# TOPIC 3.5 SECOND AMENDMENT: RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS

# Difference between civil liberties and civil rights?

The Second Amendment "A well-regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

# District of Columbia v. Heller (2008)

**Issue:** Do the D.C. provisions violate the Second Amendment rights of individuals who are not affiliated with any state-regulated militia (like the National Guard), but who wish to keep handguns and other firearms for private use in their homes?

Ruling: In a 5-4 decision, the Court struck down the District of Columbia's ban on handguns, holding that the Second Amendment guarantees an individual right to gun ownership. ---, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

Government Action Related to the Second Amendment	Effect on Gun Rights
National Firearms Act (1934)	Required registration of certain weapons, imposed a tax on the sale and manufacture of certain guns, and restricted the sale and ownership of high-risk weapons such as sawed-off shotguns and automatic machine guns
Gun Control Act (1968)	Ended mail-order sales of all firearms and ammunition and banned the sale of guns to felons, fugitives from justice, illegal drug users, people with mental illness, and those dishonorably discharged from the military (the law's effect was to punish those who owned a gun or used it illegally more than prevent the purchase or possession of guns)
Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act (1993)	Established a five-day waiting period for handgun purchases to allow for a background check
District of Columbia v. Heller (2008)	The Court ruled, in a five-to-four decision, that the Second Amendment recognizes an individual's right to own a gun unrelated to militia service
McDonald v. Chicago (2010)	The Court applied the Second Amendment to the states (selective incorporation) via the Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause, arguing that, based on Heller, the right to individual self-defense is at the heart of the Second Amendment

# DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CIVIL LIBERTIES AND CIVIL RIGHTS?

CIV	TT.	T.	IR	F	$\mathbf{R}'$	ΓT	FS
$\sim$ 1 $^{\circ}$		ш.	u	$\mathbf{L}$	1/	L I.	-

•	Definition: legal and constitutional rights that protect i from arbitrary acts of government. The B of R
•	Freedoms that are g either by l, c i
•	Involve basic freedoms (e.g. speech and religion)
•	The freedoms of conscience, religion, and expression, for example, which are secured by the F Amendment
•	The Bill of Rights, specifically amendments
CIVIL	RIGHTS, rights meant to protect g
•	Definition – policies designed to protect people against arbitrary or discriminatory t
	individuals
•	Include laws prohibiting racial, gender, physical, and religious d GROUPS. Those p
	steps taken by gov to p your rights. Ex. Any and all Civil Rights Laws

•	Protected by the due p and e p clauses of the F and F
	Amendments and by the c rights laws of n (federal) and s governments
	BASIS OF OUR CIVIL LIBERTIES – PROTECTED RIGHTS IN THE ORIGINAL CONSTITUTION
•	<ul> <li>Writ of <i>habeas corpus</i></li> <li>Directs any official having a person in custody to produce the prisoner in court and to explain to the judge why the prisoner is being h; Can only be suspended during times of r (Civil War)</li> <li>Person has the right to know why he or she is being i</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ex post facto laws</li> <li>Latin for "a the f"</li> <li>Punishes a person for something that was n a crime when he did it (r punishment); May n be passed by Congress</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Bills of attainder</li> <li>An act that punishes a person without benefit of t</li> <li>May n be passed by Congress</li> </ul>
	BILL OF RIGHTS AND THE STATES
BILL	OF RIGHTS
•	Added to the original Constitution to a states. Demanded by the Anti-F
•	Rights of the i and states listed to protect them from the f government
•	Bill of Rights o applied to the f government and did not include protections against s governments (Barron v. Baltimore, 1833)
needed	- Belief was that people could protect themselves against the state governments that were in their own backyards, but they additional protection against a new, powerful, and distant national gov't. Each state had its own state constitution and state rights to protect their citizenry from the state government.
	SELECTIVE INCORPORATION OF THE BILL OF RIGHTS
•	The B of R only apply to n (f) government NOT the states –Barron v. Baltimore (1833)
•	14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment: created the possibility that the B of R would apply to the s
caperson	nendment: All persons b or n in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are of the United States and of the state wherein they reside. No s shall make or enforce any law which shall the privileges or i of citizens of the United States; nor shall any s d any of l, l, or p, without d p of l; nor deny to any person within diction the e p of the l
	SELECTIVE INCORPORATION OF THE BILL OF RIGHTS
States (	<b>'RINE OF INCORPORATION</b> constitutional doctrine through which the first t a of the United Constitution (known as the B of R) are made applicable to the states through the D Process clause of arteenth Amendment. I applies both s and p
	CTIVE INCORPORATION: A constitutional doctrine through which the Bill of Rights are made applicable to the states in the Due Process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and so applied to state and local governments.
	g the language of the Fourteenth Amendment, specifically that "no stateshall ad p of law," government now serves as p of our inalienable right to "life, liberty and property." Most of the

