

School Council Innovation Grant Rubric

Criteria	Points	Description	Score	Comments
Innovation		Originality and creativity of the project		
	7-8	Highly innovative; introduces a unique solution or concept new to the community.		
	5-6	Moderately innovative; combines new ideas with existing methods.		
	3-4	Some creativity, largely based on known strategies.		
	1-2	Minimal innovation; follows traditional approaches with little originality.		
Feasibility		Clarify and Practicality of the Proposal		
	4	Clear, detailed plan with realistic steps; effectively addresses potential challenges.		
	3	Mostly clear proposal; minor gaps in planning or challenge management.		
	2	Some clarity; lacks detail for confident implementation.		
	1	Unclear or impractical plan for execution.		
Potential Impact		Expected Benefits to the Community		
	4	Likely to have significant, measurable impact on the target group.		
	3	Positive impact but limited or harder to measure.		

	2	Minor expected impact; affects a small group.		
	1	Unlikely to have a meaningful or measurable impact.		
Criteria	Points	Description	Score	Comments
Sustainability		Long-term potential beyond initial funding		
	2	Strong strategy for long-term continuation; identified resources and support.		
	1	Some potential for continuation; lacks a clear long-term plan.		
Budget		Appropriateness and justification of costs		
	2	Clear, well-justified budget; aligned with project objectives; all costs necessary and reasonable.		
	1	Vague budget; questionable or excessive costs.		

Summary

Total Score /20	Recommend Funding (Yes/No)	Total Amount Funded \$	Comments

Score Interpretation:

- **16-20 (Strong Applications):** These applications are well-rounded, showing both high innovation and strong feasibility, impact, sustainability, and budget alignment. They are the top candidates for approval.

- **12-15 (Moderate Applications):** These projects are likely innovative but may have weaknesses in one or two other areas (feasibility, impact, etc.). They might still be approved if their innovation compensates for minor flaws.
- **Below 12 (Weak Applications):** Projects scoring below 12 likely struggle in innovation or have significant weaknesses in other areas. They may not meet the grant's priorities and would not be approved.