# LIM, 3 Dec 2019

*Present*: R Hauser, M Clemencic, S Mosciatti, W Lampl, G Eulisse, B Hegner, G Folger, R Bachmann, C Delort, A Kazarov, M Nowak, I Razumov, G Ganis (chair), P Mato, G Stewart, B Couturier, S Muzaffar, I Goulas

Remote: A Marcinek

Agenda: https://indico.cern.ch/event/868489/

Next meeting: 17 December 2019

## Status of things

- Nightlies
  - New/upgrade packages
    - Starlight 307, Rivet 3.0.2, rangev3 0.9.1
      - For ARM, range v3 still on 0.5.0 because S Rosier still uses old version of LHCb software for tests
  - On-going: MySQL upgrade to 10.4.10, recola-collier 2.2.0
  - Arrow problem fixed by disabling jemalloc, as suggested during last meeting
  - Gaudi related failures investigated by ROOT
- LCG\_96b
  - ATLAS reported problem in building gcc extensions due to missing GMP, not present with LCG\_96
  - This is due of a difference between gcc8.2 (used for LCG\_96) and (gcc8.3 used for LCG\_96b).
  - This will be followed offline: <u>SPI-1498</u>.
- LCG\_97 plans
  - First RC after ROOT will branch v6-20-patches (ETA: end of January)
  - JIRA Epic available for desiderata, etc

## Layered stacks plans

Need more information to decide what to do. Agreed to have a dedicated release, e.g. LCG\_96b\_ls, to exercise machinery, in particular for RPMs. (After the meeting it was decided to tag the current dev4, so a more appropriate name is LCG\_dev4\_ls). This release will only be built for one or two platforms: x86-64-centos7-gcc8-opt and x86-64-centos7-gcc9-opt . The latter is because LHCb will use only gcc9 from next release on, although there are still some issues to cross-check with ROOT, related to ambiguities in string constructors. Some, but not all, are expected to be fixed in ROOT v6.20.

## Spack investigations

Ivan presented the status of his tests with Spack as a replacement of LCGCmake. The work was generally well received. In the discussion that followed several aspects have been touched:

- Potential database related issues when publishing on CernVM-FS
  - Workaround is to use CernVM-FS as target installation, not as repository
- Spack aggressive hashing approach
  - This is felt as a potential issue. Felt so by the developers. No real workaround for now. Need more experience to understand the real extension of the problem.
- System packages handle by Spack
  - General fear that could trigger huge rebuilds
  - LHCb is ok with rebuilding also the 'fundaments' but only if stable and not maintained by SFT (huge work)
  - Seen as a possible way to get rid of HepOSLibs
- Question raised for which we need further information / investigation
  - HEP recipes uploaded upstream
    - Need solution for experiment specific recipes/packages (there will always be a need for that, e.g. Geant4 for LHCb)
    - In general no agreement, need more information
  - Need a workflow for developers
    - Spack-dev not consider the solution
  - If we go with Spack we need a forum were to discuss our issues
    - Spack developers invited at LIM or the other way around
  - We need a solution for Spack / Yum interplay
    - ATLAS DAQ (and ALICE Online) will never give up completely ROM repositories
    - Metapackage as glue could be a solution

### AoB

- MacOS Catalina and cvmfs
  - Solution with firmlinks, suggested during last meeting, seems to work
- Need to provide m32 support in the compilers (see <u>SPI-1434</u>).