Initial Program Review: Preliminary Multiple Subject

Program Standard 7 and TPE 7: Effective Literacy Instruction for All Students Feedback

Institution	Riverside County Office of Education
Submission Link	These two items will be the primary evidence you will be reviewing:
	Riverside COE Program Standard 7 Literacy Response (Please note that the response to this
	standard begins on page 45 of 65) and
	Riverside COE MS TPE Course Matrix (Please note that TPE 7 begins on page 11 of 21)
	The items below provide additional context for the proposed program that you may find helpful to
	reference for the review:
	Riverside COE Prelim MS Program Summary
	Riverside COE Prelim MS Course Sequence
Date of Initial Review	July 2023
Dates of Subsequent Reviews	December 2023

Reviewer Instructions:

- You will be providing feedback for Multiple Subject/Single Subject Program Standard and Teaching Performance Expectations Domain 7: Effective Literacy Instruction for All Students.
 - Please note, portions of standard and TPEs may require slightly different evidence depending on the program (Multiple Subject, Single Subject English, and Single Subject other than English)
- Please reference the program standard and the TPE on the MS-SS Literacy Standard TPE webpage as you review.
- You may use the <u>Preliminary MS-SS Program Standard 7 Literacy evidence guide</u> for this standard as well.
- If the element of the standard/TPE Domain **is aligned**, please write "Met" in the respective *Reviewers'* (*First, etc.*) *Review* row. Then, use the drop-down menu in the column labeled *Aligned* to select *Yes*.
- If the element of the standard/TPE Domain **is not aligned**, please provide specific feedback, and identify the specific element that is not aligned. Then, use the drop-down menu in the column labeled *Aligned* to select *More Information Needed*.

Institution Instructions:

- Amend the original submission in an easily identifiable manner either **bold**, highlighting and/or different colored text. Provide the **direct link** to the updated submission in the *Link(s)* to *Institution's Response* row for each standard that the reviewers identified as needing more information. The link must take the reviewers to the **exact location** of the amended evidence on the IPR proposal website. For example, if the response to feedback was updated in Element 7a, then provide a link to Element 7a in the *Link(s)* to *Institution's Response* row.t. Also, identify the response to feedback (i.e., "Response to First Review," "Response to Second Review, etc.").
- •
- Any accompanying narrative addressing the feedback should be noted on the amended evidence— not on this feedback form.
- If an element is aligned, no additional information or link is necessary.

General Comments:

- Feedback includes the language of the standards to provide context, the highlighted portions are the areas where reviewers are requesting more information.
- Please provide evidence that all standard elements are being implemented during supervised field experiences.
- Reviewers did not find evidence regarding:
 - o the integration of literacy standards and discipline specific pedagogy and content knowledge
 - o oral language development and effective expression
 - o Meaning making and higher order thinking skills
 - o Candidates engaging in supporting student peer-assessment development

Part 1: Preliminary Multiple Subject and Single Subject Credential Program Standard 7

