

February 10, 2023

Via On-line submission and USPS mailing

NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct
Empire State Plaza
Corning Tower, Suite 2301
Albany, NY 12223

**Re: Notice of Judicial Conduct Complaint Against
Judge Anthony McGinty, A.S.C.J.
Walker v. Walker Matter
Index No.: 2018-261675**

Dear NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct,

Please see attached formal complaint filed on Ulster County Judge Anthony McGinty, A.S.C.J. Judge Anthony McGinty was assigned to Rensselaer County Supreme Court to conduct a trial in Walker v. Walker.

The major issues involving the judges conduct include among other issues the following: Gender bias and discrimination, Abuse of Judicial Discretion, Collusion with the Attorney for the Child and Plaintiff husband's lawyer, Retaliation against the defendant wife, Failure to follow the law and public policy, Obstruction of protected free speech rights, Interference with right of parental access to her children.

The Findings, the final Order as prepared by plaintiff husbands counsel, and Judgement was shocking to any conscionable person and the judgment against defendant mother/wife extremely biased and sexist against an abused mother. During the Walker v. Walker trial, Judge McGinty completely ignored all relevant evidence concerning custody of minor children to/with a registered sex offender including testimony of the father's paramour currently running a BDSM business out of the children's home in Rensselaer County, and the testimony of a family business employee of whom he had sexually assaulted.

My previous communications to the NYS Commission on Judicial conduct have been largely ignored with the same standardized letter in return. It is well known in the press that Judge McGinty has a history of being sexist and favoring abusers. In the recent decision in Walker v. Walker, it was no different outcome as by this rogue Judge.

Upon information and belief, there have been many others abused by and complaining about this judge's pattern of bias and discrimination as practiced in his courtroom. I and fellow citizen litigants within New York State would appreciate it if the Commission would fully investigate this matter. How much harm and complaints about Judge McGinty does it take for proper oversight and mitigation to occur before more harm is done.

Discrimination by those in high judicial power, can sometimes go undiscovered by an enabling bureaucracy before the right disclosure and investigation actions are performed. There is a large growing group of victims of Judge McGinty with over a dozen members, called "Mothers against McGinty". How many victims need to come together before something tangible is done? How many articles in the press need to be written about Judge McGinty before something is done? It is my understanding that the National Basketball Association does more to investigate bias within the ranks of their referees than does New York State's Commission on Judicial Conduct.

I am a loving mother, and haven't seen my children in two years. Judge McGinty gave full custody to a registered sex offender running a BDSM business with his paramour partner out of the home. How many innocent children need to be affected, including mine, before something is done.? How many more children need to be displaced from loving mothers? Is there any accountability within the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct case screening process? Judge McGinty has also been sued multiple times in the Federal Courts over Civil Rights violations, including, (Walker v. McGinty, et al, 2022). Yet, he is still showing and making clearly biased decisions with malice and in retaliation against anyone opposing him and that he does not like.

By the NYS Commission sitting on its hands, and doing nothing but sending out standardize letters, the commission may also be aiding and abetting potential sexual abuse against children in Rensslear County. There needs to be proper and appropriate oversight and self correction by and within the NYS Unified Court system and the Commission on Judicial Conduct.

On behalf of all mothers, children and families affected by this judge, I encourage the Commission to actually investigate and take testimony concerning the egregious behavior exhibited by Judge Anthony McGinty and on behalf of numerous concerned citizens and complainants. Of note, the judge's wife is also a Judge in Ulster County, making the judiciary and judgeships something of a family business for the McGinty's.

I am also in the process of writing a book about my experience in this matter.

"Judge Anthony McGinty has no business sitting on the bench".

Sincerely,

Alisha Clark Walker

And on behalf of the displaced children of Alisha Clark Walker
757 Taborton Road
Sand Lake, NY 12153
alishaclark@me.com
Cell: 413-449-8238

w/enclosures

cc: Steve McLaughlin, Rensselaer County Executive, Steve4rensko@gmail.com
Robert Gavin, Times Union legal writer, rgavin@timesunion.com
NYS Office of Court Administration

I am submitting this letter in support of my Complaint against Judge Anthony McGinty from the above referenced Supreme Court action.

