing or planned science activity within the

(00:56:28):
first budget.

(00:56:29):
It also discusses a prohibition on peer review activities within science.

(00:56:35):
Who doesn't want things to be peer-reviewed, right?

(00:56:37):
And the answer is people who are promoting bunk science.

(00:56:42):
Because if you release a study that's done completely incorrectly with completely

(00:56:47):
false results and don't allow anyone to replicate it or do a peer review,

(00:56:52):
then you can say whatever you want and you can pass whatever you want.

(00:56:56):
It wants to cut the EPA size and scope.

(00:57:00):
One thing that happened already in the executive orders is it talks about

(00:57:04):
reinstating onshore oil leases,

(00:57:06):
which happened.

(00:57:07):
So it wants to consider the leases to the maximum intent.

(00:57:10):
So basically like make sure onshore oil leasing can go on for as long as the

(00:57:14):
maximum lease allows.

(00:57:16):
And then it wants to set the rents and royalty rates as low as possible.

(00:57:21):
So literally they don't even want the government to benefit much off of...

(00:57:25):
onshore oil leasing.

(00:57:26):
They're like,

(00:57:27):
we're going to make this as easy and cheap for these oil companies and executives

(00:57:31):
as possible.

(00:57:32):
It discusses competitive leasing and development in the coastal plain of Alaska for

(00:57:37):
oil and gas exploration and development,

(00:57:40):
which already happened.

(00:57:41):
That was in the first day of executive orders.

(00:57:43):

Trump signed like 60 executive orders in his first day,

(00:57:47):

and a review of the coal leasing program,

(00:57:49):

which also happened in

(00:57:50):

It also talks a lot about ELM, but not Black Lives Matter, the Bureau of Land Management.

(00:57:54):

So like the boring one.

(00:57:56):

It discusses rescinding Biden's executive orders that were about waste prevention

(00:58:02):

in the Bureau of Land Management.

(00:58:04):

And then the Endangered Species Act,

(00:58:07):

viewing a lot of the executive orders and just reviewing the

(00:58:11):

endangered species act as a whole sorry I'm petting my dog if you're watching this

(00:58:15):

video she's stomping around unless I stay petting her so that's what I've got to do

(00:58:22):

um so project 2025 discusses delisting the gray wolf the grizzly bear the sage

(00:58:27):

grouse from the endangered species act because I don't know like I guess the

(00:58:34):

alt-right hates the gray wolf you know can't protect those anymore

(00:58:39):

And it wants to open more and more of Alaska to petroleum development.

(00:58:45):
Additionally,

(00:58:46):
they want to make the weather racist by breaking up the National Weather Service

(00:58:51):
and the NOAA.

(00:58:52):
And it says that private companies rely on the data for the National Weather Service,

(00:58:58):
so it should fully commercialize.

(00:59:02):
And that it doesn't utilize commercial partnerships as it should.

(00:59:06):
Regarding federal workers,

(00:59:08):
there's a lot in there about firing federal workers,

(00:59:11):
and we've seen this happening,

(00:59:12):
so I don't feel like I need to get into Project 2025 too much,

(00:59:16):
but I want to tell you the theory that's established in 2025 behind it and why it's happening,

(00:59:21):
all these firings of federal workers,

(00:59:24):
and basically it says that federal employees are often ideologically aligned with

(00:59:28):
each other and that this is a threat to the conservative movement or the

(00:59:34):
conservative promise of

(00:59:36):

So it literally discusses how to fire bureaucrats,

(00:59:39):

and it says that the worker that holds a position sets its policy,

(00:59:43):

so the worker should be conservative-aligned and aligned with the conservative promise,

(00:59:49):

aka the Heritage Foundation,

(00:59:51):

aka MAGA,

(00:59:52):

aka the alt-right.

(00:59:54):

So it discusses merit hiring instead of DEI, which is, it doesn't make sense, right?

(00:59:59):

Because, like, hiring on merit is exactly what they're supposed to do, not just hiring, like,

(01:00:05):

cis white dudes who are straight right like hiring on merit is di because you're

(01:00:11):

hiring everyone regardless of any like ascribed characteristics they want to create

(01:00:17):

way more comprehensive performance plans for employees

(01:00:21):

And then they want to rapidly introduce measures to act on low performance for employees.

(01:00:28):

Basically,

(01:00:29):

any employee that's been marked as low performance or is on like a personal

(01:00:33):

improvement plan,

(01:00:34):
they want to fire them as quickly as possible.

(01:00:36):
They even discussed cash incentives for employees that are performing well.

(01:00:41):
I don't know if that would disproportionately go to conservative employees,

(01:00:45):
but I assume it probably would.

(01:00:47):
And then it discussed having as many as possible employees ready on January 20th to employ.

(01:00:54):
It also specifically mentioned the hiring freeze for all federal employees,

(01:00:57):
which happened,

(01:00:58):
right?

(01:00:59):
A lot of this stuff has happened already.

(01:01:01):
It hasn't completely gone through because a lot of it has been blocked by judges.

(01:01:06):
But we're basically just waiting.

(01:01:09):
So we're stuck in this limbo period where like...

(01:01:12):
A decent amount of Project 2025 has already been attempted.

(01:01:16):
And we're just waiting to see if it's going to officially take effect or not.

(01:01:21):
And we're just relying and waiting on the courts to decide.

(01:01:25):
So who knows?

(01:01:27):
So it also discusses,

(01:01:29):
in terms of the military,

(01:01:31):
the elimination of all DIDIA from the military and to stop looking at cases that

(01:01:37):
involve discrimination based on race,

(01:01:39):
gender,

(01:01:40):
religion,

(01:01:41):
age,

(01:01:41):
pregnancy,

(01:01:42):
disability,

(01:01:42):
or national origin.

(01:01:43):
So they're like, let's make the military racist again.

(01:01:50):
M-M-R-A, right?

(01:01:52):
Instead of mega, M-M-R-A, I don't know.

(01:01:55):

So one thing that I thought was really funny is that it discussed,

(01:01:58):

it was like,

(01:01:59):

oh,

(01:02:00):

the withdrawal from Afghanistan was ridiculous.

(01:02:02):

It was a terrible failure.

(01:02:04):

I'm like, yeah, Trump did that.

(01:02:06):

But you're the ones putting it back.

(01:02:08):

And it discusses,

(01:02:09):

like,

(01:02:09):

reestablishing a culture of command accountability,

(01:02:13):

non-politicization,

(01:02:15):

and warfighting.

(01:02:16):

So it's like, we need to reestablish warfighting culture within the U.S.

(01:02:20):

military.

(01:02:21):

And it's like, okay, first of all, the U.S.