Program Standard 7: Effective Literacy Instruction for All Students

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how:	More
 "The credential program's coursework and supervised field experiences encompass the study of effective means of teaching literacy across all disciplines." Program coursework and supervised field experiences are aligned with the current, SBE-adopted English Language Arts/English Language Development (ELA/ELD) Framework, including the crosscutting themes of Foundational Skills, Meaning Making, Language Development, Effective Expression, and Content Knowledge. Candidates learn the power of language (both oral and written) to understand and transform the world and to create and support socially just learning environments. The program also builds candidates' understanding that high-quality literacy instruction integrates all strands of the ELA/literacy standards, all parts of the ELD standards, and other disciplinary standards to develop students' capacities as effective and critical readers, writers, listeners, and speakers. The program makes clear the importance of creating environments that promote students' autonomy in learning, including providing choices in reading and other literacy-related activities. Candidates learn that instructional practices vary according to students' learning profiles and goals, age, English language proficiency, and assessed strengths and needs and include, as appropriate, direct instruction, collaborative learning, and inquiry-based learning. Candidates also learn the value of guided self-assessment and goal setting for student independence, motivation, and learning 	Information Needed
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
Program Standard 7: Effective Literacy instruction for All Student	
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Although general narrative was provided within the response, reviewers did not find explicit connections to each of the	Information
elements of the standards indicated above through revised course assignments and field experience documents.	Needed

```
Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:
EDU 260 Week 2: Thematic Science Unit
EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science-Assignment
EDU 260 Week 4 VAPA Lesson
EDU 260 Week 5: Physical Education Lesson Plan
PRAC 155 Week 16 Science and Unit Planning
PRAC 155 Week 17 History/Social Science
PRAC 155 Week 18 Visual and Performing Arts
PRAC 155 Week 19 Physical and Health Education
PRAC 160 Week 4 Foundations of Teaching Math and Assessment
PRAC 160 Week 5 Number Sense, Operational Understanding, Basic Fact Fluency, and Place Value
PRAC 160 Week 6 Addition, Subtraction, Multiplication, Division, and Foundations of Algebra
PRAC 160 Week 7 Fractions, Decimals, Percent, and Ratios
PRAC 160 Week 8 Measurement, Geometric Thinking, Data, Statistics, Probability, Exponents, Integers, and Real
Numbers
EDU 230 Week 5 Lesson Plan-Alignment to ELA/ELD Framework-Text Structure
EDU 230 Week 5 Interactivity
EDU 240 Week 5 Discussion Forum
EDU 250 Week 2 ELA/ELD Framework Reading
EDU 250 Week 2 ELA/ELD Framework Reading
EDU 250 Week 5 Vocabulary Development Strategies
PRAC 165 Week 10 Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Students
PRAC 165 Week 12 Differentiation
EDU 220 Week 2 Building a Community of Learners
EDU 260 Week 5 Health Education Lesson Plan
EDU 340 Week 1 Chapter 3 Case Study "Which Assessment Should Keona Use?"
EDU 340 Week 6 Chapter 14 Case Study - "Why Make These Specific Adaptations?"
EDU 340 Week 6 Chapter 15 Case Study "What are the Strengths and Weaknesses of This Grading Plan?"
EDU 230 Week 5 Lesson Plan-Alignment to ELA/ELD Framework-Text Structure
EDU 230 Week 5 Interactivity
EDU 240 Week 5 Discussion Forum
EDU 260 Week 2: Thematic Science Unit
EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science-Assignment
```

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned

EDU 260 Week 4 VAPA Lesson

EDU 260 Week 5: Physical Education Lesson PlanEDU 220 Week 4 Instructional Models-Reading Direct and Concept

Attainment Models

EDU 220 Week 4 Instructional Models Instructional Model-Based Lesson Plan

EDU 220 Week 6 Reading: Cooperative Models, Collaborative Conversations, Reading Rockets-Cooperative Learning

EDU 220 Week 6 Additional Reading-The Value of Peer Assessment and How to Teach Peer Feedback

EDU 220 Week 6 Part 1, Day 3: Share Your Experience with Cooperative Learning

EDU 220 Week 6 Putting it All Together - Self Assessment

EDU 220 Week 6 Putting it All Together - Peer Assessment

EDU 220 Week 6 Student Self-Reflective Learning and Goal Setting

EDU 320 Week 5 Multiliteracy Lesson Plan-Student Created

7a) Foundational Skills

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how:	More
The program provides supervised, guided practice in clinical settings that allow candidates to provide comprehensive	Information
literacy instruction, including initial or supplemental foundational skills instruction at beginning levels of reading (i.e.,	Needed
before children have typically developed fluency in decoding).	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
7a. Foundation Skills	
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Although general narrative was provided within the response and syllabi were revised, reviewers did not find explicit	Information
connections to each of the elements of the standards indicated above within the revised clinical experience	Needed
documents.	

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:	
PRAC 150: Week 12	
PRAC 150: Week 13	
PRAC 150: Week 14	
PRAC 150 Week 15	
PRAC 150 Week 16	
PRAC 150 Week 17	
PRAC 155 Week 10	
PRAC 155: Week 13	

