

## **MINUTES**

**Location:** Hybrid - Wolverine Room, 3rd Floor Michigan Union **Zoom Link:** https://umich.zoom.us/j/96077270547

- I. Call to Order & Convening Matters
  - a. Jarek Schmanski calls meeting to order at 7:34 PM.
  - b. Ruben Garcia calls the opening roll  $\rightarrow$  A quorum is present.
  - c. Jarek Schmanski reads the Land Acknowledgment.
  - d. Appointment of Sergeant-at-Arms.
    - i. Jarek Schmanski appoints Ryan Schmidt as the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Assembly.
    - ii. Patrick Szendro-Arceo: Point of information. Will he receive some sort of uniform?
    - iii. Jarek Schmanski: We thought about this in advance; we have an official CSG badge in the mail. So.
  - e. Jarek Schmanski moves to discharge the Landau, Peterson, and Osborne nominations from consideration of the Executive Nominations Committee.
    - i. Hope Techlin objects.
      - 1. Hope Techlin: We're objecting to releasing them from Exec Noms.
    - ii. Debate
      - Jarek Schmanski: So these nominations were made over a week ago for all three. Taylor Peterson was the most recent. Sophia Osborne, rather. But these were made over a week ago. They were not seen by the committee. There was no hearing scheduled. I think it'd be well-founded for us to move forward and consider it as an assembly so we can introduce or reject these nominees.
      - 2. Ilir Ziba: Hi, so I agree for both Madeline and Taylor, however, for Sophia, it seems that—for Taylor it seems that we got it on Wednesday, September 20th—same for Sophia—that's from Meera to Eric. And to the rest of the committee, we got it less than a week ago. We feel that for Madeline and Taylor, we're fine with discharging, but we'd like a chance to speak with Sophia.
      - 3. Jacob Amspaugh moves to divide the question with the Landau and Peterson nominations on one motion and the Osborne nomination on another motion.
        - a. Jarek Schmanski: Okay, that's automatic.



- b. Tyler Watt: Point of order. I believe that requires a majority-counted vote.
- c. Jarek Schmanski: Does anyone object to a unanimous consent agreement to divide the question?
- d. Eric: According to the motions chart, it just needs a second.
- e. Curtiss Engstrom seconds the motion.
- f. Jarek Schmanski rules that the question is divided.
- iii. Motion to discharge the Landau and Peterson nominations from the Executive Nominations Committee.
  - 1. No objections → Motion adopted.
- iv. Motion to discharge the Osborne nomination from the Executive Nominations Committee.
  - 1. Jacob Amspaugh objects → Motion not adopted
  - 2. Debate
    - a. Jarek Schmanski: I'll just refer to my prior statement. This should be swiftly brought forth in my opinion.
    - b. Hope Techlin: So to kind of pin off your point, I think Exec Noms would want a hearing because it's such an important role. It was less than a week ago and we just couldn't find a time. We would like to have another week to do an Exec Noms hearing. We haven't been able to meet or do anything. We just want another week to do that. If we can't, then okay.
    - c. Meera Herle: From the perspective of exec, we'd be in favor of putting this on the agenda tonight. The nominee is here, members can ask questions. We think that'd be respectful to the nominee and to exec's time. I have concerns about you meeting quorum in future weeks. We'd rather get this person onboarded as soon as possible.
    - d. Eric Veal: While I am in favor of putting her on the agenda, I'd like to take a moment to remind ourselves that we're also students and we all have obligations. I'm happy to offer my questions tonight. As chair, I'm totally fine with that. But behind my committee, we do have other obligations. Finding a time in six days—and also



- previously some people felt the turnaround was too quick—so that's why there was no rush to push a hearing.
- e. Tyler Watt: I like the new mics by the way. I speak in favor of the motion. It might be fruitful for everyone to see how these hearings go so people can get a sense of the process. It might be interesting for people to see that.
- f. Ilir Ziba: Aren't our committee hearings available and open to anyone?
- g. Tyler Watt: It's true.
- h. Patrick Szendro-Arceo: Point of information. If we end up doing this basically Exec Noms hearing now, I feel it'd be beneficial if we could have time to deliberate. Perhaps a two-minute recess. Deliberations are not open to the public.
- i. Jarek Schmanski: That's true, but this would just be an open forum. Anyone can give their opinion or ask questions. We could make a motion to recess, but I think just a typical procedure we just open this up to the forum.
- j. Curtiss Engstrom moves the previous question.
  - i. No objections → Motion adopted.
- 3. Uncounted vote:
  - a. Aye: 10
  - b. No: 6
  - c. Abstain: 5
    - i. Motion adopted.
- 4. Tyler Watt: Point of information. Is a motion to amend the agenda two-thirds or simple majority?
  - a. Liam Reaser: There is no motion to amend the agenda.
  - b. Tyler Watt: It was a motion to discharge.
  - c. Liam Reaser: That would be a simple majority.

