

# CVC

[Home Page](#)  
[Public Interest Disclosure Dated 4/8/04](#)  
[Letter from CVC to CVO HPCL dated 4/3/05](#)  
[The Charge Sheet and the Reply Hate Mail Letter dated 28/6/05 from CVC to CVO](#)  
[CVO's report on Irregularities \( Page 1 2 3 4 5\)](#)  
[CVO's report on misuse of Office \( Page 1 2 3 \)](#)  
[Comments on CVO's report on Irregularities](#)  
[Comments on CVO's Report on Misuse of Office.](#)  
[Detailed letter dated 8/2/06 to CVC against misuse of Office](#)

[DPG](#) [MOP&NG](#) [Establishment. Officer](#)  
[DARPG](#) [CIC](#)

- The GOI resolved vide [notification dt 21/4/04](#) to protect Whistle Blowers after Supreme Court had directed to protect them while hearing the case of Late Shri Satyendra Dubey. Accordingly the CVC was designated to look into the complaints from Whistle Blowers. CVC [issued a circular in May 04](#) outlining the Procedure for Complaint under Public Interest Disclosures.
- A [Complaint dated 4/8/04](#) was sent to CVC in line with the above Procedure.
- [CVC forwarded the complaint to CVO HPCL](#) on March 4, 05 for investigation with an instruction not to victimize the complainant.
- HPCL ignored the instructions of MOP&NG and CVC and sent a [Charge Sheet dated 22/3/05](#) on 12/4/05 alleging 'Unauthorized absenteeism'.
- The reply to the Charge Sheet was sent [on 29/4/05](#) within the stipulated time of 15 days but the [biased DA . ED \(DS\) Shri K.R.Shankaran](#) ordered the [Enquiry on 25/4/05](#) without receiving and reading the [reply to the Charge Sheet](#).
- Another [letter dated 13/5/05](#) was sent to the CVC and they promptly forwarded it to [CVO-HPCL on 28/6/05](#) for investigation on two aspects (a) On Irregularities (b) On Misuse of Office by the HPCL Authorities.
- CVO submitted reports on Irregularities and Misuse of Office in Sept 05. (Copies of the these reports were kindly supplied by the CPIO- CVC in January 06 in response to my [application dated 21/10/05 under the RTI Act.](#)). Sent comments on the above two reports on [10/1/06,11/1/06](#) and [8/2/06](#) which are quite self explanatory.
- Another [representation dated 10/6/06](#) has been sent to CVC for necessary action and the recommendations under the [GOI Resolution](#). Yet another self explanatory [reminder dated 9/9/06](#) has also been sent too the CVC with a request to send their recommendations at the earliest please,
- ***The Status: The CVC has kindly acted on the complaint and has followed up with HPCL Authorities but the HPCL Authorities do not seem to be cooperating with CVC and the CVC has not been able to send its recommendation till date even though two years have already been completed. The CVC site has been showing the complaint status ( Complaint no. 145/04/10) from May 05 to July 06 as below:***

***'Recommendation Report not yet received.'***

[GOI Res. dated 21/4/04 to protect Whistle Blowers](#)

[CVC Circular on Protection of Whistle Blowers](#)

[Complaint dated 19/6/06 to the CVO HPCL](#)

[Complaint dated 10/6/06 to CVC](#)

[Complaint dated 4/7/06 against CMD to Secretary MOP&NG](#)

[Complaint dated 9/9/06 to CVC](#)

***The CVC portal is showing following message since July 06 to till date:***

***"The Website is being updated".***

***The debatable point : The Management of HPCL has not acted on the direction of the CVC dated 4/3/05 . Should the CVC / MOP&NG not initiate disciplinary/ criminal proceedings against the Management of HPCL for willfully violating the CDA Rules , GOI Instructions and the law of the land ?CVC is requested to kindly send the recommendation on the Public Interest Disclosure complaint dated 4/8/04, and subsequent appeals, at the earliest please as more than two years have already passed since reporting the matter to CVC.***