

Community Call - How PIDs work together in the research ecosystem

☰ 2024-02-20 Community Call: how PIDs work together in the research ecosystem (hosted by the ORCID US Community, Lyrisis DataCite US Community, and the IRUS US Community)

- **When:** Tues. Feb. 20 at 12-1pm Eastern, 11am-12pm Central, 10-11am Mountain, 9-10am Pacific
- **Recording:** [Vimeo](#) & [YouTube](#)
- **Slides:** 📄 2024-02-20 Community Call - PIDs work together.pptx
- **ORCID US Community Code of Conduct:** <https://orcidus.lyrisis.org/events/>
- **Helpful resources:**
 - [How PIDs work together in the research ecosystem](#)
 - [Connecting PIDs for a Persistent Complete Research Story](#)
 - [Connected Research: The Potential of the PID Graph](#)
 - [MoreBrains: The PID-optimised research cycle](#)
 - [How Persistent Identifiers can save scientists time](#)
 - ORCID & DOIs: Better Together: 📄 2021-07-14 ORCID & DOIs community call
 - [Open Infrastructure for Gauging Activity & Impact](#)
 - [ORCID Funders Interest Group Recommendations](#)
 - (See additional links in the Agenda section below)

Attendees:

(~85 attendees, 160 registrants)

- Daniela Santos Oliveira, World Data System
- Adam Mazel, Indiana University
- Alex Glynn, University of Louisville
- John Aspler, CRKN
- Siobhan McCarthy, Montclair State University
- Suzanne Preate, Syracuse University
- Grace Swinnerton, Syracuse University
- Nick Homenda, Tufts University
- Karen Stoll Farrell, Indiana University, Bloomington
- Tina Baich, IUPUI University Library
- Cameron Riopelle, University of Miami
- Christina Miskey, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
- Lisa Price, Rowan-Virtua School of Osteopathic Medicine, Stratford, NJ
- Lisa Schiff, California Digital Library, University of California
- Mitchell Brown, University of California Irvine
- Keven Jeffery, San Diego State University (California State University)
- Elizabeth Manriquez, University of Wisconsin Law School Library
- Melissa Ratajeski, U of Pgh
- Brittney Sandler, Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis
- Sarah Pine, University of Washington

- Maria Mercedes Tuya, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton
- Laura Burtle, Georgia State University
- Lee Dotson, University of Central Florida
- Dan Noonan, The Ohio State University
- Hilary Davis, UL Research Institutes
- Joan Clark, US Coast Guard Academy
- Dana Gerlach, Biological and Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (BCO-DMO at WHOI)
- Julien Brun, UC Santa Barbara
- Seonyoung Kim, Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, Becker Medical Library
- Caly Doran, Institute for Veterans and Military Families at Syracuse University
- Mara Sedlins, Colorado State University
- Amy Koshoffer, University of Cincinnati,
- Anneliese Taylor, University of California, San Francisco
- Samantha Ginsburg, University of Arizona
- Anneliese Eber, University of Waterloo
- Rachel Mattson, Educopia Institute
- Sadie Roosa, MIT Libraries
- Jennifer Gilbert, National Library of Medicine

Agenda:

- Welcome
- Disclaimer - the presentation is not comprehensive but rather a high level overview to get discussion started
- Why should you care about PIDs:
 - Connections between PIDs can be used to help organizations get a better picture of research activity, reach, return on investment, etc.
 - [ORCID](#) for individuals
 - [DOIs](#) for works/objects ([DataCite](#) and [Crossref](#))
 - DOIs for grants ([Crossref Grant DOIs](#))
 - [ROR](#) for organizations
 - Example: what does the [White House OSTP Public Access Memo](#) say about PIDs?
- [PIDs in the research ecosystem](#), how they work together, and stakeholder roles in ensuring PID connections
 - Affiliations
 - Funding
 - Conducting research
 - Sharing research outputs
 - Peer review
 - Research activity reporting

- Main takeaway: PIDs should be included in the metadata of other PIDs
- Creating and Finding PID connections
 - PID APIs (application programming interfaces for [ROR](#), [ORCID](#), [DataCite](#), and [Crossref](#))
 - [DataCite Commons](#)
 - [ORCID US Community Data Visualization Resources](#)
 - Side note for repository managers: [IRUS](#) can look up usage stats based on ORCID iD or DOI
- Questions & Discussion