- II. Recalls
  - a. None
- III. Elections
  - a. None
- IV. Community Concerns
  - a. None
- V. Reports
  - a. State of the Students: Meera Herle



- i. Meera Herle: Bear with me, we'll try to get through this quickly. Let's reserve applause until the end.
  - 1. The Assembly applauds.
- ii. Meera Herle: Hi everyone, you all know me—so we'll do introductions, we'll talk about executive committee structure, we'll talk about the Herle-Ray Administration has been up to since April, we'll talk about student organization funding, and we'll talk about emerging campus issues. Alright, introductions. Meet our cabinet—lan, Jules, Akhila, Peter—please wave. Tyler—wave. There's the second half—look at the lovely quality of Jarek Schmanski's picture. Here's the exec committee structure. Jules is the Chief of Staff, she runs the entire team. The committee is made up of the cabinet and general exec members. Our programming manager is especially big-thank you to all of you who've volunteered. We've also set up our policy teams, our commissions, and our policy areas are DEIJ, mental health, and sustainability. Alright—objectives. Here's how I'd like to define how the administration works. Our leadership is thinking about creating a culture that is productive and reinforces what we bring to the table and makes sure we're doing our work in a sustainable way. And there's also productive, well-informed advocacy—their work is invaluable. That feeds into outcomes—making a difference in students' day-to-day lives. Okay, this is what we've done already—you might see some familiar faces. Pride Outside, Meet Your Reps, pizza on the Diag, Harvest Fest, Earth Fest, Festifall, pizza before Assembly, and some great community outreach. Okay, priorities—what do all those things mean? So those are areas pulled from our campaign platform. It's translated into the policy areas I've listed here. We'll talk a bit later about some of the initiatives, but that's really what we're doing. So initiatives—affordability & accessibility. Our policy advisor is great, we're thinking intentionally about student orgs in the context of rising funding requests. We're also mindful of how student org involvement can be accessible to people with disabilities. We're also thinking about professional school. We're working on meeting needs about graduate school and such. And finally, there's housing—it's a greater Ann Arbor problem as well, we're excited to work with orgs. Mental health & wellbeing—we're thinking about exam times, there's a lot of stress. We also see it related to nutrition and physical activity—we're working with MDining. It doesn't necessarily look like going into a campus gym, but the policy team is doing research. And



holistically—we're thinking about ways to improve CAPS and promote group therapy and community mental health services. YouWill is something we've been promoted everywhere we go—it's an appointment-based therapy service. You can filter for people who look like you or have the same values and so on. And then finally we have diversity, equity, inclusion & justice, we think of it as a collaborative effort. We've talked about CSG's makeup—on the exec side, when it comes to heritage months or cultural events we're trying to partner with orgs without tokenizing them but amplifying them. We're also thinking about it as interconnected with internal inclusion—everything we do internally promotes internal culture. And finally, substance rather than performance—putting our money where our mouths are. Our final three policy areas - sustainability, we're focusing on outreach and minimizing waste. We're thinking about it as conservative waste management. We're working on decreasing smaller waste bins and more recycling and compost, as well as more formal sustainability education. And this goes hand-in-hand with campus plan 2050 engagement. We're charting our future for the next 25 years—thinking a lot about that in terms of sustainability. And then Sexual Misconduct Prevention & Survivor Empowerment—we're working on late-night transportation, hydration stations, and your typical high-risk event management strategies. We're also empowering survivors to share their stories and heal. Thinking about ways to facilitate safe spaces and conversations. Finally, programming and outreach—we're really proud of our team focusing on CSG visibility and promotion. We're trying to be mindful—most of their work goes with our policy work. There's a larger purpose, it's not just a piece of pizza. We're also working on using CSG's budget to maximize impacts. Those are our general initiatives. I'll link to more specific initiatives if you'd like to see that. Student organization funding—SOC update. There are 111 SOFC requests as of September 26th. There have been \$235,000 in total requests and of that we've funded \$86,000. That stays on par with our budget. We've operated at funding about 36% of the requests we've received. Wolverine Consulting Group has held over 25 consultations and given out \$7,000 to student organizations on campus. Okay, emerging issues—this is what we're focusing on heading into the semester. So student organization funding needs—we're thinking about more sustainable funding models. We've been working with club leaders and administrative leaders. They constitute a large portion of the requests we