(01:02:23):
military has always had a warfighting culture, as you can see by the

(01:02:27):
Sheer amount of wars that we have fought, especially if you include all the proxy wars.

(01:02:32):
And then it says that the US must remain ready and that military generals must

(01:02:37):
remain ready at all times.

(01:02:39):
They want like more expansion of the nuclear arsenal.

(01:02:42):
They want to limit the nuclear energy office's size and scope,

(01:02:45):
and we've seen that,

(01:02:46):
right,

(01:02:47):
with what Elon was doing yesterday.

(01:02:50):
That is the limiting of the nuclear energy office's size and scope.

(01:02:54):
And they want to expand the warheads produced by the United States.

(01:02:59):
And they want to be more threatening to other countries by showing a willingness to

(01:03:05):
conduct nuclear tests in a reaction to adversary nuclear development.

(01:03:09):
So they're basically like,

(01:03:11):
oh,

(01:03:11):
if Iran keeps developing nuclear warheads,

(01:03:15):
then we're going to do more nuclear tests to show that we're ready for nuclear war

(01:03:20):
if they...

(01:03:21):
keep developing.

(01:03:22):
It's very aggressive.

(01:03:23):
It's a very aggressive military strategy that's very threatening based on,

(01:03:27):
like,

(01:03:27):
the nuclear arsenal that the U.S.

(01:03:29):
has.

(01:03:30):
It also discussed reinstating service members who were discharged for not getting

(01:03:34):
the COVID vaccine,

(01:03:35):
and this happened.

(01:03:37):
It talks about Marxist ideology within the military,

(01:03:41):
like,

(01:03:41):
training academies,

(01:03:43):
and says that they need to eliminate all Marxist ideology within the military academies.

(01:03:48):
And, like, guys,

(01:03:50):
the united states military is already the least marxist thing to exist in the world

(01:03:56):
i'm sure there's no issue of marxism in the freaking military academies or within

(01:04:02):
the structure of the military itself and of course there's a lot of attacks on

(01:04:07):
education in the department of education it says that the structure of u.s colleges

(01:04:14):
and universities are tools of chinese espionage

(01:04:19):
And it says that they should shutter the Department of Education and return

(01:04:23):
education to the states,

(01:04:24):
which is terrible,

(01:04:25):
especially for people with disabilities,

(01:04:27):
right?

(01:04:28):
Because a lot of special education programs are funded by the Department of

(01:04:32):

Education federally and not funded by the state.

(01:04:35):

It says that parents should be able to use education funding to send kids to

(01:04:40):

religious schools,

(01:04:41):

charter schools,

(01:04:42):

or private schools if they so choose,

(01:04:45):

and that the funding for each kid should be increased to match that of D.C.

(01:04:49):

So in Washington,

(01:04:50):

D.C.,

(01:04:50):

each kid is given like \$22,000 in funding,

(01:04:56):

and that's like per head,

(01:04:57):

right?

(01:04:57):

Like that's about how much goes to each student and funding each student's education.

(01:05:02):

And it says that that should be the national minimum.

(01:05:06):

And that if they make \$22,000 the national minimum,

(01:05:10):

then they should make it so parents can send their kids not just to public schools,

(01:05:14):
but to private schools,

(01:05:15):
charter schools,

(01:05:16):
and religious institutions.

(01:05:17):
So basically,

(01:05:18):
like,

(01:05:19):
use federal funding to support private schools and religious schools,

(01:05:23):
which is ridiculous.

(01:05:24):
No, funding should not go to that.

(01:05:27):
They also talk a lot about FERPA.

(01:05:29):
So FERPA allows children's full educational records to be seen by parents.

(01:05:35):
And so they want to make sure that FERPA, like that through FERPA, parents are sure that

(01:05:43):
schools are using the child's name at birth instead of any chosen names or new

(01:05:49):
names so they're only to be dead named and then all pronouns will have to match

(01:05:54):
those on the birth certificate which is ridiculous and it also goes after student

(01:05:58):
loans and says that all student loans should be privatized this includes pell

(01:06:02):
grants everything they think that parent plus loans they think that all

(01:06:08):
education should be completely privatized and that the U.S.

(01:06:11):
government should not fund it in any way at all.

(01:06:14):
And it says that if the government were to still provide education loans,

(01:06:19):
it should cut them as much as possible.

(01:06:21):
It should make it so that universities have skin in the game so that if...

(01:06:28):
people don't pay their loans back universities are held accountable so universities

(01:06:32):
will have to hold students accountable for not paying back loans which is really

(01:06:37):
scary like how would that be implemented and that it should make it so

(01:06:42):
the income exemption is at the poverty line.

(01:06:45):
They also discuss IDEA regulation or IDEA funding,

(01:06:50):
which stands for the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act,

(01:06:54):
and they basically want to rescind equity in IDEA regulation,

(01:06:58):
and it specifically states that they want the IDEA oversight and implementation to

(01:07:05):

go to the

(01:07:06):
HHS,

(01:07:07):
the Department of Health and Human Services,

(01:07:09):
that's the one that RFK Jr.,

(01:07:11):
the anti-vaxxer alcoholic who put small animals in blenders,

(01:07:17):
that they should be overseeing ideagulation.

(01:07:21):
And that IDEA should allow funding to go to private schools and to education

(01:07:27):
therapies for disabled students.

(01:07:30):
So that's kind of scary because it's like,

(01:07:32):
so who's going to be seeing this funding and where are these children?

(01:07:35):
Like what schools are these children going to be sent to?

(01:07:37):
It'd be some really terrifying institutions,

(01:07:42):
places that aren't focused on like education or support for these children,

(01:07:46):
but places that are harming them.

(01:07:48):
It even discusses prohibiting

(01:07:50):
accreditation agencies for higher education institutions from requiring that they

(01:07:57):
have DI or DIA programs in universities so that they really want to tag it across

(01:08:03):
the board and through every avenue that's possible.

(01:08:07):
They want to review all federal science programs.

(01:08:10):
like within education.

(01:08:12):
So I guess they're even going after the science fair and they want to eliminate the

(01:08:15):
Head Start program,

(01:08:16):
which helps low income families.

(01:08:19):
They want to limit any federal subsidies of degrees and they attack all educational

(01:08:25):
unions and they even attack all like federal unions,

(01:08:29):
which we've seen in the executive orders and we've seen coming up recently.

(01:08:33):
Project 2025 does state that they want to go after unions and union rules that

(01:08:38):
allow people to work and

(01:08:41):
on anything related to labor unions while they're on the clock.

(01:08:44):
And we've seen this start to be passed already.

(01:08:48):

Trump did attack unions just earlier today,

(01:08:51):

and that was in line with what was said in Project 2025 about not allowing unions

(01:08:57):

to do any union-related work while they're on the clock.