Notes:

- See blog post: [How PIDs work together in the research ecosystem](#)

Q&A:

Question: What about <https://www.rrids.org/>? RRIDs on the other hand should be added to the list of PIDs. RRID is even used as a repository PID not just for resources or software so it really should be mentioned when people list types of PIDs. Here is an example of a repository in re3data.org that shows RRID as their PID. <https://www.re3data.org/repository/r3d100012435>

Answer: Indeed, RRIDs are a persistent identifier that is specific to biological sciences. They are good to know about and should be included in the discussion of PIDs for anyone working in the biological sciences, but would not necessarily be applicable broadly to the many other disciplines of research. Other disciplines also have specific PIDs, for example IGSN (<https://ev.igsn.org/>) for physical samples, often used in the earth sciences, but can be used for any material samples from anywhere (not just earth). Note however that IGSN registration is now taking place through DataCite. There are so many PIDs out there that it would be very hard to capture and talk about all of them within a hour period, which is why we decided to focus on the biggest picture PIDs for this call.

Question: What about DMP IDs? <https://support.datacite.org/docs/what-is-a-dmp-id> [as a type of PID]

Answer: DMP ID is a DOI (registered through DataCite with resourceType set to "OutputManagementPlan". They just call it DMP ID

Question: What agencies mint grant DOIs?

Answer: The following list of funders have created over 100 Crossref Grant DOIs. There may be more organizations creating Grant DOIs but will not appear on this list if they

have created less than 100 DOIs so far...many other funders are considering doing this as well.

- "Publications Office of the European Union" – 43101,
- "Austrian Science Fund (FWF)" – 18389
- "Wellcome" – 17557
- "Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI)" – 12346
- "Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST)" – 10562
- "Fundacao para a Ciencia e a Tecnologia (unidadeFCCN)" – 6000
- "American Heart Association (AHA)" – 832
- "James S. McDonnell Foundation" – 688
- "Children's Tumor Foundation" – 685
- "Asia-Pacific Network for Global Change Research" – 563
- "The ALS Association" – 546
- "American Cancer Society" – 480
- "Melanoma Research Alliance" – 454
- "Chan Zuckerberg Initiative (CZI)" – 399
- "Templeton World Charity Organization" – 371
- "Wiener Wissenschafts-, Forschungs- und Technologiefonds (WWTF)" – 362
- "Muscular Dystrophy Association" – 209
- "Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation" – 172
- "Parkinson's Foundation" – 107

Question: Do we know if NIH or NSF is considering this as an option [minting grant DOI]?

Answer: Federal agencies, like the NIH and NSF, are starting to look at using PIDs, including Grant DOIs. At the moment, we don't know if they are going to use Grant DOIs.

Question: What about RINGGOLD? I see we have that not ROR

Answer: Ringgold still exists in ORCID in a few places, but ROR is now the priority. Ringgold is a proprietary closed dataset that is privately owned (pay to access). ORCID is no longer supporting/updating Ringgold IDs, but existing Ringgold IDs in ORCID records will remain. In contrast, ROR is open and tied to public/not-for-profit funding, with a public-facing API. You can request a ROR ID: [ROR Request Form](#)

Question: Where can you search to see if one already exists?

Answer: Here's the ROR website and you can search for your organization:
<https://ror.org/search>

Question: Does the [Affiliation Manager](#) write the org's ROR ID to the researcher's record?

Answer: Yes, You would have to provide the ROR ID and that will be written to the affiliation data that you write to the ORCID record, though a few other PIDs for orgs are still possible as options (Ringgold and GRID). RORs should be prioritized though and is recommended as the best practice. You need to copy the ROR ID for your organization into the CSV file (or web interface) manually when you provide data to the Affiliation Manager.

Question: For resources....putting in there makes it seem like they are involved with writing the grant to get that resource...not just a user. Or am I looking at this wrong? (more about the question: the thought here is that if research resources are on the ORCID record, it means that the person is the owner of the resource?)

