Picture This: How Visual Elements Shape Perceptions of Album Covers

Alexandria Yoon Jee Han

Annenberg School for Communication and Journalism, University of Southern California

Picture This: How Visual Elements Shape Perceptions of Album Covers

In the modern media environment, album cover designs play a critical role in how audiences interpret and engage with recorded music (Chae & Kim, 2022; Venkatesan et al., 2020). As a visual entry point into the listening experience, album cover artwork can act both as a form of branding and an expressive medium that conveys emotional, stylistic, and cultural cues (Belton, 2015; Jones & Sorger, 2006). Visual communication scholars have argued that graphic design elements such as imagery, color, and typography shape audience expectations before any music is heard, functioning as a type of paratext that frames interpretation (Belton, 2015; Koike, 2017; Park, 2023; Vad, 2021). Within contemporary digital music environments, where attention is fragmented and visual elements must compete for visibility, album covers may be even more influential in shaping initial perceptions of artists and their work than ever before (DeLaurenti, 2020; Joye & Fennis, 2023; Oramas et al., 2017).

Existing research suggests that visual features can communicate genre conventions, evoke emotional responses, and signal key aspects of artist identity (Dorochowicz & Kostek, 2019; Venkatesan et al., 2020). For example, the presence of the artist's face can imply authenticity, intimacy, or celebrity branding, whereas more abstract designs may highlight creativity or conceptual themes (Dorochowicz & Kostek, 2019; Jun & Lee, 2022). Likewise, text elements such as album titles or artist names can reinforce brand recognition, create narrative framing, or function as an additional design choice that contributes to an overall aesthetic (Jones & Sorger, 2006; Venkatesan et al., 2020). Beyond the presence of identifiable images and text is also the emotional tone of an album cover. Often shaped through color palettes, contrast, and compositional choices, these elements can impact how audiences anticipate the affective qualities of the music it represents (Palmer et al., 2013; Song et al., 2025).

Although previous research has explored visual communication and media aesthetics, fewer studies have systematically examined how multiple design features appear together on album covers. Much of the existing work emphasizes historical trends or genre-specific patterns, rather than analyzing multiple visual dimensions across a representative sample.

This study examines patterns among several core features of album cover design: the presence of an artist image, the amount of text, the emotional tone conveyed, the cover's colorfulness, and perceived visual complexity. Understanding how these elements relate to one another allows for a more integrated view of how album covers communicate both stylistic and emotional information. To this end, the central research question guiding this research is: "What associations exist among artist presence, text characteristics, emotional tone, colorfulness, and visual complexity in an album cover design?" Addressing this question could also contribute to the broader literature on visual communication by empirically testing whether predicted relationships among key design features actually appear in contemporary album art. To explore these relationships, a content analysis approach was employed, systematically coding album covers on the visual dimensions.

Method

Sample

The content analysis sample was composed of 200 album covers from the Billboard Top 200 albums of the week for November 15, 2025. The sample covers included a range of genres and styles, allowing for analysis of multiple visual and emotional features. Album covers that were duplicates or unavailable were excluded from the analysis.

Procedure

Coders were trained in the operationalization of variables and in the coding procedures. A total of five variables were coded. The first of these variables, presence of artist, was defined as whether a visible image of the performing artist appeared on the album cover. The second variable, colorfulness, was defined as the extent to which the album cover used a varied or vibrant color palette, rated on a scale from 1 (minimal color) to 5 (very colorful). The third variable, emotional tone, was defined as the overall affective impression conveyed by the cover, rated on a scale from 1 (very negative/low energy) to 5 (very positive/high energy). The fourth variable, complexity, was defined as the visual complexity of the cover, including the number of distinct elements, layers, or design components, rated on a scale from 1 (very simple) to 5 (very complex). The fifth variable, text, was defined as the amount of text present on the cover and was categorized as follows: no text, artist name only, album title only, both artist and album title, or additional text beyond the artist's name and album title. The full codebook can be found in Appendix A.

