1. **TITLE:** _____

2. **PEER DATA**

FullName: _____
Profession: _____
Academic degree: _____

3. **ARTICLE TYPE (Mark with an X)**
   - Research Article ___
   - Reflection article derived from research ___
   - Review article derived from research ___

4. **PEER CONCEPT**

Please score from 1 to 5 each of the proposed items, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest.

4.1 TITLE
(It is coherent with the content. It is consistent with the objectives. It is a clear indicator of the subject. It motivates the reading of the article).
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

4.2 ABSTRACT AND KEYWORDS
(It has the correct dimension. It is a good interpretation of the content. The keywords allow the article to be cataloged in the correct bibliographic themes).
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

4.3 INTRODUCTION
(State the subject of the article. Highlight the importance of the article. Indicate the background of the work. Indicate the objectives of the work presented).
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

4.4 CONTENT
(There are well formulated hypotheses, problems, or topics. An original and solid argument is presented. Theoretical and methodological basis is evidenced, and the experimental aspect is explained. The paper describes the results found by the authors).
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

4.5 WRITING AND STYLE
(Clear and coherent writing is presented. The author writes appropriately. Good spelling and proper use of punctuation marks are evident.)
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

4.6 FIGURES AND ILLUSTRATIONS
(Relevant in the presentation of the content. Correctly listed. Correctly referenced).
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

4.7 CONCLUSIONS
(They are consistent with the introduction. They are in accordance with the objectives. They are of interest. They contribute to the Discipline).
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

4.8 FOOTNOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES
(Appropriate. Complies with APA standards. Up-to-date, sufficient and relevant bibliography on the subject is used. There is a critical and succinct bibliographic discussion).
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

4.9 GENERAL CONCEPT
(The article is current, relevant and contributes to the advancement of knowledge of the discipline. Originality and scope of the problem posed are evidenced. Research contributions are evidenced. Appropriate analysis and discussion of the results are evidenced).
Score: ____
Comments (if any): _____

5. **CONCEPT (Mark with an X)**
   - Accepted with modifications ___
   - Accepted without modifications ___
   - Rejected ___

Sign _____

Date _____

(This concept is not valid without signature).