1. I am the defendant and mother in the above titled action and as such I am personally familiar with the facts and circumstances of this case.
2. I love both of my children very much and that I have been a previously acknowledged primary caregiver and concerned parent to them both since their birth. The children involved in this custody – parenting custodial case are Aurora Walker [age 10] and Trey Walker [age 6].
3. The father is a former registered sex offender [**see attached**] who has also served time in jail for his convictions, and his live-in girlfriend is a professional avowed BDSM practitioner. Judge McGinty completely ignored the New York State "Sex Offender Registration Act" policies and procedures §168. The children live full time with the previously convicted sex offender father and his BDSM practicing paramour.
4. Judge Anthony McGinty, together with the current attorney for the child, Douglas Broda, has acted with animus and malice, and has improperly and unfairly disenfranchised me from my children whom I have not been able to see for almost 2 years.
5. Upon information and belief, Judge McGinty is also aware that I continue to fight as a mother should, and am currently in the middle of writing a book about my case and Judge McGinty is doing everything he can to stop all transparency of his prejudicial actions by issuing illegal orders.

I. Disenfranchisement of Children:

6. The reversal of child custody and disenfranchisement of our children's mother and award of custody to **the petitioner father was an inexplicable and erroneous award of primary custody, and even though it was pointed out in multiple petitions and evidence that he had abused the respondent and that he was a registered sex offender [involving crimes of moral turpitude], living with a BDSM practitioner, the court completely ignored these salient facts.**
7. Since the temporary full custody was given to a registered sex offender's father in 2019, there has been little to no contact between the children and their loving mother depriving them also of their unfettered constitutional rights to a parent. All motions, testimony and evidence of parental alienation have been ignored by Judge McGinty, clearly sex bias.

8. Depriving a parent of the right to raise his or her own child is viewed by many as “more grievous” than a prison sentence, and the determination of parental rights is often referred to as the “civil death penalty.” Even in Standards for Parental Representation in State Intervention Matters, ILS (2015), <https://www.ils.ny.gov/files/Parental%20Representation%20Standards%20Final%20110615.pdf>. E.g. Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57, 65 (2000)

“The liberty interest at issue in this case – the interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children – is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by this Court.”; Parham v. J. R., 442 U.S. 584, 602 (1979)

“Our jurisprudence historically has reflected Western civilization concepts of the family as a unit with broad parental authority over minor children. Our cases have consistently followed that course.”. Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745, 753 (1982).

Stephanie N. Gwillim, The Death Penalty of Civil Cases: The Need for Individualized Assessment and Judicial Education When Termination Parental Rights of Mentally Ill Individuals, 29 St Louis U Pub L Rev 341 (2009) (citing In re K.A. W., 133 S.W.3d 1, 12 (Sup. Ct., Mo. 2004); see also In re Smith, 77 Ohio App.3d 1, 16 (1991) (“A termination of parental rights is the family law equivalent of the death penalty in a 5 cases of alleged maltreatment, parents’ fundamental liberty interest in raising their children does “not evaporate simply because they have not been model parents or have lost temporary custody of their child to the State...parents retain a vital interest in preventing the irretrievable destruction of their family life.”

9. Likewise, the New York Court of Appeals has emphasized that "governmental interference with the liberty of a parent to supervise and rear a child" is prohibited, "except upon a showing of overriding necessity." Children also have liberty interests in the parent-child relationship. Our Court of Appeals has recognized the fundamentals.
10. I believe that Judge Anthony McGinty, has used his position of power to intentionally inflict harm and pain on me and such extreme suffering for any mother to bear that cannot protect her child. To sever and rip apart a relationship from a mother and child and child and mother; when there are zero findings of unfitness who comes from a very stable home all we wanted to do was be protected from abuse. **This constitutes cruel and inhuman behavior.**
11. The commission should be aware that I currently have full custody both legal and physical of my 2 year old son Elijah Blue LaPorta with zero problems.