receive, and we want to be able to fund other orgs equitably. And we want to fund a majority of the clubs that apply. We're also thinking about campus security in light of recent events and such—that takes two prongs, risk management and emergency preparedness. So that's more mundane day-to-day precautions and thinking about how students can be protected in an emergency. We're also working with YouWill and CAPS on mental health issues. And finally, Ann Arbor affordability for students—we're working with some organizations to sponsor some conversations surrounding tenant protections, fair housing, and so on. This also factors into Campus Plan 2050. And food affordability and dining—we're promoting Maize & Blue Cupboard. That's our general emerging issue. Okay. That is the QR code—that's a link to all of our directives, the concrete ideas we're putting into action. We have a lot of programs and events. Check it out now—reach out to us, we're happy to have assembly cooperating. If you have any questions, reach out to me. Thank you.

- iii. Patrick Szendro-Arceo: Point of personal privillege. Could you provide access to the Speaker report?
- iv. Jarek Schmanski: yes, of roucese.
  - 1. Questions:
    - a. Eric Vea: Thank you for the amazing report. I know you talked about DEIJ. What does the commitment look like going forward on the exec side and campus-wide?
      - i. Meera Herle: So it's both an external and internal effort. If we're doing these outreach efforts, our internal work has to be reflective of that as well. We just came off of a retreat that was really productive, reminding everyone to bring issues up as they arise and reach out with comments or concerns. And we'd love to hear from them about initiatives about DEIJ, of course. CSG is not representative of the student body, so we want to be mindful as well. Externally, we have this World Bites event with 8—6 performance orgs and 9 tabling organizations. We've reached out to cultural and identity orgs on campus, we hope it can be a collab with LSA SG too. But it's an event to get a food fair in a way that's representative of their



culture in a way that's authentic. We are also thinking about ways to promote student leaders who aren't internal to CSG—we're running a group on Friday centering on DEIA. It's on Friday, please reach out to me. We're looking for a small group to provide feedback to the planning management team. And when it comes to heritage months, we're making efforts to involve orgs in that. We've also thought about a social media campaign to promote Latino and Latina and Latnix leaders on campus instead of just posting a general message. So a couple things—read the slides, there's more.

- b. Mario Thaqi: I want to thank you for your hard work, and very hardworking team. I know you've talked about increasing student org funding, and I'm also aware that you've been in talks with President Ono about covering the costs of the sports clubs. I'm wondering if there's any progress on that.
  - i. Meera Herle: I received news yesterday that the President's office will be covering facility rentals for certain clubs for the next year, which is exciting. It goes specifically to the hockey arena, the tennis center—those are in-house sports teams. President Ono's office will be covering that, which will free up some SOFC funds.
- c. Dhanya Sethuraman: I was wondering if you could explain how you work with administrators and how much power you have to actually change policies like for example, I have a few ideas for Title 9 improvement, and I'm not sure how I work
  - i. Meera Herle: That's not something I know about specifically right now, but I'm happy to find you a contact in the administration. We meet regularly with the administration. If you have any concerns or ideas you think need to be brought to a higher level, please reach out. Our advocacy is most effective when it's strategic so I would ask that you let us know before going off on your own. Working



together can be beneficial. Specifically, the clubs sports advocacy is a good example. It's been really uplifting to see the administration trying to support student life on campus.

- b. Elections Director Report: Abigail Peacock
  - i. Abigail Peacock: So we had our first team meeting on Sunday, it was super fun. We set the timeline for the remainder of the semester other than the actual election because there's something in the works extending the voting period, which I support. Meet the Candidate—we want to make sure that's an actually productive event. We're trying to focus our efforts on making that an event where people will actually come. That'll be difficult since there's no executive slate. Next week, we'll be bringing the election budget to the assembly.
    - 1. Questions:
      - a. Jarek Schmanski: I had a question about the voting period extension. Would you plan on having events for all three days or only two?
        - i. Abigail Peacock: That's not something we've talked about yet. I would want to be tabling on all three days. If we extend the time, we need to make use of it. If we're not reaching out during each day, it's not really useful.