(01:09:00):

They even discuss repealing Davis Bacon,

(01:09:03):

which is a rule that made it so both laborers and mechanics are required to be paid

(01:09:09):

the local top wages for any of their work.

(01:09:12):

So they're attacking education and unions and everything, just in every way possible, really.

(01:09:18):

There's also a lot of attacks on

(01:09:21):

food, agriculture, and the USDA.

(01:09:23):

So they want to limit the U.S.

(01:09:25):

Department of Agriculture,

(01:09:26):

cut regulations in the food supply because they say that the regulations are

(01:09:30):

harming farmers,

(01:09:32):

but then...

(01:09:33):

Along with saying that the regulations are harming farmers,

(01:09:37):
they discussed...

(01:09:38):
So they say that regulations are harming farmers financially,

(01:09:42):
but then they say that they're going to reform and basically rescind farm subsidies.

(01:09:48):
And they want to make it so farmers aren't paid for both revenue losses and price

(01:09:53):
losses in the same year.

(01:09:55):
So it's going to seriously negatively impact all farmers.

(01:09:59):
They also want to reduce the price for the crop insurance to only 47%,

(01:10:03):
so that's also going to impact farmers and the prices of food across the board if

(01:10:09):
that does happen.

(01:10:11):
They also want to repeal all export promotion programs.

(01:10:16):
So basically they're like,

(01:10:18):
oh yeah,

(01:10:18):
we need to protect farmers in every way possible,

(01:10:21):
but we're going to financially harm them as much as we can and make food as

(01:10:26):
expensive as possible.

(01:10:29):
And then within SNAP,

(01:10:31):
like food stamps and government benefits like WIC,

(01:10:35):
which helps women and children and people with uterus and babies who are below

(01:10:43):
the poverty line or at the poverty line.

(01:10:45):
They want to separate legislation from SNAP and nutrition,

(01:10:49):
so food stamps and nutrition,

(01:10:51):
and they want to reform SNAP by implementing more work requirements,

(01:10:55):
which is similar to how they want to implement more work requirements in Medicaid.

(01:10:59):
And

(01:11:00):
Because right now many states have work requirements for SNAP,

(01:11:05):
slash food stamps,

(01:11:05):
but they have them waived.

(01:11:07):
They want to make it so states can no longer waive any of these requirements.

(01:11:11):
They also want to reform the categorical eligibility for SNAP and TANF,

(01:11:16):

which is another low-income food program.

(01:11:19):

And they want to make it so if you receive SNAP, you can't receive TANF anymore.

(01:11:24):

So it's going to really terribly affect any low-income people that are getting food benefits.

(01:11:29):

They also want to reevaluate the baby formula regulations.

(01:11:36):

So they want to make it so there's fewer regulations on the production of baby formula,

(01:11:40):

and they say it's because there was a shortage during the Biden administration,

(01:11:43):

right?

(01:11:44):

But like,

(01:11:44):

if we reduce the regulations on baby formula,

(01:11:48):

who knows what they're going to be giving to the babies?

(01:11:50):

It's terrible.

(01:11:52):

They also want to reform the free school lunch program, because I guess who wants to feed kids?

(01:11:59):

Also,

(01:11:59):

interestingly,

(01:12:00):
which really aligns with the recent attacks on the Associated Press in the White

(01:12:06):
House newsroom,

(01:12:09):
The Project 2025 states that the new administration should re-examine its

(01:12:16):
relationship with the White House Correspondents Association,

(01:12:19):
and it should consider an alternative body.

(01:12:22):
And the White House Correspondents Association is who decides who can attend the

(01:12:27):
press conferences of the president,

(01:12:29):
right?

(01:12:29):
Yeah.

(01:12:30):
So the White House Correspondents Association is an independent body that regulates

(01:12:35):
who can be in the newsroom when a president is speaking.

(01:12:40):
Project 2025 wants to change this and create its own body,

(01:12:43):
and this obviously ties into the recent attacks on the Associated Press.

(01:12:48):
I also think it's interesting because during the Obama administration,

(01:12:53):
at one point they wanted to not allow a Fox News reporter in the newsroom.

(01:13:00):

This caused a total outrage amongst the White House press reporters at the time.

(01:13:06):

And they were like, no, you have to allow Fox News.

(01:13:08):

You cannot do this.

(01:13:09):

And he was like, okay, but even though Trump's not allowing the Associated Press,

(01:13:16):

Where's that outrage from the reporters?

(01:13:18):

I find it really strange.

(01:13:19):

There's also a lot about the intelligence community within Project 2025, specifically the FBI.

(01:13:25):

And I think this is really interesting because the FBI is the one who investigates

(01:13:29):

like the January 6th terrorist attacks.

(01:13:31):

The FBI is also the one who investigates a lot of disinformation and

(01:13:35):

coming from within the United States and outside of the United States.

(01:13:39):

So they want a top-to-bottom overhaul of the FBI.

(01:13:42):

And it goes on a lot of rants about how the FBI is attacking parents who are mad at

(01:13:49):

school board meetings and that the FBI shouldn't be the one policing speech,

(01:13:53):

right?

(01:13:54):

And so it attacks the FBI a lot.

(01:13:57):

I mean, I think it's obvious why.

(01:13:58):

It's what it says.

(01:13:59):

It's because they're fighting against disinformation and because they're the ones

(01:14:03):

who regulate a lot of the federal crimes that the Republican Party has been

(01:14:09):

complicit in,

(01:14:10):

or at least adjacent to.

(01:14:13):

It also discusses the Department of Justice.

(01:14:16):

and says that it should target career criminals, not parents, right?

(01:14:20):

And this was in the executive orders.

(01:14:21):

This was also in the bit about the FBI.

(01:14:25):

Literally, it was verbatim in the executive orders as it was in Project 2025.

(01:14:32):

But I think it's really interesting to say that you don't know anything about

(01:14:34):

Project 2025,

(01:14:35):
but then have quotes from Project 2025 in your executive orders.

(01:14:40):
And the reason for this is because that was a lie.

(01:14:44):
Like, he did know, obviously.

(01:14:46):
I mean,

(01:14:46):
he even said right before Project 2025 was released,

(01:14:50):
he was like,

(01:14:51):
oh,

(01:14:51):
we're working on a great new document.

(01:14:53):
You're gonna love it.

(01:14:54):
And then he's like, I don't know anything about that.

(01:14:57):
And it's, I don't know how these people are getting away with lying.

(01:15:01):
There's also a difference between like accidentally saying something incorrect

(01:15:05):
versus intentionally spreading extremely false narratives constantly.

(01:15:10):
I can forgive saying something that's like slightly incorrect every once in a while.

(01:15:15):
Everyone does that.

(01:15:15):

Like nobody, no human is going to escape that, right?

