

EIC & OSG CernVM-FS Policy [Draft]

Scope

This policy covers the management and organizational structure of the EIC and OSG root on the CernVM-FS filesystem, at `/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/`. It covers governance, rights and responsibilities, access permissions, directory structure, and retention timelines.

Chris: why do we want to bring the OSG in here?

Core Principles

- Shared governance by EIC UG SWG and OSG (again why governance of the OSG????
Cvmfs is a world readable filesystem)
- Clarity and discoverability by users (please describe the purpose of this - is the LINUX kernel discoverable?)
- Retention that supports data and software archival (what does this mean - retention?
Our software is in github - do you propose an orthogonal software archive?)

Challenges

- Promulgation of minor version updates
- Promulgation of operating system ABIs
This should be dealt with on an installation by installation basis. Fun4All and escalate work very differently, a single policy which does not recognize this will not work. On the Fun4All side the promulgation of OS ABI's has been dealt with.

Current Structure

`/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/`

- gcc-8.3
 - opt
 - release
- singularity
- x8664_sl7
 - MCEG
 - releases
 - env
 - dev
 - new

- old
 - pro
 - EIC2020a
 - etc
- opt
 - fun4all
 - core
 - utils
- patches
- release
 - ana.*
 - new.*
 - play.*
 - test.*
 - release_ana
 - releas_new
 - release_play
 - release_test

Desired Structure

/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/

- singularity/
-

Markus This might be one of the points where we cannot reach a consensus and could think about structures based on the frameworks, e.g.,

/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/eicroot/ with EicRoot-specific structure

/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/escalate with ESCalate-specific structure

/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/fun4all with Fun4all-specific structure

Chris: the structure

/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/x8664_sl7

For our SL7 based installation and

/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/gcc-8.3

For our gcc 8.3 based installation will not be changed.

If we start to support other compilers, there will be top directories for them (e.g. gcc-10.1)

/cvmfs/eic.opensciencegrid.org/patches contains a patch you will need to run clang on certain systems which have a bug in the gcc stock compiler.

Kolja: From my perspective, placing our main installation in x8664_sl7 makes sense since it reflects the entire ecosystem it lives in. The gcc-8.3 directory (for me at least) is just for complementary tools that are sometimes needed.

That said, the non-EicRoot, non-fun4all collection is still relatively small and can easily fit into any agreed-upon structure. It's more important to reach a consensus quickly so that we can grow in a natural place that's not subject to much further reshuffling.