Name:	

Inquiry Question: How and why did Christianity change from being a persecuted sect to being a state religion in the Roman Empire?

	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Approaches Expectations	Needs Revision
Evidence/ Sources	 Essay uses all seven primary sources. Body paragraphs include relevant, persuasive evidence, drawn from both primary and secondary sources. Uses quotations and paraphrasing appropriately to sustain an argument. 	 Essay uses six of the primary documents. Supporting arguments include relevant and mostly persuasive evidence, drawn from both primary and secondary sources. Uses quotations and paraphrasing appropriately to sustain an argument most of the time. 	 Essay uses at least four primary documents. Evidence for supporting arguments is mostly relevant, and generally persuasive drawn from primary and secondary sources. Use of quotations and paraphrasing is mostly evident. 	 Essay uses less than four primary documents. Supporting arguments may include inaccurate evidence and lack clear, persuasive, or relevant evidence. Quotations and paraphrasing do not effectively support arguments.
Analysis/ Persuasion	 Thesis states a clear argument about why and how. Each topic sentence explains why and how. Clearly and thoroughly explains the connection between all evidence and argument being made. 	 Thesis states a clear argument but does not fully include both how and why. Most topic sentences explain how and why. Mostly clear and thoughtful explanation of how the evidence supports each argument. 	 Thesis states a clear argument about how, but not why. Topic sentences explain how but why. Some explanation of how the evidence presented supports each argument, but the explanations are not always clear. 	 Thesis does not state a clear argument. Topic sentences do not state an argument. Little explanation of how or why the evidence supports each argument.
Understanding of Implications and Content	Arguments reflect a highly informed awareness of the larger historical context. Arguments reflect a strong awareness of chronology.	 Arguments reflect an informed awareness of the larger historical context. Arguments reflect a solid awareness of chronology. 	Arguments reflect some awareness of the larger historical context. Arguments reflect some awareness of chronology.	 Arguments reflect almost no awareness of the larger historical context. Arguments reflect a limited understanding of chronology.