7b) Meaning Making

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how:	More
 Coursework and supervised field experiences for the Multiple Subject or Single Subject credential program 	Information
emphasize meaning making as the central purpose for interacting with and interpreting texts, composing texts,	Needed
engaging in research, participating in discussions, speaking with others, and listening to, viewing, and giving presentations.	
 Through coursework and supervised field experiences, candidates learn to engage students in reading, 	
listening, speaking, writing, and viewing closely (i.e., with close and thoughtful attention) to draw evidence	
from texts, ask and answer questions, and support analysis, reflection, and research.	
 The program addresses literal and inferential comprehension with all students at all grades and in all 	
disciplines, including making connections with prior knowledge and experiences. The program also teaches the	
importance of attending to higher-order cognitive skills at all grades, such as reasoning, inferencing,	
perspective taking, and critical reading, writing, listening, and speaking across the disciplines.	
 Candidates also learn to promote deep and sustained reading of increasingly complex texts and to plan 	
instruction, including intentional scaffolding and integration of students' assets, based on an analysis of the text	
complexity of instructional materials and the integration of meaning making with other themes.	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
7b. Meaning Making	

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Although general narrative was provided within the response and syllabi were revised, reviewers did not find clear	Information
evidence of how literacy is taught within the content areas.	Needed

Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:

EDU 260 Week 2: Thematic Science Unit

PRAC 155 Week 16

EDU 260 Week 5: Physical Education Lesson Plan

PRAC 155 Week 17

EDU 230 Week 4 Teaching and Learning: Literacy and Reading-Assignment

EDU 230 Week 5 Teaching and Learning: Literacy and Reading: Group Assignment

EDU 230 Week 5 How to Teach Expository Text Structures - Reading

EDU 230 Week 5 Implementing the Text Structure Strategy in Your Classroom - Reading

7c) Language Development

 Coursework and supervised field experiences for the Multiple Subject or Single Subject credential program emphasize language development as the cornerstone of literacy, learning, and relationship building and as a social process and meaning making system. 	More Information Needed
emphasize language development as the cornerstone of literacy, learning, and relationship building and as a social process and meaning making system.	
social process and meaning making system.	Needed
 The program presents ways to create environments and frame interactions that foster oral and written language development for all students, including discipline-specific academic language. Candidates learn that grammatical structures (e.g., syntax) and vocabulary interact to form text types or genres that vary according to purpose, intended audience, context, situation, and discipline. The program addresses ways to facilitate students' learning of complex sentence and text structures and emphasizes that students enrich their language as they read, write, speak, and listen; interact with one another; learn about language; create diverse oral, print, digital, and multimodal texts; and engage with rich content across disciplines. 	

Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:

7c. Language Development

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Although a syllabus was revised, reviewers did not find explicit connections to each of the elements of the standards	Information
indicated above within the course assignments. More evidence is needed, for example, providing the four frameworks	Needed
for scaffolding reading, to enable reviewers to determine if standards are met.	

Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:

EDU 320 Week 4: Literacy and Reading: Taking a Deeper Dive-Discussion

PRAC 155 Week 10

PRAC 150 Week 12

EDU 230 Week 5 Teaching and Learning: Literacy and Reading: Group Assignment

EDU 230 Week 5 How to Teach Expository Text Structures - Reading

ED 230 Week 5 Teaching and Learning: Literacy and Reading -Interactivity

EDU 260 Week 2 Science Unit

7d) Effective Expression

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how:	More
 Coursework and supervised field experiences for the Multiple Subject or Single Subject credential program 	Information
address effective oral and written expression, including how students learn to effectively express themselves as	Needed
activity and discussion partners, presenters, and writers and to use digital media and visual displays to enhance their expression.	
• Through coursework and supervised field experiences, candidates learn to engage students in writing for varied	
purposes and to prioritize daily writing, including informal writing, to support learning and reflection across disciplines.	
• Candidates learn the importance of supporting students to use keyboarding, technology, and multimedia, as	
appropriate, and the value of developing spelling and handwriting fluency in the writing process. How will candidates learn how to	
 engage students in a range of interactions and collaborative conversations and to prioritize extended conversations with diverse partners on grade-level topics and texts 	
 help students identify effective expression in what they read, listen to, and view as they examine the words, images, and organizational structures of written, oral, or visual texts 	
 teach students to discuss, orally present, and write so that their meanings are conveyed clearly, logically, 	
powerfully, and, when appropriate and desired, poetically.	
 help students communicate in ways appropriate for their purpose, audience, context, and task and gain command over the conventions of written and spoken English 	
 teach students to plan, develop, provide feedback to peers, revise using peer and teacher feedback, edit, 	
 engage students in self- and peer-assessment using a range of tools and to allocate sufficient time for creation, reflection, and revision. 	
Reviewers need access to EDU 230 Week 1 Assignment/Assessed Concepts of Print Assessment In order to determine	
whether "Candidates also learn to provide explicit instruction in letter formation/printing and related language	
conventions, such as capitalization, punctuation, in conjunction with applicable decoding skills."	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
7d. Effective Expression	

