

Review Form

Title	
Date	
* reviewer must complete the peer review process three (3) weeks after agreeing to review the manuscript	
Conflict of Intere	est
 ☐ Yes, I have conflict of interest related to the content of the article ☐ No, I do not have any conflict of interest related to the content of the article 	
Originality	
Does the paper co	ontain new and significant information adequate to justify
comment	
Title, Abstract, a Is the title short, c □ Yes □ No	nd Keywords lear, and straightforward?
Are the abstract a ☐ Yes ☐ No	and keywords clearly describing the content of the article?
Additional comme	ents
comment	
Introduction and Method Are the introduction and research goals well-structured and formulated? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?	
comment	

Relationship to Literature Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?	
comment	
Quality of Communication Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.	
comment	
Result and Analysis Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Additional comments	
comment	
Conclusions Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Additional comments	
comment	
Recommended Decision ☐ Accepted ☐ Minor Revision (Rechecked by section editor) ☐ Moderate Revision (Rechecked by the same reviewer) ☐ Major Revision (Submitted to another reviewer) ☐ Submitted to other journals	