

Rebuttal to the Quint article by some Aishwarya Aiyyer

Dear Quint, First you start with a premise "ShinkhNaad is known to spread hate".

Good going, let's prejudice the reader before reading the article because of course, your hollow arguments won't be convincing enough, you need an extra push! Right?

Now getting to the article itself, here's what I have to say to your treatment of the points raised on our fb page, one by one:

1) 'First post-mortem report mentions only murder and not the rape of the minor'

Quint says, According to the chargesheet, accessed by The Quint, there is no mention of more than one post-mortem being conducted.

Exactly what we pointed out- that evidence has been botched up. Let me jog your memory a bit and point you to earlier media reports that say "8 yr old murdered". Yeah because how important can the rape angle be, let's leave it out.

The 'medical experts' have changed their statements in cases before, so unlikely they can change it now.

Rubbished

2) It is impossible to hold someone captive and rape for 8 days in a temple which is always crowded and is situated in the middle of the street.

Quint says it's a desolate temple on a hilltop in middle of nowhere.

Dear Quint, it's not exactly Chandni Chowk and had you really been there you'd realise it's not exactly a temple either but more of a community hall. Secondly, it has weekly bhandaras where people from THREE villages gather together.

Police have conveniently said they did the bhandara outside (yeah, lie no one would even peek in and ask why it's shut close)

The village women said their children play around the hall. Some 'middle of nowhere' it is, eh Quint?

Rubbished

3) The girl's body had mud deposits which did not belong to the area which suggests that she was murdered somewhere else and her body was thrown in the temple premises.

Quint says, There is no mention whatsoever of mud in the investigation submitted by the police, which includes opinions by medical experts as well.

And you don't find that convenient that a basic forensic evidence finds no mention anywhere? We got the news from local sources but you never had any counterargument to that. Ask the investigators to fabricate that evidence, maybe that would help you.

Rubbished

You also put in bold: "one of the hair strands found in the Devistan matched with the DNA profile of the victim" So you're saying, Quint, and read this carefully - that **a girl's been raped by various men for six days and ALL that the police finds is a strand of hair?**

No botch up job here. Brilliant NOT

Wished you had read your own article and seen through the shallowness of your arguments before humiliating yourselves as usual.

but moving on...

4) When villagers raised concern over Rohingyas being settled in the area, Mufti govt got rattled

Quint says "Rohingyas with necessary documentation the right to settle in Jammu, it is true that locals have had a problem since this matter began three years ago."

Where's the rebuttal here? aren't you conceding that ShankhNaad right here? so this article is what, another of your clickbaits?

Yes it rattled the govt because when media went to Jammu a few weeks back to investigate, the Rohingya sympathisers misbehaved with the media, it became news, and the patience of people was running out. It was time to turn the narrative around.

5) Mufti sends Officer Irfan Wani to create a new case, who was previously accused of raping a girl and murdering her brother in police custody

Quint says: "It wasn't Mehbooba Mufti SSP Crime Branch, Ramesh Kumar Jalla, who is a Kashmiri Pandit, chose the members of his team."

This one's my fav somehow because the simpleton who wrote this thinks SSP Crime Branch works under Vladimir Putin, not J&K govt.

And after this dumb argument, the rest of the part vindicates our point which i wont bother dissecting further, too easy.

6) After Irfan Wani got involved, new report was created and 'rape' was inserted without any forensic evidence and locals were tortured in the name of investigation.

Quint, you used your own argument made earlier to negate this point. That's bad referencing, that's rookie, that's stupid as well. Because this is the entire point of contention over which people are demanding a CBI inquiry. A fair one.

Comprende?

7) To protect the real culprits, innocents were framed including the officials from Jammu Police.

Quint you argue here that who the real culprit is can only be found after proper investigation. That was again exactly my concern- why not go for a CBI enquiry? What is Mehbooba afraid of? What does she have to hide here?

And finally, your rhetoric ... "... it can only be debated in a court of law and not on a Facebook page" well guess what, it can't be decided on a propaganda page like Quint either which claims to be on ground but skips the telling points of concerns conveniently.

I wish you better luck next time.

Yours truly