## **Student Status Review**

Date:	LPS Student Number:
Name:	Date of Birth:
Grade:	School:
Teacher(s):	
Parent/Guardian 1 (First and Last) Name:	
Address:	
Phone #:	Email:
Parent/Guardian 2 (First and Last) Name:	
Address (if different from above):	
Phone #:	Email:
	a) spoken in the home:
•	ent's profile (2) review academic
urpose: To (1) review the stud rogress, and (3) problem solvi	
urpose: To (1) review the stud	ent's profile (2) review academic
urpose: To (1) review the stud rogress, and (3) problem solvi (1) Student Profile:	ent's profile (2) review academic ng to determine the next steps.
urpose: To (1) review the stud rogress, and (3) problem solvi (1) Student Profile: Family Involvement.	ent's profile (2) review academic ng to determine the next steps.
urpose: To (1) review the stud rogress, and (3) problem solvi  (1) Student Profile: Family Involvement.  • The school has been in contact with the factor of the second problem.  • Rule out lack of Tier 1 instruction as primary from previous school years.	ent's profile (2) review academic ng to determine the next steps.
urpose: To (1) review the stud rogress, and (3) problem solvi  (1) Student Profile:  Family Involvement.  • The school has been in contact with the factor of the second part of the sec	ent's profile (2) review academic ang to determine the next steps.  amily about the student's progress  ary cause of student's lack of progress. Review attendance history
urpose: To (1) review the stud rogress, and (3) problem solvi  (1) Student Profile: Family Involvement.  • The school has been in contact with the factor of the second problem.  • Rule out lack of Tier 1 instruction as primary from previous school years.	ent's profile (2) review academic ang to determine the next steps.  amily about the student's progress  ary cause of student's lack of progress. Review attendance history
urpose: To (1) review the stud rogress, and (3) problem solvi  (1) Student Profile:  Family Involvement.  • The school has been in contact with the factor of the second part of the sec	ent's profile (2) review academic ang to determine the next steps.  amily about the student's progress  ary cause of student's lack of progress. Review attendance history
urpose: To (1) review the stud rogress, and (3) problem solvi  (1) Student Profile: Family Involvement.  • The school has been in contact with the factor of the second has been in contact with the factor of the school has been in contact with the school has been in contact with the school has be	ent's profile (2) review academic ang to determine the next steps.  amily about the student's progress  ary cause of student's lack of progress. Review attendance history of student's lack of progress.  Date screened:

• Student's lack of progress is not due to lack of Tier 1 instruction or (i.e. behavior, attention concerns).

<ul> <li>80-90% of students in intervention</li> </ul>	grade level are at g	rade level proficiency without sup	oplemental instruction and
A minimum of sixteen wee	eks of intervention	on, 90 minutes per week, im	plemented with fidelity.
 Interventions consist of in	creasingly intens	sive and research-based me	ethods.
<ul> <li>Interventions are evidence</li> </ul>	enced-based and co	onsist of more than Tier 1 instructi	ion.
Team considered if major	life events have	impacted the student's abil	ity to engage in Tier 1
instruction.			
<ul> <li>Rule out trauma as the transferring schools).</li> </ul>	e primary cause of s	student's lack of progress (e.g. se	paration, divorce, death,
Student Strengths (an	d interests):		
Student Concerns or N	Needs		
(2) Student Pro		kly data and graphed.	
Student response to ir	nterventions is deter	mined based on data.	
<ul> <li>DIBELS is monitoring</li> </ul>	weekly, Acadience ı	math is monitored bi-weekly	
Data across a variety of so	ources indicate t	hat the student is significant	tly below grade level and not
making adequate progress.			
<ul> <li>Consider: progress mo observations.</li> </ul>	onitoring data, ROIs	, grades, DRAs, unit test scores,	MAP, NSCAS, work samples,
Progress Monitoring C	urriculum Ba	sed Measure (CBM)	
Curriculum Based Measure	Area	Rate of Improvement	Last score percentile

