

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Schedule of Oral Arguments

for

Monday, May 11, 2026

Arguments commence promptly at 9:30 a.m.

RDB 1000

O'Connor-Ratcliff v. Garnier, No. 26-1227

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Questions Presented: Whether, under this Court's decision in *Lindke v. Freed*, the president of a School District Board of Trustees acted under color of state law in blocking parents from posting critical comments on her social media page.

Before: Gonzalez Garcia, C.J., and Lopes and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Noah Fineberg

Counsel for Respondent: Karlee Beneventano

Herzon v. Arias, No. 26-0520

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit

Questions Presented:

(1) Whether this Court should overrule *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents* and hold that actions against federal officials for damages for constitutional violations require a congressional cause of action.

(2) Whether Congress' 1988 enactment of the Inspector General Act establishes a "meaningful difference" such that a Fourth Amendment excessive force claim arises in a new context, precluding a *Bivens* cause of action.

(3) Whether a Fourth Amendment excessive force claim against federal drug-enforcement officers arises in a new context where the excessive force occurred during execution of a valid search warrant in a public place.

Before: Anderson, C.J., and Shirazi and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Alejandro Jimenez

Counsel for Respondent: Callie Moore

New Georgia Project, Inc. v. Carr, No. 26-1028

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Question Presented: Whether *Younger v. Harris* and its progeny requires the district court to abstain from First Amendment challenge to state administrative campaign-finance proceeding.

Before: Cross, C.J., and Wilson and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Alyssa Lopes

Counsel for Respondent: Ashley Miranda

Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians v. Howe, No. 26-0526

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

Question Presented: Whether § 1983 provides a private right of action to enforce § 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

Before: Fineberg, C.J., and Oren and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Sasha Shirazi

Counsel for Respondent: Daniela Gonzalez Garcia

Olivier v. City of Brandon, No. 26-0619

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

Questions Presented:

(1) Whether this court’s decision in *Heck v. Humphrey* bars claims under § 1983 seeking purely prospective relief where the plaintiff has previously been punished under the law challenged as unconstitutional.

(2) Whether *Heck v. Humphrey* bars § 1983 claims by plaintiffs who never had access to federal habeas relief.

Before: Beneventano, C.J., and Vadea and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Elizabeth Anderson

Counsel for Respondent: David Wilson

City of Jackson v. Sterling, No. 26-0331

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

Questions Presented:

(1) Whether the Fourteenth Amendment permits government liability on a “state-created danger” theory.

(2) Whether a municipality can be liable on a state-created danger theory based on its provision of city services, such as water.

Before: Klecky, C.J., and Bendana and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Seth Morton

Counsel for Respondent: Tyler Humphreys

Hershey v. City of Bossier City, No. 26-0303 (cross-appeal)

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

Questions Presented:

(1) Whether “obviousness” exception to requirement that a right be “clearly established” by factually analogous precedent applies to all constitutional claims, rather than being limited to Eighth Amendment claims.

(2) Whether officers violated clearly established rights in preventing individual from distributing religious literature on public sidewalk.

Before: Moore, C.J., and Jimenez and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Jasmyne Vadea

Counsel for Resondent: David Aguledo

City of Bossier City v. Hershey, No. 26-0303 (cross-appeal)

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

Question Presented: Whether plaintiff can establish *Monell* liability based solely on a municipality's failure to provide specific training on specific issues under specific rights.

Before: Humphreys, C.J., and Morton and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Sara Oren

Counsel for Respondent: Yonatan Klecky

Gilbank v. Wood Cty. Dept. of Human Servs., No. 26-0423

On certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit

Questions Presented:

(1) Whether *Rooker-Feldman* recognizes a "fraud exception" allowing federal courts to exercise jurisdiction over actions challenging state-court judgments.

(2) Whether *Rooker-Feldman* applies to claims that are "inextricably intertwined" with a state-court judgment.

(3) Whether *Rooker-Feldman* deprives district court of jurisdiction in action challenging process and result of state-court custody proceedings.

Before: Aguledo, C.J., and Miranda and Wasserman, JJ.

Counsel for Petitioner: Ricardo Bendana

Counsel for Respondent: Rachel Cross