Results

To examine how album cover features related to one another, analyses first focused on the role of artist presence on an album cover. A chi-square test indicated no statistically significant association between the presence of an artist image and the amount of text on the cover, ($\chi^2(4) = 3.21$, $p = .52$). Similarly, an independent-samples t-test comparing emotional tone for covers with and without artist images revealed no significant difference, ($t(182) = -1.51$, $p = .132$), with mean ratings of $M = 3.02$ ($SD = 0.68$) for covers with an artist, and $M = 2.84$ ($SD = 0.71$) for covers without. The implication of these results is that the presence of an artist on the

cover could not be reliably associated with either the amount of text or the emotional tone conveyed on/through the cover.

To further examine whether emotional tone varied depending on the structural design elements, the emotional tone variable was recoded into three categories (1 - 2 = low, 3 = neutral, 4 - 5 = high) and analyzed using a chi-square test of independence. The association between artist presence and emotional tone category was not statistically significant, $\chi^2(2) = 3.01$, $p = .22$, suggesting that the presence of an artist on the album cover is not meaningfully related to whether the cover conveys a low, neutral, or high emotional tone. Additionally, a Pearson correlation also showed that the amount of text on the cover was not meaningfully related to emotional tone ($r = .02$, $p = .83$). A one-sample t-test comparing emotional tone ratings to a neutral baseline of 3 indicated no significant difference ($t(192) = 0.00$, $p = .50$), with a mean emotional tone of 3.00 ($SD = 0.69$). When examining relationships among visual design features more broadly, a Pearson correlation revealed a significant positive relationship between colorfulness and visual complexity, ($r = .30$, $p < .001$), indicating that more colorful album covers tended to be more visually complex.

Collectively, these analyses suggest that while visual features such as colorfulness and complexity are positively correlated, emotional tone appears largely independent from artist presence and text quantity in this sample of album covers. (See Appendix B for complete statistical tables).

Discussion

Results indicated that artist presence was not significantly related to either text quantity or emotional tone, and emotional tone itself was largely independent of text, with covers averaging a neutral rating. These findings suggest that structural elements such as including an

artist image or varying text do not strongly influence the affective impression conveyed by an album cover. Emotional tone may be communicated primarily through other visual design elements, such as composition, imagery style, contrast, or symbolic content, aligning with prior research highlighting that album covers act as a paratext, conveying mood, style, and identity cues beyond specific text or images (Belton, 2015; Koike, 2017). In contrast, colorfulness and visual complexity demonstrated a significant positive relationship, indicating that more colorful covers tend to include a greater number of distinct visual elements. This co-occurrence may reflect design practices in which heightened visual richness is paired with intricate layouts to create visual interest and attract attention. In digital environments, where album covers are frequently viewed as small thumbnails, the combination of vibrant color and complexity may enhance perceptual salience and differentiate an artist's work. Coordinating these features allows designers to draw audience attention efficiently while maintaining a cohesive aesthetic, supporting the idea that visual design conveys both stylistic and emotional information (Venkatesan et al., 2020; Palmer et al., 2013).

These findings may have practical implications for designers and marketing professionals. Since emotional tone appears largely independent of artist presence and text, simply including an artist image or changing text quantity may not reliably shape affective impressions. Instead, attention to color palettes, visual layering, and overall composition may be more effective in enhancing visual appeal and audience engagement. The observed relationship between colorfulness and complexity suggests these features can be combined strategically to create visually engaging covers that still convey stylistic identity.

Several limitations should also be acknowledged. The sample consisted solely of Billboard Top 200 covers from a single week, which may limit generalizability. Subjective

judgments regarding emotional tone and complexity may introduce variability despite standardized coding procedures. The study focused exclusively on static visual elements and did not account for contextual factors such as marketing campaigns, genre, or digital display formats. Finally, the analyses were correlational, so causal relationships cannot be inferred.

Overall, the findings provide insight into how visual elements both occur simultaneously and operate independently on album covers. While colorfulness and complexity are systematically related, emotional tone seems largely unaffected by artist presence or text quantity. These results contribute to a nuanced understanding of visual communication in music marketing and highlight how album covers function as a paratextual medium, signaling stylistic and emotional cues to audiences. Identifying which elements operate in tandem and which function independently offers practical guidance for designing visually compelling album covers in contemporary digital media environments.