II. ABUSE OF DISCRETION

Extensive Public History of favoritism to abusive parents and Gender Bias Discrimination:

12. It is unclear why the New York Judiciary system assigned an acting Supreme Court Judge from a county far away [Ulster County], with a long history of being sued by multiple abused mothers for unfairly giving custody to abusers to a very public case about an abuser unfairly given full custody without trial (Walker v. Cholakias, 2019).
13. There are a number of publicized cases that reveal Judge McGinty's policies, practices, procedures, and standards are gender-biased, unconstitutional, have a disparate impact on women, and violate women's NYS entitled equal economic, property ownership, and custody rights in contested Judgment of Custody Orders when domestic violence ("DV") exists. [see attached]
14. The first hearing of Walker v. Walker in front of Judge McGinty, Founder of "Mother's Against McGinty", appeared, Francesca Amato, as a Court monitor on defendant's behalf. Ms. Amato had an article published in 2021 documenting Judge McGinty's underlying bias against mothers from abusers [see attached].
<https://nypost.com/2021/08/20/ny-judge-favored-abusive-men-over-battered-women-in-custody-cases-lawsuit-claims/>
15. **Judge McGinty gender based bias is a form of discrimination**, which is defined as "the process by which a member, or members, of a socially defined group, is, or are, treated differently (especially unfairly) because of their membership in that group." Kreiger, N., *Discrimination and Health Inequalities*, 44 Int'l J. Health Servs, no.4, 643-710, 650 (2014), citing, Jary, D. & Jary, J., Collins Dictionary of Sociology (2d ed. 1995). It involves not only "socially derived beliefs" but also "patterns of dominance and oppression, viewed as expressions of a struggle for power and privilege." Kreiger, N., *Embodying Inequality: A Review of Concepts, Measures, and Methods for Studying Health Consequences of Discrimination*, 29 Int'l J. Health Servs no.2, 295-352 (1999) (citations omitted). When an individual or group suffers discriminatory harm, they suffer injury to their dignity, autonomy, and humanity. See *Jackson, V., Constitutional Dialogue and Human Dignity: States and Transnational Constitutional Discourse*, 65 Mont. L.Rev. 15-40 (2004).
16. Negative stereotypes about women encourage judges to disbelieve women's allegations of child sexual abuse; gender bias problems are particularly acute in family courts, and

most problematic when sexual abuse of children is alleged in custody or visitation proceedings. One report specifically noted, “one striking example is the tendency to doubt the credibility of women who make these allegations, and to characterize them as hysterical or vindictive even when medical evidence corroborates a claim of child abuse.”); Report of the *Florida Supreme Court Gender Bias Study Commission Executive Summary* (March 1990),

III. Continuous Violations of Constitutionally protected rights of Mother and Children by Judge McGinty

17. Judge McGinty has caused the children and the mother tremendous emotional pain and suffering with extreme violations of their federal civil and fundamental basic human rights of a parent to their children.
18. On September 8th, 2021 Mr. Walker stated, verified by his attorney, Leslie Silva, that:
“Our daughter is nine years old and able to work on a computer. Despite my best attempts to shield her from her Mother's actions, it is reasonable to believe that she could eventually find them and be exposed to adult topics and false accusations. The impact is certain to be detrimental to her best interest.”

Yet, there is no concern by Judge McGinty the fathers live-in sex worker’s girlfriend posts extremely disturbing adult content on her social media, mostly public viewed instagram, almost daily including “sucking dick”, burning genitalia for pleasure and bestiality. The judge by his actions demonstrates that he simply does not care about justice.

19. Judge McGinty’s imposed gag orders and temporary restraining orders on the mother’s free speech but not on the previously registered sex offender's father's or especially the BDSM girlfriend continued inappropriate adult behavior on her social media around the children and around the children’s home. Judge McGinty ignored all evidence brought forth by mother in his decision. This is a clear example of bias and violation of the mother’s civil (first amendment rights).
20. Judge McGinty had a Civil Rights Federal action, 42 USC Sec. 1983 and 1985, (*Walker v. McGinty, et al, 2022*) brought against him for violation of civil rights during the trial of Walker v. Walker. Upon information and belief, Judge McGinty was aware of this federal action, was therefore in a conflict of interest, and continued to conduct the biased trial without recusing himself.

21. Anthony McGinty even further restricted the mother's civil rights and the children's civil rights in "So Orders" by restricting all communication between the mother and her children indefinitely in concert and bias litigation (motions) by the attorney for the child, Douglas Broda on February 14th, 2022.
- 22. No gag order has been placed on the father or his sex worker girlfriend currently working their nefarious business out of the children's home, posting on adult material out of the home, clearly demonstrating the judges abuse of discretion and showing extreme bias and discrimination.**
23. Such conduct has violated federal and state protected constitutional rights, discriminatory protection, under the equal protection clause and those of parent and children to proper due process under the law. [42 USC 1983. etc.] [NYS Code of Judicial Conduct, NYS Professional Standards, ABA Code of Judicial Conduct].
24. Defendant Mother also challenges Judge McGinty's protection of the fathers criminal "egregious" domestic violence standard for domestic violence for custody Orders in contested cases. Excluding all other forms including the coercive control subtype (as defined in scientific research studies and by the NYS Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence) which abusers use joint Custody Orders and fraud upon the court to obtain orders that violate a protected class(s) legally entitled economic and property rights and fundamental liberties.
25. Judge McGinty has continually engaged in non-evidence-based, gender-biased policies, practices, procedures, and standards for deciding legally entitled economic, property ownership, and custody rights in Custody Orders in contested cases family court and enforcement are unconstitutional.

IV. Examples of Judge McGinty's Bias at Trial; **Retaliatory Decision**

26. Judge McGinty has demonstrated a pattern of courtroom behavior, which I feel was disdainful, disrespectful, while ignoring the key facts, jumping to false conclusions, and virtually ignored me, in violation of due process and in violation of the best interests of and protection of my children.
27. Judge McGinty appeared to even 'doze off at trial' during my testimony, especially for my testimony on forensic accounting on equitable distribution issues during the 4th day of trial testimony.

28. In the trial of Walker v. Walker, Judge McGinty completely and totally ignored and discounted testimony of the defendant's witness, [REDACTED]. Ms. [REDACTED] was an employee of family owned business, Clark Walker Studio. Ms. [REDACTED] testified under oath that the father sexually assaulted her on job. In fact, Judge McGinty said her testimony was "not credible." This is just another example of Judge McGinty totally dismissing testimony of victims of abusers. Ms. [REDACTED] stated it took a lot of courage to face her abuser in court as a witness just for the Judge to completely ignore her claims was extremely hard and caused her PTSD.
29. Judge McGinty also ignored the fact that the BDSM practicing and advocating paramour Sara-Miller Hornick, continues to work out of the children's home and during the children's vacation, running a BDSM sex worker bondage business, even as recently as the date of this recusal filing.
30. Judge McGinty never took into consideration that I am and have always been the fit primary sole custodial primary attachment figure and completely violated the best interest of the child standard as well. Judge McGinty also never took into consideration that I am the primary and fit caregiver of Elijah Blue LaPorta, (2) since birth.
31. During trial Judge McGinty violated due process and did not allow key material evidence including the father's sex offender multiple arrests and convictions or the father's paramour, or allow Sara Miller-Hornick's book "Ultimate Guide to Bondage" into evidence. [see attached]
32. During the trial Judge McGinty allowed the attorney for the child, Douglas Broda, to act not for the children but what can only be construed as being in the capacity of an extension of the plaintiff fathers legal team, **interrupting and objecting over 300 times to defendant wife/mother presentation of her custody case.**
33. During trial, Attorney for the Child, Douglas Broda, often screamed at and waved his finger at mother during her testimony.
- On record Alisha Clark Walker states: "Please stop waving your hand in my face, you're scaring me."
- Judge McGinty allowed attorney for the child Douglas Broda, to harass the mother defendant in the courtroom creating an unsafe environment for a DV victim.
34. Judge McGinty did not consider the theft of the family business, Clark + Walker Studio, even though clear evidence of this was brought forth by the defendant wife.

35. The father makes over \$118,000 per year according to his income taxes. Judge McGinty did not award or even consider any statutory spousal support over 4 years of litigation to the defendant wife creating favoritism towards the father and clear sex bias decisions.
36. Judge McGinty did not properly consider the relative financial condition of the parties and of the displaced homemaker defendant wife in equitable distribution decision making another argument for sex bias and favoritism towards father.
37. Judge McGinty did improper imputation of income to displaced homemaker defendant wife going back to initial party separation and wrongfully assessing 4 years of back child support. Defendant Income below self-support reserve level, already awarded in pauperis status by court showing extreme sex bias. In the decision, Judge McGinty Wrongly asserted Equitable Distribution Assessments against the impoverished defendant wife. **This is a violation of DRL equitable distribution factors and existing NYS public policy.**
38. Judge McGinty Improperly ruled also based on the subject of Federal 42 USC 1983 civil rights lawsuit(s) brought forth towards the defendant.
39. Judge McGinty incorrectly vaulted the marital property, 766 Taborton Road Property and Assessment of back taxes to defendant wife. Judge McGinty did not allow any evidence nor consider extensive testimony on the home's evaluation showing clear bias towards plaintiff father.
40. Judge McGinty in his decision often described the children as the “father’s children” or “his” children, often not even recognizing the mother, illustrating further a pattern of invidious bias and discrimination..
41. According to the Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge of the NYS Unified Court System, **Code of Judicial Conduct: Canon 2: ‘A judge shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all of the judge’s activities.’ Canon 3: ‘A Judge Shall Perform the Duties Of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently.**
42. **Upon information and belief, the judge improvidently signed the Order and Judgement before reviewing or replying to the defendant wife’s Response Issues, to which the Attorney for plaintiff husband drafted an Order and Judgment on February 6, 2023. [Exhibit “B”]**
43. **The Judge’s decision is reflective of a distorted and shocking to the conscience gender bias and was made against the proper spirit of justice, the law and of current public policy in New York State. The judge’s decision has also been designed to**

further make the defendant wife a pauper, and is believed to have been made to ultimately attempt to incarcerate the defendant wife and in retribution against her willingness to speak her mind openly to those in positions of power.

44. The decision to file this Complaint was made in good faith after careful thought and consideration, and in the interests of justice.

Sincerely,

Alisha Clark Walker

And on behalf of the displaced children of Alisha Clark Walker
757 Taborton Road
Sand Lake, NY 12153
alishaclark@me.com
Cell: 413-449-8238

New York State Division of Criminal Services Arrest and Conviction Report for sex offender, Luke J. Walker:

From: CHRS_Admin@courts.state.ny.us
Subject: Criminal History Search Results
Date: January 23, 2020 at 1:33 PM
To: alishaclark@me.com



 NEW YORK STATE
Unified Court System

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION
 25 Beaver Street
 New York, New York 10004
 (212) 426-2810

Division of Administrative Services
 Criminal History Record Search (CHRS) Program

Criminal Disposition Information

Bill To Information	Job No	Delivery Type	Order Date	Order Time
Alisha Clark 125 Meadows Drive Melrose, NY 12121	6272466	E-mail	01/23/2020	01:04 PM