(01:15:18):

But it's completely different than what's actually happening right now.

(01:15:22):

It also says that regarding the Department of Justice,

(01:15:27):

that they should start forcing line attorneys to take uncomfortable positions in

(01:15:32):

civil cases

(01:15:33):

Because those positions are more closely aligned with the president's policy agenda.

(01:15:39):

So I think that's kind of implying that like,

(01:15:42):

oh,

(01:15:42):

any left or liberal,

(01:15:44):

not the same thing,

(01:15:45):

but any left or liberal line attorneys that are forced to take right-wing stances,

(01:15:51):

they're going to want to quit.

(01:15:52):

right so they really want to whittle down any lines of defense that there are

(01:15:58):

against these like right-wing policies and make it so everyone in the government

(01:16:03):

structure and apparatus is extremely right-wing alt-right and conservative so it's

(01:16:09):

really hard to fight back against it just because if they exhaust you with it right

(01:16:12):

eventually you're just going to want to quit

(01:16:15):

Obviously,

(01:16:15):

there's a ton of stuff around ICE,

(01:16:18):

so Immigration and Customs Enforcement,

(01:16:21):

and a lot of this has been starting already.

(01:16:24):

So it says that they want to change any language that migrants may be detained to

(01:16:28):

they shall be detained.

(01:16:30):

So basically making it so anyone who is caught undocumented crossing the border

(01:16:37):

will definitely be detained or people who are living in cities that have been

(01:16:41):

across the border for a

(01:16:44):

they're gonna make sure that they are detained.

(01:16:46):

And we've seen this happening with a lot of the ICE raids going on.

(01:16:50):

I mean, they're even trying to go after schools and hospitals, which is horrific.

(01:16:54):
I also wanna say that

(01:16:56):
Immigration is not a criminal offense.

(01:16:58):
Like, being undocumented is not a criminal offense.

(01:17:01):
It's a civil offense.

(01:17:03):
So basically,

(01:17:03):
to try to make it a criminal offense is like trying to make not paying your taxes a

(01:17:07):
criminal offense or having a parking ticket a criminal offense.

(01:17:12):
If all these right-wingers want every civil offense to be a criminal offense,

(01:17:17):
they're going to be in jail for a freaking parking ticket or a speeding ticket.

(01:17:21):
So...

(01:17:23):
What's the deal?

(01:17:25):
They want to implement the death penalty in drug trafficking.

(01:17:28):
I haven't seen this coming up yet.

(01:17:30):
I've heard some rumblings about it, but I can't confirm that personally that I've read that.

(01:17:34):
They do want to use the National Guard and any active duty military in securing the border.

(01:17:40):

And they want to cut a lot of visa opportunities for any non-Americans,

(01:17:46):

basically,

(01:17:46):

especially from hostile or enemy nations.

(01:17:49):

They specifically want to get rid of any visas for any Chinese students or Chinese researchers.

(01:17:55):

So that would terribly affect the U.S.

(01:17:58):

research and education institutions as a whole.

(01:18:01):

They also want to end chain migration, which allows you to, like, if you become a U.S.

(01:18:06):

citizen, you can bring your spouse, you can bring family, you can sponsor them.

(01:18:10):

They want to completely end that program so you can no longer sponsor people

(01:18:14):

through chain migration.

(01:18:16):

And they want to put more limitations on who's given work authorizations.

(01:18:22):

Even the executive orders that discussed the FAA, they were also discussed in Project 2025.

(01:18:28):

Like, I cannot emphasize enough.

(01:18:31):

Most of the executive orders have been pulled from Project 2025,

(01:18:34):

and they really closely align with the network state.

(01:18:37):

So even the one that discusses eliminating DEI and DEIA within the FAA,

(01:18:42):

that was in Project 2025.

(01:18:43):

And the blaming of the crash that happened, when Trump blamed that crash on DEI,

(01:18:50):

It was outlined in Project 2025.

(01:18:52):

They said that all the dangers in American flights are because of DEI.

(01:18:56):

And so that's where Trump got that from.

(01:18:58):

And some of this stuff is just really scary.

(01:19:02):

They say that they want to,

(01:19:03):

in the VA,

(01:19:04):

even say that they want to start automating disability claims through AI,

(01:19:09):

which is terrifying.

(01:19:10):

Who wants ChatGPG to be like, nah,

(01:19:13):

you're not disabled, you can go back to work, no benefits for you.

(01:19:17):

This is like VHA facilities being required to increase the number of patients that

(01:19:22):
they see each day to match standard national minimum.

(01:19:26):
So basically right now,

(01:19:27):
practitioners on average in the VA see six patients per day,

(01:19:31):
and it wants them to start seeing like 13 a day,

(01:19:34):
which is closer to the national minimum,

(01:19:36):
but that it doesn't meet the required care through

(01:19:41):
being like, oh, you're not seeing enough patients when it's overburdened already.

(01:19:45):
There's not enough people employed through the VA.

(01:19:48):
So by saying,

(01:19:49):
oh,

(01:19:49):
they're not seeing enough people,

(01:19:51):
it's going to be easier for them to later push for privatization of the VA and

(01:19:56):
reductions of these services.

(01:19:57):
You know,

(01:19:58):
they pretend they care about veterans,

(01:20:00):

but really they just want more war fighting and more readiness of military officials,

(01:20:05):

but they don't want to help people who have been extremely harmed by participating

(01:20:09):

in their wars.

(01:20:11):

One thing that I thought was really scary was how it discussed how they want to

(01:20:14):

have the first lady take on hot button social issues to specifically deflect any

(01:20:21):

negative looks that this has on the president.

(01:20:24):

So I think we have to watch Melania a lot during this presidency to see what she's

(01:20:29):

doing because that will be used to deflect any backlash on Trump.

(01:20:33):

So, Project 2025 and the executive orders are absolutely crazy, right?

(01:20:39):

Like,

(01:20:39):

they intersect a lot and it's clear that Project 2025 has been the blueprint for

(01:20:47):

all of the executive orders that Trump has signed and a lot of the legislation that

(01:20:51):

has been happening and...

(01:20:53):

The Department of Government Efficiency ran by Elon Musk.

(01:20:59):

I mean,

(01:21:00):

Doge wasn't specifically discussed in Project 2025,

(01:21:04):

but redoing the United States digital service,

(01:21:08):

expansions into cryptocurrency,

(01:21:10):

and expansions into AI were...

(01:21:12):

But I want to talk some more about the network state and how that book by Balaji

(01:21:18):

has intersected with all of this,

(01:21:20):

right?

(01:21:20):

I've touched a bit on how the network state also discusses things like going after

(01:21:25):

the FDA,

(01:21:26):

how it discusses anti-woke ideology,

(01:21:31):

the whole weird free speech thing on social media where they're saying that

(01:21:35):

disinformation should be protected as free speech.