Paviouser' Foodback & Institution's Posnance	Alignod
Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response Reviewers' Second Review:	Aligned More
	Information
Although general narrative was provided within the response and syllabi were revised, reviewers did not find explicit	
connections to each of the elements of the standards indicated above through the revised course assignments. For	Needed
example, reviewers cannot find explicit connections to oral language, peer-teacher feedback, and daily writing.	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:	
EDU 230 Week 1 Assignment/Concepts of Print Assessment/Letter Formation	
EDU 260 Week 2 Science and Unit Planning-Assignment	
PRAC 155 Week 16	
EDU 230 Week 5 Content Specific Lesson Plan	
PRAC 150 Week 17	
EDU 320 Week 3 Assignment- Part 2 of IRI- Promote Digital Literacy	
PRAC 160 Week 11 Becoming a Teacher With the Diagnostic Mindset & Early Literacy	
EDU 260 Physical and Health Education - Assignment Lesson Plans	
PRAC 155 Week 17	
EDU 220 Week 1 Planning for Instruction	
EDU 230 Week 1 Assignment/Concepts of Print Assessment/Letter Formation	
EDU 260 Week 3 History-Social Science Strategies in the Digital Era	
EDU 260 Week 2 Science Unit Lesson Planning	
EDU 260 Week 2 Science Unit Lesson Planning	
EDU 310 Mathematics Lesson	
EDU 220 Week 6 Putting it All Together-Assignment: 3 Lesson Plans	
EDU 220 Week 6 Collaborative Conversations-Article #1	
EDU 220 Week 6 Cooperative Learning Article #2	
EDU 220 Week 6 Share Your Experience of Cooperative Learning Part 1: Day 3	
EDU 220 Week 5 Instructional Models-Assignment Part 2	
EDU 230 Week 5 Interactivity	
EDU 240 Week 5 Part 1 (Day 3)	
EDU 310 Week 5 Math Station/Center Project	
EDU 230 Week 4 Teaching and Learning: Literacy and Reading: Activity	
EDU 260 Week 2 Science and Unit Planning-Assignment	

7e) Content Knowledge

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how:	More
 Coursework and supervised field experiences for the Multiple Subject or Single Subject credential program 	Information
address content knowledge, which includes literary, cultural, and discipline-specific knowledge, as a powerful	Needed
contributor to the comprehension of texts and sources of information and ideas.	
 the program highlights the integration of literacy across disciplines and the reciprocal relationships among the 	
development of academic language(s), literacy, and content knowledge.	
 collaboration of educators across disciplines to plan and implement instruction that maximizes students' 	
development of literacy skills and content knowledge.	
 The program helps candidates build students' understandings of disciplinary literacy—the ways in which 	
disciplines use language and literacy to engage with content and communicate as members of discourse	
communities (e.g., historians, scientists).	
 The program addresses the role of content knowledge as students navigate increasingly complex literary and 	
informational texts, research questions of interest, evaluate the credibility of sources, and share knowledge as	
writers and speakers.	
 the program provides multiple opportunities for candidates to learn how to promote digital literacy and the use 	
of educational technology, including the ability to find, evaluate, use, share, analyze, create, and communicate	
digital resources safely and responsibly and to foster digital citizenship.	
 The program teaches candidates to plan instruction based on the integration of content knowledge with 	
other themes.	
Reviewers need more evidence about how discipline-specific pedagogy in EDU 260: Multiple Subject Methods is	
integrated with the literacy standards addressed across coursework	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
7e. Content Knowledge	
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Although syllabi were revised, reviewers did not find clear evidence of how literacy is taught within the science	Information
methods course. In addition, the standard requires that literacy is integrated "across the disciplines."	Needed