Name (A.K.A.)		Arrest Date	Adjourn/Disposition Date, Charge, Disposition, and Sentence Information	OCA Remarks
County	D.O.B.			
WALKER,LUKE (WALKER,LUKE J)		08/07/2001	Cortland City Court	
CORTLAND	03/09/1983		Docket/Case/Serial Number: 2001-31929 Court Control Number: 28309545Q Adjourned To: 09/21/2001 Charge: PL 130.20 01 AM - SEXUAL MISCONDUCT Disposition/Status: PLED GUILTY Sentenced to: IMPRISONMENT 60 DAYS, PROBATION 3 YEARS Cortland City Court Docket/Case/Serial Number: 2001-31930 Court Control Number: 28309545Q Adjourned To: 09/21/2001 Charge: PL 130.60 01 AM 2ND DEGREE - SEXUAL ABUSE Disposition/Status: PLED GUILTY Sentenced to: IMPRISONMENT 60 DAYS, PROBATION 3 YEARS Cortland City Court Docket/Case/Serial Number: 2001-31931 Court Control Number: 28309545Q Adjourned To: 09/21/2001 Charge: PL 130.60 01 AM 2ND DEGREE - SEXUAL ABUSE Disposition/Status: PLED GUILTY Sentenced to: IMPRISONMENT 60 DAYS, PROBATION 3	

			YEARS																					
			Cortland City Court Docket/Case/Serial Number: 2001-31932 Court Control Number: 28309545Q Adjourned To: 09/21/2001 Charge: PL 130.60 01 AM 2ND DEGREE - SEXUAL ABUSE Disposition/Status: PLED GUILTY Sentenced to: IMPRISONMENT 60 DAYS, PROBATION 6 YEARS																					
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Name (A.K.A.)</th> <th>Arrest Date</th> <th>Adjourn/Disposition Date, Charge, Disposition, and Sentence Information</th> <th>OCA Remarks</th> </tr> <tr> <th>County</th> <th>D.O.B.</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2">WALKER,LUKE (WALKER,LUKE J)</td> <td>02/25/2001</td> <td> Cortland County Court Docket/Case/Serial Number: 2001-0041 Court Control Number: 30530676L Adjourned To: 07/18/2001 Charge: PL 130.65 02 DF 1ST DEGREE - SEXUAL ABUSE Disposition/Status: PLED GUILTY Sentenced to: IMPRISONMENT 6 MONTHS, FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION, PROBATION 10 YEARS Charge: PL 130.35 02 BF 1ST DEGREE - RAPE Disposition/Status: REDUCED </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CORTLAND</td> <td>03/09/1983</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Name (A.K.A.)		Arrest Date	Adjourn/Disposition Date, Charge, Disposition, and Sentence Information	OCA Remarks	County	D.O.B.				WALKER,LUKE (WALKER,LUKE J)		02/25/2001	Cortland County Court Docket/Case/Serial Number: 2001-0041 Court Control Number: 30530676L Adjourned To: 07/18/2001 Charge: PL 130.65 02 DF 1ST DEGREE - SEXUAL ABUSE Disposition/Status: PLED GUILTY Sentenced to: IMPRISONMENT 6 MONTHS, FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION, PROBATION 10 YEARS Charge: PL 130.35 02 BF 1ST DEGREE - RAPE Disposition/Status: REDUCED		CORTLAND	03/09/1983			
Name (A.K.A.)		Arrest Date	Adjourn/Disposition Date, Charge, Disposition, and Sentence Information	OCA Remarks																				
County	D.O.B.																							
WALKER,LUKE (WALKER,LUKE J)		02/25/2001	Cortland County Court Docket/Case/Serial Number: 2001-0041 Court Control Number: 30530676L Adjourned To: 07/18/2001 Charge: PL 130.65 02 DF 1ST DEGREE - SEXUAL ABUSE Disposition/Status: PLED GUILTY Sentenced to: IMPRISONMENT 6 MONTHS, FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION, PROBATION 10 YEARS Charge: PL 130.35 02 BF 1ST DEGREE - RAPE Disposition/Status: REDUCED																					
CORTLAND	03/09/1983																							

Sentence Terms:

All sentences for a misdemeanor with a term of incarceration of "one year" or "365 days" are, by operation of law, interpreted to mean and be applied as a sentence of 364 days. Any CHRS report that displays a sentence of one year for such misdemeanors should be read to mean a sentence of 364 days.