References

Belton, R. J. (2015). The narrative potential of album covers. *Studies in Visual Arts and Communication: An International Journal*, *2*(2).

Chae, J. H., & Kim, S. -K. (2022). Impact factors on K-pop album cover design and image production from 1994 to 2002: The sociopolitical and economic dimensions of design context. *Korean Society of Design Science*, *35*(2), 45 - 55. https://doi.org/10.15187/adr.2022.05.35.2.45

DeLaurenti, C. (2020), *Activist sound: Field recording, phonography, and soundscapes of protest*. [Doctoral dissertation, University of London]. University of London.

Dorochowicz, A., & Kostek, B. (2019). Relationship between album cover design and music genres. *2019 Signal Processing: Algorithms, Architectures, Arrangements, and Applications (SPA)*, 93 - 98. https://doi.org/10.23919/SPA.2019.8936738

Han, J. -I. (2022). The Role of visual communication for emotional marketing strategy. *The Journal of Industrial Distribution & Business*, *13*(11), 39 - 46. https://doi.org/10.13106/JIDB.2022.VOL13.NO11.39

Jones, S., Sorger, M. (2006). Covering music: A brief history and analysis of album cover design. *Journal of Popular Music Studies*, *11-12*(1), 68 - 102. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1533-1598.1999.tb00004.x

Joye, Y., & Fennis, B. M. (2023). Set that record straight! Cardinal line orientations in music album artwork boost market performance and music consumption. *Psychology & Marketing*, *41*(4), 916 - 937. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21959

Jun, Y., & Lee, H. (2022). A sound brand identity design: The interplay between sound symbolism and typography on brand attitude and memory. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *64*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102724.

Koike, T. R. D. (2017). *Enacting music through paratexts: A shifting politics of interpretation.* [Master's Thesis, University of Texas at Austin]. UT Electronic Theses and Dissertations. http://hdl.handle.net/2152/62764

Oramas, S., Nieto, O., Barbieri, F., & Serra, X. (2017). Multi-label music genre classification from audio, text, and images using deep features. *International Society for Music Information Retrieval*, 23 - 30. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1707.04916

Palmer, S. E., Schloss, K. B., Xu, Z., & Prado-León. L. R. (2013). Music–color associations are mediated by emotion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(22), 8836 - 8841. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212562110

Park, J. (2023). A study on album cover as paratext: Focusing on the Beatles' album covers. *The Korean Society of Culture and Convergence*, *45*(10), 397 - 409. https://doi.org/10.33645/cnc.2023.10.45.10.397

Song, L., Zhang, G., Ma, L., Silvennoinen, J., & Cong, F. (2025). Comparative analysis of color emotional perception in art and non-art university students: Hue, saturation, and brightness effects in the Munsell color system. *BMC Psychology*, *13*(650). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-03034-y

Vad, M. (2021). The album cover. *Journal of Popular Music Studies*, *33*(3), 11 - 15. doi: https://doi.org/10.1525/jpms.2021.33.3.11

Venkatesan, T., Wang, Q. J., & Spence, C. (2020). Does the typeface on album cover influence expectations and perception of music? *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, *16*(3), 487 - 503. https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000330

Appendix A

Full Codebook

Problem: It's unclear how visual elements and emotional appeal are used on album covers to attract listeners and convey the artist's style or mood.

Research Question: What types of visual and emotional appeals are most used on contemporary album covers?

Disagreement Resolution: Meet and discuss the most productive and objective solution.

Units:

- Units: Individual album or song jacket cover art (Physical or digital images).
    - Billboard top 200 albums from the week of November 15th, 2025.
- Collect ~180 images.
- Unit Numbers Calculation
    - Five variables in the coding dictionary.
    - A single unit takes 2.5 minutes to code.
    - Each unit is coded twice so this is 4 minutes
    - 15 hours is 900 minutes. 900/5 = 180. So, a minimum of 180 units will be coded.
- Unit Examples:
    - 

Variables:

- Presence of Artist Image
- Colorfulness
- Emotional Appeal/Mood
- Visual Complexity
- Presence of Text

Variable Name: Presence of Artist Image (SPSS Variable Name: Presence)

Definition: Whether an identifiable image (photograph, realistic illustration, or recognizable stylized depiction) of the artist or band members appears on the album cover.