### c. Rules

- i. Liam Reaser: So this week we considered one resolution; we had a bunch of discussions. The resolution was the Exec Noms clarification resolution. A lot of it is nitty-gritty—the important part is that we're excluding the nomination of assembly members outside the scope of Exec Noms. And so yeah, that's been something that we want to resolve. The one thing from general discussion is that the Rules Committee is thinking about ideas on trying to get CSG a more active role in decisions regarding the Michigan Union. I encourage you to talk to committee members who are in the building. Very sorry I can't be there. Very disappointed I missed the State of the Students Address.
  - 1. Questions:
    - a. Meera Herle: I'm curious—what kind of involvement in the inion are you looking to have?
      - Jacob Amspaugh: Mainly dining options for downstairs. I received a lot of constituent feedback



- that they want more involvement. New dining options.
- ii. Eric Veal: Another piece of it was the space in our office space, as well as the history of the Union.One of our members shared that so if you have time please look into the funds and history.
- b. Patrick Szendro-Arceo: I was just curious if there were any other ideas apart from dining especially if we're gonna tackle such a big issue trying to get our power back—is there any other ideas we have?
  - Jacob Amspaugh: Perhaps some ballot initiatives on what people want to see in the union and using our second half of tour term to respond and implement it.
- c. Patrick Szendro-Arceo: What could we possibly get from this realistically? We've already been stripped of our power after the union got renovated? Or the bigger office.
  - i. Jacob Amspaugh: I think it's important to note that we're in the early stages, but my two cents is that we should prioritize the interests of students and many of my constituents would like a greater say in union dining options.
  - ii. Liam Reaser: I just want to add—Patrick
    Szendro-Arceo I see where you're coming
    from—the strongest point to make is that at the
    end of the day, the Michigan Union is a student
    union and we're a student government so it would
    seem to make some sense that the decisionmaking
    process would involve us in some capacity.
- d. Jarek Schmanski: I'd like to express some of my interns' thoughts: they'd both be very interested in raisin canes in the union. We picked them well.

### d. Finance

i. Mario Thaqi: We did not have anything to consider, but we did meet quorum. Thank you.

### e. Resolutions

i. Jimmy Watke-Stacy: We only had the 13-016 to consider. There were no changes made, and we returned it unanimously. In discussion, we



reaffirmed our support for anything Dhanya Sethuraman decides to do going forward with the incident she describes.

#### 1. Questions:

- a. Jarek Schmanski: Do we have a status update on the monthly resolutions workshop?
  - i. Jimmy Watke-Stacy: It's a bit difficult to find a time during the second half of the month, but at this point, there's a week left in the semester and I was gonna ask the comms chair to do a joint one next month.

### f. Communications

i. Alexander Gavulic: Hi all. We didn't meet quorum this week and had no business. We did not have any corrections statements for the Daily.

## g. SOC Report

 i. Aydan Makar: It's all in there, some small personnel updates. I will have someone for you guys soon regarding the assistant director position.
 We're thankful to have the Deputy Director and Finance Chair at SOFC meetings. That's about it.

### h. Executive Branch Report

i. Juliette Quenioux: Happy Tuesday. I just wanted to give a thank you to everyone who volunteered at our four events last week. We're really happy. We're also excited for our nominations this week—we think they're great candidates. Just a reminder on the initiatives—that whole presentation is linked in the exec report. In terms of comms, the all-campus email will go out next week on Monday. I've also linked the form for the requests in the report. Meera mentioned this earlier but we're doing the World Bites event. That's coming up-keep an eye out for a volunteer spreadsheet. Very excited for that. We've been doing a lot of outreach, and we're very excited. We're also putting together donation bins for calculators. We're adding in more from donations from students. We're also including donation bins in the CSG office. That's about it for events. In terms of our policy team, we are conducting a bunch of research on a variety of matters. MCAT, and LSAT books—we are adding some in at the UgLi textbook shelf. We're working with DPSS to coordinate an information outreach event and the possibility of a self-defense class. And our sustainability officer improved our internal event planning resource guide. I'd encourage you to check out that



document. All that good stuff. Other than that, that's about it. Just please come to World Bites, and volunteer. Thank you.

- 1. Questions:
  - a. Jacob Amspaugh: Can you go into more detail on the WiFi in the Big House?
    - i. Jules: I would have to ask her more specifically, but there's going to be a report on it.

