

Meeting Summary for International Patient Summary (IPS)

Mar 27, 2024 12:58 PM Universal Time UTC ID: 891 9761 2486

Quick recap

The team discussed finalizing the agenda for the upcoming Ips call, working on a significant terminology update requested by the EU, and addressing a large request concerning cross-border services. They also deliberated on the impact of inactive concepts on validation efforts, the need for use case examples for an upcoming policy issue, and potential errors and solutions related to their project. Additionally, they explored the potential collaboration between Snow City International and the organization, the challenges of making changes to the snow Med CT terminology, and planning for upcoming events. Lastly, they discussed potential changes to their technical specifications and a task related to verifying the confidence and certainty of a patient's association with summary content.

Next steps

John D'Amore will mark down the ticket regarding the must support aspect of the extension and take up the discussion about the extension.

Sheridan will confirm how the team will deal with the change to the base extension, including the must support aspect.

Rob will create a companion Jira ticket for the IPS issue and work on addressing the IPS issue, including creating a resolution ticket.

Summary

Finalizing Ips Call and EU Updates

Rob discussed the need to finalize the agenda for the upcoming Ips call. He mentioned working on a significant terminology update requested by the EU, which he anticipated might expand the Ips terminology significantly. Rob also addressed a large request from the EU concerning cross-border services, which required the introduction of numerous new concepts. The team debated the relative size of the devices and body sites concepts in this request, with Rob estimating the overall request to be around 5 times larger than the current Ips size.

Ips Terminology Update and Validation Concerns

Rob discussed the update to the Ips terminology and the potential impact on validation efforts, particularly with historical data. The team deliberated on the handling of inactive concepts, with Rob suggesting their removal. Rita raised concerns about the validation of historical records that use inactive concepts, and John D'Amore and John Moehrke discussed the potential issue of warnings for unused codes. The team agreed to further investigate this matter in the upcoming April meeting.

Snowman International Policy and Project Updates

Suzy and Rob discussed the need for use case examples for an upcoming issue affecting Snowman International policy, which was to be addressed in business meetings in April. They planned to draft a briefing note, and Rob agreed to provide examples if he could not create them himself. The team also addressed potential errors and solutions related to their project, focusing on the use of international and country-specific editions, and the handling of retired codes. Lastly, they touched upon issues with the update process and briefly discussed problems with Cpt and Cvc.

Snow City International Collaboration and Terminology Service Development

ThomasZhou and Suzy from Snow City International discussed the potential collaboration between the two organizations to develop a terminology service. They discussed an ongoing collaboration agreement, with Suzy confirming that the system is freely available in 79 countries and is used for community development and validation purposes. The possibility of building a domain-specific ontology was also suggested, and the need for further discussions was agreed upon. Lastly, Suzy mentioned that the Ips terminology, introduced last year, does not include inactive concepts, and the General Assembly voted to allow Snow Med Ct concepts and descriptions to be freely available.

Balancing Stakeholder Needs and Terminology

Suzy discussed the organization's efforts to balance the needs of various stakeholders, including member countries and users. She highlighted the importance of maintaining the integrity of the snow Med CT terminology while considering suggestions for its expansion and interoperability. Suzy expressed her intention to involve ThomasZhou more in these discussions. Additionally, Didi sought clarification on the organization's collaborations with other entities such as legs and HI. 7, and Suzy confirmed the existence of these partnerships and the organization's commitment to maintaining them. The team also discussed the challenges of making changes to the snow Med CT terminology without disrupting its use by other organizations.

Planning Upcoming Events and Addressing ISO Tests

Rob and John discussed the planning for upcoming events, including a potential use of the Snowstorm tool, and the need for a kick-off closer to the event. Suzy offered to facilitate the set-up of Snowstorm if needed. They also considered the question of compatibility between Snowstorm and the Fire Ig. Publication Tooling. Towards the end, they agreed to begin discussing and addressing the assigned ISO tests in their future meetings. Sheridan proposed focusing on issues related to the utilization of extensions and tobacco profiles in the base.

Technical Specifications Changes Discussed

Sheridan, Rob, and John D'Amore discussed potential changes to their technical specifications. They decided to revert to a base extension, which would require systems to use a new URL. There was a discussion about a 'must support' aspect, but the team agreed it didn't add much value. The team decided to move forward with the changes, with John D'Amore noting the decision and taking up the related task. They also acknowledged that existing systems might need to be updated to use the new URL.

Patient Summary Content Verification Discussion

Rob and John discussed a task related to verifying the confidence and certainty of a patient's association with summary content. They considered the use of HL7 provisions to capture this information, with Rob suggesting the creation of a companion Jira ticket for the task. John clarified that there was no normative requirement for the patient profile, but an informative narrative could be added in the notes section. The team planned to address this task in future meetings.

AI-generated content may be inaccurate or misleading. Always check for accuracy.