Answer: The Research Resources section indicates usage of the resource, and can be described as “this person used X resource during the course of their research” similar to other sections of the ORCID record such as “this person is affiliated with these organizations”, “this person received this funding”, “this person created these works” and “this person reviewed these publications/grants.” More information about the research resources section of ORCID can be found here:

<https://info.orcid.org/documentation/workflows/research-resources-workflow/>

Question: Do you have any guidance for assigning a PID to something like a specific instrument at a specific institution? Example: a CT scanner (model specified) at a natural history museum

Answer: DataCite DOIs can be assigned to instruments, just create a DOI and use instrument for the resourceType field, and fill out the rest of the metadata.

Question: Our organization has a ROR, but I don't see how to specifically use ROR as the identifier when adding info to an employment or education record. The default seems to be Ringgold.

Answer: Is this from the perspective of the [Affiliation Manager](#), or when manually adding affiliations in an ORCID Record as a user? Ringgold is no longer really an option in the drop-down menu when adding it as a user - If your organization does not have a ROR ID, then the Ringgold option might still be there... but it is still an option in the ORCID Affiliation Manager tool.

Question: Both? I am an affiliation manager, but work with faculty to update their accounts.

Answer: ORCID is moving towards ROR and you should be able to add ROR in Employment and other affiliations. You can add ROR in affiliation manager. If you have a custom integration, you can "develop" to add ROR IDs.

Question: Okay - is there a way to update that for individuals who added it before ROR became the default? When I try to do that in an employment record, I have to delete the whole thing or it just sticks with Ringgold.

Answer: There is no way to update the specific item. It has to be deleted and re-added.

Question: Is there a list of publishers that write peer review activity to ORCID iDs?

Answer: Please see the list provided from ORCID below (some of these are writing peer review for grant reviews, but most are for publications). Basically if we can just make reviewers aware, if they are asked for their ORCID iD, it's likely because the publisher will facilitate the review activity getting added to their ORCID record (typically through Web of Science/Publons) The OJS platform can also write peer review.

- Springer Nature @ Editorial Manager
- Elsevier Editorial

- Web of Science Researcher Profile Sync
- Nature Publishing Group
- American Chemical Society
- Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute
- PLOS
- Editorial Manager Journals at Wiley
- BMJ
- AIP Publishing
- American Society of Civil Engineers
- GEMS
- Oxford University Press - Editorial Manager
- F1000
- American Psychological Association Peer Review
- American Meteorological Society
- IGI Global
- Microbiology Society
- Journal of Visualized Experiments
- Editorial Manager
- Endocrine Society
- eLife Reviewed Preprints
- SAGE Publishing
- Wiley
- SIAM - Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics
- Wellcome Open Research
- Faculty Opinions
- UKRI Systems
- The Society for Neuroscience
- International Scientific Information
- ProposalCentral
- OAE Publishing Inc.
- Penn State Editorial Manager
- Editorial Manager for University of Chicago Press Journals
- Wolters Kluwer
- American Society of Plant Biologists - Plant Physiology
- American Heart Association
- Karger Publishers - Manuscript Manager
- Rockefeller University Press
- Reviewer Credits Reviewer Data Synchronization
- Oxford University Press - eJournalPress
- Review Commons
- Gates Open Research
- ReviewerCredits
- American Society of Plant Biologists - Plant Cell
- American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (JAACAP)

- Life Science Alliance
- HRB Open Research
- SciELO Brazil - OJS
- Brieflands
- African Academy of Sciences (AAS) - Open Research
- Emerald Open Research
- OCL-Oilseeds and fats, Crops and Lipids peer-review system
- NIHR Open Research
- FASEB - eJournal Press
- Journal of Applied Clinical Medicine
- Routledge Open Research
- Open Journal Systems at Universal Wiser Publisher
- UCalgary LCR OJS Integration
- McGill University - MNI Open Research
- Open Research Africa
- Molecular Psychology
- Health Open Research
- Materials Open Research
- Universidade Estadual de Campinas
- Columbia University Libraries Journals
- In Tech d.o.o.
- OJS for Linköping University Electronic Press
- Portal de Revistas - UTB - OJS
- Portal OJS do IBICT de periódicos técnico-científicos
- Bioethics Open Research
- PREreview
- Simons Foundation
- Wiley (ScholarOne Manuscripts)
- Pitt Open Library Publishing
- Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León
- Academic Karma
- Florida Online Journals
- Universidad de Antioquia - Sistema de Revistas
- Melanoma Research Alliance
- Portal de revistas UPB
- Medical Physics
- SRA Tohoku Inc.
- Open Journal Systems at the University and City Library of Cologne
- <http://OAPublishing.ch>
- CIRAD Revela - Journals in open access
- Oxford University Press - ScholarOne
- York Digital Journals
- Journals hosted by University

