





Site 65 Administration Department Review

Department of Intelligence

March Report







Introduction	3
Executive Summary	3
Review Ratings	4
Department Review	5
Strengths	5
Criticisms	5
Plan of Action	5
Conclusion	5





Introduction

This document is an officially issued department/regiment report from Site Administration. It contains information gathered over the course of the month prior relating to the performance of your department/regiment according to several different criteria.

All departments and regiments at Site 65 are subject to the same process, every month.

These results are shared with Site Command. The purpose of this report is to improve - not to punish. Despite this, failure to address issues highlighted in this report may lead to Site Administration or intervention.

This review was conducted by:

Site Advisor 'Otters'

Executive Summary

Overall, Intel has had a pretty good month. I have seen a growth in both activity and professionalism. I have also seen a positive team of ambassadors and a newly appointed Director of Intelligence Zwacky. Overall this month has been mostly positive news, there were a couple of hiccups regarding some agents taking GOC disguises, however after it got stated clearly that that was not allowed, I have not seen it since.





Review Ratings

Your department or regiment has been graded according to a 10 point Likert scale. The current and previous ratings that your department or regiment has achieved for each measure are listed below:

Measure	Current Rating	Previous Rating
Activity	9	8
Professionalism	8	9
Interdepartmental Relations	9	8
General Conduct	9	8
Efficiency	9	8
Leadership	10	10
Ethical Rating	9	N/A

Overall Rating:

9/10





Department Review

Though I may not have been Site Advisor for a long time, I have been passively observing the Intelligence department, from what I have seen, they have been performing their duties and haven't broken many of the FLC.

They have upheld the quality of the department regarding last month's review, which is good to see. Overall, from my point of view Intel has had one of its best months in a long while. I have seen them actively and effectively communicating with NU-7 with the SOP comms.

S.C.P. Criteria Summary

The S.C.P. criteria designates 3 points relating to the operation and potential improvement of your department or regiment.

Strengths

- Professionalism has improved
- Activity has been amazing.
- Communication has improved a lot since last month
- Has unkept standards throughout the month

Criticisms

- Some operatives have been taking GOC disguises.
- Responses to GOIs/Intruders could be faster.

Plan of Action

I personally believe the Department of Intelligence could have more training. I think it would be a good idea to train on how to prevent being captured by enemy GOIs, to training regarding interrogation. I also believe it would be a good idea to actively ensure that agents are actively being informed regarding any Peace Treaties being created.





Ethics Committee Overview

The Department of Intel has performed excellent in all ethical manners. The Ethics Committee holds no major issues with the Department. However, please observe and monitor Agents when they deal with the civilian populace. A few reports of Agents mistreating civilians and Parawatch, ensure this does not become a repeated issue.

- Ethics Member Assassin

Conclusion

Overall, the Department of Intelligence has had an excellent month. In almost every aspect they have shown great skill and under their new director are heading into a new month with a positive attitude.

Overall Grade & Score



Overall review rating, 9/10

Signed:



