

Qin Shi Huang project.

Ruby Enclade

Qin Shi Huang was the ruler of an Empire. It was called the Qin dynasty. The Qin lasted approximately from 221 to 206 B.C.E. Qin's subjects saw him as a cruel and unfair ruler who had lost the Mandate of Heaven. They thought this because he raised their taxes unnecessarily, killed Confucian scholars, and more. Then in 213 B.C.E. Qin died and his empire was soon overthrown by Liu Bang.

I believe Doc A is the most reliable source. One of the reasons I believe this is because it's not opinionated and it's more of actual facts. It is also from a textbook so I believe it has a better chance of being correct, in my opinion. It also talks about people who actually lived during this time and what they thought of Qin.

Doc A is more reliable than B because of what I said in the 2nd paragraph, Doc B is very opinionated. For example In Doc A the textbook said the Qin dynasty ended because Qin died and then Liu Bang overthrew it. But in Doc B Jia Yi believed if Qin was nicer to his subjects the dynasty would've continued. Basically the whole Essay is talking about all the things Qin did wrong. It's not informing the reader if it only talks about mistakes and not explaining what the dynasty was. Jia Yi was also a confucian

scholar and Qin killed confucian scholars so it makes sense why he talked about the dynasty like this.

Hsiao-Wen wrote about the fall of the Qin dynasty in Doc C.

Hsiao-Wen did think badly of Qin, but not because Qin was too harsh. He thought the Qin was weak. He thought that Qin didn't kill Confucian scholars but thinks he should've killed them. It's weird that he just assumed he didn't kill them. Doc C is also biased because Hsiao-Wen wrote it during the Cultural Revolution. "The Cultural Revolution was a sociopolitical movement in China that began in 1966 with Mao Zedong, the leader of the Chinese Communist Party, denouncing the old capitalistic and traditional ways of Chinese life."

I think that both Doc B and C are not very reliable. They are both way too opinionated and basically say what they think is an actual fact. Both authors are only talking about all the bad things about Qin. Doc A is not opinionated and actually has facts. So that's why I believe that Doc A is more reliable than the others.

Overall, Doc A is the most reliable document. It actually refers to the terms they used during this time. It also is from the textbook and I think that means it has a better chance of being correct.

