

EVALUACIÓN DE ARTÍCULOS -PARES EXTERNOS

VERSIÓN: 1.0 CÓDIGO: FR-II-ADP-13 FECHA: 19-Dic-2014



1.	TITLE:
2.	PEER DATA
FullNaı	me:
Profess	sion:
	mic degree:
3.	ARTICLE TYPE (Mark with an X) Research Article Reflection article derived from research Review article derived from research
4.	PEER CONCEPT
Please	score from 1 to 5 each of the proposed items, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest.
4.1 TIT	LE
(It is comotiva <i>Score</i> :	therent with the content. It is consistent with the objectives. It is a clear indicator of the subject. It tes the reading of the article).
Comm	ents (if any):
(It has catalog Score:	STRACT AND KEYWORDS the correct dimension. It is a good interpretation of the content. The keywords allow the article to be ged in the correct bibliographic themes). ents (if any):
(State to Indicate Score:	TRODUCTION the subject of the article. Highlight the importance of the article. Indicate the background of the work. e the objectives of the work presented). ents (if any):
Theore the res	are well formulated hypotheses, problems, or topics. An original and solid argument is presented. tical and methodological basis is evidenced, and the experimental aspect is explained. The paper describes ults found by the authors).



VERSIÓN: 1.0

CÓDIGO: FR-II-ADP-13

FECHA: 19-Dic-2014



4.5 WRITING AND STYLE

(Clear and coherent writing is presented. The author writes appropriately. Good spelling and proper use of punctuation marks are evident.)
Score:
Comments (if any):
4.6 FIGURES AND ILLUSTRATIONS
(Relevant in the presentation of the content. Correctly listed. Correctly referenced). <i>Score</i> :
Comments (if any):
4.7 CONCLUSIONS
(They are consistent with the introduction. They are in accordance with the objectives. They are of interest. They contribute to the Discipline).
Score: Comments (if any):
4.8 FOOTNOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES
(Appropriate. Complies with APA standards. Up-to-date, sufficient and relevant bibliography on the subject is used. There is a critical and succinct bibliographic discussion).
Score:
Comments (if any):
4.9 GENERAL CONCEPT (The article is current, relevant and contributes to the advancement of knowledge of the discipline. Originality an scope of the problem posed are evidenced. Research contributions are evidenced. Appropriate analysis and discussion of the results are evidenced).
Score:
Comments (if any):
5. CONCEPT (Mark with an X)
 Accepted with modifications
Accepted without modificationsRejected
Sign
Date
(This consent is not walled without signature)
(This concept is not valid without signature).