**Group Achievement Data (MAP, Iowa, etc)** 

Average	range for percentile	s is 16 <sup>th</sup> -84 <sup>th</sup> perce	ntile/Average range	for stanines is 4-	6	
Date	Test Area		a	Percentile, Stanine or Score		
_	<u>t Card Grade</u>					
Year	Reading	Math	Writing			
	roblem so w Current Pla		termine n	ext steps	<b>S:</b>	
Acco	mmodations	(Previous an	nd Current)			
Strate	egies and Inte	erventions (F	Previous and (	Current)		
		(		- u,		

**Problem Solving Notes:** 

Additional tools for suspected disabilities in behavior or English Language
Additional tools for suspected disabilities in behavior or English Language Learners:  Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)
Learners:
Learners:  Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)
Learners:  Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)  Intervention Process Fidelity Checklist
Learners:  Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)  Intervention Process Fidelity Checklist  Family Involvement.  • The school has been in contact with the family about the student's progress. The family has met with school
Learners:  Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)  Intervention Process Fidelity Checklist  Family Involvement.  • The school has been in contact with the family about the student's progress. The family has met with school personnel for formal meetings.
Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)  Intervention Process Fidelity Checklist  Family Involvement.  • The school has been in contact with the family about the student's progress. The family has met with school personnel for formal meetings.  ELL Parent Interview has been conducted if applicable.
Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)  Intervention Process Fidelity Checklist  Family Involvement.  The school has been in contact with the family about the student's progress. The family has met with school personnel for formal meetings.  ELL Parent Interview has been conducted if applicable.  This should be done as early in the process as possible to guide interventions.
Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)  Intervention Process Fidelity Checklist  Family Involvement.  The school has been in contact with the family about the student's progress. The family has met with school personnel for formal meetings.  ELL Parent Interview has been conducted if applicable.  This should be done as early in the process as possible to guide interventions.  Hearing & Vision Screenings.
Learners:  Multi-Tiered Systems of Support – Behavior (MTSS-B)  Intervention Process Fidelity Checklist  Family Involvement.  The school has been in contact with the family about the student's progress. The family has met with school personnel for formal meetings.  ELL Parent Interview has been conducted if applicable.  This should be done as early in the process as possible to guide interventions.  Hearing & Vision Screenings.  Rule out hearing/vision as primary cause of student behavior.

	Student received opportunities to respond to Tier 2 interventions as a part of equitable treatment (e.g. CICO, SAIG, Ind. CICO).
A minir	num of two Behavior Intervention Plans (BIPs) based on a Functional Behavior Assessment
(FBA) hav	re been <u>i<b>mplemented with fidelity for 6 weeks</b></u> each.
•	Students receive opportunities to respond to Tier 3 interventions as a part of equitable treatment.
Interve	ntions need to be tracked with data and graphed.
•	Student response to interventions is determined based on data and not verbal report.
Data ad	cross a variety of sources indicate that the student is not making adequate progress for a
minimum	of 12 weeks.
	Consider: CICO data, out-of-class movements/office calls, restraint/seclusion suspensions, medical diagnoses.
Team o	considered whether a major life event is the primary cause of student behavior.
	Rule out recent trauma as the primary cause of student's lack of progress (e.g. separation, divorce, death, transferring schools).
<b>EVALUAT</b>	TING STUDENT RESPONSE TO MTSS-B INTERVENTIONS
• Is there	e evidence that the behaviors vary from peers in their frequency, intensity and/or duration? Documentation: e evidence that the behaviors are consistently exhibited in two or more settings (at least one s school related)?
Is there skills)?	Documentation: e evidence of an adverse effect on educational performance (e.g. academic skills, social  Documentation: e evidence that the behaviors are unresponsive to direct interventions?  Documentation:
ADDITIOI	NAL ITEMS FOR ELL STUDENTS
ELL Pa	arent Interview has been conducted.
•	This should be done as early in the process as possible to guide interventions.
Studen	t has received ELL instruction.
•	Student received opportunities to respond to ELL services as a part of equitable treatment.
Review	the student's language proficiency level and progress in BICs & CALP.
•	Student's lack of progress is not primarily due to a limited English language proficiency.
Review	whether the student has skill deficits across home language and English.
•	Consider— If you take out the ELL piece, does the student seem to have a disability?