### i. Speaker Report

i. Jarek Schmanski: Nothing too earth-shattering. As always we want to plug the anonymous feedback form. We value any feedback you have. We always try to incorporate the best we can. We will have a decent number of vacancies, so if you know anyone who might be interested, please reach out to them. Of course, please always use the excused absence form. We have a form for this. Please use it. Assembly on North is going to be next week. The long-awaited trek. October 3rd, 7:30. It'll probably be a shorter meeting. We'll have a blast. Assembly on the Diag will be on October 10th. Things have been ordered, money is being spent. We do need volunteers for setting things up and manning food stations, so anyone interested in coming a little early to help would be dearly appreciated. Also, if you'd like to volunteer for the voter registration booth, we have training. I think a few people have already signed up. One is this Friday. Another one is on Wednesday next week. These are one-hour Zoom sessions. You can sign up in the speaker report. And you can get paid for it, which is very nice. So please, please sign up for that. It'll be great. We have our microphones, so that'll help us out. besides that, we're also working on a guest speaker calendar. if you have any ideas for a guest speaker, let Ruben Garcia know, let the interns know. We'll have conversations on having administration officials here. And of course Ryan Schmidt has been appointed sergeant-at-arms. That's all I have.

#### 1. Questions:

- Meera Herle: I'm curious which vacancies the unit SGS are currently responsible for and which ones are my responsibility.
  - i. Liam Reaser: You're gonna have to give me a second to pull up the spreadsheet. I've emailed all of them, except an Engineering sheet which just passed the window.



- b. Tyler Watt: Are you aware that I have a mom van that fits 7 other people and I'm happy to drive people to north campus?
  - i. Jarek Schmanski: I am now.
- c. Tyler Watt: Do we know where in North we're meeting?
  - i. Jarek Schmanski: Pierpont Commons.
  - ii. Ruben Garcia: Give me thirty seconds.
  - iii. Liam Reaser: So on the prior question—the only one that Meera has responsibility for is one College of Engineering seat. There's also Dentrist, Information, and Public Policy. And a seat in Rackham. There's also two additional seats in Rackham which are in the unit government phase.
  - iv. Jarek Schmanski: per the previous question, we will be in the East Room of Pierpont. I'm assuming it's East.
  - v. Ryan Schmidt: Point of information. The East Room is you will go in the doors, the fireside cafe will be right there, and you'll go left up the stairs.
- d. Tyler Watt: We have two engineering vacancies right?
  - i. Jarek Schmanski: Correct.
- e. Mario Thaqi: If people have been vacated, if they've resigned, the Finance Committee has two fewer members, so how does that work?
  - i. Jarek Schmanski: You just have less—fewer—people for quorum.

### VI. Nominations

- a. Madeline Landau, Deputy Elections Commissioner for Finance
  - i. No objections → Nomination confirmed.
- b. Taylor Peterson, Ross Representative
  - i. Patrick Szendro-Arceo: Point of information. Is Taylor present right now?
     Oh there you are. Welcome. That's it.
  - ii. No objections → Nomination confirmed.
- c. Sophia Osborne, Deputy Treasurer
  - i. Patrick Szendro-Arceo objects.
  - ii. Nominator Statement:
    - 1. Meera Herle: Sophia can you wave so people can see you? So we're very happy to have Sophia with us hear tonight. She's a

All meetings of the Assembly are hybrid; participants can join in-person OR via Zoom.



sophomore studying econ. She stood out to us, we interviewed quite a few candidates. She's knowledgeable about CSG finances which is impressive given that she has no proper CSG experience. She's passionate about transparency. She was an intern for a state attorney in Florida and she comes to this role with a lot of experience working with financial data and transparency in particular. She's demonstrated a lot of defecation. She's committed to attending every assembly meeting that she's able to.

#### iii. Debate:

- 1. Eric Veal: Point of information. Does the nominee get to speak?
- 2. Jarek Schmanski: Yes, you can ask questions.
- 3. Tyler Watt: Ms. Osborne, do you are to introduce yourself?
- 4. Sophia Osborne: I would love to. I'm a sophomore in econ and hopefully in Ford. I think I bring the best experience from the state attorney's office. I did a lot of work making sure a jury can understand the financial details. That would translate well in making CSG finances more accessible.
- 5. Mario Thaqi: Meera said that you have some knowledge about the current state of CSG finances. I was wondering if you have any thoughts on how things are being spent. Do you think our money is being spent in an optimal way? Literally anything you have to say.
- 6. Sophia Osborne: I have the perspective of someone who has access to what the public has access to, which is why I focused on transparency. I don't want to overstep and give you ucn answer I can't actually follow through on, but would love to follow up in the future.
- 7. Hope Techlin: So you talked about your efforts to increase transparency within finances. I wanted to know a little bit more about your vision for your role. What do you want to do in this position? What does success look like?
- 8. Sophia Osborne: So the Herle-Ray administration has a lot of really great administrations. The one most important to me is mental health. CAPS is not the best program, and a successful year would be not only moving money around but doing something with a more tangible purpose—maybe more funding for CAPS.