Question: I was under the impression that only Clarivate (and one other) had automated Reviewer. I haven't seen that the article publisher sends over that information.

Answer: Web of Science (owned by Clarivate) and formerly Publons (now part of WoS) writes peer reviews to ORCID on behalf of the publishers. The [ORCID plugin for OJS](#) also has the ability to write peer review to ORCID.

Question: As a repository data manager, do you have outreach or educational materials that we could point researchers to?

Answer: There are many resources available for general outreach to researchers to make them aware of ORCID and the benefits of ORCID. You can also use/adapt these to be more specific to your needs. Here are some place to look:

- ORCID US Community Outreach Resources: <https://orcidus.lyrasis.org/outreach-resources/>
- ORCID for researchers: <https://info.orcid.org/researchers/>
- ORCID outreach resources: <https://info.orcid.org/outreach-resources/>
- The ORCID US Community hosts quarterly "ORCID Workshop for Researchers" webinars that are free and open to anyone. To find out when the next one is coming up, join our open listserv by [filling out this form](#)

Chat Log:

08:58:05 From Sheila Rabun - Lyrasis (she/her) to Everyone:

Agenda/notes doc:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1WmhULpRZcu6TdNRHibQRk_JH9BpfaRwPiwi1RfWcdII/edit - feel free to add yourself under the Attendees section

08:59:42 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Welcome, All!

09:06:35 From Kaela Ramhit to Everyone:

White House OSTP Public Access Memo:

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/08-2022-OSTP-Public-access-Memo.pdf>

09:11:38 From Kaela Ramhit to Everyone:

Here is the Interactive Graphic: The PID optimized Research Cycle:

<https://resources.morebrains.coop/pidcycle/>

09:12:06 From Alex Glynn to Everyone:

Clinical trial registries typically have their own system of persistent IDs

09:13:00 From Lesley Skalla to Everyone:

What about <https://www.rrids.org/> ?

09:13:31 From Mara Sedlins to Everyone:

What about DMP IDs? <https://support.datacite.org/docs/what-is-a-dmp-id>

09:14:02 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:
Replying to "Clinical trial regis..."

Thank you for sharing, Alex! We will definitely check it out. Can you tell us what PID it is?

09:14:19 From Eugene Barsky to Everyone:

We have just added RORs to all University of British Columbia's 300,000 DOIs

09:14:29 From Kaela Ramhit to Everyone:

Reacted to "We have just added R..." with 👍

09:14:41 From ashlea green to Everyone:

Reacted to "We have just added R..." with 👍

09:14:50 From Anna Goslen to Everyone:

Reacted to "We have just added R..." with 👍

09:14:51 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

@Mara Sedlins, DMP ID is DOI. They just call it DMP ID.

09:15:14 From Anneliese Taylor to Everyone:

Does the Affiliation Manager write the org's ROR ID to the researcher's record?

09:15:26 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

Reacted to "What about https://w..." with 👍

09:15:43 From Alex Glynn to Everyone:

Most of the NCBI's databases: PubMed, PubMed Central, NCBI Bookshelf, etc. have their own PID systems. So do preprint servers, such as arXiv, medRxiv, bioRxiv. Those IDs are then integrated into the DOI schema.

09:15:45 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

RRIDs on the other hand should be added to the list of PIDs.

09:16:02 From Mara Sedlins to Everyone:

Reacted to "@Mara Sedlins, DMP I..." with 👍

09:16:16 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Reacted to "What about https://w..." with 👍

09:16:26 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Reacted to "What about DMP IDs? ..." with 👍

09:16:40 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Replying to "We have just added R..."