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response Aligned Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review: EDU 260 Week 2 Science and Unit Planning-Assignment PRAC 155 Week 16 EDU 260 Week 4 VAPA Lesson PRAC 155 Week 18 EDU 220 Week 1 Planning for Instruction-Assignment EDU 260 Technology Integration-Discussion and Activity EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science-Assignment EDU 310 Mathematics Lesson (Academic Vocabulary) EDU 260 Week 2 Science and Unit Planning-Discussion EDU 240 Week 3 Additional Resources EDU 240 Week 3 Chapter 3: Communicating and Collaborating with Other Professionals and Families EDU 220 Week 1 Planning for Instruction-Assignment EDU 260 Technology Integration-Discussion and Activity EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science-Assignment EDU 260 Week 2 Science and Unit Planning-Assignment EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science-Assignment EDU 260 Week 5: Physical Education Lesson Plan DU 260 Week 2 Science and Unit Planning Reading: CA Digital Learning Integration & Standards Guidance EDU 260 Science Unit and Lesson Planning: Assignment EDU 220 Week 1: Planning for Instruction EDU 310 Math Lesson-Integrating Technology EDU 260 Week 2: Thematic Science Unit EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science-Assignment EDU 260 Week 4 VAPA Lesson EDU 260 Week 5: Physical Education Lesson Plan EDU 260 Week 2: Thematic Science Unit EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science: Assignment EDU 260 Week 4 Visual and Performing Arts-Assignment EDU 260 Week 5 Physical and Health Education

7f) Literacy Instruction for Students with Disabilities

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how:	More
 Coursework and supervised field experiences for the Multiple Subject or Single Subject credential program 	Information
provide candidates an understanding of how various disabilities can impact literacy instruction	Needed
 the program also teaches candidates to understand the distinction between the characteristics of emerging 	
bi/multilingualism and the range of learning disabilities. Candidates learn the importance of accurate	
identification (neither over- nor under-identification) of multilingual and English learner students with	
disabilities and to seek support from language development and disability education specialists to initiate	
appropriate referrals and interventions.	
 The Multiple Subject or Single Subject English credential program incorporates the California Dyslexia 	
Guidelines through literacy coursework and, where practicable, supervised field experiences	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
7f. Literacy Instruction for Students with Disabilities	
Fieldwork Focus: SCAFFOLDING STUDENTS' READING DEVELOPMENT	
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Although general narrative was provided within the response and syllabi were revised, reviewers did not find evidence	Information
of the following standard element:	Needed
 the program also teaches candidates to understand the distinction between the characteristics of emerging 	
bi/multilingualism and the range of learning disabilities. Candidates learn the importance of accurate	
identification (neither over- nor under-identification) of multilingual and English learner students with	
disabilities and to seek support from language development and disability education specialists to initiate	
appropriate referrals and interventions.	

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:	
EDU 220 Week 1 Reading	
EDU 220 Week 1 Planning for Instruction	
EDU 230 Week 2 Lesson Planning	
PRAC 150 Week 16 Scaffolding Students' Reading Development	
EDU 250 Week 6 Sheltered Lesson Plan	
EDU 250 Week 6 CA Guide for Education ELs with Disabilities, pg. 27-28	
PRAC 155 Week 9 Who are our Multilingual Learners and how can I get to know them?	
EDU 320 CA Dyslexia Guidelines	
EDU 320 Week 1 Interactivity-Understanding Dyslexia Video	
EDU 320 Week1 Summary of Proficient and Less Proficient Reading Behaviors	
EDU 320 Week 1 Student Centered Assessment that Informs Instruction	
PRAC 150 Week 16 Scaffolding Students' Reading Development	

7g) Integrated and Designated English Language Development

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: No evidence was provided for this portion of the standard	More
	Information
	Needed
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
7g. Integrated and Designated English Language Development	
Reviewers' Second Review: Met.	Yes
Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:	

7h) Literacy Teaching Performance Expectations and Supervised Clinical Practice

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: No evidence was provided for this portion of the standard	More
	Information
	Needed
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
7h. Literacy Teaching Performance Expectations and Supervised Clinical Practice	
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Reviewers did not find evidence within clinical documents that candidates have the opportunity to apply elements of	Information
the Literacy Teaching Performance Expectations during supervision within clinical settings.	Needed

Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:

EDU 300 Literacy Focus

EDU 300 Week 1 Step 1: Plan Literacy

EDU 300 Week 1 Cycle 2-Literacy

PRAC 150 Week 12 Fieldwork Focus: Becoming an Effective Literacy Teacher & Examining Students' Literacy Development

PRAC 150 Week 13 Fieldwork Focus Assessing Students' Literacy Development & Cracking the Alphabetic Code