(01:21:39):

Let's put my glasses back on because my eyes be hurting.

(01:21:42):

There's a lot in the network state that I think is super interesting and just weird

(01:21:47):
and concerning.

(01:21:48):
So basically the whole idea of the network state is to use digital influence and

(01:21:55):
support that social media companies and like tech billionaires,

(01:22:00):
they can generate all of this support through

(01:22:03):
online discourse talk about using discord servers and reddit communities to

(01:22:10):
generate a lot of support and to generate influence right and then they talk about

(01:22:14):
how they can use this influence to either crowdfund land or to gain influence over

(01:22:22):
certain lands and it literally states that

(01:22:25):
the best way to start a new country is to either crowdsource land to create and

(01:22:33):
implement your own rules to gain diplomatic recognition in the future,

(01:22:37):
or to rewrite a state's existing rules,

(01:22:40):
right?

(01:22:41):
And so I thought that was super weird because...

(01:22:43):
when we when we look at the influence that elon musk is having right now it's clear

(01:22:47):
that they are trying to rewrite america's rules right now it says that it's focused

(01:22:52):

on cloud first so like online first establishing recognition and land last but i

(01:22:59):

guess it's kind of obvious that they're doing this just at the same time and the

(01:23:04):

whole it's weird because the book attacks diversity equity and inclusion

(01:23:09):

the same amount as Project 2025 does.

(01:23:11):

Throughout the whole book,

(01:23:12):

they're like,

(01:23:13):

oh,

(01:23:14):

DI is useless because why are all these big media companies telling tech companies

(01:23:19):

how they should hire people?

(01:23:21):

And the network state attacks DI and DIA a lot.

(01:23:26):

And it also attacks media companies quite a lot.

(01:23:30):

Specifically,

(01:23:30):

the New York Times saying that they hire using nepotism and that it spreads fake

(01:23:36):

news and misinformation.

(01:23:38):

and that the New York Times is a corrupt media institution.

(01:23:42):

It says if all media is this corrupt, then imagine how fake history is.

(01:23:48):

But it only attacks the New York Times.

(01:23:51):

And sure, is the New York Times great?

(01:23:53):

No.

(01:23:54):

Personally,

(01:23:54):

I like independent media organizations,

(01:23:57):

but it only attacks one media organization and then says that all media is bad

(01:24:02):

because of it.

(01:24:03):

It also says that history needs to be solidified in the blockchain

(01:24:06):

so basically it's saying that because you can certify records and transactions on

(01:24:11):

the blockchain which is true you should be able to certify historical events on the

(01:24:18):

blockchain which is kind of ridiculous because say somebody needed to input a

(01:24:22):

record of a historical event on the blockchain it would be up to the bias of the

(01:24:27):

person that put it on the blockchain so they're saying that it's some like

(01:24:30):
immutable way

(01:24:32):
to prove what's happened and what hasn't.

(01:24:34):
But it completely ignores the bias of whoever is doing it.

(01:24:37):
And it's saying that,

(01:24:38):
oh,

(01:24:38):
they need a good tech leader to lead this new country,

(01:24:42):
this new network state that they want to form.

(01:24:45):
And I assume that person would be the one to certify events happening or not via the blockchain.

(01:24:52):
It attacks the left wing and liberals throughout.

(01:24:56):
It's weird because it misconstrues a lot about politics and then uses that as a justification.

(01:25:04):
It says that the left is not valid because the left was like, oh, we need to defund the police.

(01:25:11):
And then the left was like, oh, let's fund the Capitol Police, right?

(01:25:15):
But it's completely conflating leftism and liberalism,

(01:25:19):
which are completely different political ideologies.

(01:25:21):

Leftists have discussed defunding beliefs and focusing on social support methods instead, right?

(01:25:27):

But not liberals, not their democratic party.

(01:25:30):

So it just makes completely false connections in order to convince you that leftism is bad.

(01:25:36):

It's fake, right?

(01:25:37):

But if you actually dig into its arguments,

(01:25:40):

you can see where it's not arguing anything that's sound.

(01:25:45):

It says that information is being distorted and that there's a lot of channel

(01:25:49):

distortion that's been going on,

(01:25:51):

and that's been used to negatively influence the American people.

(01:25:55):

And then,

(01:25:56):

in a similar way to Project 2025,

(01:25:58):

attacking educated groups for being more left-leaning or more liberal,

(01:26:04):

it attacks professors,

(01:26:06):

educators,

(01:26:06):

reporters,

(01:26:08):
and says that the majority of them are liberal,

(01:26:10):
so they must be corrupt because of this.

(01:26:12):
Which completely just ignores the fact that,

(01:26:15):
oh,

(01:26:15):
well,

(01:26:15):
maybe people who are more educated are more likely to be on the left.

(01:26:19):
So maybe there's a reason for that.

(01:26:21):
And it says that the only reason you're hearing about strange historical incidents

(01:26:26):
or media events or events like natural disasters is because of social media,

(01:26:32):
right?

(01:26:32):
It says that regular media isn't reporting on important stuff and that social media

(01:26:37):
is the only way people are hearing about anything.

(01:26:39):
Then it goes on to say that the left are there to delegitimize the current existing

(01:26:44):
order by saying that the current existing order is unjust and that they're trying

(01:26:49):

to redistribute any resources,

(01:26:52):

power,

(01:26:53):

money,

(01:26:54):

or status.

(01:26:55):

And then it also spreads COVID propaganda.

(01:26:57):

It says that...

(01:26:59):

the left at first didn't believe in covid and then they did believe in covid and

(01:27:03):

that's why they're not valid and it's like no like maybe some people on the left or

(01:27:07):

some people who are liberal or in the democratic party didn't see the issues with

(01:27:13):

covid at first before it was like a huge international issue but if they later did

(01:27:18):

that's a good thing it's good to change your opinions on things if i look at an

(01:27:22):

issue at first i might be like oh what's the big deal and then later on as

(01:27:27):

I gain access to new information, my opinion will change.

(01:27:29):

And that's because I'm a person and people's opinions are supposed to change as

(01:27:34):

they gain access to new information.

(01:27:36):
Like that shouldn't be a punishment.

(01:27:38):
That should be celebrated.

(01:27:40):
This book gets really crazy.

(01:27:41):
It attacks the left throughout, right?

(01:27:43):
And says that the left and liberals,

(01:27:46):
it conflates the left and liberals,

(01:27:47):
but I'm going to separate them.

(01:27:48):
But it says that the left and liberals are woke, right?

(01:27:53):
missionaries who control the narrative of everything.