Wow! That's fantastic!

09:16:49 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Reacted to "@Mara Sedlins, DMP I..." with 👍

09:16:50 From John Aspler to Everyone:

Reacted to "We have just added R..." with 🎉

09:16:54 From John Aspler to Everyone:

Replying to "We have just added R..."

Hurray Eugene!

09:16:57 From ashlea green to Everyone:

What agencies mint grant DOIs?

09:17:00 From Anneliese Eber to Everyone:

Reacted to "We have just added R..." with 🎉

09:17:12 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

There are other types of PIDS such as Accession Number used by dbGap, GEO and ImmPort but it is a subset of PIDs not widely used such as DOIs. ImmPort also issues a DOI for their study even though they assign accession number to the ImmPort study when a user registers.

09:17:41 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Replying to "Does the Affiliation..."

You would have to provide the ROR ID and that will be written to the affiliation data that you write to the ORCID record.

09:17:46 From John Aspler to Everyone:

Replying to "Does the Affiliation..."

Yes, though a few other PIDs for orgs are still possible as options (Ringgold and GRID). RORs should be prioritized though. You need to copy the ROR ID into the CSV file (or web interface) manually.

09:17:57 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Reacted to "Yes, though a few ot..." with 👍

09:17:57 From Lisa Schiff to Everyone:

Reacted to "We have just added R..." with 🎉

09:18:39 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

Reacted to "What agencies mint g..." with 👍

09:18:41 From Melissa Ratajeski to Everyone:

What about RINGGOLD? I see we have that not ROR

09:19:03 From Anneliese Taylor to Everyone:

Replying to "We have just added R..."

Eugene, can you say a bit more about how/where you did this?

09:19:26 From Alex Glynn to Everyone:

Oh, and Americans have social security numbers, which are pretty persistent, just not very secure.

09:19:49 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Replying to "What agencies mint g..."

Hi Ashlea, we will answer this during Q&A. Thanks!

09:20:04 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

Reacted to "Hi Ashlea, we will a..." with 👍

09:20:19 From ashlea green to Everyone:

Reacted to "Hi Ashlea, we will a..." with 👍

09:21:30 From John Aspler to Everyone:

Replying to "What about RINGGOLD?..."

Ringgold still exists in ORCID in a few places, but ROR is now the priority. Ringgold is a closed dataset that is privately owned (pay to access). In contrast, ROR is open and tied to public/not-for-profit funding, with a public-facing API

09:21:30 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Replying to "What about RINGGOLD?..."

Hi Melissa, you can request a ROR iD:

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSdJYaMTCwS7muuTa-B_CnAtCSkKzt19lkirAKG4u7umH9Nosg/viewform

09:21:37 From Eugene Barsky to Everyone:

Replying to "We have just added R..."

Here is a little presentation we did for Datacite - <https://dx.doi.org/10.14288/1.0436877> , in short we are adding RORs to each UBC maintained DOI as a hosting institution

09:21:40 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Reacted to "Ringgold still exist..." with 👍

09:21:48 From Eugene Barsky to Everyone:

Reacted to "Hurray Eugene!" with 👍

09:22:51 From Anneliese Taylor to Everyone:

Reacted to "Here is a little pre..." with 👍

09:22:59 From Anneliese Taylor to Everyone:

Replying to "We have just added R..."

Thanks!

09:23:13 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Reacted to "Oh, and Americans ha..." with 👍

09:23:32 From Heather Barnes to Everyone:

Can you share the recording link after the session?

09:24:03 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Replying to "Can you share the re..."

Hi Heather, yes. We will share the recording links and the agenda/notes docs when they become available.

09:24:06 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

RRID is even used as a repository PID not just for resources or software so it really should be mentioned when people list types of PIDs. Here is an example of a repository in re3data.org that shows RRID as their PID. <https://www.re3data.org/repository/r3d100012435>

09:24:17 From Melissa Ratajeski to Everyone:

For resources....putting in there makes it seem like they are involved with writing the grant to get that resource...not just a user. Or am I looking at this wrong?

