

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U82oPCgdl9_EQ-2eudJsO5DyrVaMyMx4_LBNcKLoLH4/edit

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: I think that you show pretty clear understanding of your audience, as evidenced by your more personal tone, especially in the opening sentence and introduction. However it feels like a shallow acknowledgement later on. I think that you could take them further into consideration in the body paragraphs which felt lacking at times.

What kind of public argument do you think this is? Check **one** (and **only one**) of the argument types below:

This argument establishes an original **con position** on an issue of debate.

This argument proposes a **solution** for a problem that is being debated.

_____ This argument openly **refutes** a specific solution or policy under debate (and clearly identifies the idea I'm refuting).

1-----2-----3-----4-----**(5)**-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10

Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

Your rating for purpose: 5

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: I think that you establish your points very well with the headings. But there is some room to expand within these, and the implications of your argument should probably be more central. For example I thought that the ambient example was a good way to show this.

Refresh your memory about the three different kinds of rhetorical strategies we read about for Project 2: Emotional appeals, Ethical or credibility-building appeals, and Logical or rational appeals.

1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10
Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If your overall peer review grade for this assignment exceeds 20, I'll apply the additional points towards recent missing and/or low-rated blog posts. **I reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for argumentation: 4

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: I think that your argument is in the right place but lacks substance. There should probably be more examples in general because it feels a bit short, but I am not entirely sure if that's just what you are looking to do with this project. I honestly think that all three points need to be extended a lot. The example with ambien was good and I think that the other points needed important things like that to support it.

You will need to read/look at the hyperlinked examples in the student author's Blog Post 11.3 in order to rate this category.

1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10
Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

Your rating for genre: 5

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: I think that the genre is structured properly, but the delivery is where it falls short. In my opinion the three sections don't feel equal. I find that there is a lack of flow within the examples too that could be done better.



Other comments?

My biggest qualm with the project is the way it seems to be missing enough supporting information.