append vs cons vs list

This post isn't meant to be comprehensive. <u>Ask questions in lab or as a followup here if you're confused.</u>
One of its major flaws is that it doesn't cover box and pointers. <u>LEARN BOX AND POINTERS.</u>

Here is a beautiful web based Scheme interpreter that will draw box and pointer diagrams for you. Run through the examples below with this thing:

http://xuanji.appspot.com/js-scheme-stk/index.html

In order to understand these three procedures, you first have to understand a little about Pairs and Lists.

Pairs are data structures that have two slots. You can put different stuff in these slots, like numbers or words or sentences or booleans--pretty much anything. You make a pair using cons.

```
STk> (cons 'foo 'bar)
(foo . bar)

STk> (cons 1 'ring)
(1 . ring)

STk> (cons (+ 1 2 3) (member? 3 '(the 3 stooges)))
(6 . #t)
```

In order to get stuff from a pair that you have made, you use car and cdr. car gets the thing in the first slot. cdr gets the thing in the second slot.

```
STk> (define foo (cons 'x 'y))
foo

STk> foo
(x . y)

STk> (car foo)
x

STk> (cdr foo)
y
```

That was straight forward. Now for the trippy part:

You can put pairs inside of pairs:

```
STk> (define foo (cons (cons 3 4) 5))
foo

STk> foo
((3 . 4) . 5)

STk> (car foo)
(3 . 4)

STk> (car (car foo))
3

STk> (caar foo); functionally equivalent as above.
3

STk> (cdr foo)
5

STk> (cdr (car foo))
4

STk> (cdar foo); functionally equivalent as above.
4
```

There's a certain style of pair nesting that is especially useful--Lists.

Each list has these properties:

- 1. Every list is a pair **or** the empty list (denoted by '() or nil).
- 2. The car of a nonempty list is some item.
- 3. The cdr of a nonempty list **must** be another list.

```
STk> (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 '()))); list of numbers
(1 2 3)

STk> (define stooges (cons 'larry (cons 'curly (cons 'moe nil))))
stooges

STk> stooges
```

```
(larry curly moe)
STk> (car stooges)
larry
STk> (cdr stooges); Calling cdr on a non-empty list gives you another list!
(curly moe)
STk> (cadr stooges)
curly
STk> (cdar stooges); Why does this break?
*** Error:
    cdar: bad list: (moe larry curly)
Current eval stack:
 0
       (cdar stooges)
STk> (define not-a-list (cons 'foo (cons 'bar 'baz))); This is not a list.
not-a-list
STk> not-a-list; What property does this break?
```

Notice how Scheme knew that we were making lists. Before we had parens and periods which organized our items. Scheme now recongizes that we're making a list and does away with the periods and some of the parens.

If you stare a bit at the list rules above, you can notice we used a recursive definition to define lists. Recursion... **on data!**

.

Let's talk about list. List takes a bunch of stuff and makes a list out of them. The stuff can be anything. Words, numbers, pairs, other lists. list doesn't care.

```
STk> (list 'foo 'bar' 'baz); Lists takes anything and makes a list out of it.
(foo bar baz)

STk> (list 'foo ((lambda (x) (+ x 4)) 8) #f (cons 1 (cons 3 4)) (cons 1 (cons 2 nil)) (list 1 2 3)); ANYTHING
(foo 12 #f (1 3 . 4) (1 2) (1 2 3))
```

```
STk> (list 'x 'y 'z)
(x y z)

STk> '(x y z); Sometimes you can get away with using quote to make literal lists.
Yes, sentences are secretly lists.
(x y z)

Now we can talk about append:

STk> (append '(a b c) '(d e f) '(g h i)); Append takes in lists and appends them together.
(a b c d e f g h i)

STk> (append 'foo '(1 2 3)); foo is not a list. Stuff will break.
*** Error:
    append: argument is not a list: foo
Current eval stack:

0 (append (quote foo) (quote (1 2 3)))
```

You know that cons makes a pair. You also know that you can make a list out of pairs. You can abuse cons for your own maniacal purposes.

```
STk> (cons 'joe stooges); Put stuff at the beginning of a list! (joe larry curly moe)
```

The following only applies to the STk interpreter.

```
STk> (append '(1 2 3) 'foo); Wait... what?
(1 2 3 . foo)

STk> (append '(1 2 3) (cons 4 5)); The plot thickens!
(1 2 3 4 . 5)

STk> (append stooges 'shemp); You should really figure out why this works.
(larry curly moe . shemp)
```

To summarize:

append takes in lists and outputs a big list.

cons takes in things and makes a pair out of them. However, we know that lists are made of pairs, so we can throw together a list if we use cons a certain way

list takes in things and makes a list out of those things, regardless of what they are.

Illustrated summery: http://csillustrated.berkeley.edu/PDFs/posters/list-constructors-1-poster.pdf

Let me know if you have any questions.

Andrew