(01:27:57):
And then it says that the right and the tech billionaires trying to start their own state,

(01:28:04):
unless it's a robot war,

(01:28:06):
you need high morale fighters.

(01:28:08):
So you obviously would need the right component.

(01:28:12):
Literally meaning like the right wing component.

(01:28:14):
So it's saying that they need the right wing to be on their side so they can be

(01:28:19):
their fighters for developing this network state.

(01:28:22):
But then it says,

(01:28:23):
even though it spends the whole book just roasting liberals and the left wing,

(01:28:30):
it says that,

(01:28:31):
oh,

(01:28:31):
well,

(01:28:32):
we actually need the left and the liberals to join us because...

(01:28:37):
You can't have the mercenaries.

(01:28:39):
It calls the right mercenaries.

(01:28:41):
It says you can't have mercenaries without missionaries.

(01:28:45):
Which is really terrifying because I don't want a book by a tech billionaire who's

(01:28:52):
trying to start a new country to establish who they need as mercenaries,

(01:28:57):
private military fighters,

(01:28:58):
their own military fighters.

(01:29:01):

I don't want it to discuss that and to discuss how it's going to co-op the left.

(01:29:04):

And I think that's where a lot of the disinformation networks come in as well,

(01:29:08):

is because if the left and people who are liberal are more likely to find

(01:29:14):

information online and listen to information that they find online,

(01:29:18):

If they can change the narratives of things and if they can convert people from the

(01:29:22):

left to,

(01:29:23):

like,

(01:29:23):

the center and later to the right through spreading disinformation on networks that

(01:29:27):

used to be trustable,

(01:29:29):

like the Washington Post,

(01:29:30):

for example,

(01:29:31):

used to be a great source of news,

(01:29:33):

then Jeff Bezos bought it.

(01:29:35):

So if they can control narratives,

(01:29:36):

then they can get people from the left wing or from the liberal side

(01:29:40):
onto their side just through disinformation networks.

(01:29:42):
And that's terrifying because they're literally calling us their missionaries.

(01:29:47):
The network state also, I mean, I said it before, but I want to repeat it.

(01:29:51):
It talks a lot about the FDA and how terrible the FDA is and how it should be your body,

(01:29:56):
your choice,

(01:29:57):
which it's not talking about abortion.

(01:29:59):
It's just talking about the Food and Drug Administration and how you should

(01:30:03):
have less regulations around food and drugs?

(01:30:06):
I don't know.

(01:30:07):
Like, I don't like putting strange food or drugs into my body.

(01:30:10):
I actually like those things regulated.

(01:30:12):
I think regulation's a good thing because I don't like having severe health issues

(01:30:16):
coming from the food that I'm eating.

(01:30:18):
I don't know about you.

(01:30:18):
And then it says that America might be heading towards its second civil war, which

(01:30:25):

is a great thing to hear from somebody with that much power and control.

(01:30:29):

And it says that there are going to be two factions.

(01:30:31):

The first one will be the woke left, who will say that they're fighting for the U.S.

(01:30:36):

establishment media,

(01:30:38):

the New York Times,

(01:30:40):

the federal government,

(01:30:42):

wokeness,

(01:30:43):

the dollar,

(01:30:44):

and the Democratic Party.

(01:30:46):

And the book says that the woke left,

(01:30:49):

who are the first faction fighting the Civil War,

(01:30:52):

are going to say that they're fighting for

(01:30:54):

for democracy and against insurrectionists.

(01:30:58):

And then it says that the second faction of the Civil War will align with state governments,

(01:31:04):

decentralized media,

(01:31:06):

Bitcoin maximalism,

(01:31:08):

the Republican Party,

(01:31:10):

and they'll say that they're fighting for freedom against fiat tyranny.

(01:31:16):

And then it says that it will be a war for mines and not for lands, right?

(01:31:21):

And this is scary because there's

(01:31:24):

So I've got a master's in peace studies, right?

(01:31:26):

And there's this concept in peace studies called new wars.

(01:31:30):

And basically it's the idea that wars are no longer like traditionally fought.

(01:31:36):

Like they used to be fought with like really rigid boundaries with...

(01:31:41):

actors who you knew who they were and they were political actors and now they're

(01:31:44):

fought with more disinformation.

(01:31:47):

You've got things like drones, you have proxy wars, you have multiple actors.

(01:31:51):

Some are state, some are non-state.

(01:31:53):

This book is an exact description of how tech billionaires could start a new war to

(01:31:59):
create a new tech state,

(01:32:02):
a new network state,

(01:32:04):
and they know how to do it.

(01:32:05):
And it's clear that

(01:32:07):
I don't know if Elon knows that he's enacting some of this.

(01:32:11):
I can't establish that he definitely has a connection with it,

(01:32:14):
but I can establish that he's definitely doing some of it,

(01:32:18):
intentionally or not,

(01:32:19):
and that is terrifying.

(01:32:21):
The network state even attacks the UN.

(01:32:24):
There's so many commonalities with the network state and with Project 2025.

(01:32:30):
That it's like, it could be coincidence.

(01:32:32):
It could not be.

(01:32:33):
But either way, it's really weird and really concerning.

(01:32:36):
And then it says that you need a physical territory to have a region that's free of

(01:32:42):
the FDA,

(01:32:43):
the NRC,

(01:32:44):
and to create a keto kosher community.

(01:32:48):
Because for some reason, Balaji is

(01:32:51):
is obsessed with the r slash keto subreddit he literally talks about r slash keto

(01:32:57):
throughout he even uses it as an example of how it would be easy to form a new

(01:33:02):
state based on an online community because he's saying oh well if you can create an

(01:33:08):
online community on reddit that has moderators and people who follow rules then you

(01:33:13):
could definitely create a new online state

(01:33:18):
And then use that to gain actual land and diplomatic recognition.

(01:33:23):
And it's like, you're not supposed to actually conflate a Reddit community with a genuine state.

(01:33:31):
That's scary because whoever controls the online community, they have so much power.

(01:33:35):
It also talks a lot about Web3 and Web3 is really weird.

(01:33:39):
So Web3 is really similar to the deep web and it's really similar to Bitcoin where

(01:33:44):

it's completely decentralized and anonymous.

(01:33:47):

So anything that anyone says can't be traced back to them.

(01:33:51):

So the whole idea is to build this like network state community.

(01:33:55):

using web3 where people can have completely anonymized online identities so they

(01:33:59):

can get away with saying whatever they want without any repercussions and that

(01:34:03):

means they could also produce whatever they want without any repercussions so say

(01:34:07):

somebody was um making like horrible deep fakes for example they could keep making

(01:34:14):

those and spreading them and they wouldn't face repercussions because their online

(01:34:17):

identity that would be part of this new state wouldn't be tracked to their real

(01:34:23):

life identity

(01:34:25):

and then where the book actually directly ties in to what's happening right now

(01:34:30):

other than some of the policy ideas is it talks about how remote like remote work

(01:34:35):

and starlink which is elon's company that aims to give a bunch of people internet

(01:34:40):

and like digital access it says that remote and starlink open up the map to make

(01:34:45):

this possible so i think that's where it really ties together

(01:34:50):

Because we can't prove that Elon has a role in the network state, but he is mentioned in it.

