## Small Scene Theater

	Delivery (non character)	/60
Actor	Delivery	/60
Actor:	Props and Costumes	/40
Actor:	Penalties	<del></del> ,
Actor:	Acting Score	/200
Actor:		
Actor:	Delivery (non character concerns):	
Actor:	(A) 60-54 (B) 53-48 (C) 4	47-42 (D) 41-36
Team Work:	There are a number of thir	ngs that make up an
(A) 40-36 (B) 35-32 (C) 31-28 (D) 27-24	actor's delivery that have nothing to do with their interpretation of the character. <b>Chief among them</b>	
Actors can perform well unto themselves, but the	is the ability to be articul	0
best performances see actors working off of each	without stumbling; consc	_
other and adding to one another's presentation.	pause for effect and the a	
As with Reader's Theater, this is all about what	the other actors and supp	•
you do when you have nothing to say. It's how	speak their lines. In addit	•
well you react to the lines of others or how focused	to keep their script with them, did it become a	
you stay within the scene when you have stretches	distraction or hindrance? Was the actor ready to	
where there is nothing for you to do. A good actor	deliver their lines when they were supposed to? All	
gets out of their self-centered role and pitches in to	of these things have nothing to do with the	
make the whole scene outstanding.	believability of your chara important. Were they invo	cter but are just as
There was excellent teamwork amongst your	important. Were they have	ivou:
actors. Due to their constant focus and participation	This was an excellent r	performance where the
you developed a great chemistry.	This was an excellent performance where the actors delivered their lines extremely well and had	
	no problems with stumbling, slurring or losing their	
The same could be said for this score save that	place. The use of the scripts offered no problems	
one or two of your characters were not always	and the students did a marvelous job of supporting	
focused on the scene and so it became a "good"	one another even when they had no lines to speak.	
rather than a "great" presentation.	one unother even when the	ly flue no fines to speak.
		mance but did not receive
The team work was adequate but one or more of	the highest marks due to c	oncerns with:
your characters were never really involved in the		
scene to make it a strong one.		performance, but did not
	receive the highest marks	due to:
The team work was adequate but too often your		
actors came to life only when they had a line to	This was a poor perform	nance due to problems
speak or received a line from others. If they had to	with:	
wait and observe they did too little to really make	enunciation stumblingrate	
the scene better.	problems with the use	of the script
	problems with props or costumes	
There was very little team work to this group.	a lack of support for f	ellow actors
	poor pantomime weak involvement	

Team Work

/40

Delivery: (A) 60-54 (B) 53-48 (C) 47-42 (D) 41-36
In judging this category each student will be assessed on how well they perform their individual part. In another category you were graded on the mechanics of your delivery but this one is concerned with your believability, conviction and involvement. When all of the students are graded out, a score that reflects the effectiveness of the entire group will be made. In order to receive an excellent score every student must do their utmost to bring out the best in their character.
Every part was expertly portrayed by everyone involved. The characters were both believable and entertaining.
The team of actors did a great job but had periods – or a single major instance – that did not live up to their overall excellence.
The team of actors did a good job but too often you sensed that they could have been more involved in their roles and given an even better effort.
The team of actors did an adequate job of portraying the scene, but the overall presentation was not especially convincing in terms of characterization and involvement.
The team of actors attempted to supply a decent performance but they were too inconsistent in their

COMMENTS:

part.

efforts and not involved enough.

\_ This was a weak effort by the actors that

suggested little involvement or preparation on their

Props and Costumes: (A) 40-36 (B) 35-32 (C) 31-28 (D) 27-24

If you were acting as the director or organizing as a group led unit, you had to make sure that props and costumes were supplied for your fellow students. No one was required to spend any amount of money to supply these things as imagination and adapting and overcoming skills should have allowed you to furnish them. In this category you were graded on how well you managed the appearance of your group.

The presentation had an excellent visual appearance and the actors were all supplied with every article they could use to complete the scene.
The presentation had a good look to it, but there was something lacking in the costumes that could have been better if there was more creativity or detail brought to bare.
The presentation had a good look to it, but there was something lacking in the properties that could have been better if there was more creativity or detail brought to bare.
The presentation had an acceptable look to it, but could have been better if more creativity or detail had been supplied in either costumes or properties.
The appearance of the presentation was weakened due to the fact that the use of costumes and properties were below the expectations of the unit.
Neither costumes nor properties were supplied and suggested a lack of preparation and effort.

**COMMENTS:**