

GSG Assembly Meeting



Date: 4 February 2025

I. Call to Order

- Quorum Count – completed
- Discretionary Spending Report – not completed

II. GSG Updates

- Election committee rep discusses updates to the electoral process
 - Extra emails, virtual and meet and candidates event had lower attendance, but during winter break
 - Two withdrawals early in the election process
 - Quorum met and no discrepancies
 - Approve new election committee earlier, improve delays in communication and holiday work schedules; ethics and DEI training earlier
- Vote to certify the election results by voice vote; vote results certified by unanimous vocal vote (all 'Yay'); thanks to outgoing officers for their service
- Special Election for GSG Diversity, Equity and Inclusion
 - Teagan gives campaign statement
 - Carla gives campaign statement
 - Char Wynter not in attendance
 - No questions asked
- Title IX Presentation by Randy Hubert, director of gender equity and title IX administration
 - WeSpeak survey (2022) awareness of resources decreased
 - Reporting obligations – mandatory reporters include faculty and staff, Als/preceptors for students whom you have taught, RCAs and CLAs
 - Overview of formal grievance process (6-9 months)– does not require extensive additional evidence beyond the account, no discipline for alcohol use, the University cannot prohibit discussion about the case
 - Question about processes for different claims from Women in STEM rep with different protections based on whether the student is enrolled at the time of filing the complaint

GSG Assembly Meeting



- Court injunction (mid July) enjoined Princeton, so the changes that had been prepared did not take effect in compliance with Biden administration, so now the policy is still just the 2020 standards
- Alternative resolution process by shuttle diplomacy, shorter and sign a contract that can not be reinvestigated by university; no disciplinary record; a support person such as the assistant grad school deans
- Question from Women in STEM rep: can external courts still hear these cases? Answer: Yes, this is completely separate from the university process
- Common terms – skewed no contact order, withdrawal from organizations/spaces, no apologies (not found constructive because apologies were not satisfactory)
- Confidentiality for students – Title IX is not a confidential resource and they will often reach out to a dean
- Supportive measures (available regardless of process pursued), academic changes and resources
- Training opportunities – professional boundaries workshop, academic departments, grad students, post-docs and faculty; consultations available
- Election results for DEI representative position announced – Teagan Mathur wins with 13/5/1 vote

III. Open Forum

- Motion to adjourn

END OF ASSEMBLY MEETING 18:56