(01:34:55):

So I think that's interesting.

(01:34:57):

I also think that online personality,

(01:35:00):

TikTokers and tradwives and podcasters,

(01:35:03):

they have a huge role in this that's kind of going unmentioned.

(01:35:07):

Because you have people like Nara Smith,

(01:35:08):

right,

(01:35:09):

who are gaining immense popularity in the online world and the TikTok world,

(01:35:14):

right?

(01:35:15):

and what she's doing is she's promoting ideas that like women should be home women

(01:35:19):

should be in the kitchen women should be cooking constantly and making food

(01:35:24):

completely from scratch and she's a very mormon person like she's extremely tied

(01:35:29):

into the mormon church and then what's crazy is one of the methods that the trump

(01:35:35):

campaign used

(01:35:36):

to promote his second term was uplifting anti-woke online personalities.

(01:35:43):
Like, that's what they said.

(01:35:44):
They're gonna promote anti-woke influencers.

(01:35:47):
And so,

(01:35:48):
all throughout the internet,

(01:35:48):
you had all of these posts going viral from these,

(01:35:51):
like,

(01:35:52):
mega people on,

(01:35:53):
like,

(01:35:53):
Twitter and all of these platforms,

(01:35:55):
right?

(01:35:55):
And

(01:35:56):
And then you have this alt-right conservative trad-wife discourse where you have

(01:36:00):
people like Nara Smith who are promoting these ideals,

(01:36:03):
these very conservative-rooted ideals,

(01:36:06):

through whatever content they're producing.

(01:36:09):
And the crazy thing is,

(01:36:10):
you have people like Nara Smith doing this,

(01:36:12):
but they're the frickin' breadwinners of their houses!

(01:36:15):
They're the ones that are pulling in all the money,

(01:36:16):
so they're doing all the financial work,

(01:36:18):
and they're also doing all of the housekeeping,

(01:36:21):
all of the cooking,

(01:36:21):
all of the cleaning,

(01:36:22):
or at least that's an image that they're spreading.

(01:36:25):
And they're saying that by making this content,

(01:36:27):
they're saying that you should be doing that too.

(01:36:29):
And then you have other personalities,

(01:36:31):
like right now there's a Justin Baldoni,

(01:36:34):
Blake Lively stuff going on,

(01:36:35):
right?

(01:36:36):
And so Candace Owens,

(01:36:38):
for example,

(01:36:39):
is making tons of videos on Justin Baldoni,

(01:36:42):
Blake Lively,

(01:36:43):
but she's an alt-right conservative mega supporter.

(01:36:47):
And people don't know this,

(01:36:48):
but she's gaining a lot of traction through making videos explaining what's

(01:36:51):
happening with this It Ends With Us lawsuit.

(01:36:54):
but she's a conspiracy theorist she she was promoting conspiracies about covid

(01:36:59):
she's anti black lives matter she promoted conspiracies that biden actually lost

(01:37:04):
the 2020 election and that the election was fakely won and that there was tons of

(01:37:09):
election interference and so you even have people who are making videos

(01:37:14):
or content that is not related to alt-right ideas,

(01:37:18):
but then they slowly spiral into conspiracy theories and the alt-right,

(01:37:22):
and before you know it,

(01:37:23):
that's the content you're consuming.

(01:37:25):
So you have to be very careful about the people that we follow because you don't know who

(01:37:30):
could be trying to spread disinformation,

(01:37:32):
or who does spread disinformation,

(01:37:34):
because a lot of people,

(01:37:36):
they don't know who Candace Owens is.

(01:37:37):
They're just following her videos about this lawsuit,

(01:37:40):
and they're gonna eventually find that she's an alt-right MAGA conspiracy theorist.

(01:37:45):
and then i also think that the alt-right podcaster pipeline is super interesting in

(01:37:50):
this too because you have people like joe rogan and joe rogan i don't know if he

(01:37:54):
used to be a leftist but he used to be on the left like he used to be a bernie

(01:37:58):
sanderson supporter but now he's super mega he supports trump he supports elon musk

(01:38:03):
didn't he recently have wasn't it like elon or mark zuckerberg on his podcast

(01:38:09):

And Joe Rogan is actually really similar to Candace Owens in terms of the,

(01:38:14):

like,

(01:38:14):

disinformation and alt-right swing and,

(01:38:17):

like,

(01:38:18):

the alt-right pipeline.

(01:38:19):

Because Candace Owens,

(01:38:21):

in,

(01:38:22):

like,

(01:38:22):

2016 or 2017,

(01:38:22):

something like that,

(01:38:23):

she used to be on the left,

(01:38:25):

but then she said that she got woken to the realization that the Democratic Party

(01:38:30):

is evil and that leftism is terrible.

(01:38:32):

And so Joe Rogan, he used to have Bernie Sanders on his podcast.

(01:38:35):

He was a Bernie supporter.

(01:38:37):

He used to listen to all of these professors and have professors on his shows and

(01:38:42):

experts in the fields that he was talking about.

(01:38:45):

And then during COVID,

(01:38:47):

he started spreading disinformation about how the lockdowns were bad,

(01:38:51):

how COVID wasn't that serious.

(01:38:53):

And then he really started to take this sort of toxic masculinity turn that we're seeing now.

(01:38:59):

Joe Rogan, during COVID, he started to host all these anti-vaxxers on his podcast.

(01:39:05):

And he promoted the idea that that horse medication,

(01:39:09):

ivermectin,

(01:39:10):

that that was a good treatment for COVID and that it was just like a cold,

(01:39:13):

which is not true.

(01:39:14):

And that's when he started to platform more conspiracy theorists like Alex Jones,

(01:39:20):

huge conspiracy theorist.

(01:39:21):

Jordan Peterson, huge conspiracy theorist.

(01:39:24):

Ben Shapiro, right?

(01:39:26):
Massive conspiracy theorist.

(01:39:28):
And that's when he started really to criticize woke culture.

(01:39:31):
And that's when he became anti-DEI, DEIA.

(01:39:34):
He was like, I don't care about diversity, equity, and inclusion.

(01:39:38):
When people are being excluded based on ascribed characteristics, that's not merit.

(01:39:43):
That's why DEI exists.

(01:39:44):
He also became super vocally anti-trans rights, especially about trans people in sports.