Law Codes:

AC	Administrative Code	CPL	Criminal Procedure Law	LOC	Local Law	RP	Real Property Law
ABC	Alcoholic Beverage Control Law	ECL	Environmental Conservation Law	MD	Multiple Dwelling Law	RR	Railroad Law
BL	Banking Law	GB	General Business Law	MHY	Mental Hygiene Law	SW	Social Services Law
CON	Conservation Law	GML	General Municipal Law	PHL	Public Health Law	TL	Transportation Law
COR	Correction Law	LAB	Labor Law	PL	Penal Law	VTL	Vehicle and Traffic Law

Charge Nomenclature:

Example: PL 220.03.00 AM

PL (Penal Law) = NYS Law 220.03 = Section 00 = Subsection AM = Severity 'A' Misdemeanor

Charge Severity:

I = Infraction V = Violation M = Misdemeanor F = Felony

Court Control Number:

This is preprinted on the NYS Fingerprint Card and used to match court dispositions to the arrest. This arrest specific numeric identifier can be used for contacting courts for case information when a docket (lower court) or case number (Supreme/County Court) is not available (e.g. case data reflects lower court dispositions as Grand Jury, Indicted, or Supreme Court Transfer but no related case number.)


NEWS EXCLUSIVE



Upstate judge favored abusive men over battered women in custody cases, lawsuit claims

By Lorena Mongelli

August 20, 2021 | 3:07pm | Updated



<https://nypost.com/2021/08/20/ny-judge-favored-abusive-men-over-battered-women-in-custody-cases-lawsuit-claims/>

<https://www.dailyfreeman.com> › 2021/11/25 › third-wo... ⋮

Third woman files federal suit against Ulster County Family ...

Nov 25, 2021 — — A third Ulster County woman has filed a federal lawsuit against Ulster County Family Court **Judge Anthony McGinty**, alleging the judge violated ...

<https://casetext.com> › ... › ND NY › 2022 › January ⋮

Amato v. McGinty - Casetext

Jan 26, 2022 — **ANTHONY MCGINTY**, Defendant. APPEARANCES: FRANCESCA AMATO Plaintiff, pro se. THÉRÈSE WILEY DANCKS, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE **JUDGE**....

<https://casetext.com> › ... › ND NY › 2017 › June ⋮

Amato v. McGinty - Casetext

Jun 2, 2017 — **JUDGE ANTHONY MCGINTY**, individually and as Ulster County Family Court Judge; ATTORNEY ANDREW GILDAY, individually and as a public defender ...

<https://www.casemine.com> › ... › 2017 › September ⋮

Amato v. Judge Anthony McGinty | N.D.N.Y. | Judgment | Law

Plaintiffs have brought this action against Ulster County Family Court **Judge Anthony McGinty** ("Defendant McGinty") for his role in a decision dictating the ...

<https://www.change.org> › corrupt-judge-en-us ⋮

Topic · Corrupt judge - Change.org

IT MUST STOP Countless Americans are violated each day by family court- However, in Ulster county NY- Ulster county **Judge Anthony McGinty** has rendered more ...

<https://www.change.org> › national-coalition-for-family-... ⋮

IMPEACH ANTHONY MCGINTY U LSTER COUNTY FAMILY



Amazon.com



The Ultimate Guide to Bondage:
Creating Intimacy through the Art of...

[Visit](#)

[Please note: This is the book that was written by the live-in practicing BDSM paramour to the plaintiff father, which was obstructed by the judge from being introduced as evidence in the custody/divorce case.]