

This rubric can be used to grade all medical procedures **EXCEPT** for medical report.

	Presentation	Content	Summary
Phenomenal (5)	Most of the following are met: ☐ Subsection headers are prominently displayed through text editing ☐ Sufficient space is present between subsections such that they do not blend together ☐ Easy to read and to find information ☐ Use of text formatting is consistent and logical	All of the following are met (as applicable): ☐ All log components are filled out ☐ All questions and activities are medically relevant and appropriate for the procedure ☐ Vitals and other procedures are reported correctly ☐ 1-2 questions and activities are well thought out and insightful	All of the following are met: ☐ Conclusive findings provided through an analysis of the patient's responses ☐ Sufficient details and justifications are provided for any conclusions drawn ☐ Next Steps are specific, actionable, and aligns with conclusions ☐ All abnormal responses addressed in analysis
Exceptional (4)		All of the following are met (as applicable): ☐ All log components are filled out but some may be incomplete ☐ 1-2 questions/activities may not be medically relevant or appropriate for the procedure ☐ Vitals and other procedures are reported correctly ☐ All questions and activities are basic	One of the following are met: ☐ Conclusive findings provided through an analysis of the patient's responses but details and justifications are insufficient for conclusions drawn ☐ Next Steps present but has some flaws ☐ 1-2 abnormal responses were not addressed
Acceptable (3)	There are multiple formatting issues with the log, however, it is still readable. Improvements could be made to improve readability. Inconsistencies are present	One of the following are met (as applicable): ☐ 1-2 parameters are missing ☐ 3-4 questions/activities may not be medically relevant or appropriate for the procedure ☐ 1-2 issues are present with reporting vitals or procedures (ie. Eye exam result not in 20/XX)	One of the following are met: ☐ Retelling of patient's responses without interpretation/analysis ☐ Next Steps absent or are illogical ☐ 3-4 abnormal responses were not addressed ☐ "Red flag" symptom missed
Fair (2)	Log is barely readable. Sections are not separated and headers are missing.	One of the following are met (as applicable): ☐ Multiple minor components or an entire section was not filled out ☐ Multiple questions/activities bear little relevancy to the procedure ☐ Multiple errors with reporting vitals or procedures	All of the following are met: ☐ No patient responses were brought up (ie. only just writing "the patient is healthy") ☐ Irrelevant information present ☐ Meets sentence requirement
Poor (1)			All of the following are met: ☐ No patient responses were brought up (ie. only just writing "the patient is healthy") ☐ Irrelevant information present ☐ Below sentence requirement

Weight Factor	Wellness Check: Max 1 pt (Full marks if at least acceptable) Endurance Test: Max 2 pts Standard Checkup, Psych Eval, AEME, APE: x1 Health Assessment: x2	Wellness Check: Max 2 pt Endurance Test: Max 3 pts Standard Checkup & Psych Eval: x1 AEME, APE: x4 Health Assessment: x5	Wellness Check: Max 2 pts (Full marks if at least acceptable) Endurance Test: x1 Standard Checkup, Psych Eval, AEME, APE: x2 Health Assessment: x5
Additional Deductions	• Missing Credentials: Log invalid • Others at the discretion of the grader	• Poor SPaG • Others at the discretion of the grader	• Informal Tone • Others at the discretion of the grader
Section Score			
Comments			
Overall Score	XX/XX		