PRAC 150 Week 14 Developing Fluent Readers and Writers & Learning to Spell

PRAC 150 Week 15 Expanding Academic Vocabulary & Teaching Comprehension: Reader Factors

Part 2: Preliminary Multiple Subject/Single Subject Credential Literacy Teaching Performance Expectation (TPE)

TPE Domain 7: Effective Literacy Instruction for All Students

TPE 7.1: Plan and implement evidence-based literacy instruction (and integrated content and literacy instruction) grounded in an understanding of applicable literacy-related academic standards and the themes of the California English Language Arts/English Language Development Framework (Foundational Skills, Meaning Making, Language Development, Effective Expression, and Content Knowledge) and their integration.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Met.	Yes

TPE 7.2: Plan and implement evidence-based literacy instruction (and integrated content and literacy instruction) grounded in an understanding of Universal Design for Learning; California's Multi-Tiered System of Support (Tier 1—Best first instruction, Tier 2—Targeted, supplemental instruction, and Tier 3—Referrals for intensive intervention); and the California Dyslexia Guidelines, including the definition and characteristics of dyslexia and structured literacy (i.e., instruction for students at risk for and with dyslexia that is comprehensive, systematic, explicit, cumulative, and multimodal and that includes phonology, orthography, phonics, morphology, syntax, and semantics).

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Met.	Yes
	ł

TPE 7.3: Incorporate asset-based pedagogies, inclusive approaches, and culturally and linguistically affirming and sustaining practices in literacy instruction (and in integrated content and literacy instruction), recognizing and incorporating the diversity of students' cultures, languages, dialects, and home communities. Promote students' literacy development in languages other than English in multilingual (dual language and bilingual education) programs.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Met.	Yes

TPE 7.4: Provide literacy instruction (and integrated content and literacy instruction) for all students that is active, motivating, and engaging; responsive to students' age, language and literacy development, and literacy goals; reflective of family engagement, social and emotional learning, and trauma-informed practices; and based on students' assessed learning strengths and needs, analysis of instructional materials and tasks, and identified academic standards.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Met.	Yes

TPE 7.5: Foundational Skills.

Multiple Subject and Single Subject English Candidates: Provide instruction in text reading fluency that emphasizes spelling and syllable patterns, semantics, morphology, and syntax.

Multiple Subject and Single Subject Candidates: Advance students' progress in the elements of foundational skills, language, and cognitive skills that support them as they read and write increasingly complex disciplinary texts with comprehension and effective expression.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how the program:	More Information
Advance students' progress in the elements of foundational skills, language, and cognitive skills that support them as they read and write increasingly complex disciplinary texts with comprehension and effective expression.	Needed
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review: Standard 7.5 Foundational Skills	
Reviewers' Second Review: Met.	Yes
W MS-SS IPR Literacy Review Addendum.docx	

TPE 7.6: Meaning Making. Engage students in meaning making by building on prior knowledge and using complex literary and informational texts (print, digital, and oral), questioning, and discussion to develop students' literal and inferential comprehension, including the higher-order cognitive skills of reasoning, perspective taking, and critical reading, writing, listening, and speaking across the disciplines. Engage students in reading, listening, speaking, writing, and viewing closely to draw evidence from texts, ask and answer questions, and support analysis, reflection, and research.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how the program:	More
	Information
Engage students in meaning-making by building on prior knowledge and using complex literary and informational texts	Needed
(print, digital, and oral), questioning, and discussion to develop students' literal and inferential comprehension,	
including the higher-order cognitive skills of reasoning, perspective taking, and critical reading, writing, listening, and	
speaking across the disciplines. Engage students in reading, listening, speaking, writing, and viewing closely to draw	
evidence from texts, ask and answer questions, and support analysis, reflection, and research.	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	•
7.6 Meaning Making	
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Reviewers did not find explicit connections in the revised syllabi regarding how candidates are taught to develop	Information
students' "higher-order cognitive skills of reasoning, perspective taking, and critical reading, writing, listening, and	Needed
speaking across the disciplines," or to "engage students in reading, listening, speaking, writing, and viewing closely to	Necucu
draw evidence from texts, ask and answer questions, and support analysis, reflection, and research."	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:	
EDU 320 Week 5 Discussion Forum	
EDU 320 Week 5 Interactivity	
EDU 220 Week 4 Lesson Planning	
EDU 230 Week 5 Mini Content Lesson Plan	
EDU 230 Week 5 Assignment: Brochure	
260 Week 2 Thematic Science Unit	
EDU 260 Week 5 Health Education Lesson Plan	
EDU 310 Week 5 Math Center/Project Station	