ame this has resulted in the ncontrary.	government 1	1ts w	on s	and l	_ laws to the
Γhe Fourteenth Amendment has we government.	eakened the states and streng	thened the nation	al government in	our federal system	of
Explain how has the 14 <sup>th</sup> amendn	nent impacted federalism?				
<ul><li>This clause bans s</li><li>The "total i</li></ul>	ndment _ clause has been used to app from denying l, l" view would apply a (or f) of tl	of the provisions	of the B	t d process o	of law.
	orporation" view would appl			ions and would do	so on a
Utilizing the language of the Fourteen government now shis has resulted in the n	enth Amendment, specifically erves as p of	our inalienable rig	ght to "life, liberty	y and property." M	
The F Amendment I system of government.	nas w the state	s and strengthened	d the national gov	vernment in our f_	
<ul> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> – Right to Bear</li> <li>4<sup>th</sup> – Search and Sei</li> <li>5<sup>th</sup> – Self-Incrimina</li> <li>6<sup>th</sup> – Right to Coun</li> <li>8<sup>th</sup> – Protection aga</li> <li>All provisions of the Bill of Amendment have been feder</li> </ul>	red parts of the Bill of Right beech, Assembly, Petition, Re Arms zure protections tion, Double Jeopardy sel, Right to Bring Witnesses inst Cruel and Unusual Punit Rights except Amendmenteralized.	, Right to Confronshment, Excessive	e Fines	d Jury requireme	ent of the 5 <sup>th</sup>
McDonald v. Chicago (2010)-S			. 11 1	1 . 1 . 1	441
<b>Issue:</b> Does the Second Amendment and thus limit Chie	~ -		e and local gover	nments through th	ie 14th
Majority: 2 <sup>nd</sup> Amendment right theth Amendment. The Co and system of justice." The Cou the right to keep and bear arms Sdefense is a basic rig Amendment right to bear arms.	ourt considered whether the rt determined that both the among the f	right to keep gun: Framers of and th rights "neces	s "is fundamental ose who ratified t sary to our system	to our scheme of the 14th Amendme n of ordered libert	ordered liberty ent considered ty."
The debate over the Second A	Amendment involves conce	erns about public	e safety and whe	ther or not the g	overnment

The debate over the Second Amendment involves concerns about public safety and whether or not the government regulation of firearms promotes or interferes with public safety and individual rights.

Supreme Court: "Undoubtedly some think that the Second Amendment is outmoded in a society where our standing army is the pride of our Nation, where well-trained police forces provide personal security, and where gun violence is a serious problem.

That is perhaps debatable, but what is not debatable is that it is not the role of this Court to pronounce the Second

Amendment extinct."

-Justice Alito

• What was the significance of the ruling in McDonald v. Chicago?
<ul> <li>Have recent Supreme Court decisions on the Second Amendment leaned more toward individual liberty or publ safety?</li> </ul>
LFRQ Practice
The federal government must often balance protecting individual liberties and providing for order and security. At times, priority is given to one over the other
Develop an argument about whether the federal government should place more emphasis on protecting individual liberties or providing for order and security.
Use at least one piece of evidence from one of the following foundational documents:

- Article II of the United States Constitution
- The Declaration of Independence
- The Fourteenth Amendment

In your essay, you must:

- ✓ Articulate a defensible claim or thesis that respond to the prompt and establishes a line of reasoning.
- ✓ Support your claim or thesis with at least two pieces of accurate and relevant evidence.
  - One piece of evidence must come from one of the foundational documents listed above.
  - A second piece of evidence can come from any other foundational document not used as your first piece of evidence, or it may be from your knowledge of course concepts.
- ✓ Use reasoning to explain why your evidence supports your claim or thesis.
- ✓ Respond to an opposing or alternative perspective using refutation, concession, or rebuttal.