Scale:

1 = No

2 = Yes

99 = Unsure

Keywords: Artist, band, artist photo, artist image, artist illustration, band photo, portrait, face, likeness, depiction, band members, musician.

Concrete Examples:

- No (1): " Pink Floyd": The Dark Side of the Moon (features a prism, not the band members).
- Yes (2): "Adele – 21": features a clear photograph of the artist's face.
- Unsure (99): An abstract or heavily obscured image where it is impossible to determine if the figure depicted is the artist (e.g., a distant, unrecognizable silhouette).

Variable Name: Colorfulness

Latent Variable: Colorfulness (SPSS variable name: Colorfulness)

Definition: The overall level of color saturation and visual intensity in the album cover's color scheme. This variable reflects how vivid or muted the colors appear and the amount of visual energy conveyed.

Scale:

1 = Very muted/monochrome

2 = Mostly muted

3 = Moderately colorful

4 = Colorful

5 = Highly colorful/vibrant

99 = No clear color information/unsure

Keywords: Vibrant, vivid, neon, glowing, saturated, bold, bright, intense, eye-catching, pastel, faded, muted, dull, desaturated, low contrast.

Concrete Examples:

- Very muted/monochrome (1): "The entire cover is grayscale with no noticeable color."
- Mostly muted (2): "The colors look faded and pastel, giving a soft, washed-out feel."
- Moderately colorful (3): "The cover uses medium-saturation colors that appear natural but not striking."
- Colorful (4): "Bright, clearly visible colors stand out, but the palette remains balanced and not overwhelming."
- Highly colorful/vibrant (5): "The cover uses neon or extremely saturated colors with strong contrast and intense visual impact."

Variable Name: Emotional Appeal/Mood

Latent Variable: Emotional Mood (SPSS Variable Name: Emotion)

Definition: The overall emotional quality expressed in the content. This reflects whether the message conveys a positive, neutral, or negative feeling, either directly stated or implied through the wording or phrasing used.

Scale:

1 = Strongly negative

2 = Moderately negative

3 = Neutral

4 = Moderately positive

5 = Strongly positive

99 = No data/no clear determination

Keywords:

- Positive: happy, warm, hopeful, uplifting, pleased, satisfied
- Negative: angry, sad, frustrated, upset, disappointed
- Neutral: factual, straightforward, matter-of-fact, informational, no emotional language

Concrete Examples:

- Strongly negative (1): “I felt awful and very upset.”
- Moderately negative (2): “I was a bit disappointed.”
- Neutral (3): “It states the basic facts.”
- Moderately positive (4): “I felt pretty good about it.”
- Strongly positive (5): “I loved it and felt great.”
- No data (99): Emotion cannot be identified or the content gives no emotional cues.

Variable Name: Visual Complexity

Latent Variable: Visual Complexity (SPSS Variable Name: Complexity)

Definition: How visually detailed or simple an album cover looks, based on how many elements, layers, or details it includes. This measures how busy or minimal the design appears overall.

Scale:

1 = Very simple/minimalist

2 = Mostly simple

3 = Moderately complex

4 = Complex

5 = Highly complex/dense

99 = Cannot determine/unsure (e.g., cover unavailable)

Keywords: Minimalistic, simple, sparse, clean, negative space, uncluttered, detailed, layered, busy, cluttered, intricate, textured, collage, dense, overloaded, maximalist, chaotic.

Concrete Examples:

- Very simple/minimalist (1): A single logo or small text on a plain background
- Mostly simple (2): Few elements. The composition is clear and uncluttered.
- Moderately complex (3): Contains a few distinct elements , but still easy to process at a glance.
- Complex (4): Multiple overlapping images or textures, detailed backgrounds, or symbolic artwork requiring attention to understand.
- Highly complex/dense (5): Visually crowded with many layered or small details.”