(01:39:50):
And then in 2024, that's when Joe Rogan started to become a huge Trump supporter.

(01:39:55):
That's when he became super vocally mega and then had a Trump interview.

(01:39:59):
And I think through people who are on the left following these podcasts at first,

(01:40:04):
or content creators at first,

(01:40:06):
who are initially on the left,

(01:40:08):
and then they slowly,

(01:40:09):
slowly start to turn towards more right-wing conservative ideas...

(01:40:14):

That's when it gets super dangerous when people start spreading misinformation.

(01:40:18):

And that's why we have to really carefully regulate what information is spread.

(01:40:22):

And we have to regulate all of these scientific studies and whatever information

(01:40:28):

and discourse is put out is because...

(01:40:31):

it can be used in a super dangerous way to just spread lies.

(01:40:35):

Then you also have people like Andrew Tate,

(01:40:37):

and they just promote extreme toxic masculinity along with these conservative ideas

(01:40:42):

and eventually conspiracy theories.

(01:40:44):

I do think that the real way to tackle these issues is through tackling

(01:40:49):

disinformation and conspiracy theories and from preventing that information from

(01:40:53):

being spread or being allowed.

(01:40:55):

So that's definitely why Project 2025,

(01:40:59):

these executive orders,

(01:41:00):

the network state,

(01:41:01):

and Agenda 47,

(01:41:02):
all of it.

(01:41:03):
That's why all of it is going after how social media companies have been required

(01:41:07):
to target disinformation that's been spread,

(01:41:11):
right?

(01:41:11):
Because Biden implemented those policies that said,

(01:41:14):
social media companies have to regulate disinformation.

(01:41:17):
And by repealing those, the conservatives and the alt-right

(01:41:22):
And especially young men are supporting these people like Andrew Tate and Joe Rowan.

(01:41:27):
And then you have young women who are influenced by people like Candace Owens and

(01:41:33):
Nara Smith and other trad wives online.

(01:41:35):
And it's through this disinformation that either comes from the health and wellness

(01:41:40):
alt-right pipeline or the leftist Bernie-supporting

(01:41:44):
to conspiracy theories, to outright conservative pipeline.

(01:41:49):
And that's where these people are joining the conservative movement through

(01:41:53):
disinformation that's being spread.

(01:41:55):

And it's not helped by the fact that there are tons of bots that are online on platforms like

(01:42:01):

Twitter and Facebook that are promoting right-wing ideologies.

(01:42:05):

And then you've got algorithms that favor right-wing posts and right-wing voices on

(01:42:10):

these platforms.

(01:42:11):

And so getting most of your news through social media platforms is a huge issue also.

(01:42:17):

So I think what we really need here is more reliable media sources, right?

(01:42:22):

And I guess content creators that we can trust?

(01:42:26):

I mean, do we need more leftist white men podcasts?

(01:42:31):

Like, literally?

(01:42:33):

I don't know if I should say that the world needs more podcasters,

(01:42:36):

but maybe the world needs more podcasters.

(01:42:38):

But we need more people that are actually trustable,

(01:42:41):

who will actually fight against disinformation.

(01:42:43):

And we need respectable avenues to receive information that we know correct, right?

(01:42:49):

And by changing the way that research operates within the National Institute of Health,

(01:42:54):

that's just going to create more disinformation because they're going to change the

(01:42:59):

types of studies that they do and their research outputs.

(01:43:02):

It literally says they want to change their research outputs in Project 2025.

(01:43:06):

to focus on how abortions can cause breast cancer.

(01:43:09):

I'm not kidding.

(01:43:09):

It literally says that.

(01:43:10):

So I think we just need more reliable information sources and we need to constantly

(01:43:15):

fact check ourselves.

(01:43:16):

Like I'm constantly fact checking everything that I say.

(01:43:19):

I'm trying to not spread any disinformation.

(01:43:22):

And if I do say something incorrect, I do correct myself, right?

(01:43:25):

Because there's nothing wrong with that.

(01:43:27):

I don't care about being wrong sometimes.

(01:43:29):

Everyone is wrong sometimes.

(01:43:30):
That's just normal.

(01:43:31):
But I think we have to get comfortable with that fact as a society.

(01:43:35):
Like, that it's okay to be wrong sometimes.

(01:43:37):
Whatever.

(01:43:38):
It's normal.

(01:43:39):
We have to begin spreading accurate information and only trusting reliable sources.

(01:43:44):
And we have to do whatever we can to stop this misinformation and to stop the

(01:43:49):
alt-right pipeline that's currently happening.

(01:43:51):
And I think people should do whatever they can to fight against the current

(01:43:56):
administration that they can.

(01:43:57):
Like, go to protests.

(01:43:58):
Call your representatives.

(01:43:59):
You can use this app called Five Calls,

(01:44:02):
and it will link you to your representatives directly to call them.

(01:44:05):
It makes it super easy.

(01:44:06):

You just have to say something simple.

(01:44:07):

You can say...

(01:44:10):

Hi, my name is blah blah blah.

(01:44:12):

I'm super disappointed that you haven't said anything about Elon's current role in

(01:44:18):

the US government.

(01:44:19):

He has not been appointed.

(01:44:20):

He is not a government official.

(01:44:21):

I want you to help get him out of office or I'm not going to vote for you next term.

(01:44:25):

You can say something like that.

(01:44:27):

You don't have to be advanced with it at all.

(01:44:29):

Every call helps and they take calls really seriously.

(01:44:32):

Calls count way more than emails or social media posts.

(01:44:35):

They're still helpful, but calls do a lot.

(01:44:39):

um you can even find scripts online for what to say to representatives then we have

(01:44:43):

to build community with each other too and organize with people go to local

(01:44:47):

businesses go to things like community centers work on a community garden with

(01:44:52):

people interact with people that you don't know and that's a great way to build

(01:44:55):

community and i think that's honestly going to be one of our only options we just

(01:45:00):

have to focus on truth

(01:45:02):

calling representatives,

(01:45:03):

protesting peacefully,

(01:45:06):

and working to build as much community as we can so we can stop the division

(01:45:11):

amongst people.

(01:45:12):

Yeah, well...

(01:45:15):

I guess this has been kind of a long first episode, but thank you so much for listening.

(01:45:20):

My name's Freya Zank.

(01:45:21):

This is Deep Dive Podcast.

(01:45:23):

The main theme music composition was done by Nick Stanger.

(01:45:27):

Thank you so much.

(01:45:28):

And I am on Substack at Fairy Crank, or you can just type in my name, Freya Zank.

(01:45:34):

Instagram, YouTube, Deep Dive Podcast, Spotify, Apple Music, everything.

(01:45:40):

You can find me everywhere.

(01:45:43):

Thanks for listening.