- 9. Hope Techlin: So how would you as deputy treasurer be able to implement that?
- 10. Sophia Osborne: So being on exec and working on finance and communicating with the assembly, I can get a feel on where money is going. I think it's important to focus on things that enrich the school, so that's really my focus.
- 11. Patrick Szendro-Arceo moves the previous question.
  - a. No objections  $\rightarrow$  Motion adopted.
- 12. Tyler Watt: POI> When someone says move the previous question, that means someone wants to vote on the pending motion, correct?
- 13. Jarek Schmanski: Correct.
- iv. On the motion:
  - 1. No objections → Nomination confirmed.

### VII. Introductions

- a. CA 13-001: Elections Improvement Act
  - i. Committees: Rules, Finance
  - ii. Sponsors: Reaser, Watt, Quick, Gavulic, Amspaugh, Engstrom
    - 1. Liam Reaser: If everyone could navigate to the URL that Tyler navigates you to right now, that'd be perfect. So the Task Force has been very busy and we're excited to give you three measures. First, we're proposing a constitutional change to move from the Borda Count to the Single Transferable Vote. The benefits are many. To summarize, it makes voting less strategic so there's no way to sort of game the system. You're not better off voting for one person versus many people. You just vote how you feel. There's also the benefit that the numbers the Borda count spits out are often in the millions. The Single Transferable Vote is much closer to actual vote counts. Secondly., we want to have a minimum budget requirement for the University Elections Commission. And third, given the nonbinding referendum from last winter, we are proposing a three-day voting period for this Fall election. We're going to use this as a test period to see whether the task force will propose a permanent change or not.
    - 2. Tyler Watt: After we watch this video about single transferable vote, I will have sent you all a link to a test election to see how this will work in action.
    - 3. Jarek Schmanski plays the Socctus Council Elections video.



- 4. Tyler Watt: I've sent everyone a link to vote.umich.edu where I've set up an election using this method. This is only for assemblymembers, sorry.
- 5. Stephanie Evangelista: So would this mean that someone would not have to get a certain number of votes, but it wouldn't matter because it's a percentage of the vote, correct?
- 6. Liam Reaser: So there's two things to that. If there's only one seat, it uses a normal ranked choice. Secondly, the quota is different for each constituency—Ross, or LSA, or whatever.
- 7. Isabella Minkin: Point of information. Have you considered students being able to vote for other colleges as well? There's not a lot of turnout in the art school, and a lot of people from LSA—I had a question about why we don't have students vote for all representatives.
- 8. Tyler Watt: We have talked about that on and off. It is possible because we could just draw a list of folks with minors. That would require a constitutional amendment. That would be something the task force can consider.

### VIII. Business

- a. Minutes from the 10th Meeting of the 13th Assembly on September 19, 2023
  - i. Ratified by an implicit motion.
- b. AR 13-016: Clarify Executive Nominations Process
  - i. Committees: Rules, Resolutions
  - ii. Sponsors: Amspaugh, Engstrom
    - 1. Motion to pass.
      - a. No objections  $\rightarrow$  Motion adopted.
- IX. Reconsiderations
  - a. None
- X. Matters Arising & Announcements
  - a. Eric Veal: Alright. So if you have any DEI concerns—we heard great ideas from exec—but if you have any ideas, please reach out to me. Also, go to the panel—11:00 on Friday. That's the Campus Plan 2050. Also shoutout to our interns, they're really slaying tonight.
  - b. Jarek Schmanski: Thank you Madam President for a wonderful address. And welcome to all our new members. So glad to have you. And everyone—we will not be here next week. Next Tuesday we'll be on North Campus. Go to the East Roon.
  - c. Meera Herle: Voter registration trainings are going on. When are they?



- d. Jarek Schmanski: Friday and October 45th.
- e. Meera Herle: I would encourage anyone who can to attend and also to come to my DEI focus group for Campus Plan 2050. Any feedback relating to accessibility, how buildings can promote DEI, please reach out. I'll register you.
- XI. Closing & Adjournment
  - a. Ruben Garcia calls the closing roll  $\rightarrow$  A quorum is present.
  - b. Jarek Schmanski adjourns the meeting at 9:15 PM.