



[ARTICLE TITLE: Concise, Informative, and Focused on the Central Research Problem]

¹First Author, ²Second Author

^{1,2}[Institution/University], [City], [Country]

E-mail: firstauthor@email.com¹, secondauthor@email.com²

Received [Editorial Use Only] | Revised [Editorial Use Only]

| Accepted [Editorial Use Only]

Abstract

[Write a 200-250 word self-contained abstract in English. Present, in a coherent paragraph: (1) the critical phenomenon or scholarly problem, (2) the research gap/problem map, (3) the research objective, (4) the research method and sources/data, (5) the principal findings, and (6) the main conclusion and implications. Do not include citations, footnotes, tables, figures, or unexplained abbreviations.]

Keywords: *[five specific keywords/key phrases separated by semicolons]*

Abstrak

[Tuliskan abstrak Bahasa Indonesia sekitar 200-250 kata yang setara dengan Abstract. Paparkan fenomena/masalah, gap penelitian, tujuan, metode, temuan utama, serta simpulan dan implikasi. Jangan mencantumkan sitasi, catatan kaki, tabel, atau gambar.]

Kata kunci: *[lima kata kunci/frasa kunci yang spesifik, dipisahkan dengan titik koma]*

Introduction

[Recommended length: approximately 800-1,200 words. The literature review is integrated into this section; do not add a separate Literature Review heading unless the research design genuinely requires it.]

[Paragraph 1 - Critical phenomenon and significance. Introduce the central controversy, change, trend, or unresolved problem and explain why it matters academically and socially.]

[Paragraph 2 - Research urgency and context. Establish the Indonesian, ASEAN, Muslim-world, or global context using relevant and verifiable evidence.]

[Paragraphs 3-4 - State of the art. Synthesize recent international and relevant national/local scholarship. Identify dominant arguments, theoretical developments, methodological tendencies, and areas of disagreement. Avoid one-study-per-paragraph summaries.]

[Paragraph 5 - Research gap/problem formulation. State precisely what existing scholarship has not adequately explained,

compared, tested, reconstructed, or contextualized.]

[Paragraph 6 - Theoretical/conceptual position. Introduce the theory, concepts, Islamic intellectual tradition, or analytical framework that will guide interpretation of the findings.]

[Paragraph 7 - Research objective/questions. State clearly what the study investigates, explains, compares, evaluates, or reconstructs.]

[Final paragraph - Originality and contribution. Explain the article's novelty and contribution to Islamic studies and civilization. Novelty must go beyond merely studying a new location.]

Method

[Recommended length: approximately 500-800 words. Explain what was studied, why the method was selected, how sources/data were collected or selected, and how the analysis was conducted.]

Research Design

[State the research design, such as qualitative, quantitative, mixed methods, normative/doctrinal, socio-legal, ethnographic, historical, comparative, textual, discourse, or content analysis. Justify why the design is appropriate for the research questions.]

Unit of Analysis

[Specify the unit/object of analysis, such as participants, communities, institutions, texts, manuscripts, Qur'anic interpretation, Hadith, fatwas, legislation, judicial decisions, organizations, policies, cultural practices, historical records, or digital materials.]

Data Sources

[Describe primary and secondary sources/data. For empirical research, identify participants, datasets, instruments, or field materials. For doctrinal/textual research, identify authoritative primary texts/documents and relevant secondary scholarship.]

Table 1. [Title of Table, if applicable]

Category	Source/Data Type	Specific Information
[Primary]	[Source type]	[Describe]
[Secondary]	[Source type]	[Describe]

Source: [Authors' data / primary sources / adapted source, Year].

Data Collection Techniques

[Explain how sources, participants, cases, documents, archives, databases, observations, interviews, surveys, or other materials were identified and selected. State location, period, sampling criteria, and

procedures where relevant.]

Data Analysis Techniques

[Explain the analytical stages and techniques, such as thematic coding, statistical analysis, legal interpretation, comparative analysis, discourse analysis, content analysis, triangulation, or other procedures. The technique must correspond to the research questions and data.]

Research Ethics (if applicable)

[For research involving human participants or identifiable/sensitive data, state informed consent, confidentiality/anonymization, and ethical approval details where applicable. Delete this subsection if genuinely not applicable.]

Results

[Recommended length: approximately 1,200-1,800 words. Present the evidence/findings that directly answer the research questions. Use two to four substantive subsections with informative headings that state the actual finding.]

[First Major Finding: Informative Subheading]

[State the principal finding first, then present the supporting evidence and its context. Avoid turning Results into a repetition of the literature review.]

[Second Major Finding: Informative Subheading]

[Present the critical or explanatory finding. Show the factors, mechanisms, patterns, relationships, or institutional/social dynamics demonstrated by the evidence.]

[Third Major Finding / Transformative Implication: Informative Subheading]

[Where appropriate, present a conceptual model, transformation, reconstruction, or substantive implication emerging from the evidence. Use a different organization if it better reflects the methodology.]

[Insert Figure / Conceptual Framework Here, if applicable]

Figure 1. [Concise and Informative Figure Title]

Source: [Authors' analysis/data, Year].

Discussion

[Recommended length: approximately 800-1,200 words. Do not merely repeat Results. This section should provide the strongest interpretation and scholarly contribution of the manuscript.]

[Synthesis of principal findings. Explain the most important intellectual meaning that emerges from the results.]

[Contextualization. Explain the findings within their relevant religious, historical, legal, cultural, institutional, social, political, educational, economic, Indonesian, ASEAN, or global context.]

[Theoretical interpretation. Use the stated theory/conceptual framework to interpret the findings rather than simply naming a theory.]

[Comparison with previous scholarship. Explain whether the findings confirm, extend, qualify, contradict, contextualize, or reconstruct prior studies.]

[Original contribution. State what scholars can understand differently because of this study and how the article advances Islamic studies and civilization.]

[Implications. Explain relevant theoretical, methodological, educational, legal, social, institutional, cultural, religious, or policy implications.]

Conclusion

[Recommended length: approximately 300-500 words, usually about three analytical paragraphs. Do not introduce substantial new data.]

[Paragraph 1 - Answer the research question directly and synthesize the principal finding and scholarly contribution.]

[Paragraph 2 - State the theoretical/conceptual contribution and, where relevant, important methodological limitations.]

[Paragraph 3 - Present specific implications and future research directions. State what should be investigated next and why rather than using a generic “further research is needed” statement.]

References

[Use Chicago Manual of Style, 17th Edition, Notes and Bibliography. Every work cited in the footnotes must appear in the References/Bibliography. Use Zotero, Mendeley, or another compatible reference manager. Normally provide at least 30 relevant references, prioritize peer-reviewed and authoritative sources, and engage substantially with recent literature while retaining foundational/classical Islamic sources where academically

necessary.]

*[Author Surname, First Name. "Title of Journal Article." Journal Title
volume, no. issue (Year): xx-xx. <https://doi.org/xxxxx>.]*

[Author Surname, First Name. Book Title. City: Publisher, Year.]

*[Author Surname, First Name. "Chapter Title." In Book Title, edited by
Editor Name, xx-xx. City: Publisher, Year.]*

*[Before submission, replace or delete all bracketed instructional text and unused optional
elements. Do not fabricate volume, issue, page, DOI, or article-history information; these are
completed by the editorial office.]*