EDU 320 Week 5 Activity

TPE 7.7: Language Development. Promote students' oral and written language development by attending to vocabulary knowledge and use, grammatical structures (e.g., syntax), and discourse-level understandings as students read, listen, speak, and write with comprehension and effective expression. Create environments that foster students' oral and written language development, including discipline-specific academic language. Enhance language development by engaging students in the creation of diverse print, oral, digital, and multimedia texts. Conduct instruction that leverages students' existing linguistic repertoires, including home languages and dialects, and that accepts and encourages translanguaging.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how the program:	More
Promote students' oral and written language development by attending to vocabulary knowledge and use, grammatical structures (e.g., syntax), and discourse-level understandings as students read, listen, speak, and write with comprehension and effective expression. Create environments that foster students' oral and written language development, including discipline-specific academic language. Enhance language development by engaging students in the creation of diverse print, oral, digital, and multimedia texts. Conduct instruction that leverages students' existing linguistic repertoires, including home languages and dialects, and that accepts and encourages translanguaging.	Information Needed
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
7.7 Language Development	

Reviewers Second Review:	IVIOI
Reviewers did not find explicit connections in the revised syllabi regarding how candidates are taught to promote all	Info

students' oral language development (not limited to EL students) or to encourage translanguaging.

More Information Needed

Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:

EDU 230 Week 1 Interactivity

EDU 250 Week 4 Additional Resources: Best vocabulary and oral language activities images in 2020: Vocabulary

instruction, teaching, oral language activities

EDU 250 Week 4 Part 1 (Day 3)

EDU 250 Week 4 Part 2 (Day 5)

EDU 310 Week 5 Math/Center Station

EDU 320 Week 3 Interactivity

TPE 7.8: Effective Expression. Develop students' effective expression as they write, discuss, present, and use language conventions. Engage students in a range of frequent formal and informal collaborative discussions, including extended conversations, and writing for varied purposes, audiences, and contexts. Teach students to plan, develop, provide feedback to peers, revise using peer and teacher feedback, edit, and produce their own writing and oral presentations in various genres, drawing on the modes of opinion/argumentation, information, and narration. Develop students' use of keyboarding, technology, and multimedia, as appropriate, and fluency in spelling, handwriting, and other language conventions to support writing and presentations. Teach young children letter formation/printing and related language conventions, such as capitalization and punctuation, in conjunction with applicable decoding skills.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how the program:	More
Develop students' effective expression as they write, discuss, present, and use language conventions. Engage students in a range of frequent formal and informal collaborative discussions, including extended conversations and writing for varied purposes, audiences, and contexts. Teach students to plan, develop, provide feedback to peers, revise using peer and teacher feedback, edit, and produce their own writing and oral presentations in various genres, drawing on the modes of opinion/argumentation, information, and narration. Develop students' use of keyboarding, technology, and multimedia, as appropriate, and fluency in spelling, handwriting, and other language conventions to support writing and presentations. Teach young children letter formation/printing and related language conventions, such as capitalization and punctuation, in conjunction with applicable decoding skills.	Information Needed
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	•
7.8 Effective Expression - Week 6	
7.8 Effective Expression: Week 6 Assignment	
Reviewers' Second Review:	More
Reviewers did not find explicit connections in the revised syllabi regarding how candidates are taught to:	Information
 "Engage students in a range of frequent formal and informal collaborative discussions, including extended conversations, and writing for varied purposes, audiences, and contexts." 	Needed
 "revise using peer and teacher feedback, edit, and produce their own writing and oral presentations in various genres, drawing on the modes of opinion/argumentation, information, and narration." 	
 "Teach young children letter formation/printing and related language conventions, such as capitalization and punctuation" 	

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response

Aligned

Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:

EDU 220 Week 6 Collaborative Conversations Article

EDU 220 Week 6 Cooperative Learning Article

EDU 220 Week 6 Practiced TPEs in Discussion Forum

EDU 260 Week 6 Science and Unit Planning

EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science Year-Long Lesson Planning