09:25:34 From Lesley Skalla to Everyone:

Reacted to "Hi Ashlea, we will a..." with 👍

09:26:13 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

Protocols also can be issued a DOI by protocols.io

09:26:28 From Anneliese Taylor to Everyone:
Reacted to "Protocols also can b..." with 👍

09:26:57 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:
Replying to "For resources....put..."

Hi Melissa, the Research Resources does not necessarily have to be part of a grant. Organizations, if they are set up to do so, can push the information to the ORCID record.
<https://info.orcid.org/documentation/workflows/research-resources-workflow/>

09:27:00 From Alex Glynn to Everyone:
Protocols for systematic reviews can/should be registered with PROSPERO, which again has its own ID system

09:27:03 From Melissa Ratajeski to Everyone:
Replying to "What about RINGGOLD?..."

@Paolo Gujilde Where can you search to see if one already exists?

09:27:52 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:
Replying to "What about RINGGOLD?..."

Here's the ROR website and you can search for your organization: <https://ror.org/>

09:28:05 From Melissa Ratajeski to Everyone:
Reacted to "Here's the ROR websi..." with 👍

09:28:37 From John Aspler to Everyone:
Replying to "RRID is even used as..."

I think there are a few reasons why folks often focus on ORCID, DOIs (from DataCite and Crossref) and ROR, including that they are hugely interoperable and collaborative with each other as organizations. That's not to say RRIDs are not an integral part of the PID ecosystem, and I know that, while RRIDs are on Canada's list of PIDs to explore, it's not something the PID Program here has hugely jumped into in depth.

09:32:24 From Anneliese Taylor to Everyone:
Is there a list of publishers that write peer review activity to ORCID iDs?

09:32:33 From Melissa Ratajeski to Everyone:
Replying to "For resources....put..."

@Paolo Gujilde I guess my point is if I see a resource on a persons record I would assume they are the "owner" of it or something....not just a users

09:32:35 From Bonnie Crotty Nelson to Everyone:
I was under the impression that only Clarivate (and one other) had automated Reviewer. I haven't seen that the article publisher sends over that information.

09:34:14 From Patricia Hartman to Everyone:
Our organization has a ROR, but I don't see how to specifically use ROR as the identifier when adding info to an employment or education record. The default seems to be Ringgold.

09:34:41 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:
Replying to "For resources....put..."

I see....let's talk more about this during the Q&A.

09:34:53 From Melissa Ratajeski to Everyone:

Reacted to "I see....let's talk ..." with 👍

09:35:10 From Heather Barnes to Everyone:

Reacted to "Hi Heather, yes. We ..." with ❤️

09:35:29 From John Aspler to Everyone:

Replying to "Our organization has..."

Is this from the perspective of the Affiliation Manager, or when manually adding affiliations in an ORCID Record as a user? Ringgold is no longer really an option in the drop-down menu when adding it as a user, but it is still an option in the Affiliation Manager

09:35:38 From Kaela Ramhit to Everyone:

DataCite Commons: <https://commons.datacite.org/>

09:35:54 From Kaela Ramhit to Everyone:

ORCID US Community Data Visualization Resources:

<https://orcidus.lyrasis.org/data-visualization/>

09:35:56 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:

Replying to "RRID is even used as..."

I know RRID is not as big as DOI and it is also possible that RRIDs could be integrated into DOIs similarly to Funder ID migrating to DOIs. RRID is a specialized PID for certain categories but Is there an effort to merge RRIDs into DOIs?

09:36:16 From Alex Glynn to Everyone:

All the best programmers use R

09:36:34 From Kaela Ramhit to Everyone:

Institutional Repository Usage Statistics (IRUS):

<https://www.lyrasis.org/programs/Pages/IRUS-US.aspx>

09:38:33 From Patricia Hartman to Everyone:

Replying to "Our organization has..."

Both? I am an affiliation manager, but work with faculty to update their accounts.

09:42:55 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Replying to "Our organization has..."

Hi Patricia, ORCID is moving towards ROR and you should be able to add ROR in Employment and other affiliations. You can add ROR in affiliation manager. If you have a custom integration, you can "develop" to add ROR IDs.

09:43:26 From Tanya Berardini to Everyone:

Do you have any guidance for assigning a PID to something like a specific instrument at a specific institution? Example: a CT scanner (model specified) at a natural history museum

09:43:41 From John Aspler to Everyone:

Replying to "Our organization has..."

