

## Holistic annotation rubric

Score	Criteria: Annotations
Meets expectations 5 points	<ul> <li>Add to the conversation by including questions, examples, connections, or elaboration/explanation</li> <li>Highlight central ideas or offer clarification, extending knowledge beyond restating the text</li> <li>Demonstrate reflection and analysis of the text</li> <li>Contain additive replies to classmates which answer their questions or extend their responses</li> <li>Meet required number of annotations/replies</li> </ul>
Emerging towards expectations 3 points	<ul> <li>Contain incomplete thoughts or connections without clear examples/explanations</li> <li>Restate the text without clarifying/highlighting key ideas</li> <li>Contain replies that do not further the conversation beyond "I agree"</li> <li>Less than minimum number of required annotations/replies included</li> </ul>
Incomplete/missing O points	Missing or does not add to the conversation in any way

## To use this rubric in your course:

- Edit the scoring values to grades that work best for your course.
- Review the criteria for "adding to the conversation"-does this describe what you'd like an annotation to contain in your course? Revise as needed.
- Revise other criteria as necessary depending on your annotation assignment requirements (for example, remove the bullet about required number of annotations if a specific number of annotations is not required in your assignments).
- Add any criteria that assess your assignment instructions which are not included here.