EDU 260 Week 5 Physical and Health Education Lesson Plans

EDU 260 Week 2 Science Unit Planning

EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science Lesson Plan

EDU 260 Week 4 VAPA Lesson Plan

EDU 260 Week 5 Health Lesson Plan

EDU 220 Week 6 Article How to Teach Peer Feedback

EDU 230 Week 1 Concepts About Print Lesson

TPE 7.9: **Content Knowledge**. Promote students' content knowledge by engaging students in literacy instruction, in all pertinent content areas, that integrates reading, writing, listening, and speaking in discipline-specific ways, including through printed and digital texts and multimedia, discussions, experimentation, hands-on explorations, and wide and independent reading. Teach students to navigate increasingly complex literary and informational texts relevant to the discipline, research questions of interest, and convey knowledge in a variety of ways. Promote digital literacy and the use of educational technology, including the ability to find, evaluate, use, share, analyze, create, and communicate digital resources safely and responsibly, and foster digital citizenship.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Reviewers did not find evidence regarding how the program:	More
	Information
Promote students' content knowledge by engaging students in literacy instruction, in all pertinent content areas, that	Needed
integrates reading, writing, listening, and speaking in discipline-specific ways, including through printed and digital	
texts and multimedia, discussions, experimentation, hands-on explorations, and wide and independent reading. Teach	
students to navigate increasingly complex literary and informational texts relevant to the discipline, research questions	
of interest, and convey knowledge in a variety of ways. Promote digital literacy and the use of educational technology,	
including the ability to find, evaluate, use, share, analyze, create, and communicate digital resources safely and	
responsibly, and foster digital citizenship.	
Link(s) to Institution's Response to First Review:	
Week 3: Designated and Integrated English Language Development	
Week 3: Schooling in a Diverse and Multicultural Society	
Week 3:Teaching Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Students & Communicating and Collaborating with Other Profes	sionals and
<u>Families</u>	
Week 2: Science and Unit Planning	
Reviewers' Second Review:	More

Information

Needed

Reviewers did not find explicit connections in the revised syllabi regarding how candidates are taught to engage

students in literacy instruction in all pertinent content areas..." or to promote digital literacy as defined in the TPE.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Link(s) to Institution's Response to Second Review:	
EDU 220 Week 1 Planning for Instruction	
EDU 260 Week2 Thematic Science Unit	
EDU 260 Week 3 History/Social Science Lesson Plan	
EDU 260 Week 3 Technology Integration	
EDU 260 Week 4 VAPA Lesson Plan	
EDU 260 Week 5 VAPA Lesson Plan	
EDU 310 Week 2 Academic Vocabulary EDU 310 Math Center/Station Project	
EDU 310 Week 2 Reasoning Strategies and Mathematics Basic Facts	
EDIT 310 Week 2 Mathematics Lesson Plan	

TPE 7.10: Multiple Subject and Single Subject English Candidates: Monitor students' progress in literacy development using formative assessment practices, ongoing progress monitoring, and diagnostic techniques that inform instructional decision making. Understand how to use screening to determine students' literacy profiles and identify potential reading and writing difficulties, including students' risk for dyslexia and other literacy-related disabilities. Understand how to appropriately assess and interpret results for English learner students. If indicated, collaborate with families and guardians as well as with teachers, specialists, other professionals, and administrators from the school or district to facilitate comprehensive assessment for disabilities in English and as appropriate in the home language; plan and provide supplemental instruction in inclusive settings; and initiate referrals for students who need more intensive support.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Met.	Yes

TPE 7.11: Multiple Subject and Single Subject English Candidates: Provide instruction in English language development (ELD) for students identified as English learner students based on an understanding of comprehensive ELD, which includes both integrated and designated ELD and is part of Tier 1 instruction. Understand how integrated and designated ELD are related and how designated ELD is taught in connection with (rather than isolated from) content areas and topics. Use ELA/literacy standards (or other content standards) and ELD standards in tandem to plan instruction that attends to students' literacy profiles, levels of English language proficiency, and prior educational experiences. Provide ELD instruction that builds on students' cultural and linguistic assets and develops students' abilities to use English purposefully, interact in meaningful ways, and understand how English works across the disciplines.

Reviewers' Feedback & Institution's Response	Aligned
Reviewers' First Review: Met.	Yes