So I'm wondering if, with your example, you're talking about existing affiliations in ORCID. Ringgold was the main option from the user dropdown for years, until 2023. There should no access to Ringgold-based affiliations in the dropdown menu from the user perspective anymore. Both Ringgold and GRID affiliations have been removed as options, so ROR is still there

09:44:31 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Replying to "Our organization has..."

For screenshot, that is the "older" org ids used by ORCID before. The new default is ROR, so when you add your organization using the drop down in the employment or education, that should be ROR now.

09:51:04 From Patricia Hartman to Everyone:

Replying to "Our organization has..."

Okay - is there a way to update that for individuals who added it before ROR became the default? When I try to do that in an employment record, I have to delete the whole thing or it just sticks with Ringgold.

09:51:29 From John Aspler to Everyone:

Replying to "Our organization has..."

There is no way to update the specific item. It has to be deleted and re-added.

09:51:49 From Matthew Mayernik to Everyone:


I am PI of a NSF-funded project to coordinate expertise on assigning PIDs to instruments and facilities. We are still in exploratory stage, but I would be happy to talk with anybody interested in this topic. Here is our project web site, which as a "get in touch" link at the bottom that allows you to get on our communication list:

<https://ncar.github.io/FAIR-Facilities-Instruments/>

09:53:05 From Elizabeth Whipple to Everyone:

I agree, too, with Melissa. Some clarification would be good

09:53:16 From Lesley Skalla to Everyone:

Reacted to "I agree, too, with M..." with 

09:53:18 From Patricia Hartman to Everyone:

Replying to "Our organization has..."


Okay, thank you

09:54:08 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:

Replying to "I am PI of a NSF-fun..."

Thank you for sharing this Matthew!

09:55:48 From Elizabeth Whipple to Everyone:

Reacted to "I agree, too, with M..." with 

09:56:07 From ashlea green to Everyone:

Thanks!

09:56:34 From Sheila Rabun - Lyrasis (she/her) to Everyone:

"Publications Office of the European Union": 43101,
"Austrian Science Fund (FWF)": 18389,
"Wellcome": 17557,
"Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI)": 12346,
"Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST)": 10562,
"Fundacao para a Ciencia e a Tecnologia (unidadeFCCN)": 6000,
"American Heart Association (AHA)": 832,
"James S. McDonnell Foundation": 688,
"Children's Tumor Foundation": 685,
"Asia-Pacific Network for Global Change Research": 563,
"The ALS Association": 546,
"American Cancer Society": 480,
"Melanoma Research Alliance": 454,
09:56:46 From Sheila Rabun - Lyrasis (she/her) to Everyone:
"Chan Zuckerberg Initiative (CZI)": 399,
"Templeton World Charity Organization": 371,
"Wiener Wissenschafts-, Forschungs- und Technologiefonds (WWTF)": 362,
"Muscular Dystrophy Association": 209,
"Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation": 172,
"Parkinson's Foundation": 107,
09:57:04 From Lisa Schiff to Everyone:
I have to drop off--thanks for this session!
09:57:13 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:
Is NIH or NSF one of them?
09:57:16 From Lesley Skalla to Everyone:
Do we know if NIH is considering this as an option?
10:01:01 From Lesley Skalla to Everyone:
thank you for a great discussion!
10:01:07 From ashlea green to Everyone:
Thanks so much!
10:01:12 From Hilary Davis to Everyone:
Thank you! This has been a very helpful community call - I've learned so much that is immediately relevant for my institution.
10:01:12 From Seonyoung Kim to Everyone:
Thanks! Great webinar!
10:01:40 From Dana Gerlach to Everyone:
As a repository data manager, do you have outreach or educational materials that we could point researchers to?
10:01:42 From Bonnie Crotty Nelson to Everyone:
Thank you!
10:01:43 From Sarah Pine to Everyone:
Thank you!
10:01:43 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:
Email us at Orcidus@lyrasis.org

10:02:03 From Kevin Specter to Everyone:
Thank you!

10:02:03 From Paolo Gujilde to Everyone:
Thank you, all!