# 3.6~BALANCING~INDIVIDUAL~FREEDOMS~WITH~PUBLIC~ORDER~AND~SAFETY~AMSCO~pg.~300-6EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS

• C_	and u	punishment; no e	bail/ fines
	e Death Penalty	•	
	<ul> <li>Eighth Amend</li> </ul>	ment forbids the inflicting of cru	nel and unusual punishments
	<ul> <li>The d</li> </ul>	penalty is n consider	red cruel and unusual punishment in America
			of the then-existing State laws providing for the death penalty but
	~		al. Rather, the Court voided those laws because they gave too much
		-	er to impose the ultimate penalty. The Court found that of all the
	,	0 /	om few, most of them African American or poor, or both, were
		elected for execution.	
	• The ca		n capital punishment throughout the United States, which came to an n 1976.
			first, a trial to settle the question of guilt or innocence; then, for
		O 1	o decide if the circumstances involved in the crime justify a sentence
			That amendment(s) contain the dp statement?
	• If, hov		tory, such that there is no provision for mercy based on the
In recent ve			involving the death penalty, hinging on the question of whether
			nt's protection against cruel and unusual punishment.
		-	
In their rul	ings, which group	(s) are not eligible for the dear	th penalty?
		FOURTH	AMENIDMENT
		FOURTH	AMENDMENT
	the people to be secure is ll issue, but upon proba		ects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no
Probable c	ause- sufficient r	based upon known f	to believe a crime has been committed or that certain
		-	for a law enforcement officer to make an arrest without a
			the items were e of a crime.
warrant, oca	ron without a warra	int, or some property in the benef	of a crime.
• Ver	sus <b>REASONABL</b>	E SUSPICION-	
FO	URTH AMENDA	MENT RIGHTS	
The	Constitution forbid	s only "unreasonable"	The Exclusionary Rule searches and seizures

A police search without consent is constitutionally  u	Mapp v. Ohio (1961) - The Supreme Court ruled that evidence obtained unconstitutionally cannot be used in court against person from whom it was seized (it must be e from the trial)  Adopted mainly to prevent police m Not used if:  There would be "inevitable discovery" of the evidence (Nix v. Williams) Police operate "in good faith" that the warrant was valid (U.S. v. Leon)
4th Amendment The Fruit Of The Poisonous Tree Doctrine- The Truit Of The Doctr	Little was to a
A doctrine that extends the e rule to make evidence was derived from evidence that was i obtained. As evidential "tree" is tainted, so is its "fruit."	
The Exclusionary rule applies not only to evidence obtain indire police conduct but also to evidence obtained indirectly from the	
Evidence derived initial improper conduct is usually called <b>F</b>	Of The P Tree
USA PATRIOT ACT (Uniting and Strengthening America by Prov Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism) 2001	viding Appropriate Tools
The law covered intelligence gathering and sharing by executive bran. It also widened authority on tapping suspects' phones.	nch agencies, points of criminal procedure, and border protection
Soon, people began to question the law's constitutionality as	nd its threat to cl
*	cound issues of privacy and government surveillance. The Fourth the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and requires law-enforcement officers to obtain w
Does the government have the right to collect phone and in	iternet data from individuals?
Even today, some of the issues at the heart of the debates at the	e Constitutional Convention still exist.
GOVERNMENT S	URVEILLANCE
<ul> <li>USA FREEDOM Act (Uniting and Strengthening America by Full Online Monitoring) – 2015</li> <li>Restored in modified form several provisions of the Patriot</li> <li>Imposes new limits on the bulk collection of telecommunical including the National Security Agency.</li> <li>Restores authorization for roving wiretaps and tracking lone</li> </ul>	Act ation metadata on U.S. citizens by American intelligence agencies

<b>Government Action</b>	Effect on Individual Freedom
to Promote Public	
Order and Safety	

Death penalty	The Eighth Amendment <b>prevents c and unusual p and e bail</b> . Debate continues about the death penalty as a deterrent for violent crime or violation of the Eighth Amendment.
Furman v. Georgia (1972)	The Court put the death penalty on hold nationally, yet only two justices called the death penalty itself a violation of the Constitution
Gregg v. Georgia (1976)	The Court began reinstating the death penalty as states restructured their sentencing guidelines. No state can make the death penalty mandatory by law. Rather, a and m circumstances must be taken into account in the p phase—the second phase of trial following a guilty verdict.
USA PATRIOT Act	Soon after the September 11 attacks an executive order by President George W. Bush secretly allowed the executive branch to connect with third parties—Verizon and other telecommunications companies—to acquire and examine cell phone data. This third-party relationship excused the government from obtaining warrants as long as the third party was willing to give up the information and allow this collection of <b>metadata</b> . Debate followed about the constitutionality of this order and <b>Fourth Amendment</b> protections against illegal search and seizures.

# FEDERALISM