Variable Name: Presence of Text

Manifest Variable: Presence of Text (SPSS Variable Name: Text)

Definition: Whether written text appears on the album cover. "Text" refers to any visible letters, words, or typography that identify the artist, album title, or other written content (e.g., slogans, record labels, song titles). This variable does not consider artistic scribbles or text-like patterns unless they clearly convey legible information.

Scale:

1 = No text present

2 = Artist name only

3 = Album title only

4 = Both artist name and album title present

5 = Additional text (e.g., slogans, quotes, or extra writing beyond name/title)

99 = No clear determination (e.g., cover image incomplete or obscured)

Keywords: Text, typography, lettering, logo, wordmark, font, name, title, written, label, slogan, inscription, writing, words, typeface, calligraphy, handwriting, graffiti.

Concrete Examples:

- No text present (1): Kanye West – Yeezus (transparent case, no printed title or artist name).
- Artist name only (2): Adele – 25 (only artist's name appears, no album title).
- Album title only (3): 1989 (Taylor Swift's handwritten album title without her full name).
- Both artist name and album title (4): Dua Lipa – Future Nostalgia (artist and title both clearly displayed).

- Additional text (5): Lorde – Melodrama (Deluxe Edition) (contains artist name, title, and promotional phrases).

Appendix B

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
text * presence	191	95.5%	9	4.5%	200	100.0%

text * presence Crosstabulation

Count

		presence		
		1	2	Total
text	1	13	51	64
	2	2	2	4
	3	4	11	15
	4	28	71	99
	5	5	4	9
Total		52	139	191

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.295[a]	4	.178
Likelihood Ratio	5.816	4	.213
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.529	1	.112
N of Valid Cases	191		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.09.

Group Statistics

	presence	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
emotion	1	45	2.84	.706	.105
	2	139	3.02	.675	.057

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means							
						Significance				95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	One-Sided p	Two-Sided p	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
emotion	Equal variances assumed	.209	.648	-1.513	182	.066	.132	-.177	.117	-.408	.054
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.479	71.942	.072	.144	-.177	.120	-.416	.062

Independent Samples Effect Sizes

		Standardizer[a]	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval	
				Lower	Upper
emotion	Cohen's d	.683	-.259	-.596	.078
	Hedges' correction	.686	-.258	-.594	.078
	Glass's delta	.675	-.262	-.599	.076

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.
Cohen's d uses the pooled standard deviation.
Hedges' correction uses the pooled standard deviation, plus a correction factor.
Glass's delta uses the sample standard deviation of the control (i.e., the second) group.

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
presence * emotion3category	184	92.0%	16	8.0%	200	100.0%

presence * emotion3category Crosstabulation

Count

		emotion3category			
		1.00	2.00	3.00	Total
presence	1	10	31	4	45
	2	26	85	28	139
Total		36	116	32	184

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.014[a]	2	.222
Likelihood Ratio	3.384	2	.184
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.999	1	.157
N of Valid Cases	184		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.83.

Correlations

		emotion	text
emotion	Pearson Correlation	1	.016
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.826
	N	193	193
text	Pearson Correlation	.016	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.826	
	N	193	200

One-Sample Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
emotion	193	3.00	.685	.049

One-Sample Test

Test Value = 3

	t	df	Significance One-Sided p	Significance Two-Sided p	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference Lower	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference Upper
emotion	.000	192	.500	1.000	.000	-.10	.10

One-Sample Effect Sizes

		Standardizer[a]	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval Lower	Upper
emotion	Cohen's d	.685	.000	-.141	.141
	Hedges' correction	.687	.000	-.141	.141

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.
Cohen's d uses the sample standard deviation.
Hedges' correction uses the sample standard deviation, plus a correction factor.

Correlations

		colorfulness	complexity
colorfulness	Pearson Correlation	1	.302**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		<.001
	N	196	196
complexity	Pearson Correlation	.302**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	<.001	
	N	196	200

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).